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# VALUES OF POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS 

## Joachim von zur Gathen

Let $q$ be a prime power, $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ a field with $q$ elements, $f \in \mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ a polynomial of degree $n \geqslant 1, V(f)=\# f\left(F_{q}\right)$ the number of different values $f(a)$ of $f$, with $a \in F_{q}$, and $p=q-V(f)$. It is shown that either $\rho=0$ or $4 n^{4}>q$ or $2 p n>q$. Hence, if $q$ is "large" and $f$ is not a permutation polynomial, then either $n$ or $\rho$ is "large".

Possible cryptographic applications have recently rekindled interest in permutation polynomials, for which $\rho=0$ in the notation of the abstract (see Lidl and Mullen [10]). There is a probabilistic test for permutation polynomials using an essentially linear (in the input size $n \log q$ ) number of operations in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ (von zur Gathen [5]). There are rather few permutation polynomials: a random polynomial in $\mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}[x]$ of degree less than $q$ is a permutation polynomial with probability $q!/ q^{q}$, or about $e^{-q}$. For cryptographic applications, we think of $q$ as being exponential, about $2^{N}$, in some input size parameter $N$; then this probability is doubly exponentially small: $e^{-2^{N}}$.

In the hope of enlarging the pool of suitable polynomials, one can relax the notion of "permutation polynomial" by allowing a few, say polynomially many in $N$, values of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ not to be images of $f: \rho=N^{O(1)}$. There is a probabilistic test for this property, whose expected number of operations is essentially linear in $n \rho \log q$ (von zur Gathen [5]). The purpose of this note is to show that this relaxation does not include new examples with $q$ large and $n, \rho$ small: if $\rho \neq 0$, then either $+4 n^{4}>q$ or $2 \rho n>q$ (Corollary 2 (ii)).

The theorem below provides quantitative versions of results of Williams [15], Wan [14], and others, which we now first state. As an application, we will show that a naive probabilistic polynomial-time test for permutation polynomials has a good chance of success; this could not be concluded from the previous less quantitative versions.

If $p=\operatorname{char} \mathbf{F}_{q}$, then $a \mapsto a^{p}$ is a bijection of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$. If $f=g\left(x^{p}\right)$ for some $g \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$, then $V(f)=V(g)$, and, in particular, $f$ is a permutation polynomial if and only if $g$
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is. Replacing $f$ by $g$ (and repeating this process if necessary) we may therefore assume that $f$ is not a $p$ th power, that is, that $f^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then $f$ is called separable. We consider the difference polynomial

$$
f^{*}=\frac{f(x)-f(y)}{x-y} \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x, y]
$$

and the number $\sigma$ of absolutely irreducible (that is, irreducible over an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ ) factors in a complete factorisation of $f^{*}$ into irreducible factors in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{q}}[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$. We call $f$ exceptional if $\sigma=0$. Any linear $f$ is exceptional.

FACTS. Let $f \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ be separable of degree $n$.
(i) (MacCluer [12], Williams [16], Gwehenberger [7], Cohen [3]). If $f$ is exceptional, then $f$ is a permutation polynomial.
(ii) (Davenport and Lewis [4], Bombieri and Davenport [2], Tietäväinen [13], Hayes [8], Wan [14]). There exist $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ such that for any separable $f \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ of degree $n$ we have: If $q \geqslant c_{n}$ and $f$ is a permutation polynomial, then $f$ is exceptional.
(iii) (Williams [15]) If $q$ is a fixed prime, large compared with $n$, say $q \geqslant$ $q_{0}(n)$, and $\rho=O(1)$ (that is, $\rho$ depends only on $n$, but not on $q$ ), then $f$ is exceptional (hence, by (i), a permutation polynomial).
(iv) (von zur Gathen and Kaltofen [6], and Kaltofen [9]) There is a probabilistic test whether $f$ is exceptional using a number of operations in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ that is polynomial in $n \log q$.

We will establish quantitative versions of Facts (ii) and (iii). The proof follows the lines of Williams' argument; a central ingredient is, as in Williams' and Wan's work, Weil's theorem on the number of rational points of an algebraic curve over a finite field.

Theorem 1. Let $n \geqslant 1, f \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ separable of degree $n, V(f)$ the number of values of $f, \rho=q-V(f)$, and $0<\varepsilon \leqslant 8$.
(i) If $q \geqslant n^{4}$ and $f$ is a permutation polynomial, then $f$ is exceptional.
(ii) If $q \geqslant \varepsilon^{-2} n^{4}$ and $\sigma$ is the number of absolutely irreducible factors of $f^{*}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{q}}[x, y]$, then $\rho>(\sigma-\varepsilon) q / n$.

Proof: Since any linear polynomial is a permutation polynomial and exceptional (that is, $\sigma=0$ ), we may assume that $n \geqslant 2$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, let

$$
R_{i}=\left\{a \in \mathbf{F}_{q}: \#\left(f^{-1}(\{a\})\right)=i\right\}
$$

be the set of points with exactly $i$ preimages under $f$, and $r_{i}=\# R_{i}$. Then $\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} R_{i}=$
$f\left(\mathbf{F}_{q}\right)$ is a partition, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} r_{i}=q-\rho  \tag{1}\\
& \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} i r_{i}=q \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (1) from (2), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n}(i-1) r_{i}=\rho \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
S=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbf{F}_{q}^{2}: a \neq b, f(a)=f(b)\right\}
$$

and $s=\# S$. We map every $(a, b) \in S$ to $c=f(a) \in \bigcup_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n} R_{i}$; every $c \in R_{i}$ with $i \geqslant 2$ has exactly $i(i-1)$ preimages under this map. Together with (3), this shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \rho \geqslant \sum_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n} i(i-1) r_{i}=s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may assume that $f$ is not exceptional, and it is sufficient to prove $\rho>0$ if $q \geqslant n^{4}$ for (i), and $\rho n>(\sigma-\varepsilon) q$ if $q \geqslant \varepsilon^{-2} n^{4}$ for (ii). We write $f^{*}=h_{1} \cdots h_{\sigma} h_{\sigma+1} \cdots h_{r}$, with $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{T} \in \mathrm{~F}_{q}[x, y]$ irreducible, and $h_{i}$ absolutely irreducible if and only if $i \leqslant \sigma$. We have $\sigma \geqslant 1$.

Let $K$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbf{F}_{q}$, and for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \tau$ let

$$
\bar{X}_{i}=\left\{(a, b) \in K^{2}: h_{i}(a, b)=0\right\}
$$

be the curve defined by $h_{i}, X_{i}=\bar{X}_{i} \cap F_{q}^{2}$ its rational points, $n_{i}=\operatorname{deg} h_{i}$, and $X=$ $\bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant r} X_{i}$. We observe that $f(x)-f(y)$ is squarefree, since for a factor $h^{2}$ one finds, by differentiating, that $h$ divides $\operatorname{gcd}\left(f^{\prime}(x), f^{\prime}(y)\right)=1$. In particular, $x-y$ does not divide $f^{*}$, and if $\Delta \subseteq K^{2}$ is the diagonal, then $\bar{X}_{i} \neq \Delta$ for all $i$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n-1=\operatorname{deg} f^{*} \cdot \operatorname{deg} \Delta \geqslant \#(\bar{X} \cap \Delta) \geqslant \#(X \cap \Delta) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Bezout's theorem. Similarly,

$$
n_{i} n_{j} \geqslant \#\left(\bar{X}_{i} \cap \bar{X}_{j}\right) \geqslant \#\left(X_{i} \cap X_{j}\right)
$$

for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \tau$. Furthermore, by Weil's Theorem (see Lidl and Niederreiter [11, p.331]) we have

$$
\# X_{i} \geqslant q+1-\left(\left(n_{i}-1\right)\left(n_{i}-2\right) q^{1 / 2}+n_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma$. Together, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\# X & \geqslant \# \bigcup_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} X_{i} \geqslant \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} \# X_{i}-\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \sigma} \#\left(X_{i} \cap X_{j}\right)  \tag{6}\\
& >\sigma q-\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma}\left(\left(n_{i}-1\right)\left(n_{i}-2\right) q^{1 / 2}+n_{i}^{2}\right)-\sum_{1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant \sigma} n_{i} n_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

The maximum value of $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma}\left(n_{i}-1\right)\left(n_{i}-2\right)$ with $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \sigma} n_{i} \leqslant n-1$ and $1 \leqslant$ $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\sigma}$ is achieved at $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\sigma}\right)=(n-\sigma, 1, \ldots, 1)$, where it equals $(n-\sigma-1)(n-\sigma-2) \leqslant(n-2)(n-3)$. Adding the terms $n_{i}^{2}$ into the last sum, we find again that $\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant \sigma} n_{i} n_{j}$ reaches, under the given conditions, its maximum at the same $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{\sigma}\right)$. Its value there is $(n-\sigma)^{2}+(\sigma-1)(n-\sigma)+(\sigma-1) \sigma / 2$. This function achieves its maximum $(n-1)^{2}$ at $\sigma=1$.

Since $X \backslash(X \cap \Delta) \subseteq S$, we have from these estimates and (4), (5), and (6)

$$
\begin{align*}
n \rho & \geqslant s \geqslant \# X-(n-1)  \tag{7}\\
& >\sigma q-(n-2)(n-3) q^{1 / 2}-(n-1)^{2}-(n-1)
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (i), it is sufficient to have the right hand side of (7) nonnegative. This is clearly the case for $n \leqslant q^{1 / 4}$, since $\sigma \geqslant 1$. To prove (ii), we note that

$$
0 \geqslant u\left(-5 \sqrt{\varepsilon} u^{2}+(6+\varepsilon) u-\sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) \text { for } u \geqslant \delta=\frac{6+\varepsilon+\sqrt{36-8 \varepsilon+\varepsilon^{2}}}{10 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}
$$

Using this for $u=q^{1 / 4}$, assuming $q \geqslant \varepsilon^{-2} n^{4}$ (which implies $u \geqslant 2 \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \geqslant \delta$ ), and using (7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n \rho & >\sigma q-\left((n-2)(n-3) q^{1 / 2}+n(n-1)\right) \\
& \geqslant \sigma q-\left(\varepsilon q+\left(-5 \sqrt{\varepsilon} q^{3 / 4}+6 q^{1 / 2}+\varepsilon q^{1 / 2}-\sqrt{\varepsilon} q^{1 / 4}\right)\right) \\
& \geqslant(\sigma-\varepsilon) q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2. Let $n \geqslant 1, f \in F_{q}[x]$ separable of degree $n, V(f)$ the number of values of $f, \rho=q-V(f)$, and assume that $q \geqslant 4 n^{4}$.
(i) If $\sigma$ is the number of absolutely irreducible factors of $f^{*}$ in $\mathbf{F}_{q}[x, y]$, then $\rho>(\sigma-1 / 2) q / n$.
(ii) If $\rho \leqslant q / 2 n$, then $f$ is a permutation polynomial.

Proof: (i) Set $\varepsilon=1 / 2$ in (ii) of the Theorem. (ii) If $f$ is not a permutation polynomial, then it is not exceptional (Fact (i)); hence $\sigma \geqslant 1$ and $\rho>q / 2 n$ by (i). $\square$

In various statements (the numbering of which is indicated below) of Lidl and Niederreiter [11], we can replace "there exist $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ such that for all $q \geqslant c_{n}$ " by "for all $q \geqslant n^{4}$; we refer to their text for a complete bibliography.

Corollary 3. Let $n \in N, n \geqslant 1, F_{q}$ a finite field with $q$ elements, and assume $q \geqslant n^{4}$.
(i) (Corollary 7.30) Suppose that $f \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ is separable of degree $n$. Then $f$ is a permutation polynomial if and only if $f$ is exceptional.
(ii) (Theorem 7.31) Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$ and $F_{q}$ contains an $n$th root of unity, different from 1. Then there is no permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{q}}$ with degree $\boldsymbol{n}$.
(iii) (Corollary 7.32) Suppose that $n$ is positive and even, and $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$. Then there is no permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ with degree $n$.
(iv) (Corollary 7.33) Suppose that $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q)=1$. Then there exists a permutation polynomial of $F_{q}$ with degree $n$ if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}(n, q-1)=1$.
We obtain a probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm to test whether a given polynomial $f \in \mathbf{F}_{q}[x]$ of degree $n$ is a permutation polynomial, as follows. We first note that any $u \in \mathbf{F}_{q}$ has exactly one preimage under $f$ (that is, $\# f^{-1}(\{u\})=1$ ) if and only if $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x^{q}-x, f-u\right)$ is linear. Calculating $x^{q}-x \bmod f-u$ by repeated squaring takes $O^{\sim}(n \log q)$ operations, and the gcd calculation then $O^{\sim}(n)$ operations in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$ (Aho, Hopcroft and Ullman [1, Section 8.9]). (The "soft $O^{\prime \prime}$ notation $O^{\sim}(m)$ means $O\left(m \log ^{k} m\right)$ for some fixed $k$, thus ignoring factors $\log m$.) If $q<4 n^{4}$, we test for each $u \in \mathbf{F}_{\boldsymbol{q}}$ whether it has one (or at least one) preimage under $f$. This costs $O^{\sim}(n q)$ or $O^{\sim}\left(n^{5}\right)$ operations in $\mathbf{F}_{q}$.

If $q \geqslant 4 n^{4}$, we have the following probabilistic algorithm, with a confidence parameter $\varepsilon>0$ as further input. We choose $k=\left\lceil 2 n \log _{e} \varepsilon^{-1}\right\rceil$ elements $u \in \mathbf{F}_{q}$ independently at random, and test whether $u$ has exactly one preimage under $f$. If this is not the case for some $u$, then $f$ is not a permutation polynomial. If it is true for all $u$ tested, then we declare $f$ to be a permutation polynomial. It may of course happen that $f$ is not a permutation polynomial and this test answers incorrectly; the probability of this event is at most

$$
\left(\frac{q-\rho}{q}\right)^{k}<\left(\frac{q-\frac{q}{2 n}}{q}\right)^{2 n \cdot k / 2 n}<\left(e^{-1}\right)^{k / 2 n} \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

by Corollary 2 (ii). The cost is $k$ gcd's or $O^{\sim}\left(n \log \varepsilon^{-1} \cdot n \log q\right)$ operations in $F_{q}$.
This test is conceptually much simpler than the one in von zur Gathen [5]; however, that test is more efficient, using only $O^{\sim}\left(n \log \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ operations (if $\left.\varepsilon \leqslant q^{-1}\right)$.
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