Iron deficiency up-regulates iron absorption from ferrous sulphate but not ferric pyrophosphate and consequently food fortification with ferrous sulphate has relatively greater efficacy in iron-deficient individuals
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Abstract

Fe absorption from water-soluble forms of Fe is inversely proportional to Fe status in humans. Whether this is true for poorly soluble Fe compounds is uncertain. Our objectives were therefore (1) to compare the up-regulation of Fe absorption at low Fe status from ferrous sulphate (FS) and ferric pyrophosphate (FPP) and (2) to compare the efficacy of FS with FPP in a fortification trial to increase body Fe stores in Fe-deficient children v. Fe-sufficient children. Using stable isotopes in test meals in young women (n 49) selected for low and high Fe status, we compared the absorption of FPP with FS. We analysed data from previous efficacy trials in children (n 258) to determine whether Fe status at baseline predicted response to FS v. FPP as salt fortificants. Plasma ferritin was a strong negative predictor of Fe bioavailability from FS (P<0·0001) but not from FPP. In the efficacy trials, body Fe at baseline was a negative predictor of the change in body Fe for both FPP and FS, but the effect was significantly greater with FS (P<0·01). Because Fe deficiency up-regulates Fe absorption from FS but not from FPP, food fortification with FS may have relatively greater impact in Fe-deficient children. Thus, more soluble Fe compounds not only demonstrate better overall absorption and can be used at lower fortification levels, but they also have the added advantage that, because their absorption is up-regulated in Fe deficiency, they innately ‘target’ Fe-deficient individuals in a population.
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Fe fortification of foods can be an effective strategy to control Fe deficiency(1). The relative bioavailability (RBV) of an Fe compound – its estimated percentage absorption relative to the absorption of ferrous sulphate (FS) – is used to rank Fe fortification compounds and to set food fortification levels(2,3). Ferric pyrophosphate (FPP) is generally less than half as well absorbed as FS(2,3). On this basis, the WHO recommends FS as a first-choice Fe fortificant and recommends that if FPP is used, the fortification level should be doubled(3).

The absorption of dietary Fe is dependent on Fe status; the fractional absorption of an oral Fe dose is greater in Fe-deficient individuals than in Fe-sufficient individuals(4,5). This is a primary homeostatic mechanism controlling body Fe stores in humans and appears to be regulated by circulating hepcidin concentrations(5). It has been traditionally assumed that up-regulation occurs for all Fe compounds equally. However, a recent small study has suggested that the absorption of FS in women with poor Fe status was up-regulated to a greater degree than FPP(6). It was unclear whether this effect was due to the special form of FPP – small particles coated with emulsifiers – used in the study; the findings need confirmation in studies with commercial FPP. But if true, the RBV of an Fe compound may depend on the Fe status of the individual/population. This would limit the use of RBV to rank Fe fortification compounds and to adjust fortification levels. It would also argue strongly for the use of soluble compounds in fortified foods in populations with high rates of Fe deficiency.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to (1) use stable Fe isotopes to compare the up-regulation of Fe absorption at low Fe status from FS and FPP, (2) determine whether the RBV of FPP varies with Fe status and (3)
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compare the relative efficacy of FPP and FS as fortificants to increase body Fe stores in Fe-deficient children vs. Fe-sufficient children.

Materials and methods

Study 1: stable isotope studies

Subjects. A total of forty-nine apparently healthy young women were enrolled: (1) twenty-five women with low Fe status as defined by a plasma ferritin concentration <25 μg/l with or without anaemia and (2) twenty-four women with Fe sufficiency as defined by plasma ferritin >40 μg/l and a normal Hb concentration (≥120 g/l). Inclusion criteria for both groups were as follows: age 18–40 years; no chronic medical illnesses or medications; body weight ≤65 kg; non-pregnant and not planning pregnancy; no blood donation within the previous 4 months; a C-reactive protein concentration ≤3 mg/l and a normal Hb concentration ($\geq 120$ g/l with or without anaemia) and (2) twenty-four women with Fe sufficiency as defined by plasma ferritin >40 μg/l and a normal Hb concentration (≥120 g/l). Inclusion criteria for both groups were as follows: age 18–40 years; no chronic medical illnesses or medications; body weight ≤65 kg; non-pregnant and not planning pregnancy; no blood donation within the previous 4 months; a C-reactive protein concentration ≤3 mg/l and a normal Hb concentration (≥120 g/l with or without anaemia). Studies were conducted in duplicate for its Fe isotopic composition by negative thermal ionisation MS using a magnetic sector field mass spectrometer (MAT 262) equipped with a multi-collector system for simultaneous ion beam detection. Data were normalised for the natural $^{56}$Fe:$^{54}$Fe isotope ratio to correct for mass-dependent fractionation effects in the ion source. Based on the shift of the Fe isotope ratios in the blood samples and the amount of Fe circulating in the body, the amounts of $^{57}$Fe and $^{58}$Fe isotopic label present in the blood 1 d after the test meals were calculated by isotope dilution. Circulating Fe was calculated based on the blood, height and weight according to Brown et al. For the calculation of fractional absorption, 80% incorporation of the absorbed Fe into erythrocytes was assumed.

Study 2: efficacy studies

Subjects and study design. We did a secondary analysis of two previous efficacy studies of the Fe fortification of iodised salt in northern Morocco, one using FS and another using FPP. The methods and results have been described in detail previously. In the FS study, Fe was added at a level of 1 mg/g salt using microencapsulated FS (Cap-Shure FS-165E-50; Balchem, Slate Hill, NY, USA). In the FPP study, Fe was added as micronised FPP (article no. 3043448; Dr Paul Lohmann) at a fortification level of 2 mg Fe/g salt. The fortification level was set higher because the RBV of FPP is estimated to be approximately 50% of FS.

The design of the two studies was similar. For both studies (1) the salt came from the same local source and was iodised with potassium iodide at 25 μg/g salt, (2) 2 kg of salt was distributed to the participating households monthly for 10 months and (3) the subjects were 6- to 15-year-old children from the same neighbouring primary schools. The studies were done approximately 2 years apart. The studies were conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures were approved by the review committee at ETH Zürich. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Test meal. The test meal was boiled white rice (50 g dry weight) and 25 g (fresh weight) vegetable sauce. The sauce (44% Chinese cabbage, 22% carrots, 22% zucchini and 13% onions) was produced by boiling the vegetables until tender, stir-frying in vegetable oil and then pureeing. All ingredients were purchased in bulk and used for the entire study. The food portions were kept frozen until use, and each portion was microwaved on the day of feeding.

Isotopic analyses. $^{57}$Fe-labelled FS was prepared from isotopically enriched $^{57}$Fe (Chemgas, Boulogne, France) by dilution in 0.1 M H$_2$SO$_4$. $^{57}$Fe-labelled FPP was produced by Paul Lohmann GmbH (Emmerthal, Germany). The isotopic composition of the stable isotope labels was measured by negative thermal ionisation MS. Enrichment of the isotopic labels was 97.82% for $^{57}$Fe and 92.86% for $^{58}$Fe. Each isotopically enriched blood sample was analysed in duplicate for its Fe isotopic composition by negative thermal ionisation MS using a magnetic sector field mass spectrometer (MAT 262) equipped with a multi-collector system for simultaneous ion beam detection. Data were normalised for the natural $^{56}$Fe:$^{54}$Fe isotope ratio to correct for mass-dependent fractionation effects in the ion source. Based on the shift of the Fe isotope ratios in the blood samples and the amount of Fe circulating in the body, the amounts of $^{57}$Fe and $^{58}$Fe isotopic label present in the blood 1 d after the test meals were calculated by isotope dilution. Circulating Fe was calculated based on the blood, height and weight according to Brown et al. For the calculation of fractional absorption, 80% incorporation of the absorbed Fe into erythrocytes was assumed.
for the determination of Hb, serum ferritin (SF) and serum transferrin receptor (sTfR). In both studies, these measures were repeated 10 months later\textsuperscript{(31,12)}.

**Laboratory analysis**

**Study 1.** Hb was measured in whole blood on the day of collection using an automated coulter counter (AcT8 Coulter; Beckman Coulter, Krefeld, Germany) with three-level control materials provided by the manufacturer. The plasma was separated and frozen until analysis. Plasma ferritin was measured on an IMMULITE\textsuperscript{®} automatic system (DPC Bühlmann GmbH, Aschwil, Germany); the normal range was 15–300 µg/l. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein was measured by using IMMULITE; the normal range was < 100 mg/l.

**Study 2.** Hb was measured as above using a Coulter counter. SF and sTfR were measured using an ELISA (Ramco, Houston, TX, USA). Normal reference values are as follows: SF, 12–300 µg/l; sTfR, 2.9–8.5 mg/l. Fe deficiency was defined as either SF < 12 µg/l or sTfR > 8.5 mg/l\textsuperscript{(32)}. Anaemia was defined as Hb < 120 g/l in children aged ≥12 years, and Hb < 115 g/l in children aged 5–11 years\textsuperscript{(13)}. Body Fe was estimated by the method of Cook et al.\textsuperscript{(14)} using the following formula:

\[
\text{Body Fe (mg/kg)} = -\left(\log(s\text{TfR}/s\text{F}) - 2.8229\right) / 0.1207.
\]

The change in body Fe during the efficacy study was obtained by subtracting the baseline body Fe value from the value at 40 weeks.

**Data analysis**

Data were analysed using SPSS 13.0 for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA) and Excel (XP 2002; Microsoft, Seattle, WA, USA). Results are presented as means and standard deviations if normally distributed. If not normally distributed, results are presented as medians (ranges) and log-transformed for comparisons. The \( \chi^2 \) and unpaired \( t \) tests were used for comparisons between the groups, and trend line slopes and regressions were done to examine relationships among the variables. \( P \) values <0.05 were considered to be significant.

**Results**

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the subjects in the low Fe status and Fe-sufficient groups. In the low Fe status group, 66% of women were Fe deficient (plasma ferritin < 15 µg/l) and 18% were Fe-deficient anaemic. In the Fe-sufficient group, Hb and plasma ferritin were significantly higher (\( P<0.05 \) and \( P<0.0001 \)), while Fe bioavailability from the FS-labelled meal was significantly lower (\( P<0.01 \)). However, there was no significant difference in Fe bioavailability from FPP between the Fe-sufficient and low Fe status groups (Table 1).
Fig. 1. (a) Relationships between plasma ferritin and Fe bioavailability from the ferrous sulphate- and ferrous fumarate-labelled rice-based test meals. Ferrous sulphate: \( y = -0.4228x + 1.6378; r^2 = 0.3859 \) and ferrous pyrophosphate: \( y = -0.1608x + 0.2978; r^2 = 0.0514 \). (b) Relationships between plasma ferritin and Fe bioavailability from the ferrous sulphate- and ferric pyrophosphate-labelled meals. The subjects were forty-nine women selected for a range of Fe status, from Fe-deficiency anaemia to Fe sufficiency. \( y = 0.2312x + 0.7191; r^2 = 0.1558 \).

Fig. 1(a) shows the relationships between plasma ferritin and Fe bioavailability from the FS- and FPP-labelled meals. Plasma ferritin was a significant negative predictor of Fe bioavailability from the FS-labelled meal \((r^2 = 0.386; P < 0.001)\) but not from the FPP-labelled meal. The slope of the trend line for FS was significantly steeper than that of FPP \((P < 0.01)\). As shown in Fig. 1(b), plasma ferritin was a significant positive predictor of the RBV of FPP \((r^2 = 0.156; P < 0.01)\).

Table 2 shows the characteristics of the children in the two efficacy studies. There were no significant differences between the groups except that the group that received the FS-fortified salt had a higher prevalence of Fe-deficiency anaemia \((P < 0.05)\).

Fig. 2 shows the relationship between body Fe at baseline, calculated from the sTfR:SF ratio, and the change in body Fe during the 10-month studies. Body Fe at baseline was a stronger negative predictor of the change in body Fe during the intervention with FS \((r^2 = 0.467; P < 0.0001)\) than with FPP \((r^2 = 0.169; P < 0.01)\). The slope of the trend line for FS was significantly steeper than that of FPP \((P < 0.05)\).

Discussion

FPP is often used to fortify infant cereals and chocolate drink powders\(^{22}\). Its main advantage is that it causes no adverse colour and flavour changes. However, it is poorly soluble in both water and dilute acid, including gastric juice, and is variably absorbed. Human studies have reported absorption values of 20–75% relative to FS depending on food processing, particle size of the fortification and crystal structure\(^{22}\). The RBV of FPP \((8.3–12.8\%)\) in the present study is somewhat lower than that reported in previous studies, but is generally consistent with previous results using FPP in rice-based meals\(^{16,15}\). However, the efficacy of FPP has been demonstrated in salt and rice fortification\(^{12,15–17}\).

Moretti et al.\(^{(6)}\) used a dispersible form of submicrometre-sized FPP in a cross-over design to measure Fe bioavailability in young women \((n = 26)\) from a wheat-milk infant cereal given with and without ascorbic acid \((n = 10)\) and from a processed and unprocessed rice meal \((n = 16)\). Of the twenty-six subjects, sixteen were Fe deficient. There was a significant negative correlation between SF and the absorption of FPP from the infant cereal with and without ascorbic acid \((r = -0.77; P = 0.010; r = -0.64; P = 0.046\) respectively), but in the rice meals, there was no significant relationship. Combining all data, Fe status was a highly significant positive predictor of the RBV of FPP \((r = 0.64; P < 0.001)\). In the present study, in women selected for a broader range of Fe status, including 18% with Fe-deficiency anaemia, Fe status was again not a significant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Salt fortified with FPP ((n = 75))</th>
<th>Salt fortified with FS ((n = 183))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean 10.8 SD 2.3</td>
<td>Mean 10.4 SD 2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female 35 Male 40</td>
<td>Female 89 Male 94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt (kg)</td>
<td>Mean 31.2 SD 9.9</td>
<td>Mean 29.5 SD 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ht (m)</td>
<td>Mean 1.34 SD 0.14</td>
<td>Mean 1.33 SD 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g/l)</td>
<td>Mean 113 SD 10</td>
<td>Mean 113 SD 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum ferritin (µg/l)</td>
<td>Median 16 Range 6–28</td>
<td>Median 20 Range 1–79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum transferrin receptor (mg/l)</td>
<td>Median 7.6 Range 3.8–17.8</td>
<td>Median 8.6 Range 4.3–17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Fe (mg/kg)</td>
<td>Median 1.58 Range –4.35–7.19</td>
<td>Median 2.07 Range –17.10–6.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevalence of Fe-deficiency anaemia</th>
<th>Children ((n))</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children ((n))</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>23*</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Value was significantly different from the FS group \((P < 0.05; \chi^2\) test).
predictor of Fe bioavailability from the commercial form of FPP commonly used as a food fortificant, and there was no significant up-regulation of Fe absorption from FPP in Fe deficiency. Because of this, Fe status was a significant direct predictor of the RBV of FPP (a) ferrous sulphate (n 183); y = −0·8405x + 2·6431; r² 0·4673 or (b) ferric pyrophosphate (n 75); y = −0·4543x + 3·7082; r² 0·1689.

In healthy adults without inflammation, the two main physiological stimuli that increase dietary Fe absorption are a decrease in body Fe stores and an increase in erythropoiesis(5). Fe absorption is inversely proportional to Fe status in humans over a wide range of body Fe stores, whether stores are measured directly or represented by SF, in both adults(4,18,19) and children(20–22). However, in all of these studies, only water-soluble forms of Fe (FS, ferrous ascorbate and/or ferric citrate) were used to examine the relationship between Fe status and Fe absorption. The present study is the first designed to directly compare the effect of Fe status on the bioavailability of a poorly soluble Fe compound. A strength of the study was the selection of subjects with a wide range of Fe status, from clear Fe sufficiency to Fe-deficiency anaemia. This is important as dietary Fe absorption rises only slowly as storage Fe is depleted, but rises steeply as Fe supply to the marrow is compromised(25).

Non-haem Fe liberation from foods during digestion produces ferrous (Fe²⁺) and ferric (Fe³⁺) iron; the equilibrium of these in the gut depends on the reducing power of the meal and other luminal factors, such as the gastric pH(2). Ferric iron can be converted to the ferrous form by food components (such as ascorbic acid) and/or the duodenal ferric reductase, duodenal cytochrome b, on the apical surface of the duodenal enterocyte(29). Ferrous iron, but not ferric iron, is transported into the enterocyte by the apical Fe transporter divalent metal transport protein 1 (DMT1)(24). In duodenal biopsy specimens in human subjects, Fe deficiency results in a 4- to 12-fold increase in DMT1 expression(16,30), although the stronger up-regulation of Fe absorption from FS compared with FPP in Fe deficiency may be at least partially explained as follows: in Fe-sufficient subjects, low expression of DMT1 becomes an important limiting factor in Fe absorption, reducing differences in absorption due to the varying solubility of the Fe compounds. But duodenal cytochrome b expression is not down-regulated, allowing available ferric iron to be converted to the ferrous form for absorption. In Fe deficiency, DMT1 is strongly up-regulated(25,26,28) and there is ample DMT1 on the apical surface, allowing solubility to emerge as the principal limiting factor in Fe absorption. At the same time, duodenal cytochrome b is not strongly up-regulated(25,28), further favouring the absorption of ferrous iron over ferric iron.

Our data suggest that Fe absorption from poorly soluble Fe compounds such as FPP (and possibly elemental Fe compounds) is less well up-regulated by Fe deficiency than Fe absorption from soluble Fe compounds such as FS. Our findings should be confirmed in young children, where the superior organoleptic properties of FPP may be an important consideration. However, they argue that FS should continue to be recommended for the fortification of foods in Fe-deficient populations, while the use of FPP may be less desirable. Compared with poorly soluble compounds, more soluble Fe compounds not only demonstrate better overall absorption and can be used at lower fortification levels, but they also may have the added advantage that, because their absorption is up-regulated in Fe deficiency, they innately ‘target’ Fe-deficient individuals in a population.
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