Childhood adversities as risk factors for onset and persistence of suicidal behaviour
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Background
Suicide is a leading cause of death worldwide, but the precise effect of childhood adversities as risk factors for the onset and persistence of suicidal behaviour (suicide ideation, plans and attempts) are not well understood.

Aims
To examine the associations between childhood adversities as risk factors for the onset and persistence of suicidal behaviour across 21 countries worldwide.

Method
Respondents from nationally representative samples (n=55 299) were interviewed regarding childhood adversities that occurred before the age of 18 years and lifetime suicidal behaviour.

Results
Childhood adversities were associated with an increased risk of suicide attempt and ideation in both bivariate and multivariate models (odds ratio range 1.2–5.7). The risk increased with the number of adversities experienced, but at a decreasing rate. Sexual and physical abuse were consistently the strongest risk factors for both the onset and persistence of suicidal behaviour, especially during adolescence. Associations remained similar after additional adjustment for respondents' lifetime mental disorder status.

Conclusions
Childhood adversities (especially intrusive or aggressive adversities) are powerful predictors of the onset and persistence of suicidal behaviours.

Declaration of interest
R.C.K. has been a consultant for GlaxoSmithKline, Kaiser Permanente, Pfizer, Sanofi-Aventis, Shire Pharmaceuticals, and Wyeth-Ayerst, has served on advisory boards for Eli Lilly & Company and Wyeth-Ayerst, and has had research support for his epidemiological studies from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Eli Lilly & Company, GlaxoSmithKline, Johnson & Johnson Pharmaceuticals, Ortho-McNeil Pharmaceuticals, Pfizer and Sanofi-Aventis.

Suicide is one of the leading causes of death worldwide, but the aetiology of suicide is still not well understood. Mental disorders are important risk factors for suicidal behaviour, but most people with mental disorders do not manifest suicidal behaviour. There is strong evidence that environmental or experiential factors also contribute to the onset and persistence of suicidal behaviours, and that they have a stronger association with negative mental health outcomes than currently known genetic factors. There is consistent evidence showing a significant association between reported childhood adversities and suicidality in adulthood. However, childhood adversities have received much less scientific attention than mental disorders or genetic factors.

Existing research has been limited in four ways. First, we found no multinational population-based studies investigating the association between childhood adversities and suicidal behaviour. Second, population-based and clinical studies often assessed a relatively limited number of childhood adversities. The effect of a broad range of adversities on suicidal behaviours have not been investigated yet. Third, in general, simple models have been tested that do not take into account both the type of adversities and the effect of accumulation of multiple adversities. Further, prior studies have not carefully examined whether the effect of child adversities on suicidal behaviour differs over the course of the lifespan, despite earlier evidence for such an effect. Fourth, virtually all prior studies have shown that adversities are associated with subsequent onset of suicidal behaviour, but no studies of which we are aware have investigated the extent to which childhood adversities might predict the transition from suicide ideation to suicide attempt or the persistence of suicidal behaviour over time.

Here we use data from the World Mental Health surveys, general population surveys using the latest structured psychiatric interviews generating DSM–IV disorders for mental disorders, a broad range of childhood adversities, and a whole set of suicidality-related variables. The current study was designed to address each of these limitations by conducting comprehensive cross-national analyses of the associations between a range of childhood adversities and subsequent suicidal behaviour. We aimed to investigate: the associations between childhood adversities and multiple forms of suicidal behaviour, with separate models estimating both the unique and overall effects of each type of adversity; the extent to which the associations differ over the course of the respondents’ lifespan; and the associations between childhood adversities and the persistence of suicidal behaviour.

Method

Respondent samples
The World Mental Health surveys were carried out in 21 countries in: Africa (Nigeria, South Africa); the Americas (Brazil, Colombia, Mexico, USA), Asia and the Pacific (India, Japan, New Zealand, Beijing, Shanghai, and Shenzhen in the People’s Republic of China).
Childhood adversities and suicidal behaviour

Suicidal behaviour

Suicidal behaviours were assessed using the Composite International Diagnostic Interview—3.0 (CIDI–3.0) suicidality module. This module includes an assessment of the lifetime occurrence and age at onset of suicide ideation, plan and attempt. Consistent with our aims, five dated lifetime history suicide outcomes were considered in this study; suicide ideation in the total sample; suicide attempt in the total sample; suicide plan among ideators; suicide attempt among ideators with a plan (planned attempt); and suicide attempt among ideators in the absence of a plan (unplanned attempt).

Childhood adversities

The following childhood adversities were included in the present report: physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect, parental death, parent divorce, other parental loss, family violence, physical illness and financial adversity before the age of 18 (see online supplement). We looked at childhood adversities occurring in the context of the family only and not all possible childhood adversities such as natural disasters or exposure to war.

Statistical analysis

Discrete-time survival models with person-year as the unit of analysis were used to investigate the association between childhood adversities and suicidal behaviour. Childhood adversities were treated as starting at age 4. Both bivariate (in which only one adversity was considered at a time) and multivariate analyses (in which all adversities were considered simultaneously) were performed. Two types of multivariate models were tested: one that included all types of childhood adversities simultaneously (multivariate additive), and one that included type and number of adversities experienced by each respondent as dummy variables (multivariate interactive). The latter was the best-fitting model. Interactions between each adversity and respondent stage in the life course (13–19 years, 20–29 years, 30+ years) and the extent to which each adversity predicts early-, middle- and late-onset suicidal behaviour were also tested. Finally, we investigated the association between childhood adversities and the persistence of suicidal behaviour over time, using backward recurrence models. We predicted the most recent suicide attempt among respondents who have ever attempted suicide by looking back in time from the year of interview.

In all analyses, coefficients and standard errors were exponentiated for ease of interpretation and are reported as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs. Standard errors were estimated with the Taylor series method using SUDAAN (Software for Survey Data Analysis, version 8.1 on UNIX-Solaris/SUN OS, www.rti.org/SUDAAN) to adjust for weighting and clustering. Multivariate significance was evaluated with Wald $\chi^2$-tests based on design-corrected coefficient variance–covariance matrices. Associations between adversities and suicide outcomes were adjusted for country differences, gender, age, educational attainment, marriage, interactions between demographics and life-course (person-year intervals of 13–19, 20–29, 30+ years), parental psychopathology (major depressive episode, generalised anxiety disorder, panic disorder, substance use disorder, antisocial personality disorder and parental suicidal behaviour). We tested the extent to which associations were mediated by respondents’ lifetime mental disorders (mood disorders (major depressive episode, dysthymia, bipolar disorder), anxiety disorders (panic disorder, agoraphobia without panic, generalised anxiety disorder, specific phobia, social phobia, post-traumatic stress disorder, adult separation anxiety disorder), externalising disorders (attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder, oppositional-defiant disorder, conduct disorder, intermittent explosive disorder), and substance use disorders (alcohol misuse or dependence, illicit drug use or dependence)). Disorders were assessed using the CIDI–3.0. As there may be gender differences in the association between childhood adversities and suicidal behaviour, we additionally tested the interactions between gender and each of the childhood adversities. All significance tests were evaluated using 0.05-level two-sided tests.

Results

Childhood adversities and suicidal behaviour

Childhood adversities were common, with ranges between 2.0 and 12.2%. Most common were the death of a parent (12.2%), physical abuse (8.0%), and family violence (6.9%). Approximately 6% of respondents reported parent divorce, and a similar proportion reported any other parental loss. Lifetime suicide attempt and ideation were reported by 2.7% (n = 2831) and 9.4% (n = 8382) of the respondents respectively. Among ideators, 34.5% (n = 3324) developed a suicide plan; 53.2% of those with a plan (n = 1894) made a suicide attempt; 15.1% of those without a plan (n = 937) made an attempt (‘unplanned attempt’). Among those with a history of suicide attempt, nearly a third (29.3%) reported physical abuse, about one in four (24.8%) family violence, and one in six (14.5%) sexual abuse. Most adversities were in the 6.0–29.3% range among those with a lifetime suicide attempt (Table 1).
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Associations between type of adversities and onset of suicidality

In bivariate models (Table 2), eight out of nine adversities were associated with increased odds of a suicide attempt (OR = 1.3–5.7) and ideation (OR = 1.2–3.4). Physical and sexual abuse consistently yielded the highest odds for both suicide attempt and ideation (OR = 3.7–5.7 and OR = 2.7–3.4 respectively). The other suicidal behaviours were most consistently predicted by sexual abuse (OR = 1.3–1.4). In multivariate additive models (Table 2), odds ratios decreased but none lost their statistical significance. Specific adversities that yielded odds ratios of 2 or higher were physical abuse, sexual abuse and neglect. In general, adversities had stronger associations with attempt and ideation than with suicide plans and planned or unplanned attempts.

Associations between number of adversities and onset of suicidality

We found a strong dose–response relationship between the number of reported adversities and four of the five suicide outcomes (i.e. lifetime attempt, ideation, attempt among those with a plan, and unplanned attempt) (Table 3).

Associations between type and number of adversities and onset of suicidal behaviour

The multivariate interactive models shown in Table 2 revealed that all nine adversities are significantly associated with suicide attempt (OR = 1.3–4.6, median OR = 2.2) and ideation (OR = 1.3–3.4, median OR = 1.7). The odds ratios for types in the multivariate interactive model are higher than for types in the multivariate additive model. This is logical since the additive model does not allow for sub-additive effects of number of childhood adversities, and so leads to an underestimation of the effects of individual childhood adversities. Again, sexual and physical abuse were most predictive (OR = 2.7–4.6). Adversities do not have strong predictive values for plan or attempt among respondents with suicide ideation; of the 27 odds ratios investigated, only 3 were significant. Even after adjustments for respondents’ lifetime mental disorder, the direction and strength of the associations remained stable. For example, eight out of nine adversities remained significantly associated with both suicide attempt (OR = 1.7–3.1, median OR = 2.1) and all nine adversities were significantly associated with suicide ideation (OR = 1.3–2.6, median OR = 1.7) after adjustments for respondent’s mental disorder (online Table DS1). This suggests only a minor impact of mental disorders in the association between childhood adversity and suicidal behaviour.

The odds ratios associated with the number of adversities become increasingly smaller (and below 1.0) with increasing number of adversities for attempt and ideation (Table 3). This indicates significant sub-additive interactions among adversities; that is, the joint effects of multiple adversities are significantly less than the product of the odds ratios associated with the individual adversities.

Associations between adversities with onset of suicidal behaviour across the lifespan

Interestingly, childhood adversities had the strongest associations with attempt in childhood (median significant OR = 3.8), decreasing during teen years (median significant OR = 2.5) and young adulthood (median significant OR = 2.0), and increasing in later adulthood (median significant OR = 2.3) (Table 4). Sexual abuse was an especially strong predictor of an attempt in young people (Table 4; more detailed results on the other suicidal behaviours are available from the author on request). Specifically, a history of childhood sexual abuse was associated with a 10.9-fold increase in the odds of an attempt between the ages of 4–12 years, a 6.1-fold increase in the odds of an attempt between the ages of 13 and 19 years, and 2.9-fold increase among those between the ages of 20 and 29 years. Further, adversities remained predictive of suicide attempt and ideation throughout the lifespan, but they were less predictive of which ideators develop a lifetime plan or make a planned or unplanned attempt (Table 4). Odds ratios for these associations are considerably smaller in magnitude, and there is no single adversity that stands out as a consistent predictor. Additional analyses that tested the interaction effects between gender and childhood adversities and their association with suicidal behaviours revealed that there were no such effects (online Table DS2). Of the 36 associations investigated, only 1 was significant.

Associations between childhood adversities and persistence of suicidal behaviour

Adversities involving physical abuse, sexual abuse, parental loss, family violence and physical illness were significantly but modestly associated with persistence of a broad range of suicidal behaviours (especially attempt among ideators, ideation and plan among ideators) in bivariate analyses (OR = 1.2–2.0) (data available from the author on request). The number of adversities also generated significant effects, with exposure to multiple adversities being predictive of ideation (OR = 1.2–1.4) and plan (OR = 1.4–1.7), but not of planned or unplanned attempt. After adjusting for respondents’ lifetime mental disorders, the strength of the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of adversity</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt</th>
<th>Lifetime ideation</th>
<th>Lifetime plan among lifetime ideators</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those with a lifetime plan</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those without a plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse</td>
<td>29.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>20.6 (0.4)</td>
<td>25.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>29.7 (1.5)</td>
<td>26.8 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse</td>
<td>14.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>8.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>12.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>15.4 (1.0)</td>
<td>12.3 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect</td>
<td>19.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>13.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>17.5 (0.8)</td>
<td>20.8 (1.2)</td>
<td>15.4 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent died</td>
<td>16.1 (0.8)</td>
<td>14.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>15.0 (0.8)</td>
<td>16.6 (1.1)</td>
<td>15.6 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent divorced</td>
<td>15.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>11.7 (0.5)</td>
<td>11.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>14.7 (1.2)</td>
<td>17.8 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other parent loss</td>
<td>11.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>8.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>8.9 (0.7)</td>
<td>10.3 (0.9)</td>
<td>12.9 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family violence</td>
<td>24.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>17.6 (0.5)</td>
<td>21.5 (0.9)</td>
<td>23.3 (1.2)</td>
<td>25.4 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical illness</td>
<td>8.7 (0.7)</td>
<td>6.6 (0.3)</td>
<td>7.9 (0.6)</td>
<td>9.2 (0.9)</td>
<td>8.2 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial adversity</td>
<td>6.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>4.8 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>5.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>7.4 (0.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Prevalence of childhood adversities among respondents with suicidal behaviours

The prevalence of childhood adversities among respondents with suicidal behaviours is shown in Table 1.
Table 2  Bivariate and multivariate associations between type of childhood adversities and subsequent onset of suicidal behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of adversity</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt</th>
<th>Lifetime ideation</th>
<th>Lifetime plan among lifetime ideators</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those with a lifetime plan</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those without a lifetime plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>additive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>additive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4–4.2)*</td>
<td>2.4 (1.6–4.7)*</td>
<td>2.7 (2.5–3.9)*</td>
<td>2.7 (1.9–3.6)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual abuse, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.0–6.7)*</td>
<td>3.8 (2.9–4.3)*</td>
<td>3.4 (2.5–5.9)*</td>
<td>3.4 (2.4–4.9)*</td>
<td>1.3 (1.2–1.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neglect, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.1–6.5)*</td>
<td>1.7 (1.3–7.5)*</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5–7.4)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.7–2.8)*</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1–1.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent died, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–5.8)*</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–9.3)*</td>
<td>1.2 (1.1–9.3)*</td>
<td>1.4 (1.2–9.4)*</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent divorced, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.7 (1.2–2.6)*</td>
<td>1.5 (1.1–1.5)*</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–1.6)*</td>
<td>1.7 (1.1–2.0)*</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other parent loss, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.0 (1.6–2.3)*</td>
<td>1.3 (1.1–1.6)*</td>
<td>1.5 (0.8–1.2)*</td>
<td>1.7 (0.7–1.1)*</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family violence, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.4 (1.8–3.0)*</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2–1.8)*</td>
<td>1.9 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0–1.8)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical illness, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.9–2.7)*</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2–2.0)*</td>
<td>1.6 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.1–2.0)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.9–1.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial adversity, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0–1.3)*</td>
<td>0.9 (0.7–1.1)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.6–1.1)*</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9–1.6)*</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5–1.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance, χ² (P)</td>
<td>– &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>– 0.001</td>
<td>– 0.001</td>
<td>– 0.001</td>
<td>– 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio.  
a. Models control for country differences, age, gender, educational attainment, marriage, previously significant interaction terms between intervals (13–19, 20–29, 30+) and demographics, and parental psychopathology.  
b. χ² values indicate levels of significance (i.e., χ² for differences between types of adversities.  
*P < 0.05.

Table 3  Bivariate and multivariate associations between number of childhood adversities and subsequent onset of suicidal behaviours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of adversities</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt</th>
<th>Lifetime ideation</th>
<th>Lifetime plan among lifetime ideators</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those with a lifetime plan</th>
<th>Lifetime attempt among those without a lifetime plan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
<td>Multivariate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>additive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>additive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
<td>interactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.0–2.6)*</td>
<td>– 0.9 (0.9–1.9)*</td>
<td>1.8 (1.5–2.2)*</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.2)*</td>
<td>1.2 (0.9–1.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>3.7 (2.4–4.7)*</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5–0.9)*</td>
<td>2.5 (2.0–2.7)*</td>
<td>1.2 (1.0–1.5)*</td>
<td>1.4 (1.0–1.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.3 (3.7–4.8)*</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3–0.7)*</td>
<td>3.7 (2.5–2.9)*</td>
<td>1.3 (1.0–1.5)*</td>
<td>2.0 (1.0–1.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>11.3 (8.8–14.6)*</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1–0.3)*</td>
<td>5.3 (3.6–5.9)*</td>
<td>1.0 (0.9–1.1)*</td>
<td>1.3 (0.9–1.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>14.8 (10.2–16.9)*</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1–0.3)*</td>
<td>5.7 (4.7–2.9)*</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.6)*</td>
<td>6.0 (2.7–13.3)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+, OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>19.4 (12.7–25.9)*</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0–0.2)*</td>
<td>6.1 (4.1–9.9)*</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0–0.2)*</td>
<td>6.1 (4.1–9.9)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance, χ² (P)</td>
<td>742.7 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>37.3 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>782.3 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>80.5 (&lt;0.001)</td>
<td>8.5 (0.13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR, odds ratio.  
a. Models control for country differences, age, gender, educational attainment, marriage, previously significant interaction terms between intervals (13–19, 20–29, 30+) and demographics, and parental psychopathology.  
b. χ² values indicate levels of significance (i.e., χ² for differences between types of adversities.  
*P < 0.05.
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behaviours (ORs = 1.4–2.3). We also tested interaction effects significant association with persistence of nearly all suicidal Sexual and physical abuse were the only adversities yielding a meaningfully vary in their associations with persistence of suicidal multivariate interactive analyses (Table 5), although the strength of the significant odds ratios was similar, the type of adversity respondents (data available from the author on request). In these associations were most relevant in younger Number of adversities across the lifespan a

Table 4 Multivariate associations between type and number of childhood adversities with subsequent onset of suicide attempt a

Table 5 Multivariate associations between type and number of childhood adversities with persistence of suicidal behaviours a

 associations remained similar (data available from the author on request). These associations were most relevant in younger respondents (data available from the author on request). In multivariate interactive analyses (Table 5), although the strength of the significant odds ratios was similar, the type of adversity meaningfully vary in their associations with persistence of suicidal behaviours as four out of five tests were statistically significant. Sexual and physical abuse were the only adversities yielding a significant association with persistence of nearly all suicidal behaviours (ORs = 1.4–2.3). We also tested interaction effects between gender and childhood adversities and their association with persistence of suicidal behaviours but we could not find evidence for such effects (online Table DS3).

Discussion

Limitations

These results should be interpreted in light of the following limitations. First, recall bias may affect the accuracy of the
adversity recall; rates of forgetting to report abusive experiences have been estimated to be in the 20–33% range21 but without consistent evidence for false-positive reports.22 Second, respondents who did not speak the main language(s) of the country sufficiently, those institutionalised, and those without a fixed address were not included in the present study. It cannot be ruled out that these groups of people differed in the association between childhood adversities and suicidal behaviours. Third, the assessment of persistence is an approximation of the duration of the suicidal episode and the risk of recurrence among those with a history of past suicidal episodes. Because the World Mental Health surveys are cross-sectional surveys, it was not possible to calculate persistence of suicidality directly from complete information of the duration of incident episodes, time to recurrence after offset of incident episodes, duration of second episodes, or time to recurrence of third episodes after offset of second episodes. Fourth, although the range of childhood adversities assessed here is larger than in most previous studies, it is far from exhaustive and did not assess the severity, duration, or sequencing of any of these adversities. Fifth, although our results were controlled for country differences, we cannot rule out that there were specific cross-cultural differences in the prevalence and meaning of the suicidal behaviours.23,24

Main findings

We found that exposure to multiple adversities consistently predicted suicidal behaviours. Our findings extend earlier work11,12,25,26 on the graded relationship between the number of adversities and lifetime suicide attempts by replicating the association with suicide attempt and by documenting the association between childhood adversities and a more carefully defined range of suicidal behaviours. Some of the associations we found were different from those in previous reports. This could be as a result of methodological differences; for instance, the sample used by Dube and colleagues25 consisted of participants from a large health maintenance organisation and not the general population. This leaves open the possibility that associations between adversities and suicide attempt could be different in people seeking help compared with the general population. Despite the significant dose–response relationship, however, the odds ratio between number of adversities and attempt and ideation become increasingly smaller. This points to a decrease in the predictive power when the number of experienced adversities gets larger, suggesting a ceiling effect of the impact of childhood adversities. We extend the existing literature by emphasising the importance of controlling for multiple adversities. We may assume some psychological mechanisms behind this finding, but because this is the first study that investigated this effect, underlying mechanisms should be investigated in further studies.

Even after rigorously controlling for a broad set of variables, there was at least a threefold increase in lifetime suicide attempt and lifetime suicide ideation among individuals with a history of sexual or physical abuse. These results are consistent with prior studies,26–28 but extend this research by providing support for Enns' hypothesis that childhood sexual abuse may be a risk factor for suicidal behaviour at a relatively young age. To the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to compare the consequences of more violent and bodily intrusive versus less violent childhood adversities in general population samples, controlling for variables that could bias the investigated associations. Sexual or physical abuse were more predictive for lifetime suicide attempt or ideation than other adverse experiences, suggesting that these are uniquely related to attempt and ideation. This underlines the strong negative effects of intrusive experiences in childhood leading to suicidal behaviour across the lifespan. These kinds of experiences may lead to a loss of the positive relationship with one's own body, problematic development of personal identity and psychological integrity; which, in turn, could lead to a wide range of psychological and psychiatric problems, including mental disorders, non-suicidal self-injury and suicidal behaviour.29,30 The observed association between aggressive and or intrusive childhood adversities and lifetime suicide attempt and ideation is consonant with the stress-diathesis theory or with the concept of allostatic load,31 critical levels of early-life stress may create particular vulnerable conditions for enhanced sensitivity of the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal axis, with both biological and emotional consequences.32

Given that adolescence and early adulthood are generally seen as periods in which there is a high risk of first-onset suicidal behaviour,33,34 and that there are not many studies focusing on the life course of suicide,2 our data are important as we found that adversities (and especially sexual and physical abuse) were most predictive of suicidal behaviour in this age group. Our data also suggest a decrease of the influence of adversities on suicide attempts when respondents have reached a certain critical age (i.e. early adulthood), whereas its influence on suicide ideation remains stable, even as people get older. At this point, our data do not allow us to identify which groups of respondents will eventually mature out and which will not. These variations require further investigation.

Only a few adversities predicted suicide persistence, albeit with smaller effect sizes than those between adversities and suicide onset. Sexual and physical abuse were strong risk factors for both onset and persistence of suicidal behaviour.3 Furthermore, in contrast with the effect of number of adversities on onset of suicidal behaviour, we found no evidence for sub-additive interaction effects in our analyses on persistence. This suggests that being exposed to multiple adversities increases suicide persistence considerably with each additional adversity experienced.

Implications

In an era where there is great emphasis on the prevention of suicide worldwide, our data hold some important implications. Earlier studies pointed to the importance of mental disorders as important risk factors.1–3 In addition, our results are relevant to suicide attempts in childhood or at a young age because the direct association between adversities and attempt is most prominent then. This is important because suicide attempts are common reasons why people enter professional healthcare.34 Our findings add to the wide range of severe behavioural risk factors (i.e. smoking or the use of illicit substances) or chronic somatic conditions (e.g. back or neck pain, obesity, asthma or arthritis) that occur at a greater frequency in individuals with histories of childhood adversities.35 Because childhood adversities are so closely related to suicidal behaviour, and particularly in children and teens, gradually more attention may be given to the prevention of these adversities. Universal or selective prevention of childhood adversities appears to be rather difficult because this requires changes at the family and household level.36 By contrast, identifying those families at risk may be more suitable and ultimately may decrease the occurrence of suicidal behaviours around the world.
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