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#### Abstract

Using theta correspondence, we classify the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{Mp}_{2 n}$ in terms of the irreducible representations of $\mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}$ and determine many properties of this classification. This is a local Shimura correspondence which extends the well-known results of Waldspurger for $n=1$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $k$ be a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residual characteristic $p$. In this section, we assume for simplicity that $p$ is odd. Let $(W,\langle-,-\rangle)$ be a symplectic vector space of dimension $2 n$ over $k$, with associated symplectic group $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$. The group $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ has a unique two-fold central extension $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$, which is called the metaplectic group:

$$
1 \longrightarrow\{ \pm 1\} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Mp}(W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(W) \longrightarrow 1 .
$$

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the (genuine) representation theory of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. More precisely, we shall:

- obtain a local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ and establish some of its expected properties;
- establish a result known as epsilon dichotomy, in which certain local root numbers are shown to control the non-vanishing of certain theta lifts.

The prototype of our results is the work of Waldspurger, who considered the case where $\operatorname{dim} W=2$. If $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ denotes the set of isomorphism clases of irreducible (genuine) representations of $G$, then Waldspurger showed that, with respect to any fixed additive character $\psi$ of $k$, there is a natural bijection

$$
\operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longleftrightarrow \bigsqcup_{V} \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))
$$

where the (disjoint) union of the right-hand side runs over the 3-dimensional quadratic spaces $V$ of discriminant 1 (of which there are two) and $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ denotes the associated special orthogonal group. By combining these results with the local Langlands corespondence for $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ (with $\operatorname{dim} V=3$ ), one obtains a classification of $\operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ in terms of $L$-parameters.
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This classification depends on the choice of $\psi$, but Waldspurger also determined how it changes as one varies $\psi$. We shall recall these results more precisely in $\S 5$. At this point, it suffices to note that Waldspurger's results were obtained from a detailed study of the local theta correspondence associated to the dual pairs $\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$. Moreover, he was the first to realize the connection between local root numbers and theta correspondence, establishing the aforementioned result on epsilon dichotmy.

Subsequently, extensions of essentially all of Waldspurger's results mentioned above to the case of general $W$ were obtained in the Archimedean case by Adams and Barbasch [Ada95, AB95, AB98]. In this paper, we shall complete this (local) story by establishing the analogous results for $p$-adic fields. We note that the method of proof used in the Archimedean case relies crucially on detailed analysis of harmonic $K$-types and thus does not work in the $p$-adic setting.

More precisely, one has the following theorem, whose proof was sketched in [GGP12] based on a key result of Kudla and Rallis [KR05]. We shall give a detailed proof here.

Theorem 1.1. For each non-trivial additive character $\psi: k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, there is a bijection

$$
\Theta_{\psi}: \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right) \sqcup \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)\right)
$$

where $V^{+}$(respectively, $V^{-}$) is the split (respectively, non-split) quadratic space of discriminant 1 and dimension $2 n+1$. This bijection is given by the theta correspondence (with respect to $\psi$ ) for the group $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$.
Corollary 1.2. Assume the local Langlands correspondence for $\operatorname{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$. Then one obtains a local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$, i.e. a bijection

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi}: \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longleftrightarrow \Phi(\operatorname{Mp}(W))
$$

(depending on $\psi$ ) where $\Phi(\mathrm{Mp}(W))$ is the set of pairs $(\phi, \eta)$ such that:

- $\phi: W D_{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a $2 n$-dimensional symplectic representation of the Weil-Deligne group $W D_{k}$ of $k$;
- $\eta$ is an irreducible representation of the (finite) component group

$$
A_{\phi}=\pi_{0}\left(Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})}(\phi)\right)
$$

Since the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$is known for $\operatorname{dim} V=5$ (from [GT11, GT]), the statement of the corollary is unconditional in this case. The general case should follow by combining the results of the recently released book [Art11] by Arthur and the results of Jiang and Soudry [JS04].

One might ask if the local Langlands correspondence given in Corollary 1.2 satisfies certain typical properties. For example, for a representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ with $L$-parameter $\phi$, one would expect that $\sigma$ is a discrete series representation if and only if $\phi$ does not factor through any proper Levi subgroup. As another example, one would expect certain natural invariants, such as $L$-factors and $\epsilon$-factors, to be preserved under the correspondence. To a large extent, such questions amount to asking whether the bijection $\Theta_{\psi}$ satisfies the analogous properties. We have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. Suppose that $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ and $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ correspond under $\Theta_{\psi}$. Then the following hold.
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(i) $\pi$ is a discrete series representation if and only if $\sigma$ is a discrete series representation.
(ii) $\pi$ is tempered if and only if $\sigma$ is tempered. Moreover, suppose that

$$
\pi \subset I_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi_{0}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ with Levi subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_{r}} \times \mathrm{SO}\left(V_{0}\right)$, the $\tau_{i}$ are unitary discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}$, and $\pi_{0}$ is a discrete series representation of $\operatorname{SO}\left(V_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\sigma \subset I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is the parabolic subgroup of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ with Levi subgroup $\tilde{G L}_{n_{1}} \times \mu_{\mu_{2}} \cdots \times_{\mu_{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_{r}} \times$ $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$. In particular, $\Theta_{\psi}$ gives a bijection between the (isomorphism classes of) irreducible constituents of $I_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi_{0}\right)$ and $I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right)$.
(iii) In general, suppose that

$$
\pi=J_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right) \quad \text { with } s_{1}>s_{2}>\cdots>s_{r}>0
$$

is a Langlands quotient of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, where $Q$ is as in (ii), the $\tau_{i}$ are unitary tempered representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}$, and $\pi_{0}$ is a tempered representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\sigma=J_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right)
$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is as in (ii).
(iv) If $\pi$ and $\sigma$ are discrete series representations, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}(\pi)=\operatorname{deg}(\sigma)
$$

where deg denotes the formal degree with respect to the Haar measures giving:

- the Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$volume 1;
- the Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)$volume $2(q+1) /(q-1)$ (with $q$ being the size of the residue field of $k$ );
- the preimage in $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ of the Iwahori subgroup of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ volume 1 .
(v) If $\pi$ is a generic representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, then $\sigma$ is a $\psi$-generic representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. If $\sigma$ is $\psi$-generic and tempered, then $\pi$ is generic.
(vi) If $\pi$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$, then one has a Plancherel measure $\mu(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)$ associated to the induced representation $I_{P}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho)$. If $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$, then one has

$$
\mu(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\mu(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
$$

(vii) If $\chi$ is a 1-dimensional character of $\mathrm{GL}_{1}$, then one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L(s, \pi \times \chi)=L_{\psi}(s, \sigma \times \chi), \\
\epsilon(s, \pi \times \chi, \psi)=\epsilon(s, \sigma \times \chi, \psi),
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the local factors in question are those defined by Lapid and Rallis [LR05] using the doubling method of Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis [PR86].
(viii) Assume that $\pi$ is generic, so that $\sigma$ is $\psi$-generic. Then for any irreducible representation $\rho$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$, one has the equalities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L(s, \pi \times \rho)=L_{\psi}(s, \sigma \times \rho), \\
\epsilon(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\epsilon(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
\end{array}\right.
$$
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Here the factors on the left-hand side are those defined by Shahidi [Sha90], and those on the right-hand side are as defined by Szpruch [Szp09].

In the paper [GI] of the first author with Ichino, several of the results in Theorem 1.3 were established for the local theta correspondences for general dual pairs of arbitrary sizes. In fact, Theorem 1.3(iv) is one of the main results of [GI]; however, we do not make use of (iv) in this paper, nor will we discuss its proof.

It is not difficult to see that the bijection $\Theta_{\psi}$ (or $L_{\psi}$ ) is determined by the properties of the above theorem, at least on the level of L-packets. It has also come to our attention that Moeglin [Moe11b] has given a definition of local L-packets (indeed local A-packets) of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ using reducibilities of generalized principal series representations, extending her approach for the linear classical groups. It follows from Theorem 1.3(i)-(vi) that our local L-packets agree with Moeglin's. Since we will not recall her intricate results, we do not elaborate on this point here.

Let us return to Theorem 1.1. The key steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are the following two statements:
(a) given an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, exactly one extension of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{O}(V)=$ $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times\{ \pm 1\}$ has non-zero theta lift to $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$;
(b) given an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W), \sigma$ has non-zero theta lift to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ for exactly one $V$.

Now one may ask whether it is possible to specify, in the context of (a), which of the extensions $\pi^{ \pm}$ of $\pi$ participates in the theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. Analogously, given a representation $\sigma$ in the context of (b), one might ask to which $\mathrm{O}(V)$ is the theta lift of $\sigma$ non-zero. To describe the answers, we need to introduce some more notation.

First, let us write

$$
\epsilon(V)= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } V=V^{+} \\ -1 & \text { if } V=V^{-}\end{cases}
$$

Further, observe that the sign $\epsilon$ in $\pi^{\epsilon}$ simply encodes the central character of $\pi^{\epsilon}$ :

$$
\epsilon=\pi^{\epsilon}(-1)
$$

On the other hand, for an irreducible genuine representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$, one may consider its central character $\omega_{\sigma}$, which is a genuine character of $\tilde{Z}$ (the preimage in $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ of the center $Z$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ ). Now, using the additive character $\psi$, one can define a genuine character $\chi_{\psi}$ of $\tilde{Z}$ (see $\S 2.4$ ). We define the central $\operatorname{sign} z_{\psi}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ by

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\omega_{\sigma}(-1) / \chi_{\psi}(-1) \in\{ \pm 1\}
$$

where we note that the quotient above is independent of the choice of the preimage in $\tilde{Z}$ of $-1 \in Z$.

We then have the following result.
Theorem 1.4. (i) Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{SO}(V)$. Then $\pi^{\epsilon}$ participates in theta correspondence (with respect to $\psi$ ) with $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi) .
$$

Here $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ is the standard epsilon factor defined by Lapid and Rallis [LR05] using the doubling method; its value at $s=1 / 2$ is independent of $\psi$.

## Metaplectic groups

(ii) Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. Then $\sigma$ has non-zero theta lift (with respect to $\psi$ ) to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ if and only if the central character of $\sigma$ satisfies

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)\right) .
$$

We should mention that the analogous theorem in the context of theta correspondence for unitary groups was shown by Harris et al. [HKS96], at least for 'most' representations.

Finally, we investigate how the local Langlands correspondence $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ depends on $\psi$. For this, we shall of course assume the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$so that Corollary 1.2 makes sense. In addition, we assume that the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$ satisfies certain expected properties in relation to the theory of endoscopy; these are detailed in $\S 12$. To state the result, we recall that $\phi: W D_{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})$ is a symplectic representation of $W D_{k}$, and if we write $\phi=\bigoplus_{i} n_{i} \cdot \phi_{i}$ as a direct sum of irreducible representations $\phi_{i}$ with some multiplicities $n_{i}$, then the component group $A_{\phi}$ is given by

$$
A_{\phi}=\prod_{i: \phi_{i} \text { symplectic }} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} a_{i},
$$

so that $A_{\phi}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ with a canonical basis. Now we have the following theorem.
Theorem 1.5. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ and $c \in k^{\times}$, let

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)=(\phi, \eta) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)=\left(\phi_{c}, \eta_{c}\right) .
$$

Then the following hold.
(i) $\phi_{c}=\phi \otimes \chi_{c}$, where $\chi_{c}$ is the quadratic character associated to $c \in k^{\times} / k^{\times 2}$. It follows that we have the canonical identification of component groups

$$
A_{\phi}=A_{\phi_{c}}=\bigoplus_{i} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} a_{i}
$$

so that it makes sense to compare $\eta$ and $\eta_{c}$.
(ii) The characters $\eta$ and $\eta_{c}$ are related by

$$
\eta_{c}\left(a_{i}\right) / \eta\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i}\right) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \cdot \chi_{c}(-1)^{\left(\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}\right) / 2} \in\{ \pm\} .
$$

It is interesting to note that the proof of this last theorem makes use of the Gross-Prasad conjecture for tempered representations of special orthogonal groups, which has recently been demonstrated by Waldspurger in a remarkable series of articles [Wal10, Wal12a, Wal1, Wal2].

In a sequel to this paper, we shall investigate the relation between the representation theories of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$and $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ from the point of view of Hecke algebra isomorphisms.

## 2. Metaplectic and orthogonal groups

In this section, we establish some notation for the groups of interest in this paper. Recall that $k$ is a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero and residual characteristic $p$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{k}$ be the ring of integers of $k$ with residue field $\kappa=\mathbb{F}_{q}$.

### 2.1 Symplectic group

Let $W$ be a $2 n$-dimensional vector space over $k$ equipped with a non-degenerate skew-symmetric form $\langle-,-\rangle_{W}$, and let $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ be the associated symplectic group. We may fix a Witt basis of $W$,
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consisting of vectors

$$
e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}, e_{n}^{*}, \ldots, e_{1}^{*}
$$

satisfying

$$
\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}\right\rangle_{W}=\left\langle e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right\rangle_{W}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right\rangle_{W}=\delta_{i j} .
$$

For any $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$, let

$$
X_{k}=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad X_{k}^{*}=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{k}^{*}\right),
$$

so that $W=X_{n} \oplus X_{n}^{*}$. We also set

$$
W_{n-k}=\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_{n}, e_{n}^{*}, \ldots, e_{k+1}^{*}\right)
$$

so that

$$
W=X_{k} \oplus W_{n-k} \oplus X_{k}^{*} .
$$

### 2.2 Parabolic subgroups

We now describe the parabolic subgroups of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ up to conjugacy. Consider the flag of isotropic subspaces

$$
X_{k_{1}} \subset X_{k_{1}+k_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset X_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}} \subset W .
$$

The stabilizer of such a flag is a parabolic subgroup $P$ whose Levi factor $M$ is given by

$$
M \cong \operatorname{GL}\left(k_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{GL}\left(k_{r}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}\left(W_{n-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{r}}\right),
$$

where $\operatorname{GL}\left(k_{i}\right)$ is the group of invertible linear maps on $\operatorname{Span}\left(e_{k_{i}+1}, \ldots e_{k_{i+1}}\right)$. In particular, the maximal parabolic subgroups of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ are simply the stabilizers $P\left(X_{k}\right)$ of the isotropic spaces $X_{k}(1 \leqslant k \leqslant n)$. For a given $k$, the choice of the complementary space $X_{k}^{*}$ gives a Levi subgroup of $P\left(X_{k}\right)$,

$$
M\left(X_{k}\right)=\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{k}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}\left(W_{n-k}\right),
$$

with $\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)$ acting naturally on $X_{k}^{*}$ by functoriality. Moreover, the unipotent radical $N\left(X_{k}\right)$ sits in a short exact sequence

$$
1 \longrightarrow Z\left(X_{k}\right) \longrightarrow N\left(X_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(W_{n-k}, X_{k}\right) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where $Z\left(X_{k}\right) \cong \operatorname{Sym}^{2} X_{k}$ is isomorphic to the space of symmetric bilinear forms on $Y_{k}$. When $k=n, N\left(X_{k}\right)=Z\left(X_{k}\right)$ is abelian and $P\left(X_{n}\right)$ is called the Siegel parabolic subgroup.

### 2.3 Metaplectic group

The group $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ has a unique two-fold cover $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. As a set, we may write

$$
\operatorname{Mp}(W)=\operatorname{Sp}(W) \times\{ \pm 1\}
$$

with group law given by

$$
\left(g_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right) \cdot\left(g_{2}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{2}, \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \cdot c\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)\right)
$$

for some 2-cocycle $c$ on $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ valued in $\{ \pm 1\}$. Without describing $c$ explicitly, let us describe the restriction of this double cover over a maximal parabolic subgroup $P\left(X_{k}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$.

The covering splits uniquely over the unipotent radical $N\left(X_{k}\right)$ of $P\left(X_{k}\right)$. Thus, we may regard $N\left(X_{k}\right)$ canonically as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$, and one has a Levi decomposition

$$
\widetilde{P}\left(X_{k}\right)=\widetilde{M}\left(X_{k}\right) \cdot N\left(X_{k}\right) .
$$

We need to describe the covering over $M\left(X_{k}\right) \cong \mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right) \times \operatorname{Sp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)$.
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Not surprisingly, the restriction of the covering to $\operatorname{Sp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)$ is nothing but the unique twofold cover $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Sp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)$. The covering over $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)$ can be described as follows. Consider the set

$$
\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{k}\right) \times\{ \pm 1\}
$$

with multiplication law

$$
\left(g_{1}, \epsilon_{1}\right) \cdot\left(g_{2}, \epsilon_{2}\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{2}, \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \cdot\left(\operatorname{det} g_{1}, \operatorname{det} g_{2}\right)\right)
$$

where ( $\operatorname{det} g_{1}$, det $g_{2}$ ) denotes the Hilbert symbol. Then $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right)$ is precisely this double cover of $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)$.

Hence we have

$$
\widetilde{M}\left(X_{k}\right)=\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)\right) / \Delta \mu_{2}
$$

More generally, for any parabolic subgroup $P$, one has the Levi decomposition

$$
\widetilde{P}=\widetilde{M} \cdot N
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{M} \cong \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(k_{1}\right) \times_{\mu_{2}} \cdots \times_{\mu_{2}} \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(k_{r}\right) \times_{\mu_{2}} \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{r}}\right) .
$$

### 2.4 Representations of $\widetilde{\mathbf{G L}}\left(X_{k}\right)$

The (genuine) representation theory of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right)$ can be easily related to the representation theory of $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Indeed, the determinant map

$$
\operatorname{det}: \mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(1)
$$

has a natural lifiting

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{det}}: \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}(1)
$$

given by

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{det}}(g, \epsilon)=(\operatorname{det} g, \epsilon) .
$$

On the other hand, if we fix an additive character $\psi$ of $k$, then there is a natural genuine character of $\widetilde{G L}(1)$, defined by

$$
(a, \epsilon) \mapsto \epsilon \cdot \gamma(a, \psi)^{-1}
$$

with

$$
\gamma(a, \psi)=\gamma\left(\psi_{a}\right) / \gamma(\psi)
$$

and the Weil index $\gamma(\psi)$ is an eighth root of unity associated to $\psi$ by Weil. Composing this genuine character with det gives a genuine character $\chi_{\psi}$ of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right)$, which satisfies

$$
\chi_{\psi}(g, \epsilon)^{2}=(\operatorname{det} g,-1) .
$$

Using the genuine character $\chi_{\psi}$, one obtains a bijection between $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)\right)$ and the set $\operatorname{Irr}\left(\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right)\right)$ of genuine irreducible representations of $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}\left(X_{k}\right)$, via

$$
\tau \mapsto \tilde{\tau}_{\psi}=\tau \otimes \chi_{\psi} .
$$

We stress that this bijection depends on the choice of the additive character $\psi$.
Note that we could restrict the genuine character $\chi_{\psi}$ to the center $\widetilde{Z}$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. We denote this character of $\widetilde{Z}$ by $\chi_{\psi}$ as well. This character allows one to define a central sign for irreducible representations $\sigma$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$, as explained in $\S 1$.
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### 2.5 Parabolic induction

After the above discussion, one sees that given an irreducible representation $\tau$ of $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{k}\right)$ and an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-k}\right)$, one has an irreducible representation $\tilde{\tau}_{\psi} \boxtimes \pi$ of $\widetilde{M}\left(X_{k}\right)$. Thus, one may consider the parabolically induced representation

$$
I_{P\left(X_{k}\right), \psi}(\tau, \pi)=\operatorname{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}\left(X_{k}\right)}^{\operatorname{Mp}(W)} \tilde{\tau}_{\psi} \boxtimes \pi \quad \text { (normalized induction). }
$$

More generally, for any parabolic subgroup $P=M \cdot N$ and irreducible representations $\tau_{i}$ of $\mathrm{GL}\left(k_{i}\right)$ and $\pi$ of $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{n-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{r}}\right)$, one has the induced representation

$$
I_{P, \psi}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi\right)
$$

A particular case of this is where $P=B$ is the Borel subgroup, so that each $k_{i}$ is 1 . In that case, given characters $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$, one has the principal series representations

$$
I_{B, \psi}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)
$$

If the $\chi_{i}$ are unramified, we shall call such a representation an unramified principal series representation; note that this notion of 'unramified representation' depends on the choice of $\psi$.

Although $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ is not a linear group, many basic results concerning the induction and Jacquet functors remain valid. For a justification of this, the reader can consult [HM10].

### 2.6 Maximal compact subgroup

Let $\Lambda$ be the $\mathcal{O}_{k}$-lattice generated by the vectors $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}^{*}$. Then $\Lambda$ is a self-dual lattice and the stabilizer of $\Lambda$ in $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $K$. Note that there are two conjugacy classes of hyperspecial maximal compact subgroups in $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$; the other class of hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup is represented by the stabilizer $K^{\prime}$ of the lattice

$$
\Lambda^{\prime}=\left\langle e_{i}, e_{j}^{*} / \varpi\right\rangle .
$$

The groups $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ are conjugate by the similitude group $\operatorname{GSp}(W)$.
When $p \neq 2$, the metaplectic covering is known to split uniquely over $K$ and $K^{\prime}$. Thus, we may regard $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ as subgroups of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. It is interesting to note that the $K$-spherical irreducible representations of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ are exactly the unique $K$-spherical constituents of the unramified principal series representations $I_{B, \psi}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$ precisely when the conductor of $\psi$ is of the form $\varpi^{2 r}$. When the conductor of $\psi$ is $\varpi^{2 r+1}$, the analogous statement holds for the group $K^{\prime}$. For more discussion of this, the reader can consult [GS12].

### 2.7 Orthogonal groups

Now we come to the orthogonal groups. Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $2 n+1$ over $k$ equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form $q_{V}$ of discriminant 1 . There is a symmetric bilinear form $b_{q}$ associated to $q$,

$$
b_{q}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=q\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)-q\left(v_{1}\right)-q\left(v_{2}\right) .
$$

Up to isomorphism, there are precisely two such quadratic spaces $V$. One of them, to be denoted by $V^{+}$, has maximal isotropic subspaces of dimension $n$, whereas the other has maximal isotropic subspaces of dimension $n-1$ and is denoted by $V^{-}$. As such, we call the former the split quadratic
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space and the latter the non-split one. We shall write

$$
\epsilon(V)= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } V \text { is split } \\ -1 & \text { if } V \text { is non-split. }\end{cases}
$$

Let $\mathrm{O}(V)$ be the associated orthogonal group. Then observe that

$$
\mathrm{O}(V)=\mathrm{SO}(V) \times\{ \pm 1\}
$$

where $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is the special orthogonal group. The group $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ is split precisely when $V$ is the split quadratic space.

Given any irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, there are two extensions of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{O}(V)$, depending on whether the element $-1 \in \mathrm{O}(V)$ acts as +1 or -1 . We denote these two extensions by $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$, respectively.

### 2.8 Parabolic subgroups

The parabolic subgroups of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ are stabilizers of flags of isotropic subspaces in $V$. More precisely, if $Y_{r}=\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right)$ is a maximal isotropic subspace of $V$, then we may write

$$
V=Y_{r}+V_{0}+Y_{r}^{*},
$$

where $V_{0}$ is anisotropic and $Y_{r}^{*}=\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{r}^{*}, \ldots, v_{1}^{*}\right)$ is isotropic and satisfies

$$
b_{q}\left(v_{i}, v_{j}^{*}\right)=\delta_{i j} .
$$

For each $1 \leqslant k \leqslant r$, let $Y_{k}=\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right)$ and $Y_{k}^{*}=\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{1}^{*}, \ldots, v_{k}^{*}\right)$, and let $V_{n-k}$ be such that

$$
V=Y_{k}+V_{n-k}+Y_{k}^{*} .
$$

Now, given a flag in $Y_{r}$,

$$
Y_{k_{1}} \subset Y_{k_{1}+k_{2}} \subset \cdots \subset Y_{k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}},
$$

the associated parabolic subgroup $P$ has Levi subgroup

$$
M=\mathrm{GL}\left(k_{1}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(k_{2}\right) \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}\left(k_{r}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k_{1}-\cdots-k_{r}}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{GL}\left(k_{i}\right)$ is the group of invertible linear maps on $\operatorname{Span}\left(v_{k_{i-1}+1}, \ldots, v_{k_{i}}\right)$.

## 3. Weil representations and theta correspondences

In this section, we introduce the Weil representations for $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ and recall the notion of theta correspondence.

### 3.1 Weil representation

Fix an additive character $\psi$ of $k$. Then the group $\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ has a natural representation $\Omega_{V, W, \psi}$ depending on $\psi$. This representation can be realized on the space $S\left(X^{*} \otimes V\right)$ of SchwarzBruhat functions on $X^{*} \otimes V=\operatorname{Hom}(X, V)$. The action of $\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$ on $S\left(X^{*} \otimes V\right)$
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via $\Omega_{V, W, \psi}$ is described as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\Omega_{\psi}(h) \phi\right)(A)=\phi\left(h^{-1} A\right) \quad \text { if } h \in \mathrm{O}(V), \\
\left(\Omega_{\psi}(n) \phi\right)(A)=\psi\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot\langle n(A), A\rangle\right) \cdot \phi(A) \quad \text { if } n \in N(X)=\operatorname{Sym}^{2} X \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(X^{*}, X\right), \\
\left(\Omega_{\psi}(m, \epsilon) \phi\right)(A)=\chi_{\psi}(m, \epsilon) \cdot|\operatorname{det}(m)|^{(\operatorname{dim} V) / 2} \cdot \phi\left(m^{-1} \cdot A\right) \quad \text { if }(m, \epsilon) \in \widetilde{M}(X)=\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}(X), \\
\left(\Omega_{\psi}(w) \phi\right)(A)=\gamma\left(\psi \circ q_{V}\right)^{n} \cdot \int_{X^{*} \otimes V} \phi(B) \cdot \psi(\langle A, B\rangle) d B .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, in the last equation, $w$ is a certain Weyl group element and $\gamma\left(\psi \circ q_{V}\right)$ is the Weil index associated to the pair $\left(\psi, q_{V}\right)$. Moreover, in the second equation, with $A \in X^{*} \otimes V$, the element $n(A)$ lies in $X \otimes V$, and the pairing between $X \otimes V$ and $X^{*} \otimes V$ is the tensor product of the natural pairing between $X$ and $X^{*}$ and the symmetric bilinear form $b_{V}$ associated to the quadratic form $q_{V}$ on $V$, namely

$$
b_{V}\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=q_{V}\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)-q_{V}\left(v_{1}\right)-q_{V}\left(v_{2}\right) .
$$

### 3.2 Theta correspondence

Given an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{O}(V)$, the maximal $\pi$-isotypic quotient of $\Omega_{V, W, \psi}$ has the form $\pi \boxtimes \Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ for some smooth representation $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ (called the big theta lift of $\pi)$. The maximal semisimple quotient of $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ is denoted by $\theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ and is called the small theta lift of $\pi$.

Similarly, if $\sigma$ is an irreducible genuine representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$, then one has its big theta lift $\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma)$ and its small theta lift $\theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma)$, which are smooth representations of $\mathrm{O}(V)$.

The following theorem summarizes some basic results of Howe, Kudla [Kud86], Moeglin et al. [MVW87] and Waldspurger [Wal90] about theta correspondence.

Theorem 3.1. (i) The representation $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ either is zero or has finite length.
(ii) If $\pi$ is supercuspidal, then $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ is either zero or irreducible (and thus is equal to $\theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ ). Moreover, if $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ are supercuspidal representations such that $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi) \cong$ $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$, then $\pi \cong \pi^{\prime}$.
(iii) If $p \neq 2$, then $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ either is zero or has a unique irreducible quotient, so that $\theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ is irreducible. Moreover, for any irreducible representations $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ of $\mathrm{O}(V)$,

$$
\theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi) \cong \theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi \cong \pi^{\prime} .
$$

(iv) The analogous statements hold for $\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma)$ and $\theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma)$ if $\sigma$ is an irreducible genuine representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$.

### 3.3 The doubling see-saw

Given an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, we are interested in whether $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right)$ is non-zero. To address this question, it is useful to introduce the 'doubled space'

$$
\mathbb{V}=V+(-V)
$$

where $-V$ is the quadratic space $(V,-q)$. The quadratic space $\mathbb{V}$ has even dimension and is split, with a maximal isotropic subspace given by

$$
V^{\Delta}=\{(v, v): v \in V\} \subset \mathbb{V}
$$
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Now consider the following see-saw diagram.


The see-saw identity says that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi) \otimes \Theta_{-V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right), \mathbb{C}\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1), \pi \otimes \pi^{\vee}\right)
$$

Note that if $c$ is an element of $\operatorname{GSp}(W)$ with similitude factor -1 , then

$$
\Theta_{-V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right)=\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)^{c},
$$

and for an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W), \sigma^{c} \cong \sigma^{\vee}$ (cf. [Kud]). From this, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$. Then $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1), \pi \otimes \pi^{\vee}\right) \neq 0
$$

Similarly, starting from an irreducible representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ and considering the see-saw diagram

with

$$
\mathbb{W}=W+(-W),
$$

we obtain the following result.
Lemma 3.3. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. Then $\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)}\left(\Theta_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1), \sigma \otimes \sigma^{\vee}\right) \neq 0
$$

### 3.4 Degenerate principal series

In order for the non-vanishing criteria given in Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 to be useful, we need to understand the representations $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ of $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$ and $\Theta_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1)$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$ more precisely. For this, we need to describe some degenerate principal series representations of $O(\mathbb{V})$ and $\operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$.

Recall that we have the Siegel parabolic subgroup $P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)$ of $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$, with Levi subgroup $\mathrm{GL}\left(V^{\Delta}\right)$. For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(s):=\operatorname{Ind}_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}^{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}|\operatorname{det}|^{s} \quad \text { (normalized induction). }
$$

Similarly, we have the Siegel parabolic subgroup $\tilde{P}\left(W^{\Delta}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$ with Levi subgroup GL $\left(W^{\Delta}\right)$, and we set

$$
I_{\tilde{P}\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(s):=\operatorname{Ind}_{\tilde{P}\left(W^{\Delta}\right)}^{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})} \chi_{\psi} \cdot|\operatorname{det}|^{s} \quad \text { (normalized induction). }
$$

W. T. Gan and G. Savin

### 3.5 Theta lifts of trivial representation

We consider the Weil representation $\Omega_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}$ of $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V}) \times \operatorname{Sp}(W)$, which has a Schrödinger model realized on $S\left(\left(V^{\Delta}\right)^{*} \otimes W\right)$. The action of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ in this model is geometric:

$$
(g \cdot \phi)(a)=\phi\left(g^{-1} \cdot a\right) \quad \text { for } g \in \operatorname{Sp}(W)
$$

There is a natural $\mathrm{Sp}(W)$-invariant and $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$-equivariant map

$$
f: S\left(\left(V^{\Delta}\right)^{*} \otimes W\right) \longrightarrow I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0)
$$

which sends $\phi$ to the function

$$
f_{\phi}(h)=(h \cdot \phi)(0) .
$$

Then we have the following proposition due to Kudla and Rallis.
Proposition 3.4. (i) The map $f$ induces an injection

$$
\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \hookrightarrow I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0)
$$

of $\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})$-modules.
(ii) The representation $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ is irreducible.
(iii) One has

$$
I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \cong \Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \oplus \Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \operatorname{det}_{\mathbf{O}(\mathbb{V})}
$$

Similarly, with the Weil representation $\Omega_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}$ of $\mathrm{O}(V) \times \operatorname{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$ realized on $S\left(\left(W^{\Delta}\right)^{*} \otimes V\right)$, there is a natural $\mathrm{O}(V)$-invariant and $\mathrm{Mp}(\mathbb{W})$-equivariant map

$$
f: S\left(\left(W^{\Delta}\right)^{*} \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0)
$$

which sends $\phi$ to the function

$$
f_{\phi}(g)=\left(\Omega_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(g) \phi\right)(0) .
$$

Then we have the following proposition, which is due to Sweet [Swe95] (see also [GI, Zor11]).
Proposition 3.5. (i) The map $f$ induces an injection

$$
\Theta_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1) \hookrightarrow I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0) .
$$

(ii) The representation $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(1)$ is irreducible.
(iii) One has

$$
I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0) \cong \Theta_{V^{+}, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1) \oplus \Theta_{V^{-}, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1) .
$$

## 4. Doubling zeta integrals and epsilon factors

We keep the notation of the previous section. Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 imply that we need to understand the spaces

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0), \pi \otimes \pi^{\vee}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)}\left(I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0), \sigma \otimes \sigma^{\vee}\right)
$$

The doubling zeta integral allows one to write down a non-zero element in each of these two spaces.
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### 4.1 Doubling zeta integral

More precisely, for $f_{s} \in I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(s), v \in \pi$ and $v^{\vee} \in \pi^{\vee}$, we define the integral

$$
Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)=\int_{\mathrm{O}(V)} f_{s}(g, 1) \cdot\left\langle g \cdot v^{\vee}, v\right\rangle d g
$$

The following theorem [KR90, LR05] summarizes the properties of this family of zeta integrals.
Theorem 4.1. (i) There exists a constant $c$ such that whenever $\operatorname{Re}(s)>c$, the integral $Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)$ converges for all data $f_{s}, v$ and $v^{\vee}$. If $\pi$ is tempered, then we may take $c=-1$.
(ii) If $f_{s}$ is a standard section of $I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(s)$, then the function $Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)$ is a rational function (when $\operatorname{Re}(s)>c$ ) and thus admits meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$.
(iii) For each $s_{0}$, there exist data $f, v$ and $v^{\vee}$ such that $Z\left(s_{0}, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)$ is finite and non-zero.
(iv) There is a non-negative integer $k$ (depending on $s_{0}$ ) such that $\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{k} \cdot Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)$ is holomorphic at $s=s_{0}$ and is non-zero there for some choice of data.
(v) Let $Z^{*}\left(s_{0}\right)$ denote the leading term in the Laurent expansion of $Z(s)$ as a linear form, so that

$$
Z^{*}\left(s_{0}, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)=\left.\left(\left(s-s_{0}\right)^{k} \cdot Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)\right)\right|_{s=s_{0}} .
$$

Then $Z^{*}\left(s_{0}\right)$ is a non-zero element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \otimes \pi^{\vee} \otimes \pi, \mathbb{C}\right)$. In particular, we see that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \otimes \pi^{\vee} \otimes \pi, \mathbb{C}\right) \neq 0
$$

If $\pi$ is supercuspidal, one can show that

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \otimes \pi^{\vee} \otimes \pi, \mathbb{C}\right)=1
$$

Indeed, this multiplicity-one result is known to hold for most representations, and is conjectured to hold for all.

One has analogous statements for $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$, which imply the following result.
Proposition 4.2. We have that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)}\left(I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0) \otimes \sigma^{\vee} \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}\right) \neq 0
$$

We omit the details.

### 4.2 Functional equation and standard epsilon factor

Another important property of doubling zeta integrals is that they satisfy a local functional equation. To describe this, note that there is a standard intertwining operator

$$
M_{\psi}(s): I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(s) \longrightarrow I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(-s) .
$$

This is defined for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$ by the integral

$$
M_{\psi}(s)(f)(h)=\int_{N(V \Delta)} f(w n h) d n_{\psi},
$$

and by meromorphic continuation in general, with $w=(1,-1) \in \mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)$. In [LR05], Lapid and Rallis defined a certain normalization $M_{\psi}^{*}(s)$ of $M_{\psi}(s)$ satisfying

$$
M_{\psi}^{*}(-s) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(s)=\mathrm{Id} .
$$
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This implies that $M_{\psi}^{*}(s)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and satisfies

$$
M_{\psi}^{*}(0)^{2}=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

In particular, $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts as +1 or -1 on each of the two irreducible summands of $I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0)$. We shall determine the precise action of $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ later on.

Refining the work of Piatetski-Shapiro and Rallis [PR86], Lapid and Rallis [LR05] showed that the local zeta integral $Z(s)$ satisfies a functional equation of the form

$$
Z\left(-s, M_{\psi}^{*}(s)(f), v, v^{\vee}\right)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \pi(-1) \cdot \gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi\right) \cdot Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)
$$

for some rational function $\gamma\left(s, \pi, \psi\right.$ ) (in $q^{-s}$ ). Following Lapid and Rallis, we formulate the definition below.

Definition. (i) The function $\gamma(s, \pi, \psi)$ is called the standard $\gamma$-factor of $\pi$.
(ii) If $\pi$ is tempered, we may write

$$
\gamma(s, \pi, \psi)=\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi) \cdot \frac{L\left(1-s, \pi^{\vee}, \psi\right)}{L(s, \pi)}
$$

where $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ is a monomial function of $q^{-s}$ and $L(s, \pi)^{-1}$ is the numerator of the rational function $\gamma(s, \pi, \psi)$, normalized so that it is a polynomial in $q^{-s}$ with constant term 1 . The function $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ is called the standard epsilon factor of $\pi$, and $L(s, \pi)$ the standard $L$-factor of $\pi$.
(iii) If $\pi$ is non-tempered, we realize $\pi$ as a Langlands quotient of a standard module and define $\epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ and $L(s, \pi)$ by multiplicativity.

Lapid and Rallis showed that, with the above definitions, the local factors $\gamma(s, \pi, \psi), \epsilon(s, \pi, \psi)$ and $L(s, \pi)$ satisfy a number of expected properties which characterize them uniquely. In particular,

$$
\epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi)= \pm 1
$$

is independent of $\psi$. Hence, we shall simply denote it by $\epsilon(\pi)$.

### 4.3 Metaplectic case

The analogous theory of the doubling zeta integral for metaplectic groups is obtained in [Gan]. We record some of the relevant facts in this subsection.

There is a standard intertwining operator

$$
M_{\psi}(s): I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(s) \longrightarrow I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(-s) .
$$

One may normalize this intertwining operator to obtain the normalized operator $M_{\psi}^{*}(s)$; this normalization has been treated in [Swe95, Zor11], and satisfies

$$
M_{\psi}^{*}(-s) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(s)=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

Hence $M_{\psi}^{*}(s)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and satisfies

$$
M_{\psi}^{*}(0)^{2}=\mathrm{Id} .
$$

In particular, $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts as +1 or -1 on each of the two irreducible summands of $I_{P\left(W^{\Delta}\right), \psi}(0)$.
The local functional equation of the doubling zeta integral can now be written as

$$
Z\left(-s, M_{\psi}^{*}(s)(f), v, v^{\vee}\right)=z_{\psi}(\sigma) \cdot \gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \sigma, \psi\right) \cdot Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)
$$
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for some rational function $\gamma(s, \sigma, \psi)$ (in $q^{-s}$ ), where $z_{\psi}(\sigma)= \pm 1$ is the central sign of $\sigma$. Following [LR05], one can now make the following definition.

Definition. (i) The function $\gamma(s, \sigma, \psi)$ is called the standard $\gamma$-factor of $\sigma$.
(ii) If $\sigma$ is tempered, we may write

$$
\gamma(s, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi) \cdot \frac{L\left(1-s, \sigma^{\vee}, \psi\right)}{L(s, \sigma, \psi)}
$$

where $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi)$ is a monomial function of $q^{-s}$ and $L(s, \sigma, \psi)^{-1}$ is the numerator of the rational function $\gamma(s, \sigma, \psi)$, normalized so that it is a polynomial in $q^{-s}$ with constant term 1. The function $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi)$ is called the standard epsilon factor of $\sigma$, and $L(s, \sigma, \psi)$ the standard $L$-factor of $\sigma$ relative to the choice of $\psi$.
(iii) If $\sigma$ is non-tempered, we realize $\sigma$ as a Langlands quotient of a standard module and define $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi)$ and $L(s, \sigma, \psi)$ by multiplicativity.

In [Gan], it was checked that the above definition of $\gamma(s, \sigma, \psi)$ satisfies the analog of the 'Ten Commandments' in [LR05, Theorem 4] and is uniquely determined by these.

## 5. Interlude: results of Waldspurger

Before coming to the main results of this paper, we take a short interlude to recall the results of Waldspurger [Wal80, Wal91] in the case where $\operatorname{dim} W=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} V=3$.

By studying the theta correspondence for $\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{SO}(V)$ in detail, Waldspurger showed the following result.

Theorem 5.1. Fix an additive character $\psi$ of $k$.
(i) Given any irreducible representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, the theta lift $\theta_{V, W, \psi}(\pi)$ of $\pi$ to $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ is irreducible and non-zero.
(ii) The construction in (i) gives a bijection

$$
\Theta_{\psi}: \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right) \cup \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)\right) \longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))
$$

(iii) $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ is a discrete series (respectively, tempered) representation if and only if $\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ is a discrete series (respectively, tempered) representation.
(iv) Via the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$, one then has a bijection

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi}: \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longleftrightarrow \Phi(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) .
$$

The above theorem says that:
(a) given $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, exactly one extension $\pi^{\epsilon}$ of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ participates in the theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$;
(b) given $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$, $\sigma$ participates in theta correspondence with exactly one of $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)$ or $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{-}\right)$.
As a refinement of the above two statements, Waldspurger established the following theorem.
Theorem 5.2. (i) Given $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, $\pi^{\epsilon}$ participates in theta correspondence with $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi) .
$$
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(ii) Given $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$, $\sigma$ participates in theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}(V)$ if and only if

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)\right)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)\right)
$$

Indeed, the two statements in Theorem 5.2 are equivalent, and what Waldspurger showed is statement (ii). Statement (ii) also has the following implication. If $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right)$has $L$-parameter $\phi$ and Jacquet-Langlands lift $\pi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)\right.$) (if it exists), then the L-packet associated to $\phi$ is

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi, \phi}=\left\{\Theta_{\psi}(\pi), \Theta_{\psi}(J L(\pi))\right\} .
$$

Theorem 5.2(ii) implies that the elements in $\mathcal{L}_{\psi, \phi}$ have different central characters.
It is instructive to examine the following example.
Example. Let $\mathrm{St}_{V^{+}}$be the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, so that its Jacquet-Langlands lift is the trivial representation $1_{V^{-}}$of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)$. In this case, one knows that

$$
\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \mathrm{St}_{V^{+}}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2,1_{V^{-}}\right)=-1
$$

Thus, the extensions $\mathrm{St}_{V^{+}}^{-}$and $1_{V_{-}}^{+}$participate in the theta correspondence with $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. Moreover, one has

$$
\Theta_{\psi}\left(\mathrm{St}_{V^{+}}\right)=\omega_{\psi}^{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \Theta_{\psi}\left(1_{V^{-}}\right)=\mathrm{St}_{\psi}
$$

where $\omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{o}}$ is the odd Weil representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ associated to $\psi$ and $\mathrm{St}_{\psi}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ associated to $\psi$. The representation $\mathrm{St}_{\psi}$ sits in a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{St}_{\psi} \longrightarrow I_{B, \psi}\left(|-|^{1 / 2}\right) \longrightarrow \omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{e}} \longrightarrow 0
$$

with $\omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{e}}$ denoting the even Weil representation associated to $\psi$.
The decomposition

$$
\operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))=\bigsqcup_{\phi} \mathcal{L}_{\psi, \phi}
$$

is a canonical decomposition, in the sense that it is independent of $\psi$. However, the labelling of the packets by $L$-parameters $\phi$ depends on $\psi$, and so does the labelling of the representations in each packet by the characters of the component group $A(\phi)$. Finally, Waldspurger determined how this dependence varies with $\psi$.

Theorem 5.3. For $a \in k^{\times}$, let $\psi_{a}$ denote the additive character given by $\psi_{a}(x)=\psi(a x)$ and let $\chi_{a}$ be the quadratic character associated to the class of $a \in k^{\times} / k^{\times 2}$. Suppose that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)=(\phi, \eta) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{L}_{\psi_{a}}(\sigma)=\left(\phi_{a}, \eta_{a}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\phi_{a}=\phi \otimes \chi_{a}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{a} / \eta=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi \otimes \chi_{a}\right) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \phi) \cdot \chi_{a}(-1) .
$$

The purpose of this paper is to extend Theorems 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 to the case of higher rank (where $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n+1$ ).
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## 6. The local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{Mp}_{2 n}$

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of this theorem was sketched in [GGP12], with the key step being the following special case of more general results of Kudla and Rallis [KR05].

Theorem 6.1. Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible (genuine) representation of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. Then at most one of $\Theta_{W, V^{+}, \psi}(\sigma)$ or $\Theta_{W, V^{-}, \psi}(\sigma)$ is non-zero.
Corollary 6.2. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$, exactly one of $\Theta_{W, V^{+}, \psi}(\sigma)$ or $\Theta_{W, V^{-}, \psi}(\sigma)$ is non-zero.
Proof. Given $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$, Lemma 3.3, Proposition 3.5(iii) and Proposition 4.2 imply that at least one of $\Theta_{W, V^{+}, \psi}(\sigma)$ or $\Theta_{W, V^{-}, \psi}(\sigma)$ is non-zero. Thus the corollary follows by Theorem 6.1.

When $p$ is odd, the small theta lift $\theta_{W, V^{\epsilon}, \psi}(\sigma)$ is irreducible or zero. Thus, the corollary implies that one has an injective map

$$
\operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)\right) \sqcup \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{O}\left(V^{-}\right)\right) .
$$

By restricting representations of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ to $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, one obtains a map

$$
\Theta_{\psi}: \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W)) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right) \sqcup \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)\right)
$$

(not necessarily injective at this point). We need to show that the map $\Theta_{\psi}$ is bijective. For this, we note the following fact.
Proposition 6.3. Given $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ with extensions $\pi^{ \pm}$to $\mathrm{O}(V)$, at most one of $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{ \pm}\right)$ is non-zero.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $\pi^{+}$and $\pi^{-}$both participate in theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$, say

$$
\sigma^{+}=\theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{+}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma^{-}=\theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{-}\right) .
$$

Observe also that

$$
\pi^{-}=\pi^{+} \otimes \operatorname{det}
$$

Now consider the following doubling see-saw diagram.


The see-saw identity implies that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)}\left(\Theta_{V, W+(-W), \psi}(\operatorname{det}), \sigma^{+} \boxtimes\left(\sigma^{-}\right)^{\vee}\right) \supset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\pi^{+} \otimes\left(\pi^{-}\right)^{\vee}, \operatorname{det}\right) \neq 0
$$

This implies that

$$
\Theta_{V, W+(-W), \psi}(\operatorname{det}) \neq 0 .
$$

However, a classical result of Rallis [Ral84, Appendix] says that the determinant character of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ does not participate in the theta correspondence with $\mathrm{Mp}(4 r)$ for $r \leqslant n$. This gives the desired contradiction, and hence the proposition is proved.
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Corollary 6.4. Given $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ with extensions $\pi^{ \pm}$to $\mathrm{O}(V)$, exactly one of $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{ \pm}\right)$ is non-zero.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.4(iii) and Theorem 4.1(iv), we see that at least one of $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{ \pm}\right)$is non-zero. Thus the corollary follows from Proposition 6.3.

This corollary implies that the map $\Theta_{\psi}$ is bijective (when $p$ is odd). Therefore Theorem 1.1 is proved.

Remark. The only reason for assuming odd residue characteristic in Theorem 1.1 and its proof is that Howe's conjecture for local theta correspondence is only known under this assumption.

## 7. Theta dichotomy and epsilon factor

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.4, which we restate here for ease of reference.
Theorem 7.1. (i) Let $\pi$ be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{SO}(V)$. Then $\pi^{\epsilon}$ participates in theta correspondence (with respect to $\psi$ ) with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi) .
$$

(ii) Let $\sigma$ be an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. Then $\sigma$ has non-zero theta lift (with respect to $\psi$ ) to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ if and only if the central sign of $\sigma$ satisfies

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma):=\omega_{\sigma}(-1) / \chi_{\psi}(-1)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)\right) .
$$

This theorem refines the results of Corollaries 6.2 and 6.4. Moreover, we do not need to assume that $p$ is odd here.

We first prove statement (i) in the theorem. Assume first that $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ is tempered. Then the doubling zeta integral $Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ for any $v \in \pi$ and $v^{\vee} \in \pi^{\vee}$, and $f \in I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0)$. Moreover,

$$
0 \neq Z(0) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V)}\left(I_{P\left(V^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \otimes \pi^{\epsilon} \otimes\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right)^{\vee}, \mathbb{C}\right)
$$

We need to determine whether $Z(0)$ is non-zero when restricted to the irreducible submodule $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$. For this, we take note of the local functional equation

$$
Z\left(-s, M_{\psi}^{*}(s)(f), v, v^{\vee}\right)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \pi^{\epsilon}(-1) \cdot \gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, \pi, \psi\right) \cdot Z\left(s, f, v, v^{\vee}\right)
$$

Specializing to $s=0$ and noting that $\pi^{\epsilon}(-1)=\epsilon$, one obtains

$$
Z(0) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(0)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon \cdot \gamma(1 / 2, \pi, \psi) \cdot Z(0) .
$$

Since the central $L$-value $L(1 / 2, \pi)$ is finite when $\pi$ is tempered, we see that $\gamma(1 / 2, \pi, \psi)=$ $\epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi)$, so that the local functional equation reads:

$$
Z(0) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(0)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi) \cdot Z(0) .
$$

Now we use the following crucial fact, Lemma 7.2 , which implies that $Z(0)$ is non-zero when restricted to $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi)=1
$$

Hence, assuming Lemma 7.2 for the moment, we see that $\pi^{\epsilon}$ participates in theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi) .
$$

This proves Theorem 7.1(i) for tempered representations $\pi$.
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We now state and prove the crucial lemma.
Lemma 7.2. The normalized intertwining operator $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts as +1 on $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ and as -1 on $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}$.
Proof. We first claim that $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts by opposite signs on the two irreducible summands $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ and $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \operatorname{det}_{\mathbf{O}(\mathbb{V})}$. Indeed, if $f$ lies in $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$, then the function $f^{\prime}=f \cdot \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}$ lies in $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}$. Now, for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0$, we have

$$
M_{\psi}(s)\left(f^{\prime}\right)(g)=\int_{N(V \Delta)} f^{\prime}(w n g) d n_{\psi}=\int_{N\left(V^{\Delta}\right)} f(w n g) \cdot \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}(w n g) d n_{\psi}
$$

where

$$
w=(-1,1) \in \mathrm{O}(V) \times \mathrm{O}(V) \subset \mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}(w)=-1$, we see that

$$
M_{\psi}(s)\left(f^{\prime}\right)=-M_{\psi}(s)(f) \cdot \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}
$$

which proves our claim.
Now we can complete the proof of the lemma in two different ways. For the first proof, one computes the effect of $M_{\psi}(s)$ on the spherical vector $f_{0}$ by the Gindikin-Karpelevich formula. Taking into account the normalizing factor in $M_{\psi}^{*}(s)$, one then sees that

$$
M_{\psi}^{*}(0)\left(f_{0}\right)=f_{0}
$$

so that $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts as +1 on $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$ and as -1 on $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathbb{V})}$.
For the second proof, we exploit the theta correspondence to come to the same conclusion. More precisely, we will show that by Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2]), almost all unramified tempered representations of $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)$ participate in theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. In other words, for almost all unramified tempered representations $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, the extension of $\pi$ which has non-zero theta lift to $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ is $\pi^{+}$.

To see this, consider an unramified irreducible representation $\pi=I_{B}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$ induced from an unramified character $\chi_{1} \times \cdots \times \chi_{n}$ of the Borel subgroup $B$. For its two extensions $\pi^{\epsilon}$ to $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)$, the cuspidal support of the resulting representation $\pi^{\epsilon}$ is $\left(\epsilon, \chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$, with $\epsilon= \pm$ regarded as a representation of $\mathrm{O}(1)$. By Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2]), the theta lift of $\pi^{\epsilon}$ to $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ (if non-zero) has cuspidal support depending on the first occurrence of the representation $\epsilon$ of $\mathrm{O}(1)$. If $\epsilon=+$, then its first occurrence is $\operatorname{Mp}(0)=\{1\}$ (by convention), and so if $\Theta_{V^{+}, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{+}\right) \neq 0$, it has cuspidal support $\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$. On the other hand, if $\epsilon=-$, its first occurrence is $\operatorname{Mp}(2)$, where its theta lift there is an odd Weil representation $\omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{o}}$, which is supercuspidal. If $\Theta_{V^{+}, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{-}\right) \neq 0$, then we must have (without loss of generality) $\chi_{1}=\chi|-|^{ \pm 1 / 2}$, with $\chi$ a quadratic character, and the cuspidal support of $\Theta_{V^{+}, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{-}\right)$would be $\left(\omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{o}}, \chi_{2}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$. In particular, in this case, $\chi_{1}$ is not unitary.

Now if the $\chi_{i}$ are unitary, the second option cannot happen. Moreover, for almost all unramified unitary $\chi_{i}, \pi=I_{B}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}\right)$ is irreducible. Thus, for such unramified tempered representations $\pi$, the first option must happen, i.e. $\Theta_{V^{+}, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{+}\right) \neq 0$, since we already know that exactly one of $\pi^{+}$or $\pi^{-}$has non-zero theta lift to $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$.

Hence, if we consider the doubling zeta integral associated to such $\pi^{+}$, the linear functional $Z(0)$ is non-zero when restricted to $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1) \otimes \pi^{+} \otimes\left(\pi^{+}\right)^{\vee}$. Now examine the local
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functional equation

$$
Z(0) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(0)=\epsilon\left(V^{+}\right) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi) \cdot Z(0) .
$$

Since $\epsilon\left(V^{+}\right)=1=\epsilon(1 / 2, \pi)$ for unramified $\pi$, we conclude from the local functional equation that $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts as +1 on $\Theta_{W, \mathbb{V}, \psi}(1)$.

When $\pi$ is non-tempered, we may express $\pi$ as the unique irreducible submodule of an induced representation

$$
I_{P}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{1}}, \tau_{2}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{2}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right),
$$

where the $\tau_{i}$ are unitary discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}\left(k_{i}\right), \pi_{0}$ is a tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$ (with $n=k_{1}+\cdots+k_{r}+m$ ), and the numbers $s_{i}$ satisfy

$$
s_{1} \geqslant s_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant s_{r}>0
$$

Then, for $\epsilon= \pm$, we have

$$
\pi^{\epsilon} \hookrightarrow I_{P}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{1}}, \tau_{2}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{2}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{r}}, \pi_{0}^{\epsilon_{0}}\right)
$$

with

$$
\epsilon=\epsilon_{0} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tau_{i}(-1) .
$$

Moreover, by multiplicativity of standard epsilon factors [LR05],

$$
\epsilon(1 / 2, \pi, \psi)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \pi_{0}, \psi\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tau_{i}(-1)
$$

In view of the facts that $\epsilon(V)=\epsilon\left(V_{0}\right)$ and that the theorem has been proven for $\pi_{0}$, it remains to show that

$$
\Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \Theta_{V_{0}, W_{0}, \psi}\left(\pi_{0}^{\epsilon_{0}}\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=\operatorname{dim} W_{0}+1$.
First, note that by induction in stages,

$$
\pi^{\epsilon} \hookrightarrow I_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s}, \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right)
$$

where $s>0, \tau$ is a unitary discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right)$, and $\pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right)$ with $\epsilon=\epsilon_{1} \cdot \tau(-1)$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \neq \Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right)^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}, \pi^{\epsilon}\right) & \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}, I_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s}, \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{M\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}\right), \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}$ denotes the normalized Jacquet functor with respect to the parabolic $P\left(Y_{k}\right)$ with Levi subgroup $M\left(Y_{k}\right)=\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right)$.

The normalized Jacquet module $R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}\right)$ has been computed by Kudla [Kud86, Theorem 2.8].

Proposition 7.3. The normalized Jacquet module $R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}\right)$ has a $M\left(Y_{k}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)$ invariant filtration

$$
0 \subset R^{k} \subset \cdots \subset R^{1} \subset R^{0}=R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}\right)
$$

with successive quotient (for $0 \leqslant r \leqslant k$ ) given by

$$
J^{r}:=R^{r} / R^{r+1} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{Q\left(Y_{k-r}, Y_{k}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times P\left(X_{r}\right)}^{\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)} S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right) \otimes \Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}, \psi}
$$
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Here, we have that:
(a) $Q\left(Y_{k-r}, Y_{k}\right)$ is the maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right)$ which stabilizes the flag

$$
0 \subset Y_{k-r} \subset Y_{k}
$$

so that its Levi subgroup is $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ with $Y_{r}^{\prime}=\left\langle v_{k-r+1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\rangle$;
(b) $\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)$ is the set of invertible linear maps from $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ to $X_{r}$ and $S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right)$ is the space of locally constant compactly supported functions on $\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)$;
(c) the action of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(X_{r}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-r}\right)$ on $S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right) \otimes$ $\Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}, \psi}$ is given as follows:
(i) $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right)$ acts by the character $\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{k-r}}\right|^{(k-r) / 2}$;
(ii) $(b, c) \in \mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}\left(X_{r}\right)$ acts on $S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right)$ by

$$
(b, c) \varphi(t)=\varphi\left(c^{-1} t b\right)
$$

so that if we identify $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{r}\right)$ with $\mathrm{GL}(r)$ by the given bases on $Y_{r}^{\prime}$ and $X_{r}$, then this is simply the regular representation of $\mathrm{GL}(r) \times \mathrm{GL}(r)$ on $S(\mathrm{GL}(r))$;
(iii) $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-r}\right)$ acts on $\Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}, \psi}$ by the Weil representation associated to these data.

In particular, the bottom piece of the filtration is:

$$
R^{k}=\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times P\left(X_{k}\right)}^{\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}(W)} S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{k}^{\prime}, X_{k}\right)\right) \otimes \Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-k}, \psi}
$$

Using the notation in the above proposition, we have the following lemma.
Lemma 7.4. Suppose that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{M\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(R_{P\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}\right), \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right) \neq 0
$$

with $s \geqslant 0$ and $\tau$ a unitary discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k}\right)$. Then, for $r<k$,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{M\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(J^{r}, \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right)=0
$$

so that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{M\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(R^{k}, \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right) \neq 0
$$

In particular,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-k}, \psi}, \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right) \neq 0
$$

Proof. Assume that $r<k$ and write $Q=Q\left(Y_{k-r}, Y_{k}\right)$ for ease of notation. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Hom}_{M\left(Y_{k}\right)}\left(J^{r}, \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right) \times \operatorname{GL}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}\right)}\left(S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right) \otimes \Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}},\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{k}}\right|^{-s} \cdot R_{\bar{Q}}(\tau) \boxtimes \pi_{1}^{\epsilon_{1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, since $\tau$ is a unitary discrete series representation, $R_{\bar{Q}}(\tau)$ is an irreducible discrete series representation $|\operatorname{det}|^{t_{1}} \tau_{1} \boxtimes|\operatorname{det}|^{t_{2}} \tau_{2}$, with $\tau_{i}$ unitary and $t_{i} \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
t_{1}<t_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad t_{1} \cdot(k-r)+t_{2} \cdot r=0
$$

In particular, we must have

$$
t_{1} \leqslant 0
$$

Thus, on $\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{k}}\right|^{-s} \cdot R_{\bar{Q}}(\tau)$, the center of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right)$ acts by the character $|\operatorname{det}|^{-s+t_{1}}$ (up to a unitary character $)$, whereas on $S\left(\operatorname{Isom}\left(Y_{r}^{\prime}, X_{r}\right)\right) \otimes \Omega_{V_{n-k}, W_{n-r}}, \mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{k-r}\right)$ acts by $|\operatorname{det}|^{(k-r) / 2}$ by
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Proposition 7.3. Since

$$
-s+t_{1} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad k-r>0
$$

we deduce that the above Hom space must be 0 .
Using this lemma, we deduce inductively that since

$$
0 \neq \Theta_{V, W, \psi}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}(V)}\left(\Omega_{V, W, \psi}, I_{P}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{1}}, \tau_{2}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{2}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right)\right)
$$

we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)}\left(\Omega_{V_{0}, W_{0}, \psi}, \pi_{0}^{\epsilon_{0}}\right) \neq 0,
$$

so that $\Theta_{V_{0}, W_{0}, \psi}\left(\pi_{0}^{\epsilon_{0}}\right) \neq 0$ as desired.
We have thus completed the proof of Theorem 7.1(i). This also allows us to deduce one of the equalities in Theorem 7.1(ii). Indeed, from the definition of the Weil representation given in (3.1), one sees that the action of $-1 \in \mathrm{O}(V)$ on $\Omega_{V, W, \psi}$ differs from that of the central element $(-1,1) \in \operatorname{Mp}(W)$ by $\chi_{\psi}(-1)$. Thus, if $\Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)=\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$, then the result of (i) implies that the central element $(-1,1) \in \operatorname{Mp}(W)$ must act on $\sigma$ by

$$
\chi_{\psi}(-1) \cdot \epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi) .
$$

Hence, the central sign of $\sigma$ is

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \pi)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)\right) .
$$

Thus we have established the analog of Theorem 5.2.
To complete the proof of Theorem 7.1(ii), we need to show that

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\epsilon(V) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)
$$

This is equivalent to $\epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)\right)$, which is itself a consequence of the identity $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon\left(s, \Theta_{\psi}(\sigma), \psi\right)$, to be shown in $\S 11$.

However, we could also give a proof of the desired displayed identity by an argument analogous to that for (i). Such a proof of this result has been given by Zorn [Zor11], though the notion of epsilon factors $\epsilon(s, \sigma, \psi)$ used in his paper differs from ours. More precisely, his definition of the standard $L$-factors and epsilon factors for $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ is based on the approach of 'good sections'. The problem with such an approach is that one does not know how to show that these local factors are multiplicative when they should be.

In any case, let us give a sketch of the proof of the remaining part of Theorem 7.1(ii) here: it is merely a mirror reflection of the argument for (i). Assume first that $\sigma$ is tempered. In this case, the doubling zeta integral $Z(s)$ for $\operatorname{Mp}(W) \times_{\mu_{2}} \operatorname{Mp}(W) \subset \operatorname{Mp}(W+(-W))$ is holomorphic at $s=0$, and so is the local $L$-factor $L(s, \sigma, \psi)$. Moreover, we know that $\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma) \neq 0$ if and only if the linear form

$$
Z(0): I_{\tilde{P}\left(W^{\Delta}\right)}(0) \otimes \sigma^{\vee} \otimes \sigma \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

is non-zero when restricted to the submodule $\Theta_{V, W, \psi}(1)$. On the other hand, the local functional equation of the doubling zeta integral reads:

$$
Z(0) \circ M_{\psi}^{*}(0)=z_{\psi}(\sigma) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi) \cdot Z(0) .
$$

Now suppose that $M_{\psi}^{*}(0)$ acts by the $\operatorname{sign} \alpha_{\epsilon}= \pm 1$ on the submodule $\Theta_{V^{\epsilon}, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1)$ of $I_{\tilde{P}\left(W^{\Delta}\right)}(0)$. Then the local functional equation shows that

$$
\Theta_{W, V^{\epsilon}, \psi}(\sigma) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow \alpha_{\epsilon}=z_{\psi}(\sigma) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi) .
$$

Hence, it remains to show the following analog of Lemma 7.2.
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Lemma 7.5. The normalized intertwining operator $M_{\psi}(0)^{*}$ acts by +1 on $\Theta_{V^{+}, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1)$ and by -1 on $\Theta_{V^{-}, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1)$.
Proof. For odd $p$, this was shown by Zorn [Zor11], who proved a Gindikin-Karpelevich-type formula by direct computation. However, one can give a proof which works for all $p$ by making use of information from the theta correspondence, based on our discussion before the lemma.

More precisely, to show that $\alpha_{\epsilon}=\epsilon$, it suffices to find a representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ which participates in theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{\epsilon}\right)$ and verify for this $\sigma$ that

$$
\epsilon=z_{\psi}(\sigma) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi) .
$$

When $\epsilon=+1$, one simply takes

$$
\sigma=I_{B, \psi}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots \chi_{n}\right)
$$

where $B$ is a Borel subgroup of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ and each $\chi_{i}$ is an unramified unitary character of $k^{\times}$. For generic choices of $\chi_{i}$, we know by Kudla's cuspidal support theorem that such a $\sigma$ participates in theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)$, and it follows by multiplicativity that

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=\epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=1
$$

When $\epsilon=-1$, one takes

$$
\sigma=I_{P, \psi}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n-1}, \mathrm{St}_{\psi}\right)
$$

where $P$ is a parabolic subgroup with Levi factor $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{1}\right)^{n-1} \times \mathrm{Mp}_{2}$, each $\chi_{i}$ is an unramified unitary character of $k^{\times}$, and $\mathrm{St}_{\psi}$ is the Steinberg representation of $\mathrm{Mp}_{2}(k)$ with respect to $\psi$ (see the example in $\S 5$ ). For generic choices of $\chi_{i}$, one knows by Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2$]$ ) and the example in $\S 5$ that $\sigma$ participates in theta correspondence with $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{-}\right)$and that

$$
\Theta_{\psi, V^{-}, W}(\sigma)=I_{Q}\left(\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n-1}, 1_{\mathrm{O}\left(V_{1}^{-}\right)}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{-}\right)$with Levi factor $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{1}\right)^{n-1} \times \mathrm{SO}\left(V_{1}^{-}\right)$. Further, it is easy to check that

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=-1 .
$$

This proves the lemma.
Together with the local functional equation, the lemma implies immediately that $Z(0)$ is non-zero on $\Theta_{V, \mathbb{W}, \psi}(1)$ if and only if

$$
\epsilon(V)=z_{\psi}(\sigma) \cdot \epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi),
$$

thus proving (ii) when $\sigma$ is tempered.
Suppose now that $\sigma$ is non-tempered. Then, by [BJ, Theorem 4.1],

$$
\sigma \hookrightarrow I_{\tilde{P}, \psi}\left(\tau_{1}\left|-\left.\right|^{-s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}\right|-\left.\right|^{-s_{r}} ; \sigma_{0}\right)
$$

where each $\tau_{i}$ is a unitary discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}\left(k_{i}\right), \sigma_{0}$ is a tempered representation of $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$, and

$$
s_{1} \geqslant s_{2} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant s_{r}>0
$$

Moreover, the central signs of $\sigma$ and $\sigma_{0}$ are related by

$$
z_{\psi}(\sigma)=z_{\psi}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tau_{i}(-1)
$$
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Similarly, by multiplicativity, the epsilon factor satisfies

$$
\epsilon(1 / 2, \sigma, \psi)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \sigma_{0}, \psi\right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{r} \tau_{i}(-1) .
$$

Since we already know the desired result for the tempered representation $\sigma_{0}$, it remains to show that

$$
\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \Theta_{W_{0}, V_{0}, \psi}\left(\sigma_{0}\right) \neq 0
$$

where $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=\operatorname{dim} W_{0}+1$. For this, the argument proceeds by a Jacquet module computation, analogous to the proof of (i); we omit the details.

## 8. Discrete series and Langlands data

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.3(i)-(iii). We first show the following crucial result about the theta correspondence, which holds for all primes $p$.

Theorem 8.1. Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ and suppose that its big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ on $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ is non-zero.
(i) If $\pi$ is a discrete series representation, then $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is a direct sum of irreducible discrete series representations of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$. In particular, when $p \neq 2, \Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)=\theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is an irreducible discrete series representation.
(ii) Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{O}(V))$ be tempered and suppose that

$$
\pi \subset I_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi_{0}\right)
$$

where $Q$ is a parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ with Levi subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{GL}_{n_{r}} \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$, the $\tau_{i}$ are unitary discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}$, and $\pi_{0}$ is a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi) \subset I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \Theta_{\psi, V_{0}, W_{0}}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right),
$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ with Levi subgroup $\tilde{\mathrm{GL}} n_{n_{1}} \times{ }_{\mu_{2}} \cdots \times_{\mu_{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_{r}} \times$ $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$. In particular, $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is a direct sum of irreducible tempered representations, and when $p \neq 2, \Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)=\theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is irreducible.
(iii) More generally, suppose that

$$
\pi=J_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right) \quad \text { with } s_{1}>s_{2}>\cdots>s_{r}>0
$$

is a Langlands quotient of $\mathrm{O}(V)$, where $Q$ is as in (ii), the $\tau_{i}$ are unitary tempered representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}$, and $\pi_{0}$ is a tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$. Then

$$
I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \Theta_{\psi, V_{0}, W_{0}}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi),
$$

where $\tilde{P}$ is the parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ with Levi subgroup $\tilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_{1}} \times{ }_{\mu_{2}} \cdots \times_{\mu_{2}} \tilde{\mathrm{GL}}_{n_{r}} \times$ $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$. In particular, when $p \neq 2, \theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is the unique Langlands quotient of the standard module $I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \theta_{\psi, V_{0}, W_{0}}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right)$.

Analogous assertions to (i), (ii) and (iii) hold if one starts with $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ and considers its big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\sigma)$.

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows that of an analogous theorem of Muić [Mui08a, Theorem 4.2], but with significant simplifications. Before proceeding with the proof, let us state
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a lemma that will be used frequently in the proof and which is a direct consequence of the Casselman square-integrability criterion (see [BJ, Theorem 3.4] for the case of covering groups).

Lemma 8.2. Let $\pi$ be a discrete series representation of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and let $Q=Q\left(Y_{t}\right)$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ with Levi factor $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{t}\right) \times \mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$. On any irreducible constituent of the normalized Jacquet module $R_{Q}(\pi)$, the center of $\mathrm{GL}\left(Y_{t}\right)$ acts by a character of the form $\chi \cdot|-|^{\alpha}$ where $\chi$ is unitary and $\alpha>0$.

The analogous result holds for discrete series representations of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$.
(i) Pick any $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$-equivariant filtration

$$
0 \subset \Sigma_{r} \subset \Sigma_{r-1} \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_{1}=\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)
$$

whose successive quotients

$$
\Pi_{i}=\Sigma_{i} / \Sigma_{i+1}
$$

are irreducible. We shall argue by contradiction that each of these successive quotients is squareintegrable. By Casselman's square-integrability criterion [BJ, Theorem 3.4], this will show that the representation $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)$ is itself square-integrable, and thus is semisimple. Indeed, it is a basic result of Harish-Chandra that the irreducible discrete series representations are projective objects in the category of admissible tempered representations.

Suppose then that $k$ is the smallest index such that $\Pi_{k}=\Sigma_{k} / \Sigma_{k+1}$ is non-squareintegrable. Then one has

$$
\Pi_{k} \hookrightarrow I_{\tilde{P}\left(X_{t}\right), \psi}\left(\tau\left|\operatorname{det}_{X_{t}}\right|^{-s}, \sigma_{0}\right)
$$

where $\tau$ is a unitary discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{t}\right), s \geqslant 0$, and $\sigma_{0}$ is an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$. Here, $\operatorname{dim} W_{0}+2 t=\operatorname{dim} W$. To ease notation, let us write $P$ in place of $\tilde{P}\left(X_{t}\right)$. Then, by Frobenius reciprocity, one has

$$
R_{P}\left(\Pi_{k}\right) \rightarrow \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0} .
$$

Now, by the exactness of Jacquet modules, one has

$$
0 \subset R_{P}\left(\Sigma_{r}\right) \subset \cdots \subset R_{P}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)
$$

with

$$
R_{P}\left(\Sigma_{i}\right) / R_{P}\left(\Sigma_{i+1}\right)=R_{P}\left(\Pi_{i}\right) .
$$

Thus one obtains a short exact sequence of representations of $\mathrm{GL}\left(X_{t}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$,

$$
0 \longrightarrow \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0} \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow 0,
$$

where $A$ is a quotient of $R_{P}\left(\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)\right)$ and $B$ is a finite-length representation equipped with a filtration with successive quotients $R_{P}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)$ for $i<k$. Now the key observation is that this short exact sequence splits.

To see this, note that for $i<k$, each $\Pi_{i}$ is square-integrable by assumption. Lemma 8.2 implies that on each irreducible constituent of $R_{P}\left(\Pi_{i}\right)(i<k)$, the center of GL $\left(X_{t}\right)$ acts by a character of the form $\chi \cdot|-|^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha>0$ and $\chi$ unitary. Since the center of GL $\left(X_{t}\right)$ acts on $\tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0}$ by $|-|^{-s t}$ (up to a unitary character), we conclude that the above short exact sequence splits, so that one has a non-zero map

$$
R_{P}\left(\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)\right) \longrightarrow \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0} .
$$
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By Frobenius reciprocity, one obtains a non-zero map

$$
\Omega_{\psi, V, W} \rightarrow \pi \boxtimes \Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi) \longrightarrow \pi \boxtimes I_{P}\left(\tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s}, \sigma_{0}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\pi^{*} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Mp}(W)}\left(\Omega_{\psi, V, W}, I_{P}\left(\tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s}, \sigma_{0}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{t}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)}\left(R_{P}\left(\Omega_{\psi, V, W}\right), \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0}\right),
$$

where $\pi^{*}$ denotes the full linear dual of $\pi$. By the analogs of Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 (with the roles of $\mathrm{O}(V)$ and $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ interchanged), one concludes that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}\left(X_{t}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)}\left(R_{P}\left(\Omega_{\psi, V, W}\right), \tau|\operatorname{det}|^{-s} \boxtimes \sigma_{0}\right)=I_{Q\left(Y_{t}\right)}\left(\tau^{\vee}\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{t}}\right|^{s}, \Theta_{\psi, V_{0}, W_{0}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)\right)^{*} .
$$

Thus one has

$$
\pi^{\vee} \hookrightarrow I_{Q\left(Y_{t}\right)}\left(\tau\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{t}}\right|^{-s}, \Theta_{\psi, V_{0}, W_{0}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)^{\vee}\right)
$$

so that there is a non-zero map

$$
R_{Q\left(Y_{t}\right)}\left(\pi^{\vee}\right) \rightarrow \tau\left|\operatorname{det}_{Y_{t}}\right|^{-s} \boxtimes \pi_{0}
$$

for some irreducible representation $\pi_{0}$ of $\mathrm{O}\left(V_{0}\right)$ and with $s \geqslant 0$. Since $\pi$ and hence $\pi^{\vee}$ are squareintegrable by assumption, this contradicts Lemma 8.2. With this contradiction, (i) is proved.
(ii) Suppose that

$$
\pi \subset I_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi_{0}\right)
$$

is tempered, as in the statement of (ii). Using Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 7.4 and arguing as in (i), one sees that

$$
I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}^{\epsilon_{0}}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Theta_{\psi, V, W}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

By (i), $\Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$ is a direct sum of irreducible discrete series representations. This proves (ii).
(iii) This is similar to (ii). Suppose that

$$
\pi=J_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right) \quad \text { with } s_{1}>s_{2}>\cdots>s_{r}>0
$$

Then

$$
\pi \hookrightarrow I_{P}\left(\tau_{1}^{\vee}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{1}}, \tau_{2}^{\vee}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{2}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}^{\vee}|\operatorname{det}|^{-s_{r}}, \pi_{0}\right)
$$

Using Proposition 7.3 and Lemma 7.4, one sees that

$$
I_{\tilde{P}}\left(\tau_{1}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{1}}, \ldots, \tau_{r}|\operatorname{det}|^{s_{r}}, \Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Theta_{\psi, V, W}\left(\pi^{\epsilon}\right)
$$

This proves (iii).
The above theorem implies Theorem 1.3(i)-(iii). We recall that the equality of formal degrees described in Theorem 1.3(iv) is one of the main results of [GI] (with an input from [GS12]), so we do not prove (iv) in this paper.

Remarks. When $p \neq 2$, a different proof of the fact that $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathrm{SO}(V))$ is a discrete series representation if and only if $\sigma=\theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi) \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ is a discrete series representation can be found in [GI]. However, the proof in [GI] does not show the equality

$$
\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)=\theta_{\psi, V, W}(\pi)
$$

for $\pi$ a discrete series representation. This equality is necessary for establishing the results in Theorem 8.1(ii) and (iii).
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We also note the following corollary.
Corollary 8.3. Suppose that $\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}$ are discrete series representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n_{i}}$ and that $\pi_{0}$ and $\sigma_{0}=\Theta_{\psi}\left(\pi_{0}\right)$ are discrete series representations of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{0}\right)$, respectively. Then, when $p \neq 2$, the induced representations $I_{Q}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \pi_{0}\right)$ and $I_{P, \psi}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ have the same number of irreducible summands (up to equivalence and ignoring multiplicities).

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 8.1(ii).

## 9. Generic representations

In this section, we study how the bijection $\Theta_{\psi}$ treats the subset of generic representations. In particular, we prove Theorem 1.3(v).

Let $U$ be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup $B=T \cdot U$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, and let $\lambda$ be any generic character of $U$. Any two such generic characters are in the same orbit under the adjoint action of the maximal torus $T$, so the choice of $\lambda$ is not important. By definition, a representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$is generic if $\operatorname{Hom}_{U}(\pi, \lambda) \neq 0$.

Similarly, let $U^{\prime}$ be the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup $\tilde{B}^{\prime}=\tilde{T}^{\prime} \cdot U^{\prime}$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. The $T^{\prime}$-orbits of generic characters of $U^{\prime}$ are naturally indexed by non-trivial characters of $k$ modulo the action of $k^{\times 2}$ (see [GGP12, §12]). Thus, the additive character $\psi$ of $k$ gives rise to a $T^{\prime}$ orbit of generic characters $\lambda_{\psi}^{\prime}$ of $U^{\prime}$. A representation $\sigma$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ is said to be $\psi$-generic if $\operatorname{Hom}_{U^{\prime}}\left(\sigma, \lambda_{\psi}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.

The following is Theorem 1.3(v).
Theorem 9.1. If $\pi$ is a generic representation of $\operatorname{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, then $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ is a $\psi$-generic representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. If $\sigma$ is $\psi$-generic and tempered, then $\pi$ is generic.

This theorem is a consequence of the computation of the Whittaker modules of the Weil representation $\Omega_{\psi, V, W}$.
Proposition 9.2. With the above notation, we have

$$
\left(\Omega_{V^{+}, W, \psi}\right)_{U, \lambda} \cong \operatorname{ind}_{U^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{Mp}(W)} \lambda_{\psi}^{\prime} .
$$

Proof. See [Fur95, MS00a] for an analogous computation. We omit the details.
Note that the above proposition uniquely specifies the $T^{\prime}$-orbit of $\lambda_{\psi}^{\prime}$ without recourse to [GGP12, §12]. The following corollary of Proposition 9.2 establishes Theorem 1.3(iv).
Corollary 9.3. Suppose that $p$ is odd.
(i) Let $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right.$be generic. Then $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ is $\psi$-generic.
(ii) Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ be $\psi$-generic. Then the big theta lift $\Theta_{\psi, V, W}(\sigma)$ of $\sigma$ to $\mathrm{O}\left(V^{+}\right)$has a unique generic constituent. In particular, if $\sigma$ is tempered, then $\pi=\Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)$ is generic.
Remark. In (ii) above, it is not true that if $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ is $\psi$-generic, then $\pi=\Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)$ is generic. Indeed, a counterexample can already be found when $n=1$. In that case, if $\sigma=\omega_{\psi}^{\mathrm{e}}$ is the even Weil representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ associated to $\psi$, then $\sigma$ is $\psi$-generic but $\pi=\Theta_{\psi}(\sigma)$ is the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_{2}(k)$.

Consider now the dual pair

$$
\mathrm{SO}\left(V_{n}^{+}\right) \times \operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{n-1}\right)
$$
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and the associated Weil representation $\Omega_{V_{n}^{+}, W_{n-1}, \psi}$. By a computation similar to the proof of Proposition 9.2, one obtains the following proposition.

Proposition 9.4. As a representation of $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{n-1}\right)$,

$$
\left(\Omega_{V_{n}^{+}, W_{n-1}, \psi}\right)_{U, \lambda}=0 .
$$

Corollary 9.5. If $\pi$ is a generic representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)$, then $\pi$ does not participate in the theta correspondence with $\mathrm{Mp}\left(W_{n-1}\right)=\mathrm{Mp}_{2 n-2}$. In particular, if $\pi$ is generic and supercuspidal, then $\Theta_{V^{+}, W, \psi}(\pi)$ is an irreducible supercuspidal $\psi$-generic representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$.

## 10. Plancherel measures

In this section we will show that the bijection $\Theta_{\psi}$ respects a family of invariants, known as the Plancherel measures, attached to representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$ and $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$, thus establishing Theorem 1.3(vi). We begin by recalling the definition of a Plancherel measure. For the basic properties of Plancherel measures that will be used this section, we refer the reader to [GI, Appendix B].

Suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$. Since $L_{r}=\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$ is a Levi subgroup of a parabolic $Q_{r}=L_{r} \cdot U_{r}$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V_{n+r}\right)$, one has the induced representation

$$
I_{Q_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho)=I_{Q_{r}}\left(\mid \operatorname{det}_{\mathrm{GL}_{r}}{ }^{s}, \pi \boxtimes \rho\right) .
$$

If $\bar{Q}_{r}=L_{r} \cdot \bar{U}_{r}$ is the opposite parabolic, then we similarly have the induced representation $I_{\bar{Q}_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho)$. Then there is a standard intertwining operator

$$
A_{\psi}\left(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, U_{r}, \bar{U}_{r}\right): I_{Q_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho) \longrightarrow I_{\bar{Q}_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho) .
$$

The composite $A_{\psi}\left(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, \bar{U}_{r}, U_{r}\right) \circ A_{\psi}\left(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, U_{r}, \bar{U}_{r}\right)$ is a scalar operator on $I_{Q_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho)$, and the Plancherel measure is the scalar-valued meromorphic function defined by

$$
\mu(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, \psi)^{-1}=A_{\psi}\left(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, \bar{U}_{r}, U_{r}\right) \circ A_{\psi}\left(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, U_{r}, \bar{U}_{r}\right) .
$$

Similarly, if $\sigma$ is an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$, then one can define the associated Plancherel measure $\mu(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$ as the composition of two standard intertwining operators as above.

The factorization of the intertwining operators into the product of 'rank 1' operators corresponding to simple reflections implies an inductive property of the Plancherel measures known as multiplicativity. This is described in [GI, Appendix B], along with other properties of the Plancherel measure.

The main result of this section is the following proposition.
Proposition 10.1. If $\pi$ is an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{SO}(V)$ with $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ and $\rho$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$, then one has

$$
\mu(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\mu(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
$$

Corollary 10.2. Suppose that both $\pi$ and $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$ are supercuspidal. Then, for any supercuspidal representation $\rho$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}, I_{Q_{r}}(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho)$ reduces if and only if $I_{P_{r}, \psi}(s, \sigma \boxtimes \rho)$ reduces. In particular, when $\rho^{\vee}=\rho, I_{P_{r}, \psi}(s, \sigma \boxtimes \rho)$ reduces for a unique $s \geqslant 0$.

## Metaplectic groups

To infer this corollary, one only needs to take into account [GI, Proposition B.7]. In particular, the corollary gives an alternative proof of a special case of the main results of [HM11]. In [GI, Theorem 12.1 and Corollary 12.2], an identity of Plancherel measures as in Proposition 10.1 was established for the theta correspondence associated to a general dual pair $\mathrm{Mp}(2 m) \times \mathrm{SO}(2 n+1)$, which provides an alternative proof of the general results of [HM11].

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 10.1. We first deduce two consequences of the property of multipicativity [GI, Propositions B. 4 and B.5] and Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2]).
(i) The desired identity of Plancherel measures in Proposition 10.1 holds when $V=V^{+}$and $\pi$ and $\rho$ have non-zero Iwahori-fixed vectors, where the Iwahori subgroup in question is the setwise stabilizer of a fundamental chamber in the building of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. Indeed, such a $\pi$ is contained in a principal series representation induced from a Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ :

$$
\pi \subset I_{B}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)
$$

Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2]) then implies that $\sigma$ is a subquotient of the principal series representation $I_{B^{\prime}, \psi}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$. Using [GI, Proposition B.6], which expresses the relevant Plancherel measures in terms of Tate's $\gamma$-factors, it is then easy to establish the desired identity in this case.
(ii) Proposition 10.1 is reduced to the case where $\pi$ and $\rho$ are both supercuspidal.

In the basic case where $\pi$ and $\rho$ are both supercuspidal, the proof is via a global-to-local argument. More precisely, we can find the following data:

- $F$, a totally complex number field with two places $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$ such that $F_{v_{i}} \cong k$;
- $\Psi$, an additive character of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$ (where $\mathbb{A}$ is the ring of adeles of $F$ ) such that $\Psi_{v_{0}}=\Psi_{v_{1}}=\psi$;
- $\mathbb{V}$, a quadratic space over $F$ of dimension $2 n+1$ and discriminant 1 such that $\mathbb{V} \otimes_{F} F_{v_{i}} \cong V$ for $i=0$ or 1 ; moreover, we may assume that $\epsilon\left(\mathbb{V} \otimes F_{v}\right)=+$ for all finite places $v$ outside $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$.
Given the above data, one can find cuspidal representations $\Pi$ of $O(\mathbb{V})$ and $\Xi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A})$ such that:
- $\Pi_{v_{0}}=\Pi_{v_{1}}=\pi^{\epsilon}$ and $\Xi_{v_{0}}=\Xi_{v_{1}}=\rho$, where $\pi^{\epsilon}$ is the unique extension of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ which participates in the theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$;
- for all finite $v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}, \Pi_{v}$ and $\Xi_{v}$ are unramified.

The simplest way to find such a $\Pi$ is to use a construction of Henniart [Hen84, Appendice 1] via Poincaré series; in the proof of [Hen84, Appendice 1, Theorem], it suffices to pick the test function $f_{v}$ to be the characteristic function of a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup at all finite places $v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}$ (and the test functions $f_{v_{0}}$ and $f_{v_{1}}$ to be matrix coefficients of $\pi$ ). In the following, we shall write $\Pi_{v}$ to denote the restriction of the representation $\Pi_{v}$ of $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathbb{V}_{v}\right)$ to $\mathrm{SO}\left(\mathbb{V}_{v}\right)$.

Now consider the global theta lift $\Theta_{\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}_{k}, \Psi}(\Pi)$ of $\Pi$ to the tower of metaplectic groups $\operatorname{Mp}\left(\mathbb{W}_{k}\right)$. Let $k$ be the first index such that the global theta lift $\Theta_{\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}_{k}, \Psi}(\Pi)$ is non-zero. Suppose that $\Sigma$ is an irreducible summand of $\Theta_{\mathbb{V}, \mathbb{W}_{k}, \Psi}(\Pi)$. Then for all places $v$ one can relate $\Sigma_{v}$ with $\Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$. Indeed, for all finite $v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}$, since $\Pi_{v}$ is unramified, one knows how to compute the theta lift of $\Pi_{v}$ to any $\operatorname{Mp}\left(W_{k}\right)$. Similarly, one understands the theta correspondence for complex groups completely. At the places $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$, where $\Pi_{v_{i}}=\pi^{\epsilon}$ is supercuspidal, one can appeal to the cuspidal support theorem of Kudla [Kud86]. The following lemma summarizes these results.
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Lemma 10.3. Write $k=n+t$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}$.
(i) If $k>n$ so that $t>0$, then

$$
\Sigma_{v} \subset I\left(\left|-\left.\right|^{1 / 2} \boxtimes\right|-\left.\right|^{3 / 2} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes|-|^{(2 t-1) / 2} \boxtimes \Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)\right) .
$$

(ii) If $k=n$, then $\Sigma_{v}=\Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right)$.
(iii) If $k<n$ so that $t<0$, then

$$
\Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right) \subset I\left(\left|-\left.\right|^{1 / 2} \boxtimes\right|-\left.\right|^{3 / 2} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes|-|^{(2|t|-1) / 2} \boxtimes \Sigma_{v}\right) .
$$

As a consequence of the multiplicative property of the Plancherel measure [GI, Propositions B. 4 and B.5], we deduce that for all places $v$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mu\left(s, \Sigma_{v} \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right)}{\mu\left(s, \Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right) \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right)} \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\prod_{i=1}^{t} \mu\left(s, \Xi_{v} \boxtimes|-|^{(2 i-1) / 2}\right) \cdot \mu\left(s, \Xi_{v} \boxtimes|-|^{-(2 i-1) / 2}\right) \\
1 \\
\left(\prod_{i=1}^{|t|} \mu\left(s, \Xi_{v} \boxtimes|-|^{(2 i-1) / 2}\right) \cdot \mu\left(s, \Xi_{v} \boxtimes|-|^{-(2 i-1) / 2}\right)\right)^{-1}
\end{array}\right. \tag{10.4}
\end{align*}
$$

in the three respective cases of the lemma. Now, for $v \neq v_{0}, v_{1}$, we already know that

$$
\mu\left(s, \Theta_{\psi_{v}}\left(\Pi_{v}\right) \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right)=\mu\left(s, \Pi_{v} \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right) .
$$

To prove this identity for the places $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}$, and thus complete the proof of Proposition 10.1, it suffices to show that for $v=v_{0}$ or $v_{1}, \mu\left(s, \Sigma_{v} \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right) / \mu\left(s, \Pi_{v} \boxtimes \rho_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right)$ is given by the same formulas as in (10.4).

For this, we appeal to the global functional equation for Plancherel measures [GI, Proposition B.8] to the representation $\Sigma \boxtimes \Xi$ and $\Pi \boxtimes \Xi$. We deduce that for any finite set $S$ of places of $F$ containing $v_{0}$ and $v_{1}, \mu_{S}\left(s, \Sigma_{v} \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right) / \mu_{S}\left(s, \Pi_{v} \boxtimes \Xi_{v}, \Psi_{v}\right)$ is as given in (10.4). Thus, we conclude that

$$
\mu(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, \psi)^{2}=\mu\left(s, \Theta_{\psi_{v}}(\pi) \boxtimes \rho, \psi\right)^{2} .
$$

Since the Plancherel measures are non-negative on the imaginary axis [GI, Proposition B.7], we have

$$
\mu(s, \pi \boxtimes \rho, \psi)=\mu\left(s, \Theta_{\psi_{v}}(\pi) \boxtimes \rho, \psi\right) .
$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 10.1.

## 11. Local factors

In this section, we show that the bijection $\Theta_{\psi}$ respects $\gamma$-factors, $L$-factors and $\epsilon$-factors associated to representations of $\mathrm{Mp}(W)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(V)$. We assume the following working hypotheses.

## Working hypotheses:

(i) there is a theory of $\gamma$-factors $\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)$ for irreducible representations $\pi \boxtimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$;
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(ii) there is a theory of $\gamma$-factors $\gamma(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$ for irreducible representations $\sigma \boxtimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times$ $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$.
Moreover, the theories of $\gamma$-factors satisfy the following conditions.
(a) (Multiplicativity) If $\pi=\operatorname{Ind}_{Q}{ }^{\mathrm{SO}(V)} \tau \boxtimes \pi_{0}$, with $\tau$ being a representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{k}$ and $\pi_{0}$ a representation of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\gamma(s, \tau \times \rho, \psi) \cdot \gamma\left(s, \tau^{\vee} \times \rho, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(s, \pi_{0} \times \rho, \psi\right)
$$

where the first two $\gamma$-factors on the right-hand side are the Rankin-Selberg $\gamma$-factors of $\mathrm{GL}_{k} \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$. If $\rho=\operatorname{Ind}_{R} \rho_{1} \times \rho_{2}$, with $\rho_{i}$ an irreducible representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r_{i}}$, then

$$
\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\gamma\left(s, \rho_{1} \times \rho, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(s, \rho_{2} \times \rho, \psi\right)
$$

where the two $\gamma$-factors on the right-hand side are Rankin-Selberg $\gamma$-factors. Similar identities hold for $\gamma(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$.
(b) (Minimal case) Suppose that $V=V_{1}^{-}$, the rank-3 non-split quadratic space of discriminant 1. If $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the trivial representation of the compact group $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ and $\chi$ denotes any character of $\mathrm{GL}_{1}$, then

$$
\gamma(s, \mathbf{1} \times \chi, \psi)=\gamma(s+1 / 2, \chi, \psi) \cdot \gamma(s-1 / 2, \chi, \psi),
$$

where the $\gamma$-factors on the right-hand side are those of $\mathrm{GL}_{1}$.
(c) (Global functional equation) Suppose that $F$ is a number field with ring of adeles $\mathbb{A}$ and that $V$ is a quadratic space over $F$ of dimension $2 n+1$ and discriminant 1 . Let $\Psi=\otimes_{v} \psi_{v}$ be a non-trivial additive character of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}, \Pi=\otimes_{v} \pi_{v}$ a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)(\mathbb{A})$ and $\Xi=\otimes_{v} \xi_{v}$ a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A})$. If $S$ is a finite set of places of $F$ containing all Archimedean places and all finite places where $\Psi, \Pi$ or $\Xi$ is ramified, then one has a functional equation

$$
L^{S}(s, \Pi \times \Xi)=\prod_{v \in S} \gamma\left(s, \pi_{v} \times \xi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \cdot L^{S}\left(1-s, \Pi^{\vee} \times \Xi^{\vee}\right) .
$$

Likewise, if $W$ is a symplectic space over $F$ and $\Sigma$ is a cuspidal representation of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)(\mathbb{A})$, then one has

$$
L^{S}(s, \Sigma \times \Xi, \Psi)=\prod_{v \in S} \gamma\left(s, \sigma_{v} \times \xi_{v}, \psi_{v}\right) \cdot L^{S}\left(1-s, \Sigma^{\vee} \times \Xi^{\vee}\right) .
$$

Now we have the following result.
Proposition 11.1. Suppose one has the following:
(i) a theory of $\gamma$-factors $\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)$ for irreducible representations $\pi \boxtimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$;
(ii) a theory of $\gamma$-factors $\gamma(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$ for irreducible representations $\sigma \boxtimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$ satisfying the above working hypothesis. Then, if $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$, we have

$$
\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\gamma(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
$$

Proof. The proof is similar but simpler than that of Proposition 10.1. By properties (a) and (b) and Kudla's cuspidal support theorem ([Kud86, Theorem 2.5] and [Kud, Theorems 7.1 and 7.2]), one knows that the desired identity holds when $\pi$ has non-zero Iwahori-fixed vectors. Further, one is reduced to the case where the representations $\pi$ and $\rho$ are supercuspidal. For the supercuspidal case, the proof is via a global argument similar to, but simpler than, that of Proposition 10.1 (see also [MS00a, Proposition 5.4]). More precisely, let $\mathbb{V}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 10.1,
so that $\mathbb{V}_{v_{0}}=V$ for a finite place $v_{0}$. By a construction of Henniart [Hen84, Appendice 1] via Poincaré series, one can find cuspidal representations $\Pi$ and $\Xi$ of $O(\mathbb{V})$ and $\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A})$ such that $\Pi_{v_{0}}=\pi^{\epsilon}$ (where $\pi^{\epsilon}$ is the unique extension of $\pi$ to $\mathrm{O}(V)$ which participates in the theta correspondence with $\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ and $\Xi_{v_{0}}=\rho$, and such that for all other finite places $v, \Pi_{v}$ and $\Xi_{v}$ have non-zero Iwahori-fixed vectors. Indeed, in the proof of [Hen84, Appendice 1, Theorem], one simply picks the test function $f_{v}$ to be a matrix coefficient of $\pi$ at $v=v_{0}$, the characteristic function of a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup at almost all $v \neq v_{0}$, and the characteristic function of an Iwahori subgroup at all other $v \neq v_{0}$. Then the argument in Proposition 10.1, using property (c) and our knowledge of the desired identity at all places outside $v_{0}$, implies that

$$
\gamma(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\gamma(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
$$

Corollary 11.2. Assume the hypotheses of Proposition 11.1. If one defines the local L-factors $L(s, \pi \times \rho)$ and $L(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$ as well as local epsilon factors $\epsilon(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)$ and $\epsilon(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi)$ following the approach of Shahidi (i.e. analogous to that in [LR05, § 10] or § 4.2), then one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L(s, \pi \times \rho)=L_{\psi}(s, \sigma \times \rho), \\
\epsilon(s, \pi \times \rho, \psi)=\epsilon(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

In particular, a theory of $\gamma$-factors satisfying the working hypotheses has been developed in the following cases:
(a) for generic representations $\pi \boxtimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$ by Shahidi [Sha90] and Soudry [Sou93];
(b) for $\psi$-generic representations $\sigma \otimes \rho$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{GL}_{r}$ by Szpruch [ $\left.\operatorname{Szp} 09\right]$;
(c) for all irreducible representations $\pi \times \chi$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right) \times \mathrm{GL}_{1}$ and $\sigma \boxtimes \chi$ of $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{GL}_{1}$ via the doubling method (cf. [Gan, LR05, PR86]).

Thus we have the following corollary.
Corollary 11.3. (i) Suppose that $\sigma=\Theta_{\psi}(\pi)$, with $\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{+}\right)\right)$generic. Then the equalities of $L$ - and $\epsilon$-factors in Corollary 11.2 hold.
(ii) The equalities of $L$ - and $\epsilon$-factors in Corollary 11.2 hold for representations of $\mathrm{SO}(V) \times$ $\mathrm{GL}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{Mp}(W) \times \mathrm{GL}_{1}$.

## 12. Variation of $\psi$

One remaining issue is the dependence of the bijection $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ as $\psi$ varies. More precisely, for $c \in k^{\times}$, let $\psi_{c}$ be the character $\psi_{c}(x)=\psi(c x)$. Then we would like to know the relation between $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)$. Here, recall that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)=(\phi, \eta),
$$

where

$$
\phi: W D_{k} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}(\mathbb{C})
$$

and $\eta$ is an irreducible character of the component group $A_{\phi}=\pi_{0}\left(Z_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}}(\phi)\right)$. The component group $A_{\phi}$ can be explicitly described as follows. If we decompose $\phi$ as a $2 n$-dimensional representation,

$$
\phi=\bigoplus_{i} n_{i} \phi_{i}
$$
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then (cf. [GGP12])

$$
A_{\phi}=\bigoplus_{i: \phi_{i} \text { is symplectic }} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} a_{i}
$$

In [GGP12], a conjecture was stated for the relation between $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)$. The purpose of this section is to verify that conjecture. Of course, to address the issue, one would need to assume that the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{SO}\left(V^{ \pm}\right)$is known. Hence, let us begin by setting down the precise hypotheses we shall require.

## Hypothesis LLC.

(a) We assume the local Langlands correspondence for the classical groups $G=\mathrm{SO}_{2 n+1}, \mathrm{SO}_{2 n}$ and $\mathrm{Sp}_{2 n}$ as supplied by the recently released book [Art11] and supplemented by the results of Jiang and Soudry [JS04]. In particular, each irreducible representation of the group $G$ is indexed by a pair $(\phi, \eta)$ consisting of an $L$-parameter $\phi$ for the group $G$ and a character $\eta$ of the component group $A_{\phi}$.
(b) Moreover, we suppose that the local Langlands correspondence satisfies the desiderata in [Bor77] and preserves local $L$-factors and $\epsilon$-factors as in Theorem 1.3(vii) and (viii).
(c) In addition, for representations $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ which have the same $L$-parameter, one has an equality of Plancherel measures

$$
\mu\left(s, \pi_{1} \times \rho, \psi\right)=\mu\left(s, \pi_{2} \times \rho, \psi\right) .
$$

In particular, by Shahidi's results [Sha90], such Plancherel measures can be expressed in terms of $\gamma$-factors of Artin type associated to the $L$-parameters.

Under the above hypothesis, one has the following highly non-trivial results.

- (GP) The Gross-Prasad conjecture [GP92] for tempered representations of special orthogonal groups holds by the recent work of Waldspurger [Wal10, Wal12a, Wal1, Wal2, Wal12b]. More precisely, suppose that $\pi$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$ ( with $\operatorname{dim} V=2 n+1$ ) with $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\pi)=(\phi, \eta)$ as above, and that $\tau$ is an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{SO}(U)$ with $U \subset V$ of codimension 1 . Suppose further that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(U)}(\pi \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0
$$

Then

$$
\eta\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \phi_{\tau}\right) \cdot \chi_{U}(-1)^{\left(\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}\right) / 2},
$$

where $\chi_{U}$ is the quadratic character of $k^{\times}$associated to $\operatorname{disc}(U)$. Similarly, if $V \subset U$ with codimension 1 , then $\operatorname{Hom}_{S O(V)}(\pi \otimes \tau, \mathbb{C}) \neq 0$ implies that $\eta\left(a_{i}\right)$ is given by the above formula as well.

- $(\Theta)$ Consider the theta correspondence for $\operatorname{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(U)$ with $\operatorname{dim} W=2 n$ and $\operatorname{dim} U=$ $2 n+2$ with discriminant $\chi_{U}$. For an irreducible tempered representation $\tau$ of $\operatorname{Sp}(W)$ which participates in this theta correspondence, it was shown by Muić [Mui08a, Mui08b] that

$$
\Theta_{W, U, \psi}(\tau)=\theta_{W, U, \psi}(\tau)=: \theta(\tau)
$$

Moreover, $\theta(\tau)$ is irreducible when restricted to $\mathrm{SO}(U)$. Finally, it was shown by Muić [Mui08a, Mui08b] and Moeglin [Moe11a] that the $L$-parameters $\phi_{\tau}$ and $\phi_{\theta(\tau)}$ are related by

$$
\phi_{\theta(\tau)}=1 \oplus \chi_{U} \cdot \phi_{\tau} .
$$
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Similarly, consider the theta correspondence for $\operatorname{Sp}(W) \times \mathrm{O}(U)$ with $\operatorname{dim} U=2 n$ and let $\tau$ be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathrm{O}(U)$. Then

$$
\Theta_{U, W, \psi}(\tau)=\theta_{U, W, \psi}(\tau)=: \theta(\tau)
$$

Moreover, the $L$-parameters of $\tau$ and $\theta(\tau)$ are related by

$$
\phi_{\theta(\tau)}=\chi_{U} \cdot\left(1 \oplus \phi_{\tau}\right) .
$$

Under Hypothesis LLC and with the above theorems (GP) and $(\Theta)$, one has the following result.

Theorem 12.1. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ and $c \in k^{\times}$, let $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)=(\phi, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)=\left(\phi_{c}, \eta_{c}\right)$. Then the following hold.
(i) $\phi_{c}=\phi \otimes \chi_{c}$, where $\chi_{c}$ is the quadratic character associated to $c \in k^{\times} / k^{\times 2}$. It follows from (i) that we have the canonical identification of component groups

$$
A_{\phi}=A_{\phi_{c}}=\bigoplus_{i} \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} a_{i}
$$

so that it makes sense to compare $\eta$ and $\eta_{c}$.
(ii) The characters $\eta$ and $\eta_{c}$ are related by

$$
\eta_{c}\left(a_{i}\right) / \eta\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i}\right) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \cdot \chi_{c}(-1)^{\left(\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}\right) / 2} \in\{ \pm 1\} .
$$

When $\operatorname{dim} W=2$, this reduces to Waldspurger's result, Theorem 5.3. The remainder of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 12.1. We first note the following reduction.
Proposition 12.2. If Theorem 12.1 holds for tempered representations of $\operatorname{Mp}(W)$, then it holds for all representations.

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma=J_{P, \psi}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{r}, \sigma_{0}\right)$. Write $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}(\sigma)=(\phi, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)=\left(\phi_{c}, \eta_{c}\right)$ as in the conjecture, and similarly write $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\left(\phi_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}\left(\sigma_{0}\right)=\left(\phi_{0, c}, \eta_{0, c}\right)$. We are assuming that the pairs $\left(\phi_{0}, \eta_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\phi_{0, c}, \eta_{0, c}\right)$ are related as in Theorem 12.1.

Now Theorem 1.3(iii) implies that

$$
\phi=\phi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{r} \oplus \phi_{0} \oplus \phi_{1}^{\vee} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{r}^{\vee},
$$

where $\phi_{i}$ is the $L$-parameter of $\tau_{i}$ for $i \geqslant 1$. Moreover, there is a natural identification $A_{\phi}=A_{\phi_{0}}$, under which one has $\eta=\eta_{0}$.

On the other hand, as genuine characters of $\tilde{\mathrm{GL}}(X)$, one has

$$
\chi_{\psi_{c}}=\chi_{\psi} \cdot\left(\chi_{c} \circ \operatorname{det}_{X}\right) .
$$

Thus, one also has

$$
\sigma=J_{P, \psi_{c}}\left(\tau_{1} \otimes \chi_{c}, \ldots, \tau_{r} \otimes \chi_{c}, \sigma_{0}\right)
$$

By Theorem 1.3(iii) again, one has

$$
\phi_{c} \otimes \chi_{c}=\phi_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{r} \oplus\left(\phi_{0, c} \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \oplus \phi_{1}^{\vee} \oplus \cdots \oplus \phi_{r}^{\vee}
$$

and $\eta_{c}=\eta_{0, c}$. Since $\left(\phi_{0, c}, \eta_{0, c}\right)$ and ( $\phi_{0}, \eta_{0}$ ) are related as in Theorem 12.1, so are ( $\phi_{c}, \eta_{c}$ ) and $(\phi, \eta)$.

Now suppose that $\sigma \in \operatorname{Irr}(\operatorname{Mp}(W))$ is tempered, and let

$$
\pi=\Theta_{W, V, \psi}(\sigma) \quad \text { and } \quad \pi_{c}=\Theta_{W, V, \psi_{c}}(\sigma)
$$
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Note that $\pi$ and $\pi_{c}$ are both irreducible by Theorem 8.1. To prove Theorem 12.1, we need to show that their $L$-parameters $\phi$ and $\phi_{c}$ are related by

$$
\phi \otimes \chi_{c}=\phi_{c} .
$$

By Theorem 1.3(ii), this identity for tempered representations follows from the case of discrete series representations. Hence, we may assume that $\sigma$ is a discrete series representation. Now, by Proposition 10.1, one has the following identities of Plancherel measures:

$$
\mu\left(s,\left(\pi \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \times \rho, \psi\right)=\mu\left(s, \pi \times\left(\rho \otimes \chi_{c}\right), \psi\right)=\mu\left(s, \sigma \times\left(\rho \otimes \chi_{c}\right), \psi\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu\left(s, \pi_{c} \times \rho, \psi_{c}\right)=\mu\left(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi_{c}\right)
$$

where $\rho$ is any supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{r}$ (for any $r$ ).
On the other hand, it follows from [GI, § B.2] that

$$
\mu\left(s, \sigma \times \rho, \psi_{c}\right)=|c|^{2 n r+r(r+1) / 2} \cdot \mu\left(s, \sigma \times\left(\rho \otimes \chi_{c}\right), \psi\right)
$$

and

$$
\mu\left(s, \pi_{c} \times \rho, \psi_{c}\right)=|c|^{(2 n+1) r+r(r-1) / 2} \cdot \mu\left(s, \pi_{c} \times \rho, \psi\right)
$$

Hence we deduce that

$$
\mu\left(s, \pi_{c} \times \rho, \psi\right)=\mu\left(s,\left(\pi \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \times \rho, \psi\right) .
$$

By Hypothesis LLC, we may express these Plancherel measures in terms of Shahidi's $\gamma$-factors, which can in turn be expressed as $\gamma$-factors of $L$-parameters. This gives the identity

$$
\gamma\left(s, \phi_{c} \otimes \phi_{\rho}, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(-s, \phi_{c} \otimes \phi_{\rho}^{\vee}, \bar{\psi}\right)=\gamma\left(s,\left(\phi \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \otimes \phi_{\rho}, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(-s,\left(\phi \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \otimes \phi_{\rho}^{\vee}, \bar{\psi}\right) .
$$

The following lemma then allows one to conclude that

$$
\phi_{c}=\phi \otimes \chi_{c} .
$$

Lemma 12.3. Suppose that $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are $2 n$-dimensional semisimple representations of $W D_{k}$, each of which is a multiplicity-free sum of irreducible symplectic summands. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(s, \phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{\rho}, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(-s, \phi_{1} \otimes \phi_{\rho}^{\vee}, \bar{\psi}\right)=\gamma\left(s, \phi_{2} \otimes \phi_{\rho}, \psi\right) \cdot \gamma\left(-s, \phi_{2} \otimes \phi_{\rho}^{\vee}, \bar{\psi}\right) \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every irreducible representation $\phi_{\rho}$ of $W_{k}$, then

$$
\phi_{1} \cong \phi_{2}
$$

as representations of $W D_{k}$.
Proof. We shall proceed by induction on $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}=2 n$. Suppose that $\phi_{0}$ is an irreducible representation of $W_{k}$ such that $\phi_{0} \boxtimes S_{r}$ is contained in $\phi_{1}$ for some $r \geqslant 1$. Here $S_{r}$ is the $r$-dimensional irreducible representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{C})$. Let $r_{0}$ be the smallest such $r$. Taking $\phi_{\rho}=\phi_{0}$ and evaluating at $s=\left(r_{0}-1\right) / 2 \geqslant 0$, one sees that the left-hand side of (12.4) has a zero at $s=\left(r_{0}-1\right) / 2$, and hence so must the right-hand side. This implies that $L\left(-s, \phi_{2} \otimes \phi_{0}^{\vee}\right)$. $L\left(s, \phi_{2} \otimes \phi_{0}\right)$ must have a pole at $s=\left(r_{0}-1\right) / 2$. It is not difficult to see that this can happen only if $\phi_{2}$ contains $\phi_{0} \boxtimes S_{r_{0}}$ as well. Thus, we may cancel $\phi_{0} \boxtimes S_{r}$ from both $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ and still have the analog of (12.4). The lemma then follows by induction.

Now let

$$
U_{c} \subset V
$$
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be a quadratic subspace of discriminant $c$ and codimension 1 . Then we have

$$
V=U_{c}+L_{c}
$$

where $L_{c}$ is a non-degenerate line of discriminant $c$ and $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right) \subset \mathrm{SO}(V)$. We have the following lemma.
Lemma 12.5. Given an irreducible tempered representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{SO}(V)$, there exists an irreducible tempered representation $\xi_{c}$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)}\left(\pi, \xi_{c}\right) \neq 0
$$

Proof. Let $f_{\pi}$ be a matrix coefficient of $\pi$, which is a smooth function on $\operatorname{SO}(V)$. By replacing $f_{\pi}$ with a $\mathrm{SO}(V)$-translate if necessary, we may assume that $f_{\pi}$ has non-zero restriction to the subgroup $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$. Then there is an element $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)} f_{\pi}(h) \cdot \overline{\phi(h)} d h \neq 0 .
$$

By the Plancherel theorem for Schwarz-Harish-Chandra functions [Wal03, Theorem VIII.1.1],

$$
\phi=\bigoplus_{M} \phi_{M}
$$

where $M$ ranges over conjugacy classes of Levi subgroups of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$ and each $\phi_{M}$ is a finite sum of 'wave packets' associated to discrete series representations of $M$. More precisely, a 'wave packet' is a function on $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$ of the form

$$
h \mapsto \int_{X_{0}(M)} \beta(s) \cdot\left\langle I(s, \tau)(h) \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right\rangle \mu(s) \cdot d s
$$

where:

- $X_{0}(M)$ denotes the compact torus of unramified unitary characters of $M$;
- $\beta$ is a smooth function on $X_{0}(M)$;
- $\tau$ is a discrete series representation (with unitary central character) of $M$;
- $I(s, \tau)$ is the family of tempered representations of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$ parabolically induced from the unramified twists $\tau \otimes \chi_{s}$ for $s \in X_{0}(M)$, which are all realized on the same space $I(\tau)$ of functions on a maximal compact subgroup $K$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$;
- $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ are elements of $I(\tau)$;
- $\langle-,-\rangle$ is the standard inner product on $I(\tau)$ induced by an inner product on $\tau$ and integration over $K$;
- $\mu(s) d s$ is the Plancherel measure associated to $(M, \tau)$, with $d s$ a Haar measure of $X_{0}(M)$ and $\mu(s)$ a smooth function.
Hence, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)} f_{\pi}(h) \cdot \int_{X_{0}(M)} \beta(s) \cdot\left\langle I(s, \tau)(h) \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right\rangle \mu(s) \cdot d s d h \neq 0 \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some choice of $\left(M, \tau, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$. We note also that the function

$$
s \mapsto\left\langle I(s, \tau)(h) \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right\rangle
$$

is continuous in $s$, as is easy to see from the definitions.
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Now, in [II10], it was shown that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)} f_{\pi}(h) \cdot \overline{f_{\xi_{c}}(h)} d h
$$

is absolutely convergent for any tempered representation $\xi_{c}$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$. Hence the double integral in (12.6) is absolutely convergent. On exchanging the order of integration in (12.6), we deduce that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)} f_{\pi}(h) \cdot\left\langle I(s, \tau)(h) \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right\rangle d h \neq 0
$$

for some $\left(\tau, s, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that there is a tempered representation $\xi_{c}$ of $\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)$ and a matrix coefficient $f_{\xi_{c}}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathrm{SO}\left(U_{c}\right)} f_{\pi}(h) \cdot \overline{f_{\xi_{c}}(h)} d h \neq 0
$$

This proves the lemma.
By (GP), one has

$$
\eta\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \phi_{\xi_{c}}\right) \cdot \chi_{c}(-1)^{\left(\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}\right) / 2} .
$$

Let $\tau=\Theta_{U_{c}, W, \psi}\left(\xi_{c}\right)$. By $(\Theta), \tau$ is either zero or irreducible tempered. Now, by the see-saw identity associated to the see-saw diagram

we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{c}}, \sigma\right) \neq 0 .
$$

In particular, $\tau$ must be non-zero and, by $(\Theta)$, its $L$-parameter is

$$
\phi_{\tau}=\chi_{c} \cdot\left(1+\phi_{\xi_{c}}\right) .
$$

Moreover, since the representations $\tau, \sigma$ and $\omega_{W, \psi_{c}}$ are unitary, one sees by taking complex conjugates that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{-c}}, \sigma^{\vee}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{-c}}, \sigma^{\vee}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sp}(W)}\left(\tau^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{-c}} \otimes \sigma, \mathbb{C}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Sp}(W)}\left(\omega_{W, \psi_{-c}} \otimes \sigma, \tau\right),
$$

we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\sigma \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{-c}}, \tau\right) \neq 0
$$

Now consider the see-saw diagram
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where $L_{-1}$ is a quadratic line of discriminant -1 , and examine the theta correspondence with respect to the additive character $\psi_{c}$. Set

$$
\xi=\Theta_{W, V+L_{-1}, \psi_{c}}(\tau) .
$$

By $(\Theta)$ again, $\xi$ is either zero or irreducible tempered, in which case its $L$-parameter is

$$
\phi_{\xi}=1+\phi_{\tau}=1+\chi_{c}+\chi_{c} \cdot \phi_{\xi_{c}} .
$$

Now the see-saw identity tells us that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{SO}(V)}\left(\xi, \Theta_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{Sp}(W)}\left(\sigma \otimes \omega_{W, \psi_{-c}}, \tau\right) \neq 0
$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_{\psi_{c}}(\sigma)=\left(\phi \otimes \chi_{c}, \eta_{c}\right)$, it follows by (GP) that

$$
\eta_{c}\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \chi_{c} \otimes \phi_{\xi}\right) .
$$

Thus,

$$
\eta_{c}\left(a_{i}\right) / \eta\left(a_{i}\right)=\epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i}\right) \cdot \epsilon\left(1 / 2, \phi_{i} \otimes \chi_{c}\right) \cdot \chi_{c}(-1)^{\left(\operatorname{dim} \phi_{i}\right) / 2} .
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 12.1.
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