CORRIGENDUM

GOLDIE*-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES – CORRIGENDUM

By G. F. BIRKENMEIER, F. TAKIL MUTLU, C. NEBİYEV, N. SOKMEZ, and A. TERCAN

doi:10.1017/S0017089510000212, Published by Glasgow Mathematical Journal Trust 24 June 2010.

In this corrigendum to the paper, "Goldie*-supplemented modules" [1], we present revised Propositions 3.7 and 3.12 and correct some typographical errors.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16D10, 16D50.

Unfortunately, we have found some errors in Propositions 3.7 and 3.12. The following are corrected versions of these results.

PROPOSITION 3.7. (i) Let M be \mathcal{G}^* -supplemented and K a maximal submodule of M. Then, $K = S + (K \cap T)$, where S is a supplement of T, T is a supplement of K, T is a local module and $K\beta^*S$.

(ii) Let M be \mathcal{G}^* -lifting and K a maximal submodule of M. There exist $C, D \leq M$ such that $M = C \oplus D, K\beta^*D, K = D \oplus (K \cap C)$ and C is a local module.

Proof. (i) Since M is \mathcal{G}^* -supplemented, there exists a supplement S such that $K\beta^*S$. By [1, Theorem 3.6], K has a supplement T. From [1, Theorem 2.6], T is a supplement of S. By [2, p. 234, 20.4(9)], S is a supplement of T. [1, Proposition 2.10] yields that $K = S + (K \cap T)$. Then, $K \cap T$ is a maximal submodule of T. Let $t \in T$ such that $t \notin K$. Then, K + tR = M. Since T is a supplement of K, T = tR. Therefore, T is a local module.

(ii) Since *M* is \mathcal{G}^* -lifting there exist *C*, $D \leq M$ such that $M = C \oplus D$ and $K\beta^*D$. From [1, Theorem 2.6], *C* is a supplement of *K*. By [1, Proposition 2.10], $K = D \oplus (K \cap C)$. As in part (i), *C* is a local module.

PROPOSITION 3.12. If M is a projective module, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) M is supplemented,
- (*ii*) M is \mathcal{G}^* -supplemented,
- (iii) M is amply supplemented,
- (*iv*) M is lifting,
- (v) M is \mathcal{G}^* -lifting,

(vi) M is semi-perfect.

Proof. This result follows from [1, Theorem 3.6], [1, Proposition 3.11] and [2, 27.21]. Also, there are a few typos in the paper:

(1) on page 42, lines 14 and 22 : replace "supplementing" with "supplemented";

(2) on page 48, line 22 : replace "Msller" with "Müller";

(3) on page 52: in reference [1] replace "Commun." with "Comm."; and in reference [6], replace "Muller" with "Müller".

REFERENCES

1. G. F. Birkenmeier, F. T. Mutlu, C. Nebiyev, N. Sokmez and A. Tercan, Goldie*-supplemented Modules, *Glasgow Math. J.* **52A** (2010), 41–52.

2. J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting modules: supplements and projectivity in module theory* (Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Switzerland, 2006).