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Abstract

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\delta(G)$ be the number of prime order subgroups of $G$. We determine the groups $G$ with the property $\delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1$, extending earlier work of C. T. C. Wall, and we use our classification to obtain new results on the generation of near-rings by units of prime order.
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1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\delta(G)$ be the number of prime order subgroups of $G$. In this paper we determine the groups $G$ with $\delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1$. As our main theorem demonstrates (see Theorem 1.1 below), such a group has a rather simple structure which is easy to describe. In particular, we find that $A_5$ is the only nonsoluble group with this property, while $\delta(G) = |G|/2$ if and only if $G = Z_2$ or $S_3 \times D_8 \times E$ with $\exp(E) \leq 2$ (where $\exp(E)$ denotes the exponent of $E$).

One of our main motivations comes from a theorem of Wall. In [18], Wall classifies the finite groups $G$ with the property $i_2(G) > |G|/2 - 1$, where $i_2(G)$ is the number of involutions in $G$. Since $\delta(G) \geq i_2(G)$, our main theorem is a natural extension of Wall’s result.

Related problems have been investigated by various authors. For example, Liebeck and MacHale [7] classify the finite groups in which more than half of the elements are inverted by some automorphism of the group, extending earlier work of Manning and Miller (see [8, 9], for example). All such groups are soluble, and the aforementioned theorem of Wall follows as a corollary. In fact, Potter [13] has proved that the proportion of elements in a nonsoluble group which are inverted by an automorphism
is at most 4/15. For soluble groups, recent work of Hegarty [4] attempts to bound this proportion in terms of the derived length of the group.

In order to state our main theorem, we first need to define a collection of groups. We say that a nontrivial finite group $G$ belongs to the collection $\mathcal{L}$ if and only if $G$ is one of the following (up to isomorphism). Here $E$ denotes an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^n$ (for some $n \geq 0$) and $D_8$ is the dihedral group of order 8. We also remind the reader that a generalized dihedral group is a group of the form $D(A) = A(\tau) = A.2$, where $A$ is abelian and $\tau$ acts by inversion.

(I) $G = D(A)$ is a generalized dihedral group, where $A$ is abelian.

(II) $G = \langle \langle D_8 \times D_8 \rangle \rangle \times E$.

(III) $G = H(r) \times E$, where $H(r) \cong (D_8 \times \cdots \times D_8)/Z_2^{r-1}$ is a central product of $r \geq 1$ copies of $D_8$ so that

$$H(r) = \langle x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_r, y_r, z \mid x_i^2 = y_i^2 = z^2 = 1, \text{ all pairs of generators commute except } [x_i, y_i] = z \rangle.$$ 

(IV) $G = S(r) \times E$, where $S(r)$ is the split extension of an elementary abelian group of order $2^{2r}$ ($r \geq 1$) by a cyclic group $Z_2 = \langle z \rangle$ so that

$$S(r) = \langle x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_r, y_r, z \mid x_i^2 = y_i^2 = z^2 = 1, \text{ all pairs of generators commute except } [z, x_i] = x_iy_i \rangle.$$ 

(V) $G = T(r)$ is the split extension of an elementary abelian group $A$ of order $2^{2r}$ ($r \geq 1$) by a cyclic group $Z_3 = \langle z \rangle$ so that

$$T(r) = \langle x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_r, y_r, z \mid x_i^2 = y_i^2 = z^3 = 1, \text{ all pairs of generators commute except } [z, x_i] = x_iy_i \text{ and } [z, y_i] = x_i \rangle.$$ 

(VI) $G$ is a group of exponent 3.

(VII) $G = S_3 \times D_8 \times E$.

(VIII) $G = S_3 \times S_3$.

(IX) $G = S_4$.

(X) $G = A_5$.

In this list, groups of type (I)–(IV) correspond respectively to the groups labelled I–IV by Wall (see [18, pp. 261–262]); these are precisely the finite groups $G$ with the property $i_2(G) > |G|/2 - 1$. A group of type (VI) is nilpotent of class at most 3 and we refer the reader to [17, Theorem 5.2.1] for additional information on such groups. We also note that $D(Z_3) \cong S_3$, $D(Z_4) = D_8$, $T(1) \cong A_4$ and $D(A) \times E \cong D(A \times E)$, while $D(E) \cong E \times Z_2$ is an elementary abelian 2-group.

It is not difficult to see that the only overlap between the classes (I)–(X) are groups of the form $D_8 \times E$ with exp($E$) ≤ 2, which appear in (I) (with $A = Z_4 \times E$), (III) and (IV) (both with $r = 1$). We can now state our main theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $G$ be a nontrivial finite group and let $\delta(G)$ be the number of prime order subgroups of $G$. Then $\delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1$ if and only if $G \in \mathcal{L}$. The precise value of $\delta(G)$ for each $G \in \mathcal{L}$ is listed in Table 1.
Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $\delta(G) = |G|/2$ if and only if $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group.

**Remark 1.3.** In view of Corollary 2.5 below, we deduce that $\delta(G) = |G|/4$ if and only if $i_2(G) = 3|G|/4$.

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $G$ be a finite group with $\exp(G) = 3$. Then $\delta(G) = 2|G|/3$ if and only if $G = D(A)$ and either $A = Z_4 \times E$ with $\exp(E) = 2$, or $\exp(A) = 3$.

The next corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.1.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $\delta(G) = |G|/2$ if and only if $G = Z_2$ or $S_3 \times D_8 \times E$ with $\exp(E) \leq 2$.

In the final section of this paper we describe an application of Theorem 1.1 to the study of near-rings. Recall that a near-ring is a set $R$ with two binary operations $+$ and $\cdot$ such that $(R, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian) and $\cdot$ satisfies a single distributive law. For example, if $G$ is a finite group then the set of functions from $G$ to $G$ which fix the identity element has the structure of a near-ring with respect to the operations $(f + g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$ and $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(g(x))$, where $x \in G$. We write $M_0(G)$ to denote this particular near-ring associated with $G$.

There are several results in the literature concerning the generation of $M_0(G)$ by units (that is, bijections) of prescribed order. For example, in [15] it is shown that $M_0(G)$ is generated by a unit of order 2 if and only if $\exp(G) \geq 3$ and $G \neq Z_3$. Similarly, the $M_0(G)$ which can be generated by a unit of order 3 are determined in [16]. Bounds on the proportion of units of arbitrary order which generate $M_0(G)$ are established in [10]; upper and lower bounds are given as functions of $|G|$ and $i_2(G)$. Roughly speaking, the proportion is high if and only if $i_2(G)/|G|$ is small.
The main theorem of [14] states that if $p$ is a prime number then either $M_0(G)$ is generated by a unit of order $p$, or $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group with $|G| \neq 1 \mod p$, or $G$ belongs to a finite collection of groups. Moreover, this finite collection can be defined in terms of $\delta$ and $p$, and we can use Theorem 1.1 to obtain various results on the exceptional groups which arise. We refer the reader to Section 6 for more details.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we record a number of useful results which we will need in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Some of these results are new and may be of independent interest. In particular, Lemma 2.16 provides a sharp upper bound for the number of elements of order 3 in a finite nonsolvable group. Next, in Section 3, we prove that $G = A_5$ is the only nonabelian simple group with $\delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1$; we extend this result to all nonsolvable groups in the following section. In Section 5 we assume that $G$ is soluble and we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 by establishing the nonexistence of a minimal counterexample. It is worth noting that our proof uses the main theorem of [18]. Here we also establish Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4, and justify the precise values of $\delta(G)$ listed in Table 1. The aforementioned application to near-rings is discussed in Section 6.

**Notation.** Our group theoretic notation is standard. If $G$ and $H$ are groups then $G\cdot H$ denotes an unspecified extension of $G$ by $H$, while $\exp(G)$ is the exponent of $G$. If $m$ is a positive integer then $G^m$ denotes the direct product of $m$ copies of $G$. We use $\mathbb{Z}_n$ to denote the cyclic group of order $n$ and write $D_n$ for the dihedral group of order $n$. We adopt the notation of [5] for groups of Lie type. In particular, we write $L_n(q) = L_n^+(q) = \text{PSL}_n(q)$, $U_n(q) = L_n^-(q) = \text{PSU}_n(q)$, $E_6^+(q) = E_6(q)$ and $E_6^-(q) = 2E_6(q)$. If $X$ is a subset of a finite group $G$ and $r$ is a positive integer then $i_r(X)$ denotes the number of elements of order $r$ in $X$. We sometimes write $|g|$ for the order of a group element $g$, while $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to the real number $x$.

**2. Preliminaries**

Let $G$ be a finite group and let $\delta(G)$ be the number of prime order subgroups of $G$. If $r$ is a positive integer and $X$ is a subset of $G$ then let $i_r(X)$ be the number of elements of order $r$ in $X$. Then

$$\delta(G) = \sum_{r \in \pi(G)} (r - 1)^{-1} i_r(G),$$

(2.1)

where $\pi(G)$ is the set of distinct prime divisors of $|G|$.  

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $G$ be a finite group and let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then

$$\delta(G) \leq \delta(N) + |N| \cdot \delta(G/N).$$

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $i_p(G) \leq i_p(N) + |N| \cdot i_p(G/N)$ for any prime $p$ which divides $|G|$. Suppose that $x \in G$ has order $p$, so either $x \in N$ or $Nx \in G/N$ has order $p$. The desired bound follows since there are precisely $i_p(G/N)$ elements of order $p$ in $G/N$, and $i_p(Ny) \leq |N|$ for all $y \in G \setminus N$.  

□
Corollary 2.2. Let $G$ be a finite group with a normal subgroup $N$ such that $\delta(G/N) \leq |G/N|/2 - 1$. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 2.1 since $\delta(N) \leq |N| - 1$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $G$ be a finite group such that $3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq |G|$. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

Proof. As in (2.1) we have
\[
\delta(G) = \sum_{r \in \pi(G)} (r - 1)^{-1}i_r(G) = i_2(G) + \frac{1}{2}i_3(G) + \sum_{r \geq 5} (r - 1)^{-1}i_r(G)
\leq i_2(G) + \frac{1}{2}i_3(G) + \frac{1}{4}(|G| - i_2(G) - i_3(G) - 1)
= \frac{1}{4}|G| + \frac{1}{4}(3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G)) - 1
\]
and the result follows.

In view of Lemma 2.3, it will be useful to have upper bounds on the number of elements of order 2 and 3 in various finite groups.

Lemma 2.4. Let $G$ be a finite group with an automorphism $\alpha$ such that $S = \{x \in G | \alpha(x) = x^{-1}\}$ has more than $3|G|/4$ elements. Then $G$ is abelian and $S = G$.

Proof. This is [18, Lemma 7].

Corollary 2.5. Let $G$ be a finite group. Then $i_2(G) \geq 3|G|/4$ if and only if $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Proof. Take $\alpha$ to be the identity automorphism in Lemma 2.4.

Corollary 2.6. Let $G$ be a finite group, let $N$ be a nonabelian normal subgroup of $G$, and let $x \in G \setminus N$ be an involution. Then $i_2(Nx) \leq 3|N|/4$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(N)$ be the automorphism induced by conjugation by $x$. Then $nx \in Nx$ is an involution if and only if $\alpha(n) = n^{-1}$, so Lemma 2.4 implies that $i_2(Nx) \leq 3|N|/4$ since $N$ is nonabelian.

Lemma 2.7. Let $G$ be a finite group with an abelian subgroup $N$. Then $i_2(Nx)$ divides $|N|$ for any involution $x \in G \setminus N$.

Proof. Let $H$ be the set of elements $n \in N$ such that $nx$ is an involution. Then $H$ is a subgroup of $N$ since $N$ is abelian, so the result follows from Lagrange’s theorem.

Lemma 2.8. Let $G$ be a finite group with a subgroup $N$ of odd order. Then $i_2(Nx)$ divides $|N|$ for any involution $x \in G \setminus N$. 


This follows from [3, Lemma 4.1(i), Section 10.4]. Indeed, we have $i_2(Nx) = |N : C_N(x)|$. □

**Lemma 2.9.** Let $G$ be a finite nonsolvable group. Then $i_2(G) \leq 4|G|/15 - 1$.

**Proof.** This follows from the main theorem of [13]. □

**Lemma 2.10.** Let $G$ be a finite group with a normal subgroup $N$. If $x \in G \setminus N$ has order $r$ then $i_r(Nx) = i_r(Ny)$ for all cosets $Ny$ which are $G/N$-conjugate to $Nx$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $Ny$ is $G/N$-conjugate to $Nx$, so $Ny = Nz^{-1}xz$ for some $z \in G$. Then the map $\varphi : Nx \to Ny$, defined by $nx \mapsto z^{-1}nxz$, induces a bijection between the subset of elements of order $r$ in $Nx$ and the corresponding subset of $Ny$. □

**Lemma 2.11.** Let $G$ be a finite group with a normal subgroup $N$, where $N$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. Then the following hold.

(i) Suppose $x \in G \setminus N$ has order 2. Then $i_2(Nx) = |N|$ if and only if $x$ inverts $N$ elementwise, that is, $x^{-1}nx = n^{-1}$ for all $n \in N$.

(ii) If $x \in C_G(N) \setminus N$ has prime order $r \neq p$ then $i_r(Nx) = 1$.

(iii) If $x \in G \setminus N$ has prime order $r$ then $i_r(Nx) = p^d$ for some integer $d$. In particular, if $i_r(Nx) < |N|$ then $i_r(Nx) \leq |N|/p$.

**Proof.** Parts (i) and (ii) are trivial, so let us consider (iii). Suppose that $N$ has order $p^m$. We can view $N$ as an $m$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_p$, so $\text{Aut}(N) \cong \text{GL}_m(p)$. Now conjugation by $x$ induces an automorphism of $N$, so we can identify $x$ with an invertible $\mathbb{F}_p$-linear map $A : N \to N$ of order $r$.

Now $nx \in Nx$ has order $r$ if and only if $n(I + A + \cdots + A^{r-1}) = 0$, where $I$ denotes the identity linear map $N \to N$. If $r \neq p$ then basic linear algebra implies that this condition holds if and only if $n \in \text{im}(I - A)$, so $i_r(Nx) = p^{m-\alpha}$ where $\alpha = \dim C_N(A)$. Similarly, if $r = p$ then the condition $n \in \ker(I + A + \cdots + A^{r-1})$ implies that $i_r(Nx) = p^{m-\beta}$, where $\beta$ is the number of indecomposable blocks of size $p$ in the Jordan form of $A$ on $N$. □

**Lemma 2.12.** Let $G$ be a finite group with an index-two subgroup $N$ such that $i_2(G \setminus N) > |G|/3$. Then $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where $N_1 \leq Z(N)$ has odd order and $N_2$ is a 2-group.

**Proof.** Let $a \in G \setminus N$ be an involution and let $\Lambda = \{n_ia \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a set of distinct involutions in the coset $Na$, where $m > |G|/3 = 2|N|/3$. Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and define $\Lambda_j = \{n_ia \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Note that $|\Lambda \cap \Lambda_j| > |N|/3$. Let $x \in \Lambda \cap \Lambda_j$, so $x = n_ka$ for some $k \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$. Since $x \in \Lambda$, then $x^2 = 1$ and we quickly deduce that $n_k \in C_N(n_j)$. Therefore, $|C_N(n_j)| \geq |\Lambda \cap \Lambda_j| > |N|/3$ and thus $C_N(n_j)$ has index at most 2 in $N$. In particular, $C_N(n_j)$ is normal in $N$ and it contains every element of odd order in $N$. Moreover, if $y \in N$ has odd order then $n_j \in C_N(y)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, hence $y \in Z(N)$ since $m > 2|N|/3$. Therefore, the set of elements of
The upper bounds on $i(G)$, $i_3(G)$ and $|G|$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G$</th>
<th>$f(G, 2)$</th>
<th>$f(G, 3)$</th>
<th>$g(G)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_n^\pm(q)$</td>
<td>$(n^2 + n - 2)/2$</td>
<td>$(2n^2 + 3n - 3)/3$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}(q + 1)^{-1}q^{n^2 - 1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PSp_n(q)'$</td>
<td>$(n^2 + 2n)/4$</td>
<td>$(2n^2 + 3n)/6$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}q^{(n^2+n)/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PSO_n^+(q)$</td>
<td>$n^2/4$</td>
<td>$(2n^2 - n)/6$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{8}q^{(n^2-n)/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_n(q)$</td>
<td>$(n^2 - 1)/4$</td>
<td>$(2n^2 - n - 1)/6$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}q^{(n^2-n)/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_6(q)$</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{248}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_7(q)$</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}q^{133}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_6^+(q)$</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{6}q^{78}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_4(q)$</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{52}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2(q)$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{14}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^3D_4(q)$</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{28}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2F_4(q)$</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{26}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2G_2(q)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$^2B_2(q)$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11/3</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}q^{5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

odd order in $N$ forms a central subgroup, $N_1$ say, and it follows that $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where $N_2$ is a 2-group (possibly trivial).

The next lemma provides rather accurate bounds on $i_2(G)$, $i_3(G)$ and $|G|$ in the case where $G$ is a simple group of Lie type. In view of the isomorphisms $G_2(2)' \cong U_3(3)$ and $^2G_2(3)' \cong L_2(8)$, in Table 2 we regard $G_2(2)'$ and $^2G_2(3)'$ as classical groups. In addition, we regard the Tits group $^2F_4(2)'$ as a sporadic group and it is therefore omitted from Table 2.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let $G$ be a finite simple group of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For $r \in \{2, 3\}$,

$$i_r(G) \leq i_r(\text{Aut}(G)) \leq 2(1 + q^{-1})q^{f(G, r)},$$

where the values of $f(G, r)$ are recorded in Table 2. In the table we also record a lower bound $|G| > g(G)$.

**Proof.** The upper bounds on $i_r(\text{Aut}(G))$ are given in [6, Proposition 1.3]. If $G$ is classical then the lower bound on $|G|$ follows from [1, Proposition 3.9], while the corresponding bound for exceptional groups can be checked directly (using [6, Lemma 1.2], for example). \[\square\]
To close this preliminary section we will establish an analogue of Lemma 2.9 for elements of order 3. First we require the following technical result.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let $G$ be a nonabelian finite simple group. Then the following hold:

(i) If $G \neq L_2(8)$ then $1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) \leq |G|/20$.

(ii) If $G = L_2(8)$ then $1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) = 225|G|/504$.

(iii) $|\text{Out}(G)|^2 \leq |G|/15$.

In parts (i) and (iii), equality holds if and only if $G = A_5$.

**Proof.** First consider (i). If $G$ is a sporadic group then $i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) = i_3(G)$ and the character table of $G$ is available in the GAP Character Table Library [2]. The desired result quickly follows.

Next suppose that $G = A_n$, where $n \geq 5$. Again, we have $i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) = i_3(G)$ since $|\text{Out}(G)|$ is not divisible by 3. Now, if $G = A_5$ then $i_3(G) = 20$ and thus $1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) = 7|G|/20$ in this case. Now assume that $n \geq 6$. Then

$$i_3(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{[n/3]} \frac{n!}{k!(n-3k)!3^k} \leq \left( \frac{1}{3(n-3)!} + \frac{1}{18} \sum_{k=2}^{[n/3]} \frac{1}{(n-3k)!} \right)n!$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{[n/3]} \frac{1}{(n-3k)!} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(3l)!} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{6^l} = \frac{6}{5}.$$ \hfill (2.2)

Therefore, for $n \geq 6$, we get

$$1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) \leq 1 + \frac{1}{18}(1 + \frac{6}{5})n! < \frac{7}{20}|G|,$$

as required.

Finally, let us assume that $G$ is a group of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_q$, where $q = p^f$ and $p$ is prime. First, suppose that $G = L_2(q)$. Note that we may assume that $q \geq 7$ since $L_2(2)$ and $L_2(3)$ are not simple, while $L_2(4) \cong L_2(5) \cong A_5$. Now $i_3(G) \leq |\text{GL}_2(q)|/(q-1)^2 = q(q+1)$ and any element $x \in \text{Aut}(G) \setminus G$ of order 3 is a field automorphism. Therefore

$$1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) \leq 1 + q(q+1) + 2\alpha \left( \frac{|\text{PGL}_2(q)|}{|\text{PGL}_2(q^{1/3})|} \right)$$

$$= 1 + q(q+1) + 2\alpha \cdot q^{2/3}(q^{4/3} + q^{2/3} + 1),$$

where $\alpha = 1$ if $\log_p q$ is divisible by 3, otherwise $\alpha = 0$. Since $|G| = (2, q-1)^{-1} q(q^2 - 1)$, where $(2, q-1)$ denotes the highest common factor of 2 and $q-1$, it is easy to check that $1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) < 7|G|/20$ for all $q \geq 7$ with $q \neq 8$. However, if $G = L_2(8)$ then $1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(G)) = 225$ and (ii) follows.

Now assume that $G \neq L_2(q)$. Here we apply the bound on $i_3(\text{Aut}(G))$ given in Lemma 2.13. For example, suppose that $G = L_n^\pm(q)$, where $n \geq 3$. In view of Lemma 2.13, it suffices to show that

$$1 + 2(1 + q^{-1})q^{f(G,3)} \leq \frac{7}{20}g(G),$$
We note that if \( m \geq 3 \) and \( q \) is a prime number, then \( q > m \). This bound holds unless \( m = 2 \) and \( q = 2 \). These cases can be checked directly. The remaining groups of Lie type are handled in a similar fashion and we leave the details to the reader. (Note that we may assume that \( q > 3 \) and \( G \) is a group of Lie type. Suppose that \( G = L_n(q) \), where \( n \geq 3 \). In this case, \( |\text{Out}(G)| = 2(n, q - 1) \log_p q \), so in view of Lemma 2.13 it suffices to show that

\[
((2, q - 1) \log_p q)^2 < (2, q - 1)^{-1}q^{q^2 - 1}/15
\]

for all \( q \geq 7 \). Next suppose that \( G = L_n'(q) \), where \( n \geq 3 \). In this case, \(|\text{Out}(G)| = 2(n, q + 1) \log_p q \), so in view of Lemma 2.13 it suffices to show that

\[
4(q + 1)^2(\log_p q)^2 < \frac{1}{15}g(G),
\]

where \( g(G) \) is defined in Table 2. One can verify that this bound holds unless \( n = 3 \) and \( q = 3 \); these cases can be checked directly. The other cases are entirely similar and we omit the details (see [5, p. 170] for a convenient list of the orders \(|\text{Out}(G)|\)). \( \square \)

**Remark 2.15.** We note that if \( G = L_2(8) \) then \( i_3(G) = 57 < 7|G|/20 \).

**Lemma 2.16.** Let \( G \) be a nonsoluble finite group. Then \( i_3(G) \leq 7|G|/20 - 1 \).

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on \(|G|\). Seeking a contradiction, suppose that

\[
i_3(G) > \frac{7}{20}|G| - 1.
\]

Let \( L \) be the soluble radical of \( G \). Now

\[
i_3(G) + 1 \leq |L| \cdot i_3(G/L) + i_3(L) + 1 \leq |L| \cdot (i_3(G/L) + 1)
\]

and thus \( i_3(G/L) > 7|G/L|/20 - 1 \). In particular, if \( L \) is nontrivial then the inductive hypothesis implies that \( G/L \) is soluble, hence \( G \) is soluble, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we may assume that \( L \) is trivial.

Let \( N \) be a minimal normal subgroup of \( G \). Since \( L \) is trivial, it follows that \( N \) is nonsoluble, so \( N \cong J \times \cdots \times J \) is a direct product of isomorphic nonabelian simple groups, with \( t \) factors, say. By Lemma 2.14(i) (and Remark 2.15),

\[
i_3(N) = (1 + i_3(J))^t - 1 \leq (\frac{7}{20}|J|)^t - 1 \leq \frac{7}{20}|N| - 1,
\]

so (2.3) implies that there exists \( g \in G \setminus N \) of order 3 such that \( i_3(Ng) > 7|N|/20 \).
If \( g \in C_G(N) \) then again Lemma 2.14(i) and Remark 2.15 imply that
\[
i_3(Ng) = i_3(N) + 1 \leq (\frac{7}{20}|J|)^t \leq \frac{7}{20}|N|,
\]
with equality if and only if \( N = A_5 \). Therefore, we may assume that conjugation by \( g \) induces a nontrivial automorphism of \( N \), say \( \psi_g \in \text{Aut}(N) \).

Now \( i_3(Ng) \leq i_3(\text{Inn}(N)\psi_g) \), where \( \text{Inn}(N)\psi_g \) is a coset of \( \text{Inn}(N) \cong N \) in \( \text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(J) \wr S_t \). Suppose that \( \psi_g \in \text{Aut}(J)^t \). If \( J \neq L_2(8) \) then Lemma 2.14(i) yields
\[
i_3(Ng) \leq i_3(\text{Aut}(J)^t) = (1 + i_3(\text{Aut}(J)))^t - 1 < (\frac{7}{20}|J|)^t \leq \frac{7}{20}|N|.
\]
Similarly, if \( J = L_2(8) \) then applying Lemma 2.14(ii) leads to
\[
i_3(Ng) < \left( \frac{225}{504}|J| \right)^t \leq \frac{7}{20}|N|
\]
for all \( t \geq 2 \), while if \( t = 1 \) then
\[
i_3(Ng) \leq 84 < \frac{7}{20}|N|
\]
since any coset of \( J \) in \( \text{Aut}(J) \) contains at most 84 elements of order 3 (equality if the coset contains field automorphisms).

Now suppose that \( \psi_g \in \text{Aut}(N) \setminus \text{Aut}(J)^t \), so \( t \geq 3 \) and \( \psi_g = (g_1, \ldots, g_t; \sigma) \), where \( g_i \in \text{Aut}(J) \) and \( \sigma \in S_t \) has cycle-shape \( (3^k, 1^{t-3k}) \) for some \( k \geq 1 \). Then by Lemma 2.14,
\[
i_3(Ng) \leq i_3(\text{Aut}(J)^t\sigma) = |\text{Aut}(J)|^{2k} \left( i_3(\text{Aut}(J)^{t-3k}) + 1 \right)
\leq \left( \frac{1}{15}|J|^3 \right)^k \left( (i_3(\text{Aut}(J)) + 1)^{t-3k} - 1 + 1 \right)
\leq \left( \frac{1}{15}|J|^3 \right)^k \left( \frac{225}{504}|J| \right)^{t-3k}
< \frac{7}{20}|N|.
\]
We conclude that \( i_3(Ng) \leq 7|N|/20 \) for all elements \( g \in G \setminus N \) of order 3. This final contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.17.** If \( G \) is nonsoluble then it is easy to see that the above argument implies that \( i_3(G) = 7|G|/20 - 1 \) if and only if \( G = A_5 \times B \) with \( i_3(B) = |B| - 1 \).

### 3. Simple groups

In this section we prove the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \( G \) be a finite simple group. Then one of the following holds:
(i) \( G = Z_2 \) and \( \delta(G) = 1 \);  
(ii) \( G = Z_3 \) and \( \delta(G) = 1 \);
(iii) \( G = A_5 \) and \( \delta(G) = 31; \)
(iv) \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1. \)

If \( G \) is an abelian simple group then \( G = Z_p \) for some prime \( p \), so \( \delta(G) = 1 \) and thus \( Z_2 \) and \( Z_3 \) are the only examples with \( \delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1 \). Now suppose that \( G \) is a nonabelian finite simple group. We partition the analysis into a number of separate lemmas, according to the type of \( G \).

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \( G \) be a sporadic simple group. Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1. \)

**Proof.** The character table of \( G \) is available in the GAP Character Table Library [2], and it is straightforward to calculate \( \delta(G) \) precisely. □

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose that \( G = A_n \) with \( n \geq 5 \). Then either \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1, \) or \( n = 5 \) and \( \delta(G) = 31. \)

**Proof.** The case \( n = 5 \) can be checked directly, so let us assume that \( n \geq 6 \). In view of Lemma 2.3, it suffices to show that

\[
3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq |G|. \tag{3.1}
\]

We have

\[
i_2(G) = \sum_{l=1}^{[n/4]} \frac{n!}{(2l)!(n-4l)!2^{2l}} \leq \left( \frac{1}{8(n-4)!} + \frac{1}{4!2^4} \sum_{l=2}^{[n/4]} \frac{1}{(n-4l)!} \right) n!
\]

and

\[
i_3(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{[n/3]} \frac{n!}{k!(n-3k)!3^k} \leq \left( \frac{1}{3(n-3)!} + \frac{1}{18} \sum_{k=2}^{[n/3]} \frac{1}{(n-3k)!} \right) n!.
\]

Now

\[
\sum_{l=2}^{[n/4]} \frac{1}{(n-4l)!} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(4l)!} < \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{24^l} = \frac{24}{23}
\]

and thus (2.2) implies that

\[
3i_2(G) + i_3(G) < \left( \frac{3}{8(n-4)!} + \frac{1}{3(n-3)!} + \frac{3}{4!2^4} \cdot \frac{24}{23} + \frac{1}{18} \cdot \frac{6}{5} \right) n!.
\]

We conclude that (3.1) holds for all \( n \geq 6 \). □

**Lemma 3.4.** Let \( G = L_2(q) \), where \( q \geq 7 \). Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1. \)

**Proof.** As before, it suffices to show that (3.1) holds. If \( q \) is even then

\[
i_2(G) = q^2 - 1, \quad i_3(G) \leq \frac{|GL_2(q)|}{(q-1)^2} = q(q+1), \quad |G| = q(q^2 - 1)
\]
and thus (3.1) holds for all $q \geq 8$. Similarly, if $q$ is odd then
\[ i_2(G) \leq \frac{|\text{GL}_2(q)|}{2(q-1)^2} = \frac{1}{2} q(q+1), \quad i_3(G) \leq q(q+1), \quad |G| = \frac{1}{2} q(q^2 - 1) \]
and again (3.1) follows.

**Lemma 3.5.** Suppose that $G = \text{L}_4^\pm(2)$ or $\text{L}_3^\pm(q)$, where $3 \leq q \leq 7$. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

**Proof.** Direct calculation, using GAP [2] for example.

To deal with the remaining simple groups of Lie type we apply the bounds in Lemma 2.13. Indeed, one can check that if $G \neq \text{L}_2(q)$ is a group of Lie type over $\mathbb{F}_q$, and $G$ is not one of the cases listed in Lemma 3.5, then
\[ 3 + 3 \cdot 2(1 + q^{-1})q f(G, 2) + 2(1 + q^{-1})q f(G, 3) \leq g(G) \]
where the terms $f(G, 2)$, $f(G, 3)$ and $g(G)$ are given in Table 2. Therefore (3.1) holds and we are done.

**Remark 3.6.** It is interesting to consider the asymptotic behaviour of $\delta(G)$, especially in the case where $G$ is a simple group. Here we expect that $\delta(G)/|G| \to 0$ as $|G| \to \infty$; for example, explicit calculation suggests that $\delta(A_n)/|A_n| < 1/n$ for all $n \geq 8$. This is clearly not true for nonsoluble groups in general. For instance, if $G = A_5 \times E$, where $E$ is elementary abelian of order $2^n$, then
\[ \delta(G)/|G| = \frac{4}{15} + 2^{-n-2}. \]

Let $p(G)$ be the proportion of *elements* of prime order in a finite group $G$. It would also be interesting to study the asymptotic behaviour of $p(G)$ when $G$ is a simple group. We note that if $G$ is a group of Lie type of bounded rank then perhaps $p(G)$ does not tend to zero. For example, if $q$ is a Germain prime—that is, a prime of the form $2p + 1$ with $p$ prime—then $i_p(\text{PSL}_2(q)) \approx |G|/2$. However, it is not known whether or not there are infinitely many such primes. The same applies for primes of the form $cp + 1$, where $c \geq 4$ is a fixed even integer.

4. **Nonsoluble groups**

In this section we use Proposition 3.1 to establish Theorem 1.1 for nonsoluble groups. More precisely, we prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let $G$ be a finite nonsoluble group. Then $\delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1$ if and only if $G = A_5$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $G$ be a finite group and let $N$ be a maximal normal subgroup of $G$ such that $G/N \notin \{Z_2, Z_3, A_5\}$. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1 we have $\delta(G/N) \leq |G/N|/2 - 1$, hence Corollary 2.2 yields $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$. \(\square\)
Lemma 4.3. Let \( G \) be a nonsoluble group with a normal subgroup \( N \) such that \( G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \). Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \).

Proof. Since \( G \) and \( N \) are nonsoluble, Lemmas 2.9 and 2.16 imply that
\[
i_2(G) \leq \frac{4}{15}|G| - 1, \quad i_3(G) = i_3(N) \leq \frac{7}{20}|N| - 1 = \frac{7}{20}|G| - 1,
\]
whence \( 3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq |G| - 1 \) and the result follows from Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.4. Let \( G \) be a nonsoluble group with a normal subgroup \( N \) such that \( G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \). Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \).

Proof. Here Lemmas 2.9 and 2.16 imply that
\[
i_2(G) = i_2(N) \leq \frac{4}{15}|N| - 1 = \frac{4}{15}|G| - 1, \quad i_3(G) \leq \frac{7}{20}|G| - 1
\]
and again we get \( 3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq |G| \).

Lemma 4.5. Let \( G \) be a finite group with a nontrivial normal subgroup \( N \) such that \( G/N \cong A_5 \). Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \).

Proof. As before, it suffices to show that \( 3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq |G| \). Note that Lemma 2.16 implies that \( i_3(G) \leq 7|G|/20 - 1 \). First suppose that \( N \) is nonabelian. Then Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 give \( i_2(N) \leq 3|N|/4 - 1 \) and \( i_2(Nx) \leq 3|N|/4 \) for all involutions \( x \in G \setminus N \). Therefore
\[
i_2(G) \leq i_2(N) + i_2(G/N) \cdot \frac{3}{4}|N| \leq 16 \cdot \frac{3}{4}|N| - 1 = \frac{1}{2}|G| - 1,
\]
and the result follows since
\[
3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq \frac{3}{2}|G| + \frac{7}{20}|G| - 1 < |G|.
\]

Now assume that \( N \) is abelian. First consider the case where \( N \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group. Let \( Nx = (1, 2)(3, 4) \) and \( Ny = (1, 2, 3) \) represent the unique classes of elements of order 2 and 3 in \( G/N \cong A_5 \), with respective class sizes 15 and 20.

First suppose that \( p > 2 \). If \( i_2(Nx) = |N| \) then Lemma 2.10 indicates that every involution in \( G \setminus N \) inverts \( N \) elementwise, but this is not possible since \( x \) commutes with an involution \( z \in G \setminus N \) in the coset \( Nz = (1, 3)(2, 4) \), so \( xz \) centralizes \( N \). Therefore, \( i_2(Nx) < |N| \), hence \( i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/3 \) (see Lemma 2.7) and thus
\[
3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq 3 + 3 \cdot 15 \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| + \frac{7}{20}|G| - 1 < |G|.
\]

Next assume that \( p = 2 \). If \( x \in C_G(N) \) then \( y \in C_G(N) \) (since every element of order 3 in \( A_5 \) is a product of two involutions), so \( i_3(G) \leq i_3(A_5) = 20 \) and the result follows since \( i_2(G) \leq 16|N| - 1 \). On the other hand, if \( i_2(Nx) < |N| \) then \( i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/2 \) by Lemma 2.7, so
\[
3 + 3i_2(G) + i_3(G) \leq 3 + 3 \cdot (|N| - 1 + 15 \cdot \frac{1}{2}|N|) + 20|N| < |G|.
\]
To deal with the general abelian case, let $p$ be a prime which divides $|N|$ and let $M = \{n^p \mid n \in N\}$. Then $M$ is a characteristic subgroup of $N$ and $N/M$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. Now $(G/M)/(N/M) \cong A_5$, so our earlier argument yields $\delta(G/M) \leq |G/M|/2 - 1$ and thus Corollary 2.2 gives $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$. □

Proposition 4.1 now follows from Lemmas 4.2–4.5.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In view of Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we may assume that $G$ is soluble and that any maximal normal subgroup $N$ of $G$ satisfies $G/N \in \{Z_2, Z_3\}$. We will establish Theorem 1.1 by proving the nonexistence of a minimal counterexample (see Propositions 5.9 and 5.10). To do this, we require several preliminary lemmas which deal with various special cases.

At the end of this section we also establish the precise values of $\delta(G)$ listed in Table 1, and we prove Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4.

**Lemma 5.1.** Let $G$ be a finite soluble group with a nontrivial normal subgroup $N$ such that $G/N \cong S_4$. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

**Proof.** Here $G/N \cong S_4$ has two classes of involutions, with representatives $Nx_1 = (1, 2), Nx_2 = (1, 2)(3, 4)$ and respective class sizes 6 and 3. There is a unique class of elements of order 3, with representative $Ny = (1, 2, 3)$ and class size 8.

If $N$ is nonabelian then Corollary 2.6 implies that $i_2(G \setminus N) \leq 9 \cdot 3|N|/4$, hence

$$
\delta(G) = \delta(N) + i_2(G \setminus N) + \frac{1}{2} i_3(G \setminus N) \leq |N| - 1 + \frac{27}{4} |N| + 4|N| < \frac{1}{2} |G| - 1
$$

since $\delta(N) \leq |N| - 1$ and $i_3(G \setminus N) \leq 8|N|$.

Next suppose that $N$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. First assume that $p = 2$. If $x_1 \in C_G(N)$ then $y \in C_G(N)$, so $i_3(G) \leq 8$ and the trivial bound $i_2(G) \leq 10|N| - 1$ is sufficient since $|G| \geq 48$. Otherwise, $i_2(Nx_1) \leq |N|/2$ (see Lemma 2.7) and the desired result follows since

$$
i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} |N| + 3|N| = 7|N| - 1, \quad i_3(G) \leq 8|N|
$$

and $\delta(G) = i_2(G) + i_3(G)/2$.

Now assume that $p = 3$. If $x_1 \in C_G(N)$ then $x_2, y \in C_G(N)$ and the bounds $i_2(G) \leq 9$ and $i_3(G) \leq 9|N| - 1$ are sufficient. Similarly, if $x_1$ inverts $N$ elementwise then $x_2, y \in C_G(N)$ and the result follows since $i_2(G) \leq 3 + 6|N|$ and $i_3(G) \leq 9|N| - 1$. Finally, if $x_1$ neither centralizes $N$ nor inverts $N$ elementwise then $i_2(Nx_1) \leq |N|/3$ (see Lemma 2.7) and the bounds

$$
i_2(G) \leq 6 \cdot \frac{1}{2} |N| + 3|N| = 6|N|, \quad i_3(G) \leq 9|N| - 1
$$

are good enough. A very similar argument applies if $p \geq 5$ and we leave the details to the reader.
To deal with the general abelian case, let \( p \) be a prime which divides \(|N|\) and set \( M = \{ n^p \mid n \in N \} \). Then \( N/M \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group and the above argument yields \( \delta(G/M) \leq |G/M|/2 - 1 \) since \( (G/M)/(N/M) \cong S_4 \). Now Corollary 2.2 yields \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) as required. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.2.** Let \( G \) be a finite soluble group with a nontrivial normal subgroup \( N \) such that \( G/N \cong S_3 \times S_3 \). Then \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \).

**Proof.** The group \( G/N \cong S_3 \times S_3 \) has three classes of involutions, with representatives \( Nx_1 = ((1, 2), 1) \), \( Nx_2 = (1, (1, 2)) \), \( Nx_3 = N x_1 x_2 \) and respective class sizes 3, 3 and 9. Similarly, there are three classes of elements of order 3, with representatives \( Ny_1 = ((1, 2, 3), 1) \), \( Ny_2 = (1, (1, 2), 3) \), \( Ny_3 = Ny_1 y_2 \) and class sizes 2, 2 and 4.

If \( N \) is nonabelian then Corollary 2.6 implies that \( i_2(G \setminus N) \leq 15 \cdot 3|N|/4 \), so \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) since \( \delta(N) \leq |N| - 1 \) and \( i_3(G \setminus N) \leq 8|N| \).

Next suppose that \( N \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group. First assume that \( p = 2 \).

Suppose that \( x_3 \in C_G(N) \), so that \( y_3 \in C_G(N) \). If \( x_1, x_2 \in C_G(N) \) then \( y_1, y_2 \in C_G(N) \), hence \( i_3(G) \leq 8 \) and the bound \( i_2(G) \leq 16|N| - 1 \) is good enough since \(|G| \geq 72 \). Similarly, if \( x_1 \in C_G(N) \) and \( x_2 \notin C_G(N) \) then \( i_2(G) \leq 13|N| - 1 + 3|N|/2 \) (since \( i_2(N x_2) \leq |N|/2 \); see Lemma 2.7), \( i_3(G) \leq 2|N| + 6 \) and again the desired bound follows. On the other hand, if \( x_1 \notin C_G(N) \) then \( i_2(N x_1) \leq |N|/2 \) and the subsequent bounds

\[
i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{2}|N| + 12|N|, \quad i_3(G) \leq 4|N| + 4
\]

suffice. Finally, if \( x_3 \notin C_G(N) \) then \( i_2(N x_3) \leq |N|/2 \) and the result follows since

\[
i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 6|N| + 9 \cdot \frac{1}{2}|N|, \quad i_3(G) \leq 8|N|.
\]

Now assume that \( p = 3 \). Since \( i_3(G) \leq 9|N| - 1 \), it suffices to show that \( i_2(G) \leq 13|N| \). Suppose that \( x_3 \) inverts \( N \) elementwise. If \( x_1 \) also inverts \( N \) then \( x_2 \) does not (since \( x_3 \notin C_G(N) \)), so Lemma 2.7 implies that \( i_2(N x_2) \leq |N|/3 \) and thus

\[
i_2(G) \leq 9|N| + 3|N| + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| = 13|N|
\]
as required. The same bound on \( i_2(G) \) clearly holds if \( x_1 \) does not invert \( N \) elementwise. Finally, if \( x_3 \) does not invert \( N \) elementwise then \( i_2(N x_3) \leq |N|/3 \) and thus

\[
i_2(G) \leq 9 \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| + 6|N| = 9|N|.
\]

An entirely similar argument applies when \( p \geq 5 \) and we omit the details.

The general abelian case now follows as in the proof of the previous lemma. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.3.** Let \( G \) be a finite soluble group with a nontrivial normal subgroup \( N \) such that \( G/N \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times E \), where \( \exp(E) \leq 2 \). Then one of the following holds:

(i) \( G \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times F \) with \( \exp(F) \leq 2 \);

(ii) \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \).
PROOF. Here $i_2(G/N) = |G/N|/2 - 1$ and $i_3(G/N) = 2$. If $N$ is nonabelian then Corollary 2.6 implies that $i_2(Nx) \leq 3|N|/4$ for all involutions $x \in G \setminus N$, hence

$$\delta(G) \leq |N| - 1 + (\frac{1}{2} |G/N| - 1) \cdot \frac{3}{4} |N| + |N| \leq \frac{1}{2} |G| - 1.$$ 

Now assume that $N$ is abelian. Suppose that there exists a noncentral involution $Nx \in G/N$ such that $i_2(Nx) < |N|$. Then Lemma 2.7 implies that $i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/2$, hence

$$i_2(G \setminus N) \leq 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} |N| + (|G/N|/2 - 3)|N| = \frac{1}{2} |G| - 2|N|$$

and (ii) follows since $i_3(G \setminus N) \leq 2|N|$ and $\delta(N) \leq |N| - 1$. Therefore, we may assume that all noncentral involutions $Nx \in G/N$ satisfy $i_2(Nx) = |N|$. Clearly there exist distinct noncentral involutions $Nx_1, Nx_2$ such that $Nx_1x_2$ is also a noncentral involution, so $x_1x_2$ both inverts and centralizes $N$ elementwise, hence $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. The noncentral involutions generate $G/N$, so $G \cong (G/N) \times N \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times (E \times N)$ and (i) holds.

□

LEMMA 5.4. Let $G$ be a finite soluble group with a minimal normal subgroup $N$ such that $G/N \cong S_3$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) $G \cong D(A)$, where $A$ is abelian and $\exp(A) \geq 3$;

(ii) $G \cong S_4$;

(iii) $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

PROOF. Here $N$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group, of order $p^m$ say. Let $Nx = (1, 2)$ and $Ny = (1, 2, 3)$ represent the unique classes of elements of order 2 and 3 in $G/N \cong S_3$, with respective class sizes 3 and 2.

First suppose that $p = 2$. If $x \in C_G(N)$ then $y \in C_G(N)$ and it follows that $G \cong N \times S_3 \cong D(N \times Z_3)$, so (i) holds. Now assume that $x \not\in C_G(N)$. If $i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/4$ then $i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 3|N|/4$ and the bound $i_3(G) \leq 2|N|$ is good enough. Therefore, we may assume that $i_2(Nx) = |N|/2$. If $i_3(Ny) \leq |N|/2$, which must be the case if $m$ is odd (see the proof of Lemma 2.11(iii)), then the result follows since $i_2(G) = 5|N|/2 - 1$ and $i_3(G) \leq |N|/2$.

Therefore, we may assume that $m$ is even, $i_2(Nx) = |N|/2$ and $i_3(Ny) = |N|$. If $m \geq 4$ then the hypothesis $i_2(Nx) = |N|/2$ implies that there exists a nontrivial $n \in N$ which is centralized by $x$ and $x'$, where $x' \in G \setminus N$ is an involution and $xx'$ has order 3. Then $xx' \in C_G(n)$ and thus $i_3(Ny) < |N|$, which is a contradiction. Finally, if $m = 2$ then it is easy to see that $G \cong S_4$ and thus (ii) holds.

Next suppose that $p = 3$. If $x$ inverts $N$ elementwise then $y \in C_G(N)$ and it follows that $G \cong D(N \times Z_3)$. Otherwise, $i_2(G) \leq 3|N|/3$ and the bound $i_3(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 2|N|$ is sufficient.

An entirely similar argument applies when $p \geq 5$ and we omit the details. □

In the next lemma we refer to the groups $T(r)$ which are defined in the introduction (see collection (V) in the definition of $\mathcal{L}$).
Lemma 5.5. Let $G$ be a finite group with a nontrivial normal elementary abelian 2-subgroup $N$ of index 3. Then one of the following holds:

(i) $G \cong T(r) \times E$ and $Z(G) \cong E$, where $r \geq 1$ and $\exp(E) \leq 2$;
(ii) $G \cong Z_3 \times N$.

In particular, either $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$, or $G \cong T(r)$ for some $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Here $G$ is a split extension of $N$ by $\langle x \rangle = Z_3$, where $|N| = 2^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Let $\psi \in \text{GL}_n(2)$ be the automorphism of $N$ induced by $x$. If $\psi$ is trivial then (ii) holds, so assume otherwise. Then $\psi$ is $\text{GL}_n(2)$-conjugate to a block-diagonal matrix of the form $[A, \ldots, A, I_{n-2r}]$ ($r$ copies of $A$), where $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $r \geq 1$. (Indeed, any element of order 3 in $\text{GL}_n(2)$ is conjugate to such a matrix.)

Fix a basis $\{u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, w_1, \ldots, w_{n-2r}\}$ of $N$ so that $\psi = [A, \ldots, A, I_{n-2r}]$ with respect to this basis. Then

$$G \cong \langle u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, w_1, \ldots, w_{n-2r}, x | u_i^2 = v_i^2 = w_i^2 = x^3 = 1, \text{ all pairs of generators commute except } [x, u_i] = u_i v_i, [x, v_i] = u_i \rangle$$

and thus

$$G \cong \langle u_1, v_1, \ldots, u_r, v_r, x | w_1, \ldots, w_{n-2r} \rangle \cong T(r) \times E,$$

where $r \geq 1$ and $\exp(E) \leq 2$. It is not difficult to see that $Z(T(r))$ is trivial, whence $Z(G) \cong E$ as claimed.

The bound $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$ is clear in case (ii), so let us consider (i). Here $i_2(T(r)) = |T(r)|/3 - 1$ and $i_3(T(r)) = 2|T(r)|/3$ (since $\delta(T(r)) = 2|T(r)|/3 - 1$; see Table 1), hence

$$\delta(G) = \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3|E|} \right)|G| - 1$$

and thus $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$ if and only if $E$ is nontrivial. \qed

Lemma 5.6. Let $G$ be a finite group with a nontrivial normal abelian 2-subgroup $N$ of index 9. Then $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

Proof. First observe that $i_2(G) = i_2(N) \leq |N| - 1$. If $G/N$ is cyclic then $i_3(G) \leq 3|N|$ so we may as well assume that $G/N \cong Z_3 \times Z_3$. Let $N x_i$ denote the elements of order 3 in $G/N$, $1 \leq i \leq 8$.

First suppose that $N$ is elementary abelian, of order $2^n$ say. As observed in the proof of the previous lemma, we have $i_3(N x_i) = 2^{n-\alpha_i}$, where $|C_N(x_i)| = 2^{\alpha_i}$ and each $n - \alpha_i$ is even (or zero). If $x_i \not\in C_G(N)$ for some $i$ then $i_3(N x_i) = i_3(N x_i^2) = 1$, so $i_3(G) \leq 6|N| + 2$ and the result follows. Now assume that $x_i \not\in C_G(N)$ for all $i$. If $i_3(N x_i) = |N|$ for all $i$ then $G$ is a Frobenius group with kernel $N$ and complement $Z_3 \times Z_3$, but this is not possible since a Sylow $p$-subgroup of a Frobenius complement must be cyclic for any odd prime $p$ (see [3, Theorem 3.1(iv), Section 10.3], for example). Therefore, $i_3(N x_k) = i_3(N x_k^2) < |N|$ for some $k$. In fact, if $n$ is odd then $i_3(N x_i) \leq |N|/2$ for all $i$ (since $n - \alpha_i$ is even), hence $i_3(G) \leq 4|N|$.
and we are done. Similarly, if $n$ is even then the bound $i_3(Nx_i) < |N|$ implies that $i_3(Nx_i) \leq |N|/4$ and the result follows since $i_3(G) \leq 2|N|/4 + 6|N|$.

To deal with the general case, let $M = \{n^2 \mid n \in N\}$. Then $N/M$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and the above argument shows that $\delta(G/M) \leq |G/M|/2 - 1$ since $(G/M)/(N/M) \cong Z_3 \times Z_3$. The desired result now follows from Corollary 2.2. □

**Lemma 5.7.** Let $G$ be a finite soluble group with a nontrivial normal subgroup $N$ of odd order such that $G/N$ is a nontrivial 2-group. Then one of the following holds:

(i) $G \cong D(A)$, where $A$ is abelian and $\exp(A) \geq 3$;

(ii) $G \cong S_3 \times S_3$;

(iii) $G \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times E$, where $\exp(E) \leq 2$;

(iv) $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

**Proof.** Here $G$ is a split extension of $N$ by a nontrivial 2-subgroup $K$, and

$$\delta(G) = i_2(G) + \delta(N).$$

First suppose that $i_2(Nx) < |N|$ for all $x \in K$. Then Lemma 2.8 implies that $i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/3$ and thus

$$i_2(G) \leq i_2(K) \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| \leq (|K| - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| = \frac{1}{3}(|G| - |N|).$$

Now $\delta(N) \leq (|N| - 1)/2$ (maximal if $\exp(N) = 3$), hence (5.1) yields

$$\delta(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}(|G| - |N|) + \frac{1}{2}(|N| - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{6|K|}\right)|G| - \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{5}{12}|G| - \frac{1}{2}$$

and thus (iv) holds since $|G| \geq 6$.

For the remainder we may assume that there exists an involution $x \in K$ such that $i_2(Nx) = |N|$, so $N$ is abelian by Lemma 2.4. Now, if $|K| = 2$ then $G \cong D(N)$ and (i) holds, so we may assume that $|K| \geq 4$.

For now we will assume that $C_G(N) \leq N$. If $x_1, x_2 \in K$ are distinct involutions such that $i_2(Nx_1) = i_2(Nx_2) = |N|$ then $x_1x_2 \in C_K(N)$ is nontrivial, but this contradicts the hypothesis that $C_G(N) \leq N$. Therefore, there is at most one involution $x \in K$ with $i_2(Nx) = |N|$; for any other involution $y \in K$ we have $i_2(Ny) \leq |N|/3$ by Lemma 2.8. This implies that

$$i_2(G) \leq |N| + (i_2(K) - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{3}|N| \leq \frac{1}{3}(|G| + |N|)$$

since $i_2(K) \leq |K| - 1$, and thus (5.1) yields

$$\delta(G) \leq \frac{1}{3}(|G| + |N|) + \frac{1}{2}(|N| - 1) = \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{5}{6|K|}\right)|G| - \frac{1}{2}$$

since $\delta(N) \leq (|N| - 1)/2$. In particular, (iv) holds if $|K| \geq 8$.

Next suppose that $|K| = 4$ and let us continue to assume that $C_G(N) \leq N$. If $K \cong Z_4$ then $\delta(G/N) = |G/N|/2 - 1$ and thus (iv) follows from Corollary 2.2. Therefore, we may assume that $K$ is elementary abelian. Let $x_1, x_2$ and $x_3$ be the distinct involutions in $K$, where $x_3 = x_1x_2$ and $i_2(Nx_1) = |N|$. For $i = 2, 3$ let $Q_i$ be the set of elements $n \in N$ such that $nx_i$ is an involution. Since $N$ is abelian, each $Q_i$ is a
subgroup of \( N \), and the hypothesis \( C_G(N) \leq N \) implies that \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \) are nontrivial. More precisely, we have \( N = Q_2 \times Q_3 \), \( Q_2 = C_N(x_3) \) and \( Q_3 = C_N(x_2) \), hence \( G \cong D(Q_2) \times D(Q_3) \) and (5.1) implies that
\[
\delta(G) \leq \left( \frac{3}{8} + \frac{1}{4|Q_2|} + \frac{1}{4|Q_3|} \right)|G| - \frac{1}{2}
\] (5.2)
since \( \delta(N) \leq (|N| - 1)/2 \). If \( |Q_2| \geq 7 \) then one can check that (5.2) yields \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) since \( |Q_3| \geq 3 \). By symmetry, the same is true if \( |Q_3| \geq 7 \), so we may assume that \( |Q_i| \in \{3, 5\} \) for \( i = 2, 3 \). If \( |Q_2| = |Q_3| = 5 \) then (5.2) is good enough, while \( i_2(G) = 23 \) and \( \delta(N) = 2 \) if \( |Q_2| = 3 \) and \( |Q_3| = 5 \) (or vice versa), hence \( \delta(G) = 5|G|/12 \). Finally, if \( |Q_2| = |Q_3| = 3 \) then \( G \cong S_3 \times S_3 \) and (ii) holds.

To complete the proof of the lemma, let us now assume that \( C_G(N) \) is not contained in \( N \). Then \( C_G(N) = N \times L \), where \( L \) is a nontrivial normal 2-subgroup of \( G \). If \( G = N \times L \) then the bound \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) quickly follows, so let us assume that \( G \neq N \times L \). Then \( G/L \) is a split extension of \( NL/L \cong N \) by a nontrivial 2-subgroup \( J/L \cong G/NL \), and we claim that
\[
C_G/L(NL/L) \leq NL/L.
\]
To see this, suppose that \( Lg \in C_G/L(NL/L) \). Then for each nontrivial \( n \in N \) there exists \( l \in L \) such that \( g^{-1}ng = ln \), but \( l \) must be trivial since \( n \) has odd order, \( L \) is a 2-group and \( [l, n] = 1 \). Hence \( Lg \in NL/L \) and the claim follows. In particular, we may apply our earlier work to the factor group \( G/L \).

Now, if \( |J/L| \geq 8 \) then our earlier analysis implies that \( \delta(G/L) \leq |G/L|/2 - 1 \), so (iv) holds by Corollary 2.2. Next suppose that \( |J/L| = 4 \). As before, if \( J/L \cong Z_4 \) then our earlier work gives \( \delta(G/L) \leq |G/L|/2 - 1 \) and again (iv) holds. Therefore, we may assume that \( J/L \cong Z_2 \times Z_2 \). Once again, by our previous analysis, we reduce to the case \( G/L \cong S_3 \times S_3 \), so Lemma 5.2 implies that \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) and we are done.

Finally, let us assume that \( |J/L| = 2 \), so that \( G \) is a split extension of \( C_G(N) = N \times L \) by \( \langle x \rangle \cong Z_2 \), where \( x \) inverts \( N \) elementwise. Let \( H = L.\langle x \rangle \) and note that \( H \) is a Sylow 2-subgroup of \( G \). If \( H \) is elementary abelian then \( G \cong N.\langle x \rangle \times L \cong D(N \times L) \) and (i) holds. For the remainder, let us assume that \( H \) is not elementary abelian, so that \( i_2(H) \leq 3|H|/4 - 1 \) by Corollary 2.5. Now, if \( L \) is elementary abelian then
\[
i_2(H \setminus L) = i_2(H) - i_2(L) \leq \frac{3}{4}|H| - 1 - (|L| - 1) = \frac{1}{4}|H|
\]
so
\[
i_2(G \setminus (N \times L)) \leq i_2(H \setminus L) \cdot |G : N_G(H)| \leq \frac{1}{4}|H| \cdot |N| = \frac{1}{4}|G|
\]
and thus (5.1) yields
\[
\delta(G) \leq |L| - 1 + \frac{1}{4}|G| + \frac{1}{2}(|N| - 1) = \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2}|N| + \frac{1}{4|L|} \right)|G| - \frac{3}{2}.
\]
We conclude that \( \delta(G) \leq 23|G|/48 - 3/2 \) since \( |N| \geq 3 \) and \( |L| \geq 4 \) (if \( |L| = 2 \) then \( H = L.\langle x \rangle \) is elementary abelian, which is not the case).
For the remainder, we may assume that $H$ and $L$ are not elementary abelian. If $i_2(Lx) = |L|$ then $L$ is abelian (see Lemma 2.4) and $x$ inverts $N \times L$ elementwise, hence $G \cong D(N \times L)$ and (i) holds. Therefore, we may assume that $i_2(Lx) < |L|$, so that $i_2(Lx) \leq 3|L|/4$ (see Lemma 2.4) and thus

$$i_2(G \setminus (N \times L)) \leq |N| \cdot \frac{3}{4} |L| = \frac{3}{8} |G|.$$  

Since $i_2(L) \leq 3|L|/4 - 1$ (see Corollary 2.5) and $\delta(N) \leq (|N| - 1)/2$, (5.1) gives

$$\delta(G) \leq \frac{3}{4} |L| - 1 + \frac{3}{8} |G| + \frac{1}{2}(|N| - 1) = \left(\frac{3}{8} + \frac{3}{8|N|} + \frac{1}{4|L|}\right) |G| - \frac{3}{2}$$

and thus (iv) holds if $|N| \geq 5$ (again recall that $|L| \geq 4$ because $H$ is not elementary abelian). Therefore, we may assume that $N = Z_3$. Now, if $i_2(Lx) < 3|L|/4$ or $i_2(L) < 3|L|/4 - 1$ then by (5.1) we have

$$\delta(G) \leq \frac{3}{4} |L| + \frac{3}{8} |G| - 1 = \frac{1}{2} |G| - 1,$$

so we may assume that $i_2(Lx) = 3|L|/4$ and $i_2(L) = 3|L|/4 - 1$.

Now by the main theorem of \cite{20} (and the values of $\delta(G)$ listed in Table 1), it follows that $L \cong D_8 \times E$, where $\exp(E) \leq 2$. Similarly, if $H = L.\langle x \rangle$ then $i_2(H) = 3|H|/4 - 1$ so we also have $H \cong D_8 \times F$, where $\exp(F) \leq 2$. We deduce that $H = L.\langle x' \rangle$, where $x' \in Z(H)$ and $x'$ inverts $N$ elementwise, so that

$$G = (N \times L).\langle x' \rangle = N.\langle x' \rangle \times L \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times E$$

and thus (iii) holds. \hfill $\square$

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $G$ be a finite soluble group with a minimal normal subgroup $N$ such that $G/N \cong D(A)$, where $A$ is abelian and $\exp(A) \geq 3$. Then one of the following holds:

(i) $G$ is a 2-group;

(ii) $G \cong D(B)$, where $B$ is abelian and $\exp(B) \geq 3$;

(iii) $G \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times E$, where $\exp(E) \leq 2$;

(iv) $G \cong S_3 \times S_3$;

(v) $G \cong S_4$;

(vi) $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$.

**Proof.** Here $N$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. Let $H$ be an index-two subgroup of $G$ containing $N$ such that $H/N \cong A$. Since $A$ is abelian, $H/N = H_1/N \times H_2/N$ where $H_1/N$ is a 2-group and $H_2/N$ has odd order. Note that $H_1$ and $H_2$ are normal subgroups of $G$.

First assume that $p \geq 3$. Here $H_2$ has odd order and $G/H_2 \cong (G/N)/(H_2/N)$ is a nontrivial 2-group, so the desired conclusion follows from Lemma 5.7.

For the remainder we may assume that $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-group, say $|N| = 2^n$. If $A$ is a 2-group then so is $G$ and thus (i) holds, so we may as well assume that $|A|$ is divisible by an odd prime. Suppose that $i_2(G \setminus H) > |G|/3$. Then Lemma 2.12 implies that $H = K_1 \times K_2$, where $K_1$ is a 2-group and $|K_2|$ is odd, so
either $G$ is a 2-group (and thus (i) holds), or $G$ is an extension of a group of odd order by a nontrivial 2-group and Lemma 5.7 implies that (ii), (iii), (iv) or (vi) holds.

Therefore, for the remainder of the proof, we may assume that $i_2(G \setminus H) \leq |G|/3$, so that, by Lemma 2.1,

$$\delta(G) = i_2(G \setminus H) + \delta(H) \leq \frac{1}{3}|G| + \delta(N) + |N| \cdot \delta(A) \quad (5.3)$$

with $\delta(N) = |N| - 1$.

Suppose that $|A|$ is divisible by a prime $r \geq 5$, so $A = A_1 \times A_2$, where $A_1$ is an $r$-group and $|A_2|$ is coprime to $r$. Then $\delta(A) = \delta(A_1) + \delta(A_2)$, $\delta(A_1) \leq (|A_1| - 1)/4$ and $\delta(A_2) \leq |A_2| - 1$, hence (5.3) yields

$$\delta(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{|A_1| + 4|A_2| - 1}{8|A_1||A_2|}\right)|G| - 1.$$

If either $|A_1| \geq 9$ or $|A_2| \geq 2$ then this bound implies that (vi) holds. If $A = Z_7$ then $\delta(A) = 1$ and (5.3) gives $\delta(G) \leq 10|G|/21 - 1$, so we may assume that $A = Z_5$. Here $G/N \cong D_5$ has a unique class of involutions, with representative $Nx$ and class size 5. If $i_2(Nx) = |N|$ then $G \cong N \times D_5 \cong D(N \times Z_5)$, so (ii) holds. On the other hand, if $i_2(Nx) < |N|$ then Lemma 2.7 yields $i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/2$, hence $i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + 5|N|/2$ and thus $\delta(G) \leq 9|G|/20 - 1$ since $i_5(G) \leq 4|N|$.

For the remainder, we may assume that $A = A_1 \times A_2$ where $A_1$ is a 2-group (possibly trivial) and $A_2$ is a nontrivial 3-group. If $\exp(A_1) \geq 4$ then $\delta(A_1) \leq 3|A_1|/4 - 1$ (equality if $A_1 = Z_4 \times E$ with $\exp(E) \leq 2$) and thus (5.3) yields

$$\delta(G) \leq \left(1 + \frac{3|A_1| + 2|A_2| - 2}{8|A_1||A_2|}\right)|G| - 1$$

since $\delta(A_2) \leq (|A_2| - 1)/2$. Therefore $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1$ since $|A_1| \geq 4$ and $|A_2| \geq 3$, so we may assume that $\exp(A_1) \leq 2$.

Next we reduce to the case where $A_2 = Z_3$. Suppose that $|A_2| \geq 9$. Since $\delta(A_1) \leq |A_1| - 1$ and $\delta(A_2) \leq (|A_2| - 1)/2$, one can check that (5.3) yields $\delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 3/2$ if $A_1$ is nontrivial. Therefore, we may assume that $A = A_2$ is a 3-group. If $\exp(A) \geq 9$ then $\delta(A) = i_3(A)/2 \leq |A|/6 - 1/2$ and thus (5.3) implies that (vi) holds. Now suppose that $A$ is an elementary abelian 3-group. The case $A = Z_3$ follows from Lemma 5.4 since $D(Z_3) \cong S_3$, so we may assume that $|A| \geq 9$.

Here $G/N \cong D(A)$ has a unique conjugacy class of involutions, represented by $Nx$, of size $|A|$, and there are precisely $(|A| - 1)/2$ classes of elements of order 3, each of size 2. If $i_2(Nx) = |N|$ then it is easy to see that $G \cong D(A \times N)$, so (ii) holds. Now suppose that $i_2(Nx) < |N|$. If $i_2(Nx) \leq |N|/4$ then $i_2(G) \leq |N| - 1 + |A||N|/4$, $i_3(G) \leq (|A| - 1)|N|$ and we deduce that (vi) holds. Therefore, we may assume that $i_2(Nx) = |N|/2$, so $i_2(G) = |N| - 1 + |A||N|/2$. Since $A$ is noncyclic, there exists an element $y \in G \setminus N$ of order 3 such that $i_3(Ny) < |N|$; this quickly follows from [3, Theorem 3.1(iv), Section 10.3] (the same argument was used in the proof of Lemma 5.6). In particular, Lemma 2.7 implies that $i_3(G) \leq (|A| - 3)|N| + 2|N|/2,$
and thus
\[ \delta(G) \leq |N| - 1 + |A| \cdot \frac{1}{2}|N| + \frac{1}{2}((|A| - 3)|N| + |N|) = \frac{1}{2}|G| - 1. \]

To complete the proof, we may assume that \( A = A_1 \times Z_3 \) where \( A_1 \) is an elementary abelian 2-group of order \( 2^n, n \geq 1 \). Recall that \( H/N = H_1/N \times H_2/N \cong A_1 \times Z_3 \), so \( H = H_1H_2 \) and the \( H_i \) are normal subgroups of \( G \). Note that \( H_1 \) is the unique Sylow 2-subgroup of \( H \) and \( i_3(G) = i_3(H_2) \leq 2|H_2|/3 \) since \( H_2 = N.Z_3 \). Also recall that we may assume that \( i_2(G \setminus H) \leq |G|/3 \), hence
\[
\delta(G) = i_2(H) + i_2(G \setminus H) + \frac{1}{2}i_3(G) \leq i_2(H_1) + \frac{1}{3}|G| + \frac{1}{3}|H_2|. \quad (5.4)
\]

First assume that \( H_1 \) is not elementary abelian. Then Corollary 2.5 implies that
\( i_2(H_1) \leq 3|H_1|/4 - 1 = |G|/8 - 1 \) (since \( |G : H_1| = 6 \)), hence (vi) follows from (5.4) if \( |A_1| \geq 4 \). Now suppose that \( |A_1| = 2 \). If there exists an involution in \( H_1 \setminus N \) then \( H_1 = N.Z_2 \) is a split extension. Moreover, \( Z(H_1) \cap N \) is a nontrivial normal subgroup of \( G \), so \( N \leq Z(H_1) \) since \( N \) is a minimal normal subgroup of \( G \). Therefore \( Z(H_1) = N \) or \( H_1 \), but both possibilities imply that \( H_1 \) is elementary abelian, which is a contradiction. Therefore, \( i_2(H_1) = i_2(N) = |G|/12 - 1 \) and (vi) follows from (5.4).

Now assume that \( H_1 \) is elementary abelian. Here \( H = H_1Z_3 \) so Lemma 5.5 implies that \( H = T(r) \times E \) or \( Z_3 \times H_1 \), where \( r \geq 1 \) and exp\( (E) \leq 2 \). In the latter case, \( G \) is an extension of \( Z_3 \) by a nontrivial 2-group and Lemma 5.7 applies. Therefore we may assume that \( H = T(r) \times E \). Now all elements of order 3 in \( H \) are contained in \( H_2 \), hence \( T(r) \leq H_2 \) since \( T(r) \) is generated by elements of order 3 (this is clear since \( i_3(T(r)) = 2|T(r)|/3 \)). Therefore \( H_2 = T(r) \times (E \cap H_2) \) and \( E \cap H_2 \) is a normal subgroup of \( G \) contained in \( N \). By the minimality of \( N \), \( E \cap H_2 \) is either trivial, or equal to \( N \). The latter possibility is absurd since \( H_2 = N.Z_3 \), so \( E \cap H_2 \) is trivial and thus \( H_2 = T(r) \).

It follows that \( H = H_2 \times E \) with \( E \) normal in \( G \) and exp\( (E) \leq 2 \). Now \( NE/E \) is a minimal normal subgroup of \( G/E \) and we have \( (G/E)/(N/E) \cong S_3 \). Therefore Lemma 5.4 implies that either \( \delta(G/E) \leq |G/E|/2 - 1 \), \( G/E = D(B) \) or \( G/E = S_4 \), where \( B \) is abelian and exp\( (B) \geq 3 \). In the first case, Corollary 2.2 yields \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \), while Lemma 5.1 deals with the case \( G/E = S_4 \). Finally, suppose that \( G/E = D(B) \). Now \( H/E = H_2 = N.Z_3 \) is a subgroup of \( G/E \), so \( Z_3 \) is contained in \( B \) and thus \( Z_3 \) is normal in \( D(B) \) (any subgroup of \( B \) is normal in \( D(B) \)). Therefore \( Z_3 \) is normal in \( H_2 \), so \( G \) is an extension of \( Z_3 \) by a nontrivial 2-group and thus Lemma 5.7 applies. \( \square \)

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that \( G \) is a finite soluble group of minimal order such that \( \delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1 \) and \( G \notin \mathcal{L} \), where \( \mathcal{L} \) denotes the collection of groups labelled (I)–(X) in the introduction. Let \( N \) be a maximal normal subgroup of \( G \) and note that \( G/N \in \{ Z_2, Z_3 \} \) by Lemma 4.2. We consider both cases in turn.

**Proposition 5.9.** The case \( G/N = Z_2 \) leads to a contradiction.
PROOF. Suppose that \( G \) has a normal subgroup \( N \) of index 2. Let \( K = \bigcap_i N_i \) be the intersection of all normal subgroups \( N_i \) of \( G \) such that \( G/N_i \) is a 2-group. Then \( G/K \) is a nontrivial 2-group and \( K \) is nontrivial since all 2-groups with \( \delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1 \) are in \( \mathcal{L} \) by the main theorem of [18].

Let \( K_1 \) be maximal among normal subgroups of \( G \) properly contained in \( K \). Then \( K/K_1 \) is a minimal normal subgroup of \( G/K_1 \), so \( K/K_1 \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group, and the definition of \( K \) implies that \( p > 2 \). By Corollary 2.2, \( \delta(G/K_1) > |G/K_1|/2 - 1 \) and thus Lemma 5.7 implies that one of the following holds:

(i) \( G/K_1 \cong D(A) \), where \( A \) is abelian and \( \exp(A) \geq 3 \);
(ii) \( G/K_1 \cong S_3 \times D_8 \times E \), where \( \exp(E) \leq 2 \);
(iii) \( G/K_1 \cong S_3 \times S_3 \).

Suppose that \( G/K_1 \cong D(A) \) as in (i). Note that \( K_1 \) is nontrivial since we are assuming that \( G \notin \mathcal{L} \). Let \( K_2 \) be minimal among normal subgroups \( M \) of \( G \) such that \( G/M \) is of the form \( D(A_2) \), where \( A_2 \) is abelian and \( \exp(A_2) \geq 3 \). Note that \( K_2 \) is nontrivial (since \( K_1 \) is nontrivial) and let \( K_3 \) be maximal among normal subgroups of \( G \) properly contained in \( K_2 \). Then \( K_2/K_3 \) is a minimal normal subgroup of \( G/K_3 \), so \( \delta(G/K_3) > |G/K_3|/2 - 1 \) and thus Lemma 5.8 (and the minimality of \( K_2 \)) implies that \( G/K_3 \cong S_4 \), \( S_3 \times S_3 \) or \( S_3 \times D_8 \times E \) with \( \exp(E) \leq 2 \).

Therefore, to complete the proof we may assume that \( G \) has a nontrivial normal subgroup \( L \) such that \( G/L \cong S_4 \), \( S_3 \times S_3 \) or \( S_3 \times D_8 \times E \). The latter case is ruled out by Lemma 5.3, so let us consider the other two. Let \( M \) be maximal among normal subgroups of \( G \) which are properly contained in \( L \). Then \( L/M \) is a minimal normal subgroup of \( G/M \), and \( (G/M)/(L/M) \cong G/L \). Therefore, Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 imply that \( \delta(G/M) \leq |G/M|/2 - 1 \), so that \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) by Corollary 2.2. This final contradiction completes the proof of the proposition. \( \square \)

PROPOSITION 5.10. The case \( G/N = Z_3 \) leads to a contradiction.

PROOF. Suppose that \( G \) has a normal subgroup \( N \) of index 3. If \( \delta(N) \leq |N|/2 - 1 \) then Lemma 2.1 implies that \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \) (since \( \delta(G/N) = 1 \)), which is a contradiction. Therefore, \( \delta(N) > |N|/2 - 1 \) and so \( N \in \mathcal{L} \) by the minimality of \( G \). We now consider the various possibilities for \( N \), labelled (I)–(X) in Section 1.

Suppose that \( N \cong D(A) \) is of type (I). If \( \exp(A) \leq 2 \) then \( G \) is a split extension of an elementary abelian 2-group by \( Z_3 \), so Lemma 5.5 implies that either \( G \in \mathcal{L} \) or \( \delta(G) \leq |G|/2 - 1 \), a contradiction. If \( \exp(A) > 2 \) then \( A \) is a characteristic subgroup of \( N \), so \( A \) is normal in \( G \) and \( \delta(G/A) = |G/A|/3 \) since \( G/A \cong Z_6 \). This contradicts Corollary 2.2. Similarly, we can rule out cases (VIII) and (IX) since \( G \) has a normal subgroup \( M \) with \( G/M \cong Z_6 \), while Lemma 5.6 deals with (V) as \( G \) has a normal abelian 2-subgroup of index 9 in this case. Of course, if \( N \) is of type (VI) then \( G \) is a 3-group and the hypothesis \( \delta(G) > |G|/2 - 1 \) implies that \( \exp(G) = 3 \), so \( G \in \mathcal{L} \).

Also note that \( N \) is not of type (X) since \( G \) is soluble.

Next suppose that \( N \) is of type (II), (III), or (IV), so \( N = Y \times E \) with \( \exp(E) \leq 2 \) and \( Y = D_8 \times D_8 \), \( H(r) \) or \( S(r) \), for some positive integer \( r \). We claim that \( G \) admits
a homomorphism \( \alpha \) such that \( N\alpha \) is a 2-group, \( G\alpha/N\alpha \cong Z_3 \) and one of the following holds:

(i) \( N\alpha = D_8 \times D_8 \); or

(ii) \( N\alpha \) has a minimal characteristic (central) subgroup of order \( 2^n \) with \( n \) odd.

To see this, first observe that \( G \) is a split extension of \( N \) by \( \langle x \rangle = Z_3 \), and \( N^2 = Y^2 = Z(Y) \) is a characteristic subgroup of \( N \). Moreover, \( Z(Y) \) is an \( \langle x \rangle \)-invariant subgroup of the elementary abelian 2-group \( Z(N) = Z(Y) \times E \), so by Maschke’s theorem there exists an \( \langle x \rangle \)-invariant subgroup \( K \) such that \( Z(N) = Z(Y) \times K \). Then \( K \) is normal in \( G \) and \( N/K \cong Y \) (since \( N = Y \times K \)). If \( N \) is of type (II) then the natural homomorphism from \( G \) to \( G/K \) satisfies (i), so we may as well assume that \( N \) is of type (III) or (IV). Here \( |N/K| = |Y| = 2^{2r+1} \). Let \( L_1 \) be a characteristic subgroup of \( N/K \), maximal with respect to having order \( 2^m \) with \( m \geq 0 \) even. Then \( L_1 \) is a proper subgroup of \( N/K \) so there exists a characteristic subgroup \( L_2 \) of \( N/K \) such that \( L_2/L_1 \) is a minimal characteristic subgroup of \( (N/K)/L_1 \). Now \( L_2 > L_1 \), so the choice of \( L_1 \) implies that \( L_2/L_1 \) has order \( 2^n \), with \( n \) odd. Therefore, the natural homomorphism from \( G \) to \( (G/K)/L_1 \) satisfies (ii). This justifies the claim.

Let \( \alpha \) be the above homomorphism and set \( G_1 = G\alpha, N_1 = N\alpha \), so that \( G_1 \) is a split extension of \( N_1 \) by \( \langle x' \rangle = Z_3 \). In (II), \( N_1 = D_8 \times D_8 \) does not admit an automorphism of order 3, so \( Z(D_8 \times D_8) = Z_2 \times Z_2 \) is a central subgroup of \( G_1 \). In (III) and (IV), \( N_1 \) has a minimal characteristic subgroup \( H \leq Z(N_1) \) of order \( 2^n \) with \( n \) odd. By Lemma 5.5, since \( n \) is odd, there is an element \( y = y_1y_2 \in H.\langle x' \rangle \) of order 6, with \( |y_1| = 3, |y_2| = 2 \) and \( |y_1, y_2| = 1 \). Since \( G_1 = N_1.\langle y_1 \rangle \), \( y_2 \in H \) and \( H \leq Z(N_1) \), it follows that \( Z(G_1) \cap H \) is nontrivial, hence \( H \leq Z(G_1) \) since \( H \) is a minimal characteristic subgroup of \( G_1 \) (note that \( N_1 \) is characteristic in \( G_1 \)).

In all three cases, we have shown that \( N_1 \) contains a nontrivial elementary abelian 2-subgroup \( L \) which is central in \( G_1 \). Now

\[
i_2(G_1) = i_2(N_1) \leq |N_1| - 1 = \frac{1}{3}|G_1| - 1
\]

and

\[
i_3(G_1) = i_3(G_1/L) \leq 2|N_1/L| \leq |N_1| = \frac{1}{3}|G_1|
\]

since \( i_3(Lg) = 1 \) for all \( g \in G_1 \setminus L \) of order 3, and \( G_1/L = (N_1/L).Z_3 \) with \( N_1/L \) a 2-group. We conclude that \( \delta(G_1) \leq |G_1|/2 - 1 \), and this contradicts Corollary 2.2.

Finally, suppose that \( N \) is of type (VII), so that \( N = S_3 \times D_8 \times E \) with \( \exp(E) \leq 2 \). Then \( N \) has a characteristic subgroup \( M \) of order 3 such that \( N/M \cong H(1) \times F \) with \( \exp(F) \leq 2 \). Then \( N/M < G/M \) is a subgroup of type (III), so the previous analysis implies that \( \delta(G/M) \leq |G/M|/2 - 1 \), and this contradicts Corollary 2.2. \( \square \)

We conclude that a minimal counterexample does not exist; the proof of the main statement of Theorem 1.1 is complete. To close this section, we justify the precise values of \( \delta(G) \) listed in Table 1, and we establish Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4.

It is entirely straightforward to calculate the precise value of \( \delta(G) \) in cases (I), (II) and (VI)–(X), so let us consider (III), (IV) and (V). In [18], Wall calculates
that $i_2(H(r)) = 2^{2r} + 2^r - 1$ (see [18, p. 258]) and thus $\delta(G) = |G|/2 + 2^{n+r} - 1$ if $G$ is of type (III), as claimed in Table 1. Next suppose that $G$ is of type (IV). Here $S(r) = N_r(z) = N_zZ_2$, where $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^{2r}$, and it suffices to show that $i_2(S(r)) = 2^{2r} + 2^r - 1$. By construction, the Jordan form of the matrix $A \in \text{GL}_{2r}(2) \cong \text{Aut}(N)$ corresponding to conjugation by $z$ has exactly $r$ indecomposable blocks, hence the proof of Lemma 2.11(iii) implies that $i_2(Nz) = 2^r$ and thus $i_2(S(r)) = |N| - 1 + 2^r = 2^{2r} + 2^r - 1$ as claimed. Finally, in (V) we have $G = N_r(z) = N_zZ_3$, where $N$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^{2r}$. Now $i_2(G) = |N| - 1$ and $i_3(NZ) = i_3(Nz^2) = |N|$, so $i_3(G) = 2|N|$ and thus $\delta(G) = 2|N| - 1 = 2|G|/3 - 1$ as claimed.

Corollary 1.2 quickly follows from the values of $\delta(G)$ listed in Table 1. First observe that if $A$ is abelian with $\exp(A) \geq 3$ then $\delta(A) \leq (|A| - 1)/2$, with equality if and only if $\exp(A) = 3$. Therefore, if $G$ is of type (I) and $\exp(A) \geq 3$ then $\delta(G) \leq 3|G|/4 - 1/2$ and equality is possible. In (III) and (IV) we have

$$\delta(G) = \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{2r+1}}\right)|G| - 1 \quad (5.5)$$

and thus $\delta(G) \leq 3|G|/4 - 1$, with equality if and only if $r = 1$. The desired bound is clear in each of the remaining cases.

Finally, let us consider Corollary 1.4. Suppose that $G$ is a finite group with $\exp(G) \geq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2|G|/3$. By inspecting Table 1, it is clear that $G$ must be of type (I), (III) or (IV). Suppose that $G = D(A)$, where $A$ is abelian and $\exp(A) \geq 3$, so that $\delta(G) = |G|/2 + \delta(A)$. As before, if $\exp(A) = 3$ then $\delta(A) = (|A| - 1)/2$ and thus $\delta(G) = 3|G|/4 - 1/2$. Similarly, if $A = Z_4 \times E$ with $\exp(E) = 2$ then $\delta(A) = |A|/2 - 1$, so $\delta(G) = 3|G|/4 - 1$. In all other cases, $A$ has a homomorphic image of the form $Z_p$ ($p \geq 5$ prime), $Z_p^2$ ($p \geq 3$ prime), $Z_{pq}$ ($p$ and $q$ distinct primes) or $Z_4 \times Z_4$, and the bound $\delta(A) \leq |A|/3$ quickly follows. Now, if $G$ is of type (III) or (IV) then (5.5) holds and we deduce that $\delta(G) \geq 2|G|/3$ if and only if $r = 1$ and $|G| \geq 12$. However, these conditions imply that $G \cong D(Z_4 \times E)$ for some nontrivial elementary abelian 2-group $E$. This proves Corollary 1.4.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1, together with Corollaries 1.2 and 1.4.

6. An application

In this final section we describe an application of Theorem 1.1 to the study of near-rings. Recall that a near-ring is a set $R$ with two binary operations $+$ and $\cdot$ such that $(R, +)$ is a group (not necessarily abelian) and $\cdot$ is associative and satisfies a single distributive law. Near-rings were first introduced by Dickson in 1905 in the context of near-fields, and Neumann (among others) investigated their connections with groups in the 1950s (see [11], for example). We refer the reader to [12] for general background on near-rings.

Near-rings arise naturally in studying functions on a group. Let $G$ be a finite group and let $M_0(G)$ be the set of functions from $G$ to $G$ which fix the identity. Then $M_0(G)$ is a near-ring with respect to the operations $(f + g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$ and
(f \cdot g)(x) = f(g(x)), \text{ where } x \in G. \text{ These near-rings are particularly important since any finite (zero-symmetric) near-ring can be embedded as a subnear-ring of } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ for some finite group } G. \text{ (Here a near-ring } (R, +, \cdot) \text{ is zero symmetric if } r \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot r = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R, \text{ where } 0 \text{ is the identity element of the group } (R, +).) \text{ In this sense, the } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ play a role similar to that of the symmetric groups in group theory.}

It is easy to see that a function } \alpha \text{ generates } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ (as a near-ring) only if } \alpha \text{ is a bijection. Let } n \text{ be a positive integer. We say that } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } n\text{-gen if it can be generated by a bijection of order } n \text{ (order with respect to composition). In [15], it is shown that } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } 2\text{-gen if and only if } G \neq Z_3 \text{ and } \exp(G) \geq 3, \text{ while the } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ which are } 3\text{-gen are determined in [16]. Bounds on the proportion of bijections which generate } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ are obtained by Neumaier in [10].}

Let } p \geq 5 \text{ be a prime number and observe that } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } p\text{-gen only if } |G| > p \text{ since there are no bijections of order } p \text{ in } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ if } |G| \leq p. \text{ Let } G \text{ be a finite group with } |G| > p. \text{ Then the main theorem of [14] states that precisely one of the following holds:

(i) } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } p\text{-gen;
(ii) } \exp(G) = 2 \text{ and } |G| \neq 1 \text{ mod } p;
(iii) G \text{ belongs to a finite collection of groups, denoted by } \mathcal{D}(p).

Rather surprisingly, it turns out that this finite collection } \mathcal{D}(p) \text{ can be defined in terms of } \delta. \text{ To see this connection, first observe that a bijection } \alpha \in \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ generates } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ only if there are no nontrivial proper } \alpha\text{-invariant subgroups of } G. \text{ Indeed, if } H < G \text{ is such a subgroup then the near-ring generated by } \alpha \text{ is contained in the maximal subnear-ring } \{ f \in \mathcal{M}_0(G) \mid f(H) \subseteq H \}. \text{ Suppose that } \alpha \text{ has order } p \text{ and that } |G| \text{ is small (relative to } p). \text{ The idea is that if } \delta(G) \text{ is sufficiently large then } G \text{ may have so many subgroups of prime order that it is impossible to define a bijection } \alpha \text{ which avoids fixing such a subgroup.}

More precisely, in [14] it is shown that } \mathcal{D}(p) \text{ is the disjoint union

\[
\mathcal{D}(p) = \mathcal{D}(2, p) \cup \mathcal{D}(3, p),
\]

where a group } G \in \mathcal{D}(i, p) \text{ if and only if } G \text{ satisfies the three conditions

\[
p(i - 1) < |G| \leq pi, \quad \delta(G) > (i - 1) p, \quad \exp(G) \geq 3.
\]

(6.1)

In particular, } G \in \mathcal{D}(2, p) \text{ only if } \delta(G) > |G|/2, \text{ while } G \in \mathcal{D}(3, p) \text{ only if } \delta(G) > 2|G|/3. \text{ Therefore, in view of Theorem 1.1 (and the } \delta(G) \text{ values recorded in Table 1), we can determine the groups in the collections } \mathcal{D}(2, p) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}(3, p).

**Proposition 6.1.** We can determine the groups in } \mathcal{D}(p) \text{ for any prime } p \geq 5.

If } p = 2 \text{ or } 3 \text{ then the groups } G \text{ for which } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } p\text{-gen are determined by the second author in [15, 16]. For } p \geq 5 \text{ we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.2.** Let } p \geq 5 \text{ be a prime and let } G \text{ be a finite group with } |G| > p. \text{ Then } \mathcal{M}_0(G) \text{ is } p\text{-gen if and only if the following hold:

(i) } G \text{ is not an elementary abelian } 2\text{-group with } |G| \equiv 1 \text{ mod } p; \text{ and
(ii) } G \text{ is not in } \mathcal{D}(p), \text{ as specified in Proposition 6.1.}
To illustrate the general approach, below we will use Theorem 1.1 to determine the groups in $\mathcal{D}(p)$, where $p = 2^8 + 1 = 257$. First we record a couple of results on the general nature of the subsets $\mathcal{D}(2, p)$ and $\mathcal{D}(3, p)$.

**Proposition 6.3.** $|\mathcal{D}(2, p)| \to \infty$ as $p \to \infty$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $p \geq 5$. Then there exists a unique integer $m \geq 3$ such that $p < 2^m \leq 2p$, and there are precisely $[(m - 1)/2]$ distinct pairs of integers $(r, n)$, where $r \geq 1$, $n \geq 0$ and $m = 2r + 1 + n$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D}(2, p)$ contains precisely $2[(m - 1)/2] - 1$ pairwise nonisomorphic groups of types (III) and (IV), hence

$$|\mathcal{D}(2, p)| \geq 2[(m - 1)/2] - 1 \geq m - 3 > \log_2 p - 3 \quad (6.2)$$

and the result follows. \qed

**Remark 6.4.** In practice, most of the groups in $\mathcal{D}(2, p)$ are of type $D(A)$ and thus the lower bound in (6.2) could be improved. However, computer calculation suggests that the size of $\mathcal{D}(2, p)$ grows slowly, perhaps logarithmically with respect to $p$. For example, we have calculated that $|\mathcal{D}(2, p)| \leq 576$ for all primes $p$ less than $10^6$.

**Proposition 6.5.** Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. Then $|\mathcal{D}(3, p)| \leq 2$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{D}(3, p)$. Then $2p < |G| \leq 3p$ and $\delta(G) > 2p \geq 2|G|/3$. By Corollary 1.4, we have $G = D(A)$ where either $\exp(A) = 3$ or $A = Z_4 \times E$ with $\exp(E) = 2$. If $\exp(A) = 3$ then $|A| = 3^m$ for some $m \geq 1$, and it is clear that there can be at most one such $m$ so that $2p < |G| \leq 3p$. Similarly, there is at most one possibility for $|E|$ if $A = Z_4 \times E$. We conclude that $|\mathcal{D}(3, p)| \leq 2$. \qed

Let us now determine the groups in the collection $\mathcal{D}(257)$. Now, if $G \in \mathcal{D}(3, 257)$ then the proof of Proposition 6.5 indicates that there exist positive integers $a$ and $b$ such that $|G| = 2.3^a$ or $2^{b+3}$. However, the constraint $514 < |G| \leq 771$ (see (6.1)) rules out such a possibility, hence $\mathcal{D}(3, 257)$ is empty.

Now suppose that $G \in \mathcal{D}(2, 257)$, so that $258 \leq |G| \leq 514$ and $\delta(G) \geq 258$. By Theorem 1.1, $G$ is a group of type (I)–(X). By inspecting Table 1, it is clear that $G$ is not of type (VI)–(X). Suppose that $G$ is of type (V). Here $\delta(G) = 2|G|/3 - 1$, so the condition $\delta(G) \geq 258$ implies that $|G| \geq 389$. Now $|G| = 3.2^r$ for some positive integer $r$, but there is no $r$ such that $389 \leq |G| \leq 514$, so $G$ is not of type (V). Next suppose that $G$ is of type (III) or (IV). Then $|G| = 2^{2r+n+1}$ for some $r \geq 2$ and $n \geq 0$, and (5.5) holds (note that if $r = 1$ then $G$ is isomorphic to a group of type (I)). The bounds on $|G|$ imply that $(r, n) \in \{(2, 4), (3, 2), (4, 0)\}$, so there are six possibilities for $G$:

$$H(2) \times Z_2^4, \quad H(3) \times Z_2^2, \quad H(4), \quad S(2) \times Z_2^4, \quad S(3) \times Z_2^2, \quad S(4),$$

where $Z_2^k$ denotes the direct product of $k$ copies of $Z_2$. If $G$ is of type (II) then $|G| = 2^n + 6$ for some $n$, so the constraints on $|G|$ imply that $n = 3$, so $G = D_8 \times D_8 \times Z_2^3$ is the only example in (II).
Finally, suppose that \(G = D(A)\) is a group of type (I). If \(G\) is elementary abelian then \(G = Z_2^9\) is the only possibility, so let us assume that \(\exp(A) \geq 3\). Now Corollary 1.2 implies that \(\delta(G) < 3|G|/4\), so \(|G| \geq 344\) and it remains to classify the abelian groups \(A\) such that \(172 \leq |A| \leq 257\), \(\exp(A) \geq 3\) and \(\delta(G) = |A| + \delta(A) \geq 258\). With the aid of a computer, it is easy to check that there are exactly 27 possibilities for \(A\), up to isomorphism, listed in Table 3. Here we use the notation \((n_1^{a_1}, \ldots, n_k^{a_k})\) to denote the abelian group \(Z_{n_1}^{a_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n_k}^{a_k}\), where \(n_1 < n_2 < \cdots < n_k\).

We conclude that \(D(3, 257)\) is empty, while \(|D(2, 257)| = 6 + 1 + 1 + 27 = 35\).

**Remark 6.6.** In view of Corollary 6.2, it would be interesting to investigate the \(n\)-gen problem for \(n\) a composite integer. Here very little seems to be known at present. One might expect that similar results to those in [14] hold in the prime power case, while we conjecture that there are only finitely many exceptions when \(n\) is divisible by two distinct primes. It would also be interesting to consider the proportion of bijections of prime order \(p\) which generate \(M_0(G)\), and study related problems concerning the random generation of such near-rings.
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