## Notes on the Extension of Aitken's Theorem (for Polynomial Interpolation) to the Everett Types.

By G. J. LIDSTONE.

(Received 25th October 1929. Read 1st November 1929.)

1. These notes are intended to be read in connexion with Dr A. C. Aitken's paper, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) 1 (1929), 199-203. It is proposed to show (by a simple line of direct algebraic demonstration which is also applicable to the original formula) that Aitken's Theorem can be extended to the Everett types, *i.e.* the types which include two sets of terms—one set involving u(0) and the resultant of generalised operations on u(0), and the other set involving u(1) and the resultant of similar operations on u(1).

2. Let  $\lambda_r$  be an operator which reduces the degree of a polynomial, P(x), by two, and eliminates constants and terms in x.

3. Let  $\Lambda$  be that form of the inverse operator,  $\lambda^{-1}$ , that produces a P(x) divisible by x and x - 1, *i.e.* by x(x - 1). This will be called Condition (A). Then  $\Lambda . \lambda P(x)$  will reproduce P(x) as far as terms in  $x^2$ , but may differ from P(x) by terms of the form  $ax + \beta$ . In practice  $\Lambda$  will usually be the resultant of two inverse  $\theta$ -operations, as defined by Aitken, *loc. cit.*, as for example  $\Lambda = D^{-1} \Delta^{-1}$  or  $= \Delta^{-2}$ ; but  $\Lambda$  is not necessarily so separable into two inverse  $\theta$ -operations.

4. Everett Type I. Here the data are (1,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2 \lambda_1$ , ..., down to  $\lambda_n \dots \lambda_1$ ) operating on u(0) and u(1). P(x) is of degree 2n + 1. Take the fifth degree as an example. Put z = x - 1. Consider the following Scheme:

 $\begin{aligned} u(x) &= a_5 x^5 + a_4 x^4 + a_3 x^3 + a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 \\ \lambda_1 u(x) &= b_3 x^3 + b_2 x^2 + b_1 x + b_0 \\ \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(x) &= c_1 x + c_0 \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{aligned} & \text{Value of terms of} \\ \text{degree} < 2. \\ x &= 0 \\ a_0 \\ b_1 + a_0 \\ b_0 \\ c_1 x + c_0 \end{aligned}$ 

Since 
$$c_0 = \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(0)$$
 and  $c_1 + c_0 = \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(1)$ , we have  
 $c_1 = \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(1) - \lambda_2 \lambda_1 (u_0).$ 

Substituting in  $\lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(x)$ , we have

$$\lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (x) = c_{1} x + c_{0} = x \{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (1) - \lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (0)\} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (0)$$
  
=  $x \lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (1) - (x - 1) \lambda_{2}\lambda_{1} u (0), \dots (1)$ 

which is an expression of Everett Type I.

Operate on (1) with  $\Lambda_2$ : then (see (A) above) we have

$$\lambda_1 u(x) = \Lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(x) + ax + \beta \ldots (2)$$

and similarly

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_1 \, u \, (z) \, &= \Lambda_2 \, . \, \lambda_2 \, \lambda_1 \, u \, (z) \, + \, az \, + \, eta \ &= \Lambda_2 \, . \, \lambda_2 \, \lambda_1 \, u \, (z) \, + \, ax \, + \, (a \, + \, eta) \dots \dots \dots \dots (3) \end{aligned}$$

Put x = 0 in (2); then bearing in mind the definition of  $\Lambda$  in (A) we see that (2) reduces to  $\lambda_1 u(0) = \beta$ . Similarly, putting z = 0 in (3) we get  $\lambda_1 u(1) = \alpha + \beta$ . Hence  $\alpha = \lambda_1 u(1) - \lambda_1 u(0)$ , and

another expression of Everett Type I.

which again is an expression of Everett Type I.

5. Operating with  $\Lambda_1$  on (5) and proceeding as before, we shall find

and finally, substituting from (5) in (6) and collecting terms,

$$u(x) = x u(1) + \Lambda_1 x \cdot \lambda_1 u(1) + \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 x \cdot \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(1) - (x-1) u(0) - \Lambda_1 (x-1) \cdot \lambda_1 u(0) - \Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 (x-1) \cdot \lambda_2 \lambda_1 u(0) \Big\}, (7)$$

the required expansion for u(x), or P(x), in Everett Type I.

6. It is evident that, beginning always at the bottom and working upwards line by line, the same process will apply however many lines are involved, *i.e.* whatever the degree of P(x). Thus the general expansion for a P(x) of degree 2n + 1 is evidently found by continuing (7) for (n + 1) terms on each line.

As an example, let  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \ldots = d^2/dx^2 = D^2$ . Then  $\Lambda x = x^3/6 + Ax$ , where A is a constant of integration to be fixed in conformity with Condition (A). This requires A = -1/6, and so  $\Lambda x = x(x^2 - 1)/6$ . Similarly

$$\Lambda^{2} x = (3x^{5} - 10x^{3} + 7x) / 360 = x (x^{2} - 1) (3x^{2} - 7) / 360.$$

In this case (but see the general warning in para. 8, infra) we can get the corresponding values of  $\Lambda(x-1)$  and  $\Lambda^2(x-1)$  by putting (x-1) for x in the values already found. Hence we have the following formula for u(x) in terms of u(0) and u(1) and their differential coefficients of even order:

$$u(x) = xu(1) + x(x^{2}-1) u''(1)/6 + x(x^{2}-1)(3x^{2}-7) u^{IV}(1)/360 + ...) (8) - zu(0) - z(z^{2}-1) u''(0)/6 - z(z^{2}-1)(3z^{2}-7) u^{IV}(0)/360 - ...)$$

where for compactness z is written for (x - 1).

7. Everett Type II. In this type there is one  $\theta$ -operator preceding any number of  $\lambda$ -operators, and the data are u(0) and  $(\theta_1, \lambda_2, \theta_1, \ldots, d_{2n}, \lambda_{n-1}, \ldots, \lambda_2, \theta_1)$  operating on u(0) and u(1). The degree of P(x) is 2n.

If a Scheme similar to that in §4 be written down for this case it will be seen that—except for the top line, which gives u(x)—the scheme is of the same form as in Type I. Hence  $\theta_1(x)$ may be expressed as in Type I, by (7). Applying to this expression for  $\theta_1(x)$  the inverse operator  $\Theta_1 = \theta_1^{-1}$ , we shall produce all the terms of the top line, u(x), except the constant term, which is equal to u(0), given in the data. Thus the required expression for  $u(x) \equiv P(x)$  in Everett Type II is as follows :

$$\begin{array}{l} u\left(0\right) + \Theta_{\mathbf{1}}x \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{1}}u\left(1\right) + \Theta_{\mathbf{1}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{2}}x \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\theta_{\mathbf{1}}u(1) + \Theta_{\mathbf{1}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{3}}x \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{3}}\lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\theta_{\mathbf{1}}u(1) + \cdots \\ -\Theta_{\mathbf{1}}z \cdot \theta_{\mathbf{1}}u\left(0\right) - \Theta_{\mathbf{1}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{2}}z \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\theta_{\mathbf{1}}u(0) - \Theta_{\mathbf{1}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\Lambda_{\mathbf{3}}z \cdot \lambda_{\mathbf{3}}\lambda_{\mathbf{2}}\theta_{\mathbf{1}}u(0) - \cdots, \end{array} \right)$$
(9)

where again z is written for (x - 1).

As an example of Type II, put

 $\theta = d/dx = D; \ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \ldots = d^2/dx^2 = D^2.$ 

Apply the last formula to u'(x), then integrate both sides, introducing the constant u(0). No other constants of integration are needed in applying the operation  $\Theta = \theta^{-1} = D^{-1}$  to the R.H.S., because it is a

18

condition that  $\Theta \cdot P(x)$ , or  $\theta^{-1}P(x)$  is divisible by x. (cf. Aitken, *loc. cit.*) We thus get the following formula for u(x) in terms of u(0) and the odd differential coefficients of u(0) and u(1):

$$\begin{array}{c} u(0) + x^{2}u'(1)/2! + x^{2}(x^{2}-2)u'''(1)/4! + x^{2}(x^{4}-5x^{2}+7)u^{V}(1)/6! + .. \\ & - x(x-2)u'(0)/2! - x^{2}(x-2)^{2}u'''(0)/4! \\ & - x^{2}(x^{4}-6x^{3}+10x^{2}-8)u^{V}(0)/6! + .. \end{array} \right\}$$
(10)

8. It must be specially noted that in operating by  $\Lambda_1 \Lambda_2 \ldots \Lambda_r$ on x and (x-1) the condition of divisibility by x(x-1)—see (A), para. 3—must be satisfied at each stage, and separately for the inverse function of x and x-1. It must not be assumed that the inverse function of (x-1) can necessarily be found by putting (x-1) for x in the corresponding inverse function of x. For example, if  $\lambda \equiv \Delta^2$ and  $\Lambda \equiv \Delta^{-2}$ ,  $\Lambda x = x(x-1)(x-2)/3!$ , but  $\Lambda(x-1)$  will not be (x-1)(x-2)(x-3)/3!: it will be

$$(x^3 - 6x^2 + 5x) / 3! = x (x - 1) (x - 5) / 3!$$

9. [Added 14th November 1929.] The correction for Condition (A) may be found by a simple rule. If P(x), Q(x) and R(x) are polynomials, and  $\lambda^{-1}P(x)$ , not corrected for Condition (A), is taken as Q(x) = x(x-1) R(x) + ax + b, the required value of  $\Lambda \cdot P(x)$  will be Q(x) - (ax + b). Now evidently b = Q(0) and (a + b) = Q(1), or a = Q(1) - Q(0), and so we have

We may thus obtain  $\Lambda \cdot P(x)$  in the form x(x-1) R(x). Putting x-1 for x in this we get (x-1)(x-2) R(x-1); and applying (11) we find that the adjustment for Condition (A) is 2(x-1) R(-1). This vanishes if R(x) is divisible by (x+1), *i.e.* if  $\Lambda \cdot P(x)$  is divisible by x(x+1)(x-1).

This condition is satisfied in the example of § 6, but not in the example of § 8.

Note. Paragraphs 8 and 9 apply equally to Type I and Type II.