Flavonoid intake and disability-adjusted life years due to Alzheimer’s and related dementias: a population-based study involving twenty-three developed countries
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Abstract

Objective: Dietary flavonoids and their metabolites may have neuroprotective effects against age-associated neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer’s and related dementias (dementia). There is a lack of population studies, however, on correlations between flavonoid intake and dementia. The main objective of the present study was to analyse such a relationship at a large-scale population level.

Design: Based on global data (FAO, WHO), databases were generated for: (i) flavonoid content of foods; (ii) per capita national dietary intakes of flavonoids and other dietary factors; and (iii) disability-adjusted life years – a measure of burden and death – due to dementia. Five major flavonoid subclasses were examined. To minimize influences due to accuracy and reliability of the disease source data, twenty-three developed countries were selected after statistical evaluation.

Results: Flavonols and combined flavonoids (all five combined) intakes were the only two parameters with significant ($P < 0.05$) negative dementia correlations. Multiple linear regression models confirmed this relationship, and excluded confounding from some other dietary and non-dietary factors. Similar analyses with non-dementia, neurological/psychiatric diseases did not yield significant correlations.

Conclusions: At a global level, and in the context of different genetic backgrounds, our results suggest that higher consumption of dietary flavonoids, especially flavonols, is associated with lower population rates of dementia in these countries.

There are numerous reports on biochemical mechanisms that may contribute to the neuroprotective effects of flavonoids, especially in the context of Alzheimer’s and related, age-associated neurodegenerative disorders (1, 2, 3, 4, 5). In terms of epidemiological evidence, a prospective cohort study has reported a risk ratio of 0.49 for dementia between the highest and lowest tertiles of flavonoid intake (6); and another study indicated a protective relationship between flavonoid intake and risk of dementia only among smokers (7). More recent studies on the Mediterranean diet – a diet typically rich in flavonoids from fruits and vegetables and wine – have associated adherence to this diet with lower risk of developing Alzheimer’s and mild cognitive impairment, and conversion of such impairment to Alzheimer’s (8–12). Moreover, regular consumption of flavonoid-rich foods such as tea and wine has been associated with better performance on cognitive tests and decreased risk of cognitive decline in elderly populations in Asia and Europe (13, 14). Our present study provides (to our knowledge) the first test for an inverse ecological association between dietary intakes of flavonoids and global-level rates of Alzheimer’s and other related dementias (hereafter called ‘dementia’) among a large number of developed countries in different continents.

An epidemiological study, the European National Variation in Burden of Disease and Nutrition (13), as well as The World Health Report (14), served as conceptual resources for our study. Our methodology is comparable to other global ecological studies that relate nutrition to disease; for example, a 2006 study (15) compared diabetes incidence in various world regions with dietary parameters from the FAO Food Balance Sheets (16). Social variables that may have an influence on dementia rates were also considered in our study: educational attainment of the population (17), ethnic distribution (18), socioeconomic conditions (19) and gender distributions of the population over 65 years of age (20). The disability-adjusted life year (DALY) was used as the parameter for the diseases examined in the current study. A DALY is a measure of the burden that a disease has on those afflicted in a population. It represents a mathematical combination of years of life lost prematurely due to the disease and years of life spent in disability, normally...
given as a rate (e.g. per 100 000). With the 2006 publication of the vast and ongoing Global Burden of Disease (GBD) project(21) came extensive disease burden statistics, including data for ‘Alzheimer’s and other senile dementias(22).

**Experimental methods**

A schematic flowchart of the methods – database production and analysis steps – is shown in Fig. 1. Database preparation and statistical analyses are further detailed below.

**Disease databases**

Global statistics for the incidence of dementia were obtained from the WHO Burden of Disease Statistics(22). Accuracy and reliability of the disease data source were subject to the following considerations.

In relation to socio-economic environment, the WHO describes data as they pertain to distinct world regions: a high-income region, representative of the developed world, and several other geographically based regions, representative of the developing world. Health information coverage was 99% for the former, but typically much lower for the latter groups(21). This disparity in coverage is consistent with a previous estimation of world dementia rates that found data sources for developing nations either to be incomplete or to have disease-reporting formats inconsistent with the developed world, or non-existent altogether(23,24). The GBD study assigned to each country a rating for each of three levels representative of different aspects of data reliability (rating of 1, most reliable). If differences within these levels between countries influence dementia rates, then including data from multiple nations with inconsistent levels could render the results less accurate by introducing a possible confounding factor in the source data. The first two levels each had four possible ratings, 1 (best) to 4 (worst); the third had only two possible ratings (3 or 4). Analyses of variance (more details below) were used to determine whether the level of evidence of a country was an influencing factor on dementia rates. Income status was also tested as a source of variance in the dementia data and additionally as an interacting factor with the levels of evidence ratings. Twenty-three countries (list below) were selected such that the ratings for each level of evidence would not, upon interaction with income status or alone, significantly act as confounding factors on the dementia rates.

Economics and health-care funding data were also collected to enable testing for any residual confounding influence(25) apart from that controlled by nation selection (see below); these included gross national income and total expenditure on health care. To minimize ethnic influences from possibly confounding flavonoid-based effects on correlations, predominantly Caucasian nations were selected as units of study: European countries (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK), New Zealand, Australia, USA and Canada; it is apparent from the database(22), and supported by the globally based dementia prevalence studies(25,24,26), that rates of dementia are affected by the primary ethnicity of a country.

The disease data set used in the current study had already been adjusted for variations in age distributions(25). Data on gender were collected as male:female ratio in the population over 65 years of age(27). Data on education were collected as percentage of the population enrolled in

![Fig. 1 Flowchart of methods – database production and data analyses. Single-lined boxes indicate data from external sources; double-lined boxes indicate processed data; bold text indicates analyses (USDA, US Department of Agriculture)](image-url)
Table 1 National age-standardized disability-adjusted life year rates of dementias in relation to level of evidence and country income

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(a) Levels of evidence and national income status as factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Income</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) Levels of evidence as primary factors, interacting with income status as secondary factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor 1</th>
<th>Factor 2</th>
<th>F (level)</th>
<th>F (income)</th>
<th>F (interaction)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>44.124</td>
<td>18.86</td>
<td>3.688</td>
<td>0.027*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>7.524</td>
<td>56.492</td>
<td>1.082</td>
<td>0.341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>Income</td>
<td>13.723</td>
<td>46.988</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Levels of evidence as factors, high-income countries only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td>3.773</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.388</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P < 0.05.
†Levels are distinct rating systems given by WHO representing quality of dementia data.
‡Interaction between level and income (high v. rest), ANOVA.
§P value represents statistical significance of the interaction.

tertiary schooling (28). As a statistical control for disease, using the same methods as with dementia data (22) on a group of neuropsychiatric conditions from GBD statistics, excluding Alzheimer’s and related dementias, were also collected.

**Dietary factors databases**

Data on dietary consumption were obtained from FAO Food Balance Sheets (30) in the form of grams per capita per day (g/cap per d) for flavonoid-containing foods (105 categories of grains, roots and tubers, sugar products, legumes, nuts, seed oils, fruits, vegetables, wine, beer, tea and spices). The FAO’s collection methods are comprehensive, all-inclusive, not sample-based, and are robust and reliable for use in national- or world region-based nutrition studies. The determination of flavonoid content for each of these categories involved the use of information from the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) on the flavonoid content of selected foods (29). Because some categories of minor grains and spices were not covered in the USDA publication, additional literature sources on food flavonoid content were used (30–44). The result was the creation of two flavonoid databases, one with USDA-only data as a source and one with USDA data supplemented with additional sources; the latter was used for all relevant figures and tables in the present study. For each of the food categories, the calculated mg/100 g value of each of the flavonoid subclasses and combined flavonoids (sum of all five subclasses) was listed. This database was then cross-tabulated with dietary consumption data for each country to form a new data set for each country containing the mg/cap per d values on daily consumption of each flavonoid subclass, and of combined flavonoids. Correlation coefficients were calculated between data sets of flavonoid consumption and DALY rates of dementia for each country (see Fig. 1). As a non-flavonoid control, values for antioxidant vitamins (16,45) were also used to calculate correlation coefficients.

**Statistical analyses**

Analyses of variance were carried out using the SPSS statistical software package version 12 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), with rates of dementia as the dependent variable. The α value for statistical significance was 0.05. In the first round, ANOVA was tested for each level of evidence as well as income status, among all nations given in the source data (Table 1a). In the second round (Table 1b), factorial ANOVA was used to test whether income status had an interaction with each of the three levels in their influence on dementia rates. This could indicate if the variance seen in Table 1a is due to income status. ANOVA was used again in the third round (Table 1c) to test for variance of dementia for the three levels of evidence for high-income countries only. After controlling for high-income status, ethnicity and availability of Food Balance Sheets, all remaining nations had either a first or second rating for each level of evidence. Three subsequent rounds of t-tests were performed to test whether dementia rates varied significantly between the first and second ratings (these two differed in completeness and year of data) for each of the levels of evidence. Then t-tests were used to determine whether dementia rates among high-income countries would vary depending on which of the top two ratings for each level of evidence was used (Table 2a; 4th round), and then repeated with a control for ethnicity (Table 2b; 5th round); a final repetition was...
Results

Population rates of dementia varied significantly depending on the rating for each level of evidence, and even more so depending on income status (Table 1a). A significant interaction between levels of evidence and income status occurred only for the first level (Table 1b). When controlling for income status by selecting only high-income countries, variance within level 1 significantly affected dementia rates, while variance within levels 2 and 3 did not (Table 1c). Hence, controlling for income prevents confounding from the rating for levels 2 and 3; and possible confounding by the rating among high-income countries should be controlled for level 1.

Among high-income nations, dementia variance was dependent on which of the first two ratings was used for the first level of evidence (Table 2a). For the second and third levels, using nations of the first two ratings did not affect dementia rate variation. This means that only the first rating of level 1 should be used to prevent confounding, but using the first two ratings for levels 2 and 3 will not confound the dementia rates. Data from high-income countries only have first or second ratings for levels 2 and 3; hence, control for high income also offers a measure of quality assurance of the data. These effects were maintained when controlling for ethnicity (Table 2b); hence, the nations selected (level 1, rating 1; level 2, all ratings) for the current study will not be biased from their ratings for each level of evidence. When including all nations, the dementia rates varied significantly between the first two ratings for each of the three levels (Table 2c), in agreement with Table 1a.

Correlation coefficients between age-adjusted DALY for dementia and the flavonoid subclasses and combined flavonoid intake (Table 3) showed negative correlations ($r$) for each of the six flavonoid classes and two-tailed statistical significance ($P<0.05$) for two of them. One of the flavonoid subclasses, flavonols, had a higher correlation coefficient than total flavonoids. The dementia variable had an average value of 228 (SD 18) DALY/100 000 people. Correlations with USDA-only data (see Experimental methods; data not shown) were similar for all flavonoids to those in Table 3: flavonols, $r = -0.435$, $P = 0.038$. Proanthocyanidins, polymers of flavonols with relatively low intestinal absorption, were not extensively analysed in the current study; their correlation with dementia – obtained after supplementing the database with – was not statistically significant: $r = -0.317$, $P = 0.141$. Isoflavones were not examined, as they were not part of USDA source data.

None of the correlation coefficients of flavonoids with a control group of neurological/psychiatric disorders was statistically significant (Table 4). This disease group includes combined data on all neuropsychiatric disorders from the WHO source data with the exception of Alzheimer’s and related dementias. In the context of potentially neuroprotective antioxidant activities, correlations between

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{National age-standardized disability-adjusted life year rates of dementias in relation to level of evidence, income and ethnicity} \label{tab:dementia_rates}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
\textbf{Factor} & \textbf{r Test} & \textbf{P value} \\
\hline
\textbf{Level 1} & 2.746 & 0.010* \\
\textbf{Level 2} & 1.25 & 0.22 \\
\textbf{Level 3} & 0.875 & 0.388 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Comparison of first two ratings for each level, high-income countries only} \label{tab:comparison_ratings_1}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
\textbf{Factor} & \textbf{r Test} & \textbf{P value} \\
\hline
\textbf{Level 1} & 6.205 & <0.001* \\
\textbf{Level 2} & 0.637 & 0.571 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{Comparison of first two ratings for each level, all countries} \label{tab:comparison_ratings_2}
\begin{tabular}{lcc}
\hline
\textbf{Factor} & \textbf{r Test} & \textbf{P value} \\
\hline
\textbf{Level 1} & 6.117 & <0.001* \\
\textbf{Level 2} & 3.195 & 0.002* \\
\textbf{Level 3} & 10.727 & <0.001* \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
antioxidant vitamins A, C and E and rates of dementia were determined (Table 5); none of these was statistically significant. Consumption of fruits and vegetables (g/cap per d) was also not significantly correlated with dementia (Table 5). Table 6 shows the correlations between all six flavonoid categories, as well as dementia, with variables that may influence dementia rates (see Discussion); none of the variables showed significant correlations with either flavonoids or dementia.

In a stepwise multiple linear regression model with the five flavonoid subclasses as independent variables and dementia as the dependent variable, flavonols was the only variable that remained. In further models that had dementia as the dependent variable, and flavonols and each of the additional variables significantly correlated with flavonols \( (P<0.5) \); alcohol consumption, vitamins A + C + E combined, anthocyanins, flavones) entered individually as independent variables, only flavonols remained in each model. Similarly, in models that had dementia as the dependent variable, and combined flavonoids and each of the other factors individually as independent variables, only flavonoids remained in each model. Thus, none of these variables acted as confounders in correlations of flavonols or flavonoids with dementia rates. As a means of adjusting for two other variables commonly adjusted in dementia studies\(^{(17–20)}\), a further multiple linear regression was performed using the enter method (Table 7); the standardized correlation coefficient \( (\beta) \) for total flavonoids remained significant and was higher, \(-0.546\); the other two variables remained insignificant (Table 7).

### Table 4 Correlation coefficients between national flavonoid per capita intake and a group of neurological/psychiatric disorders that excludes Alzheimer’s and related dementias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flavonoid category</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anthocyanins</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavanones</td>
<td>-0.014</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavones</td>
<td>-0.208</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavonols</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combined flavonoids</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Disorders include bipolar and unipolar depressive, schizophrenia, epilepsy, alcohol abuse, Parkinson’s, multiple sclerosis, drug abuse, post-traumatic stress, obsessive–compulsive, panic, insomnia and migraine.

### Table 5 Correlations between national per capita intake of antioxidant vitamins, or total fruits and vegetables, and national disability-adjusted life year rates of dementias

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nutrient category</th>
<th>( r )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin A</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.799</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
<td>-0.335</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
<td>-0.037</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamins A + C + E</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fruits/vegetables</td>
<td>-0.275</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 6 Correlations of national flavonoid per capita intake or disability-adjusted life year rates of dementia, with potentially confounding variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Male:female ratio for age 65 years+</th>
<th>Dementia</th>
<th>Anthocyanins</th>
<th>Flavonols</th>
<th>Flavanones</th>
<th>Flavones</th>
<th>Flavonols</th>
<th>Flavonoids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( r ) value</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>-0.392</td>
<td>-0.254</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>-0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.967</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.389</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenditure on health care</td>
<td>-0.130</td>
<td>-0.066</td>
<td>0.536</td>
<td>0.185</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>-0.209</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>0.556</td>
<td>0.766</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.339</td>
<td>0.433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross national income</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.400</td>
<td>0.381</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.318</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.654</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td>0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of population in tertiary schooling</td>
<td>-0.293</td>
<td>-0.261</td>
<td>-0.231</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P ) value</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>0.993</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*\text{P}<0.05.

### Table 7 Gender, education and national flavonoid per capita intake (mg/d) as predictor of disability-adjusted life year rates of dementia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables included in model</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient ( \beta )</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combined flavonoid intake</td>
<td>-0.546</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of males:females</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>0.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population in tertiary schooling</td>
<td>-0.337</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\*\text{P}<0.05.

**Discussion**

The present study provides evidence for a significant negative correlation between intake of some flavonoids and DALY of dementia at a large-scale population level. Such correlations were not found for a group of control neurological and psychiatric diseases that excludes dementias. Intake of all five flavonoid subclasses was negatively correlated with dementia DALY; of these five, only flavonols had a robust, statistically significant negative correlation with dementia incidence. The correlation of combined flavonoid intake and dementia rates was also negative and statistically significant; the \( r^2 \) value (coefficient of determination; Table 3) suggests that...
combined flavonoid intake may account for about 17% of the variation in dementia rates. It should be noted, however, that such analyses have limitations; for example, flavonoids may be merely markers of healthy foods, and the resulting potential neuroprotection may be a result of particular food combinations (cf. Table 5 discussion below). In both the USDA-only (see Experimental methods) and supplemented-USDA (cf. Table 3) databases, flavonol intake was more strongly correlated with decreased dementia DALY than intake of total flavonoids.

Specific features of flavonoids and their metabolites may account for potentially neuroprotective activities(4–5) in relation to dementia. Flavonols are often the most potent antioxidants in different oxidation assays, such as those based on hydrogen donation and metal chelation, and this has been related to several properties distinct to their structure(48). These properties, in combination with others such as bioavailability, redox cycling with other antioxidants, and metabolic activation, may contribute to potential neuroprotection. Differences between flavonols and other flavonoids/dietary factors in terms of synergism of antioxidant properties with other physiological activities – modulation of signal transduction, apoptosis, proteolysis, metabolic enzyme activity, membrane integrity, interaction with amyloidogenic proteins, and others(4,5,48–54) – may also be important in this context.

Of the antioxidant nutrient controls (vitamins A, C and E and A + C + E combined) only vitamin C intake showed a notable, though statistically insignificant, negative correlation. In a neuroprotection context, other studies have also suggested a greater potency of flavonoids relative to vitamin C(5,47,55).

Dietary flavonoids derive predominantly from the consumption of fruits and vegetables(13). The relatively weaker correlation between total fruit and vegetable intake and rates of dementia (Table 5) suggests that those fruits and vegetables which contain the most flavonoids, especially flavonols, are more strongly correlated with dementia than fruits and vegetables in general, and that flavonoids may be the component responsible for most of the potential neuroprotective effects. Our results emphasize the flavonoid components of foods, and are comparable to earlier studies of flavonoids and other dietary factors and risk of CHD(56,57). Additional factors that could be accounted for (gender ratio, income, education) did not appear to have a confounding effect. Thus, based on a multinational study of developed countries in different continents, we provide evidence for a significant inverse correlation between dietary consumption of flavonoids, especially flavonols, and DALY rates of dementia.
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