Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is now a major public health concern with an estimated prevalence of 25–30% of adults in many countries. Strongly associated with obesity and the metabolic syndrome, the pathogenesis of NAFLD is dependent on complex interactions between genetic and environmental factors that are not completely understood. Weight loss through diet and lifestyle modification underpins clinical management; however, the roles of individual dietary nutrients (e.g. saturated and n-3 fatty acids; fructose, vitamin D, vitamin E) in the pathogenesis or treatment of NAFLD are only partially understood. Systems biology offers valuable interdisciplinary methods that are arguably ideal for application to the studying of chronic diseases such as NAFLD, and the roles of nutrition and diet in their molecular pathogenesis. Although present in silico models are incomplete, computational tools are rapidly evolving and human metabolism can now be simulated at the genome scale. This paper will review NAFLD and its pathogenesis, including the roles of genetics and nutrition in the development and progression of disease. In addition, the paper introduces the concept of systems biology and reviews recent work utilising genome-scale metabolic networks and developing multi-scale models of liver metabolism relevant to NAFLD. A future is envisioned where individual genetic, proteomic and metabolomic information can be integrated computationally with clinical data, yielding mechanistic insight into the pathogenesis of chronic diseases such as NAFLD, and informing personalised nutrition and stratified medicine approaches for improving prognosis.
and lifestyle intervention is the mainstay of present clinical management(1,7,8).

Diagnosis

Presently available diagnostic tools (liver enzymes, imaging and biopsy) are either non-specific, expensive, or invasive. The lack of an acceptable, inexpensive diagnostic tool makes large-scale population studies difficult(9). Elevated liver enzymes (aspartate and alanine aminotransaminases) are often used to define ‘suspected NAFLD’ at a population level. However, the majority (79%) of individuals diagnosed with NAFLD by MRI in a large population study had normal transaminase levels(10), so relying on this measure significantly underestimates the burden of disease. Imaging is non-invasive but, in the case of MRI or magnetic resonance elastography, it can be expensive and not accessible to all. Alternatively, in the case of ultrasound and transient elastography (fibroscan), it can be somewhat insensitive for the staging of NASH and fibrosis. While liver biopsies are the gold standard for staging of NASH and fibrosis, required for licensing purposes in pharmacological trials(11), biopsies have their limitations, including issues with inter-rater reliability, sampling error, cost and acceptability for monitoring the condition in the long term.

NAFLD is closely associated with obesity and metabolic disorders. In a large meta-analysis of eighty-six studies, with a sample size of more than 8.5 million persons from twenty-two countries, more than 80% of individuals with NASH and 51% of individuals with NAFLD were obese. Type 2 diabetes co-occurred in 47% of NASH cases and 23% of NAFL cases; metabolic syndrome was found in 71% NASH patients and 41% of NAFL patients(12). For these reasons, clinical guidelines for NAFLD diagnosis(1,7,8) do not advocate general population screening, but stress that NAFLD is to be suspected in individuals with type 2 diabetes or the metabolic syndrome; defined as three or more of five risk factors for CVD and type 2 diabetes: hypertension, hypertriglyceridaemia, lowered HDL cholesterol, raised fasting glucose and central obesity defined by increased waist circumference(13).

Prevalence

Given the challenges of NAFLD diagnosis, the prevalence of NAFLD can only be estimated and estimates vary depending on the diagnostic tool used. Nonetheless, it is clear that the prevalence of NAFLD varies by region and ethnicity, and the global prevalence of NAFLD is estimated to be 24%(14). The highest reported rates are in the Middle East (32%) and South America (31%), followed by Asia (27%), the USA and the UK (24 and 23%)(14). Recent reviews of the epidemiology of NAFLD have highlighted surprising high prevalence in Asia (27% pooled estimate(15)), with country-specific estimates ranging from 15 to 40% for China, 25–30% for Japan and 27–30% for Korea and India(15). Prevalence estimates in North America have ranged from 11 to 46% dependent on diagnostic modality and population studied; a recent meta-analysis with random effects model concluded a pooled average of 24% (19–29%) by ultrasound but only 13% by blood testing(12). Prevalence in the USA also depends on ethnicity with Hispanic Americans at highest risk (53%) relative to Caucasians (44%) and African Americans (35%) (10), while American Indians have a prevalence as low as 13%(16). Genetic variability, discussed in detail later, likely explains some, but not all of the differences in risk. The heritability of liver fat and fibrosis has estimated to be 39–52 and 50%, respectively(17,18), underscoring that the environment also plays a large role in NAFLD development. Estimates of global NASH prevalence range from 1.5 to 6.5%(14), with estimates of 6 and 2% prevalence for NASH and NASH-related cirrhosis in the USA(21). In sum, NAFLD is a common chronic liver disease worldwide.

Natural history

As with prevalence, defining the natural history of disease progression in NAFLD has been hampered by the reliance on liver biopsies. While only recently the disease was perceived as progressing somewhat linearly from NAFL to NASH, then to NASH plus fibrosis, and then to cirrhosis and end-stage liver disease requiring transplantation, including occasionally hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC)(19), this perspective continues to evolve as outlined (Fig. 1). While simple steatosis in the absence of fibrosis is generally thought to have a more benign course of disease in terms of liver-specific outcomes and mortality, some patients with NAFL, so-called ‘rapid progressors’ can progress towards well-defined NASH with bridging fibrosis within a very few years(22). In addition, as diagrammed (Fig. 1), based on present data it cannot be excluded that in some cases, perhaps dependent on genetic susceptibilities, a NASH liver may arise from a normal liver(23). Moreover, an increasing number of studies suggests that HCC can develop in a non-cirrhotic liver, further altering the early linear model of NAFLD natural history (Fig. 1)(24–26). Increased risk for HCC in NASH likely relates to body weight, as 80% of patients with NASH are also obese(12). A recent population-based cohort study of 5.24 million UK adults has demonstrated large increases in risk (hazard ratio 1.19 per 5 kg/m2 increase in BMI) for liver cancer occurring in a linear fashion with increasing BMI(27).

Progression to severe liver disease in adults is in the order of decades(2,12,28). Multiple large retrospective cohort studies (>600 patients, mean follow-up 20 years) have now demonstrated that it is fibrosis, rather than NASH, on index biopsy that is associated most strongly with increased risk of mortality and liver-related outcomes such as decompensation or transplant(21,28). This work suggests NAFLD activity score is not clearly prognostic(29), and time to development of severe liver disease is dependent on fibrosis stage at presentation. Approximately 22–26 years for F0–1, 9.3 years for F2, 2.3 years for F3 and 0.9 years to liver decompensation in F4 fibrosis(21). However, the risk of selection bias for follow-up liver biopsy in single-centre studies is substantial, and rates of progression may thus be overestimated.
in the general population. Some have expressed concern about the risk of overdiagnosis in screening and monitoring individuals for NAFLD, when the majority will not develop advanced liver disease. Conversely, a recent population study (in 3,041 adults >45) assessed fibrosis by transient elastography and demonstrated clinically relevant fibrosis in the community was a concerning 5.6% (31). Furthermore, modelling indicates the burden of NASH, end-stage liver disease (decompensated cirrhosis, HCC) and liver-related deaths will continue to grow. Importantly, while severe liver outcomes may be the third rather than the primary cause of death in NASH patients, worryingly the primary and secondary causes of death are CVD and extra-hepatic cancers (29). A growing body of evidence suggests the effects of NAFLD extend beyond the liver, and NAFLD precedes and/or exacerbates the development of type 2 diabetes, hypertension and CVD (33). From a public health perspective, NAFLD, in particular NASH, cannot be ignored.

Pathogenesis

NAFLD is a complex phenotype that arises from dynamic interactions between diet, lifestyle and genetic factors, and involving crosstalk between multiple organs and the intestinal microbiome. Mechanistically, NAFLD pathogenesis can be viewed as an imbalance between lipid accumulation and removal (Fig. 2). Fatty acids (FA) arise in the liver from either the diet (dietary fats delivered via chylomicrons or dietary sugars converted via de novo lipogenesis), or from the circulating NEFA pool. Under normal circumstances FA are either oxidised for energy or packaged into TAG for export and circulation in VLDL.

The seminal view of NASH pathogenesis was one of ‘two hits’ (34), where steatosis was followed by oxidative stress leading to lipid peroxidation and inflammation. Layers of complexity, and ‘multiple hits’ are now recognised around these pathways; including genetic susceptibility, biological environment, behavioural factors, metabolism and the intestinal microbiome (35,36). In particular over the past decade, the roles of lipotoxic intermediates (37,38) and hepatic FA trafficking (39) in NAFLD pathogenesis has come to be appreciated (Fig. 2). Intermediates in the synthesis of TAG (lysophosphatidic acid, phosphatidic acid, lysophosphatidyl choline, ceramides and diacylglycerols) are now recognised to contribute to altered insulin signalling (37). In addition, lipotoxic intermediates are released via extracellular vesicles also activating hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) and other parenchymal cells driving inflammation and fibrosis (38).

The dynamics of lipid droplet formation (40), and the role of autophagy in fat mobilisation (41) are also very active areas of research. Identification of the genetic risk variants described later, has underscored that lipid droplets are not merely inert bundles of TAG; they contain other lipid species, such as cholesterol esters, and are associated with a diverse array of proteins. Notably, lysis of TAG from both adipocyte and hepatocyte lipid droplets is more dynamic and complex than previously envisioned, and lipid droplet-associated proteins play a role in NAFLD pathogenesis (42).

The progression of NAFLD involves an interplay of multiple cell types residing in the liver (Fig. 2). Lipotoxic intermediates, reactive oxygen species, endotoxins and adipokines, all drive recruitment and signalling of immune cells, including Kupffer cells; along with the activation of HSCs (Fig. 2). Activated HSCs
become fibroblasts, producing fibrogenic factors and collagen, and through apoptosis drive cirrhosis development(43). The chronic oxidative metabolism observed in NAFLD enhances reactive oxygen species production creating a pro-oxidative state(44). This overall increase in pro-oxidative/pro-inflammatory state leads to intracellular damage, activating repair mechanisms that can become hyperactive, further driving fibrosis(43).

Genetic risk factors

Initially identified through genome-wide association scanning as contributing to individual and ethnic differences in hepatic fat content and susceptibility to NAFLD(45), a missense mutation, leading to an isoleucine to methionine substitution at position 148, in the patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3 protein (PNPLA3; I148 M variant, rs738409), has now been independently verified as associated with NAFLD severity in multiple populations. Individuals who are homozygous for this allele have markedly increased steatosis levels compared with non-carriers(45) and the minor allele frequency correlates positively with steatosis across populations(14). This genetic variant is estimated to account for 30–50% of high-risk progression of NAFLD towards fibrosis, cirrhosis and HCC(46). In addition, it has also been linked to alcoholic(47) and viral(48) liver disease severity as well as HCC(49). This suggests the PNPLA3 variant is not specific to NAFLD, but more generally influences susceptibility to liver disease with environmental factors (viral or toxin exposure, nutrition/diet, microbiome) playing an integral, and perhaps deterministic role. Subsequent biochemical work has demonstrated that the PNPLA3 protein is associated with lipid droplets and has hydrolase (lipase) activity against TAG in hepatocytes and against retinyl esters in HSC(50–52). Disruption of PNPLA3 function leads to accumulation of TAG in hepatocytes; and the rs738409 risk allele is associated with the severity of a variety of liver diseases(53).

Three other common genetic variants have also been robustly associated with the development and progression of NAFLD and other liver diseases(36). Intriguingly, these genes all encode proteins involved in the regulation of hepatocyte lipid metabolism and are linked to the severity of multiple liver diseases. In particular the rs58542926 variant of the transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 protein results in a loss-of-function, inducing higher liver TAG content and lower circulating lipoproteins(54) through disrupted hepatocyte secretion of TAG and VLDL. Somewhat paradoxically, carriers of this mutation are at greater risk of liver disease but lower risk of cardiovascular events(55). In addition, a common polymorphism (rs641738, C > T) variant in the membrane-bound O-acyltransferase domain-containing 7 gene has also been recently associated with alcoholic liver disease(56), NAFLD severity(57,58) and HCC(59). The variant reduces protein expression and alters phosphatidylinositol concentrations in the liver(57). Variation in the glucokinase regulator gene, which regulates de novo lipogenesis by controlling the influx of glucose in hepatocytes, has also been associated with NAFLD in multiple
The associated variant (rs780094) appears to be in linkage disequilibrium with a common missense loss-of-function glucokinase regulator mutation (rs1260326) that affects its ability to negatively regulate glucokinase, resulting in an increase in hepatocyte glucose uptake and glycolytic flux, promoting lipogenesis and hepatic steatosis.  

Possessing multiple risk alleles increases risk severity for NASH, fibrosis, and HCC. While it is hoped that in the near future polygenic risk scores may improve clinical stratification and management, there is undoubtedly genetic complexity yet to be elucidated. For example, only in March 2018, Regeneron scientists reported their identification of splice variant rs72613567 (T > A) in the hydroxysteroid 17-β dehydrogenase 13 gene and its association with reduced levels of alanine transaminase and protection against chronic liver disease. The association was identified by exome sequencing of 46,544 participants with corresponding electronic health records, and then replicated in four independent cohorts. The rs72613567 variant results in a truncated protein with loss of enzymatic function that is associated with reduced risk of NASH and fibrosis, but not steatosis, suggesting the variant allele protects against progression to more clinically advanced stages of chronic liver disease. Interestingly, previous work had identified 17-β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 13 as overexpressed from hepatic lipid droplets from fatty liver patients and shown that adenovirus driven overexpression in mice induced a fatty liver phenotype. The physiological substrate(s) for the enzyme remains unknown, but in vitro it has activity against numerous steroid and bioactive lipids (e.g. leukotriene B4). These data highlight again the role of lipid intermediates and lipid droplet dynamics in the pathogenesis of NAFLD, and open the possibility of targeting hydroxysteroid 17-β dehydrogenase 13 therapeutically.

### Nutrition and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

While genetic mechanisms continue to be described, it is important to acknowledge the interplay between genetic background and environmental factors. Although genetic risk for NAFLD influences pathogenesis, the phenotypic threshold is strongly influenced by environmental factors such as adiposity, insulin resistance and diet. For example, recent work has demonstrated that for three of the aforementioned risk variants (PNPLA3, transmembrane 6 superfamily member 2 protein, glucokinase regulator), adiposity as measured by BMI greatly amplifies the genetic risk. With NAFLD disease progression linked closely to obesity and type 2 diabetes, it is clear that diet and lifestyle are key modifiable risk factors.

### Weight loss for the treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease

Hyper-energetic diets, containing high levels of saturated fat, refined carbohydrates and sugar-sweetened beverages, are strongly implicated in NAFLD pathogenesis. Weight gain and obesity are closely associated with NAFLD progression, therefore dietary and lifestyle changes aimed at weight loss are fundamental to all clinical management guidelines for NAFLD. This includes eating a healthy diet and increasing physical activity to prevent and resolve NAFLD, regardless of BMI, as advised by both the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL). Significant reduction in steatosis and hepatic markers of NAFLD have generally been observed with 5–10% weight loss; although weight reductions of >10% may be required for resolution of NASH and reducing fibrosis and portal inflammation. In general, combining dietary and physical activity interventions appears most effective, as are interventions of longer duration and greater intensity (multicomponent; more contact time, ≥14 times in 6 months); although trial heterogeneity can confound systematic review. Because achieving and maintaining 5–10% weight loss is a significant challenge for many, a pertinent question is whether or not improving the nutritional quality of the diet and/or increasing physical activity may improve NAFLD in the absence of weight loss.

While the focus of this review is the role of nutrition and dietary modification, increasing physical activity is an important component of lifestyle change aimed at weight loss and clinical improvement of NAFLD. Randomised clinical trials assessing the effects of resistance training, aerobic exercise or a combination of both have reported improvements in liver enzyme levels and reduced intrahepatic TAG measured by magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Positive effects have been reported in patients engaging in physical activity only once weekly, and meta-analysis shows this to be independent of significant weight change. Mechanistically this is plausible, as exercise has potent anti-inflammatory effects and protects against many chronic inflammatory diseases. Nonetheless, meta-analysis also suggests benefits are substantially greater with weight loss, particularly where weight loss exceeds 7%; with meta-regression demonstrating reductions in liver fat proportionally related to the magnitude of weight loss induced.

### Macronutrient composition and the Mediterranean diet

The benefits of altering macronutrient composition and dietary patterns in NAFLD have been explored. In particular the Mediterranean diet is attractive given the body of evidence suggesting this dietary pattern reduces metabolic risk factors and CVD risk. On this theoretical basis and only one randomised trial in twelve NAFLD subjects at the time, the European Association for the Study of the Liver Clinical Practice Guidelines made a strong recommendation that, in addition to aiming for a 7–10% weight reduction, ‘macronutrient composition should be adjusted according to the Mediterranean diet’.
grains, along with olive oil as the main source of added fat; the Mediterranean diet is typified by low intakes of dairy and meat products, higher intakes of fish and seafood, and moderate (red) wine consumption. In terms of macronutrients it tends to be much higher in fibre (>33 g/d), lower in carbohydrates, higher in total and monounsaturated fat (approximately 37% and 18%, respectively), but lower in saturated fat (9%) than typical Western diets\(^{81}\). As reviewed in detail by Zelber-Sagi\(^{81}\) the evidence base for the Mediterranean diet and NAFLD remains limited and largely observational. Nonetheless, the data to date are consistently in favour of a beneficial effect from the Mediterranean diet for treating NAFLD, even without accompanying weight reduction.

Recent work suggests that switching to either an isoenergetic low-fat or Mediterranean diet for 12 weeks, even ab libitum, can reduce liver fat (25% in low-fat and 32% in the Mediterranean diet; \(P = 0.32\) and alanine transaminase levels with minimal weight loss (1.6–2.1 kg). The Mediterranean diet did have better adherence and additional cardiometabolic benefits with improvements seen in total cholesterol, serum TAG, haemoglobin A1c and the Framingham risk score\(^{69}\). While the intervention was not designed for weight loss, and there was no difference in the energetic intakes measured at baseline and 12 weeks, both groups lost a small (2%) amount of weight, lower than that typically associated with NAFLD improvement. Although no differences were observed in the reductions of liver fat and body weight between the dietary groups, improvements in total cholesterol, plasma TAG and haemoglobin A1c levels were observed in the Mediterranean diet group.

**Saturated fat**

What both low-fat (<35%) and the Mediterranean diet often have in common, is reduced (<10%) saturated fat relative to the Western diet. Although dietary sugars, in particular fructose discussed in the next section, have been scrutinised for their role in driving de novo lipogenesis and NAFLD pathogenesis\(^{85,86}\), overfeeding saturated fat is more metabolically harmful to the liver\(^{87}\). Specifically, using stable isotopes in combination with MRI, Luukkonen and colleagues showed that 3 weeks of overfeeding (4184 kJ/d (1000 kcal/d)) with saturated fat, simple sugars or unsaturated fats increased liver fat by 55, 33 and 15%, respectively. Furthermore, overfeeding saturated fat-induced insulin resistance and endotoxaemia, and increased multiple plasma ceramides\(^{87}\). The recent focus on the negative metabolic effects of a high sugar diet has led to debate over historical dietary guidelines, which recommend low-fat and low saturated fat diets for the prevention of CVD\(^{88,89}\). It bears noting that low-fat is considered <35% of daily energy from fat with an ‘acceptable distribution’ of 20–35% and low-saturated fat is considered 7–10% of total energy. In the USA\(^{90}\) and the UK\(^{91}\) adults consume an average of 34–35% of daily energy intake from fat. As highlighted by Maldonado and colleagues\(^{92}\), neglected in the often polarised debates around sugar or fat\(^{93,94}\), is the fact that at a population level, identifying individual culpable nutrients is problematic. The vast majority of adults in developed countries consume excess energy from foods high in both sugar and fat, fundamentally contributing to increasing obesity and NAFLD. Where low-fat v. low-carbohydrate has been examined in a NAFLD context, the results are similar to that seen in the meta-analysis of weight loss trials in diabetes\(^{75,76}\); whereas low carbohydrate may induce a greater weight loss in the short term (12 weeks), in the longer term (≥12 months) the net weight loss tends to be similar to that from low-fat\(^{68,71}\).

**Fructose and dietary sugars**

Nonetheless, given the excessive consumption of sugar in general\(^{95}\), messages of reducing sugar-sweetened beverages and added sugars, consuming ‘healthy’ (e.g. complex) carbohydrates alongside lowering saturated fat intakes and consuming more ‘healthy fats’ (e.g. monounsaturated and n-3 FA) seem highly prudent. It is noted that beyond the obvious culprits of sugar-sweetened beverages, biscuits and sweeties or candies, even foods with healthful components such as yoghurts can have surprisingly high amounts of added sugars\(^{85}\). Lowering intakes of fructose and high glycemc index foods in the diet have been shown to have beneficial effects in NAFLD patients\(^{96,97}\). Whereas the European Association for the Study of the Liver Clinical Practice Guidelines specifically suggest ‘exclusion of NAFLD-promoting components (processed food, and food and beverages high in added fructose)\(^{7}\); the UK guidelines cited a lack of scientific studies meeting their inclusion and exclusion criteria, in not yet making specific recommendations\(^{8}\). Fructose has been scrutinised because fructose consumption has risen in parallel with obesity, it is metabolised differently by liver and, at high experimental doses, exacerbates obesity and NAFLD\(^{85}\). Furthermore, genetic predisposition may make some populations more susceptible to fructose consumption and liver disease than others\(^{98}\).

However, it remains challenging to separate out the effects of specific monosaccharides from the effects of excess energy. The experimental doses typically shown to be lipogenic (20% total energy) far exceed the population median amounts consumed and individuals rarely consume single sugars in isolation\(^{86}\). When excess energy has been carefully controlled for in randomised controlled human feeding trials, no differential effects are seen between the lipogenic effects of fructose and glucose\(^{89}\). A systematic review of controlled fructose feeding trials with NAFLD-related endpoints examined thirteen trials in total, including seven isooenergetic trials where fructose exchanged for other carbohydrates and six hyperenergetic trials; diet supplemented with excess energy (21–35% energy) from high-dose fructose (104–220 g/d)\(^{100}\). It concluded that in healthy participants isoenergetic exchange of fructose for other carbohydrates does not induce NAFLD changes, however, extreme doses providing excess energy increase steatosis and liver enzymes; in agreement with computational
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**Footnotes:**

1. Grains, along with olive oil as the main source of added fat; the Mediterranean diet is typified by low intakes of dairy and meat products, higher intakes of fish and seafood, and moderate (red) wine consumption.
2. The Mediterranean diet did have better adherence and additional cardiometabolic benefits with improvements seen in total cholesterol, serum TAG, haemoglobin A1c and the Framingham risk score (69). While the intervention was not designed for weight loss, and there was no difference in the energetic intakes measured at baseline and 12 weeks, both groups lost a small (2%) amount of weight, lower than that typically associated with NAFLD improvement.
3. Although no differences were observed in the reductions of liver fat and body weight between the dietary groups, improvements in total cholesterol, plasma TAG and haemoglobin A1c levels were observed in the Mediterranean diet group.
4. Saturated fat what both low-fat (<35%) and the Mediterranean diet often have in common, is reduced (<10%) saturated fat relative to the Western diet. Although dietary sugars, in particular fructose discussed in the next section, have been scrutinised for their role in driving de novo lipogenesis and NAFLD pathogenesis (85,86), overfeeding saturated fat is more metabolically harmful to the liver (87).
5. Specifically, using stable isotopes in combination with MRI, Luukkonen and colleagues showed that 3 weeks of overfeeding (4184 kJ/d (1000 kcal/d)) with saturated fat, simple sugars or unsaturated fats increased liver fat by 55, 33 and 15%, respectively. Furthermore, overfeeding saturated fat-induced insulin resistance and endotoxaemia, and increased multiple plasma ceramides (87). The recent focus on the negative metabolic effects of a high sugar diet has led to debate over historical dietary guidelines, which recommend low-fat and low saturated fat diets for the prevention of CVD (88,89).
6. It bears noting that low-fat is considered <35% of daily energy from fat with an ‘acceptable distribution’ of 20–35% and low-saturated fat is considered 7–10% of total energy. In the USA (90) and the UK (91) adults consume an average of 34–35% of daily energy intake from fat. As highlighted by Maldonado and colleagues (92), neglected in the often polarised debates around sugar or fat (93,94), is the fact that at a population level, identifying individual culpable nutrients is problematic. The vast majority of adults in developed countries consume excess energy from foods high in both sugar and fat, fundamentally contributing to increasing obesity and NAFLD. Where low-fat v. low-carbohydrate has been examined in a NAFLD context, the results are similar to that seen in the meta-analysis of weight loss trials in diabetes (75,76); whereas low carbohydrate may induce a greater weight loss in the short term (12 weeks), in the longer term (≥12 months) the net weight loss tends to be similar to that from low-fat (68,71).
7. Fructose and dietary sugars nonetheless, given the excessive consumption of sugar in general (95), messages of reducing sugar-sweetened beverages and added sugars, consuming ‘healthy’ (e.g. complex) carbohydrates alongside lowering saturated fat intakes and consuming more ‘healthy fats’ (e.g. monounsaturated and n-3 FA) seem highly prudent. It is noted that beyond the obvious culprits of sugar-sweetened beverages, biscuits and sweeties or candies, even foods with healthful components such as yoghurts can have surprisingly high amounts of added sugars (85). Lowering intakes of fructose and high glycemc index foods in the diet have been shown to have beneficial effects in NAFLD patients (96,97). Whereas the European Association for the Study of the Liver Clinical Practice Guidelines specifically suggest ‘exclusion of NAFLD-promoting components (processed food, and food and beverages high in added fructose) (7); the UK guidelines cited a lack of scientific studies meeting their inclusion and exclusion criteria, in not yet making specific recommendations (8). Fructose has been scrutinised because fructose consumption has risen in parallel with obesity, it is metabolised differently by liver and, at high experimental doses, exacerbates obesity and NAFLD (85). Furthermore, genetic predisposition may make some populations more susceptible to fructose consumption and liver disease than others (98).
8. However, it remains challenging to separate out the effects of specific monosaccharides from the effects of excess energy. The experimental doses typically shown to be lipogenic (20% total energy) far exceed the population median amounts consumed and individuals rarely consume single sugars in isolation (86). When excess energy has been carefully controlled for in randomised controlled human feeding trials, no differential effects are seen between the lipogenic effects of fructose and glucose (89). A systematic review of controlled fructose feeding trials with NAFLD-related endpoints examined thirteen trials in total, including seven isooenergetic trials where fructose exchanged for other carbohydrates and six hyperenergetic trials; diet supplemented with excess energy (21–35% energy) from high-dose fructose (104–220 g/d) (100). It concluded that in healthy participants isoenergetic exchange of fructose for other carbohydrates does not induce NAFLD changes, however, extreme doses providing excess energy increase steatosis and liver enzymes; in agreement with computational
modelling of hepatocyte lipogenesis in response to excess glucose and fructose, described in more detail later.\(^{(92)}\)

There is worldwide agreement on the need to reduce the consumption of dietary sugars to prevent obesity and in particular reduce the consumption of sugar-sweetened beverages to reduce the incidence of type 2 diabetes\(^{(86)}\). Whereas strict restriction of free sugars (to <3 % of total energy) for 8 weeks has recently been shown to decrease hepatic steatosis in adolescents\(^{(101)}\), it is not clear in the context of the prevention or treatment of NAFLD, whether public health messages focusing on fructose monosaccharides rather than free sugars and total energy is useful. An overall message should be that given the majority of populations worldwide are consuming too much total sugar, and given the dramatic increase in NAFLD and type 2 diabetes, reducing free sugar intake and choosing a more healthful diet in terms of macro- and micronutrients will be beneficial. Sugar-sweetened beverages in particular, convey an additional risk for type 2 diabetes, most especially in young people, and should be restricted for the prevention of obesity and eliminated altogether in the treatment of existing NAFLD.

**Supplemental nutrients: n-3 PUFA, vitamin E and vitamin D**

A variety of vitamins and micronutrients have been implicated in NAFLD pathogenesis. This is either because of epidemiological data associating a deficiency with disease or because of plausible anti-steatotic, anti-inflammatory or anti-fibrotic mechanisms that (a supplemental dose of) dietary nutrients or other components may confer in a disease state.

NAFLD patients have been shown to have lower intakes of fish\(^{(102)}\) and n-3 PUFA\(^{(103)}\) in comparison with controls and therefore PUFA supplementation has been explored. Two independent groups have systematically reviewed controlled intervention trials that examined n-3 FA supplementation for the treatment of NAFLD\(^{(104,105)}\). Both meta-analyses included eighteen independent trials with >1400 participants and concluded that supplementation of n-3 PUFA reduced steatosis as measured by ultrasound or MRI, and liver enzymes\(^{(104,105)}\). Disappointingly, in the four trials that examined histological markers, n-3 PUFA supplementation did not improve inflammation, ballooning or fibrosis\(^{(104)}\).

Strikingly, responders and non-responders to supplementation that correspond to improvements in liver markers were clearly evident in the well-designed trial by Scorletti and colleagues\(^{(106)}\). As discussed later, personalised nutrition for the prevention of chronic disease in the near future might account for such inherent (through genetic, epigenetic or microbiome mechanisms) inter-individual variation.

Vitamin E is a powerful antioxidant that helps protect cells against free radical damage, one of the pathogenic insults that drives NAFLD progression. There have now been several well-designed multi-centre trials in both adults and children examining vitamin E supplementation at pharmacological doses that could not be obtained through diet\(^{(107)}\). Several meta-analyses show benefit from supplemental vitamin E on steatosis, inflammation and ballooning in NASH, although the extent to which vitamin E benefits fibrosis remains unclear\(^{(108-110)}\). Consequently, UK, EU and US clinical guidelines indicate vitamin E as a therapeutic option once a patient is in second or tertiary care for NASH\(^{(11,12)}\), but with the awareness of potential risks for long-term vitamin E supplementation\(^{(107)}\).

Recommended doses are typically 800 IU/d as opposed to recommended nutrient intakes of ≤15 mg/d (22.4 IU/d) in the UK. While the American guidelines specify vitamin E only for NASH patients without diabetes\(^{(13)}\), the UK guidelines consider vitamin E an option for patients with and without diabetes\(^{(69)}\).

A growing body of research suggests a relationship between vitamin D deficiency and chronic liver disease, in particular NAFLD, with low levels of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D strongly associated with hepatic inflammation\(^{(111-113)}\). Low levels of dietary vitamin D\(^{(114)}\) and serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D are widespread, and vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency have been observed in pediatric NAFLD\(^{(115)}\). In addition, polymorphisms within vitamin D metabolic pathway genes associate with the histological severity of pediatric NAFLD\(^{(115)}\). However, the results of oral vitamin D supplementation trials on adult NAFLD patients are conflicting\(^{(116,117)}\). Some studies have demonstrated a correlation between NAFLD and NASH severity and lower levels of vitamin D\(^{(118)}\). However, others, including a meta-analysis with 974 adult patients find no such relationships\(^{(119,120)}\).

The determinants of 25-hydroxyvitamin D bioavailability are complex; genetic variation determines serum levels of vitamin D binding protein thus influencing bound and free 25-hydroxyvitamin D\(^{(121)}\). Inter-individual vitamin D concentrations are highly variable and the degree to which they change over the decades through which NAFLD may progress, is unknown. The mechanisms behind the role of vitamin D in NAFLD pathogenesis are not yet fully understood and there are likely to be both hepatic and extra-hepatic mechanisms involved. Interestingly, vitamin D has been shown to have anti-fibrotic effects in both rodent\(^{(122,123)}\) and human\(^{(124)}\) HSCs. While there are clearly likely to be multiple pathways to fibrogenesis in NAFLD\(^{(125)}\), together these studies show a role for vitamin D in liver disease pathogenesis and suggest common polymorphisms influencing vitamin D homeostasis may be relevant to NAFLD. Although supplementation with vitamin D has not been demonstrated an effective intervention in the limited studies done in adult patients with NAFLD to date; further research is warranted into whether targeted supplementation, either in genetically susceptible or pediatric populations may be indicated.

While data from large well-controlled trials are limited, it may be that classical intervention trials for single nutrients are doomed to fail in light of the high inter-individual genetic variation in the metabolism of many of these nutrients; in combination with individual epigenetic, microbiome and environmental, namely dietary,
effects. As illustrated for n-3 supplementation\(^{106}\), population studies will include non-responders that may mask the positive (or negative) effects of dietary supplements in others. As will be discussed, the goal of personalised nutrition is to stratify dietary intervention according to such genetic, ‘omic’ and clinical information in the first instance to maximise therapeutic benefit.

**Systems biology**

Systems biology is the application of mathematical or computational modelling to biological systems, and has evolved as a complementary method of understanding a biological organism. Reflecting its roots in mathematical graph theory, cybernetics and general systems theory; within systems biology, biological systems, whether a signalling network, a cell, an organ, or an organism, are visualised and modelled as integrated and interacting networks of elements from which coherent function emerges\(^{120}\). As illustrated in Fig. 3(a), from a systems point of view, a human may be deconstructed into a series of networks at organ, cellular and the molecular or genetic levels; equally, human subjects are parts within larger social networks. Underpinning systems biology are advanced mathematical theory and computational approaches that aim to model organism function and predict behaviour. Early in its evolution, computational systems biology was envisioned as working best if integrated into an iterative cycle of model development and prediction, with experimental (‘wet lab’) investigation and model refinement (Fig. 3(b))\(^{127}\). This iterative cycle moves from hypothesis-led experiments generating data that can both yield biological insights, and can be further utilised in the reconstruction of mathematical network models (such as the extended Petri net model of insulin signalling illustrated in Fig. 3(c)) for predictive simulation, model refinement and more biological insight that informs further experimental hypotheses.

**Systems medicine and personalised nutrition**

Systems pharmacology and systems medicine are subtypes of systems biology underpinning present efforts in what has been alternately termed stratified, personalised or precision medicine\(^{128}\). Emerging out of the genomics revolution, came the recognition that whereas presently used pharmaceuticals are based on clinical trials involving large cohorts, these neglect the underlying genetic and environmental heterogeneity represented within the population. This heterogeneity explains the existence of responders and non-responders to drug intervention, as well as drug off-target effects. Precision medicine aims for the stratification of patients into tightly molecularly defined groups (based on multiple types of ‘omic’ data), with effective interventions or treatments defined for each\(^{129}\). While presently used stratified medicines are largely within the cancer field and rely on genetic testing of a relatively limited number of genes, ultimately it is envisioned that the integrative analyses of different types of data: clinical, genomic, proteomic, metabolomic; will yield system insights (Fig. 3(d)). Beyond the genomic vision of stratified medicine, systems medicine in its grandest vision, has been described as personalised, predictive, preventive and participatory medicine (4P medicine) and intriguingly perhaps for Nutritional Scientists, has an aim of quantifying wellness in addition to understanding disease\(^{130,133}\).

Arguably, the Nutritional Sciences are ideal for the use of systems approaches given the complex, dynamic nature of diet where small effects may be magnified on a chronic time scale; and furthermore, occur against a backdrop of tremendous genetic diversity both of human subjects and their intestinal microbiomes\(^{132}\). The vision and aim of personalised nutrition mimics that of personalised medicine, e.g. tailoring diets in a way that optimises health outcomes for the individual based on their ‘omics’ data\(^{133}\). Presently it is estimated only 40% of a cohort may respond to a dietary intervention; analogous to observed nonresponse or off-target effects to pharmaceutical compounds. This is attributed to inter-individual variation in a host of variables (sex, habitual dietary habits, genetics, epigenetics and gut microbiota) effecting individual absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of compounds and metabolites\(^{134}\). Personalised nutrition therefore, presents both grand opportunities and challenges; e.g. how to capture small, accumulative factors that only manifest into disease over a matter of years, while distinguishing differential effects of one nutritional component from hundreds of others\(^{135}\).

**Modelling liver metabolism**

In more recent years, systems biology approaches have been applied to human metabolism at the genome scale. Genome-scale metabolic networks (GSMNs) may be thought of as essentially an organised list of metabolic reactions derived from all available data of an organism’s metabolism into a mathematically structured network. Constraint-based flux balance analysis is used to predict metabolic fluxes *in silico*, while the GSMN is constrained mathematically based on experimental data sets. The liver as an organ is central to both human metabolism and overall homeostasis; and the first liver-specific GSMNs were published in 2010\(^{136,137}\). While one of these was derived from a generic GSMN by automated methods integrating tissue-specific datasets\(^{136}\); the HepatoNet1 model presented by Gille and colleagues\(^{137}\) was based on exhaustive manual curation of transcript, protein, biochemical and physiological data and contains 2539 reactions and 777 individual metabolites.

More recently, the liver-specific iHepatocytes\(^{138}\) was reconstructed, comprising 7930 reactions, 2895 unique metabolites in eight different compartments mapped to 2322 genes. This was done in semi-automated fashion but, significantly, utilised proteomics expression data from hepatocytes from the Human Protein Atlas\(^{139}\) to establish tissue specificity. This incredibly comprehensive reconstruction paid particular attention to manual curation of reactions involving lipids. Both
the HepatoNet1 and iHepatocytes2322 GSMNs have been utilised in the context of NAFLD related research. While GSMNs continue to evolve as powerful tools, it is important to note that metabolism is only one of the many networks considered in systems biology (Fig. 3(a)) and flux balance analysis is limited in being static and not reflecting the dynamic metabolic response to altered cell signalling. A very active area of systems research is focused on developing novel tools and algorithms for integrating and simulating models at multiple scales and linking GSMNs to gene regulatory networks and/or physiologically based pharmacokinetic models in systems pharmacology/toxicology and kinetic signalling networks(140–142).

**Application of systems approaches to non-alcoholic fatty liver disease**

It has only been in very recent years that GSMNs have been used along with relevant omics data in the context of NAFLD. The aforementioned iHepatocytes2322(138) was reconstructed specifically to interrogate liver transcriptomic data from nineteen healthy subjects and twenty-six patients with varying degrees of NAFLD. Using a metabolite reporting algorithm, a pair-wise comparison was used to identify reporter metabolites. Network subgroup analyses predicted disruptions in the non-essential amino acids: serine, glutamate and glycine (along with others), along with metabolites in the folate pathway related to the interconversion of serine, glycine and glutamate. Phosphatidylserine, an essential component of lipid droplets was also identified as disrupted, with the mRNA for enzymes involved in its synthesis found downregulated in the NASH patients. Similarly, several enzymes that either use serine as substrate or produce it as a product were transcriptionally downregulated. Collectively, the authors inferred an endogenous serine deficiency and suggested serine supplementation as a possible intervention in NASH. Chondroitin and heparin sulphate levels were also identified as potential NAFLD biomarkers, although these have not yet been independently validated.
Impressive follow up work from the same group has now shown in an untargeted metabolomics analysis of individuals with either low (mean 2.8%, n 43) or high (mean 13.4%, n 43) liver fat as measured by MRI, decreased levels of plasma glycine and serine, along with betaine and N-acetylglycine associated with higher levels of steatosis. In addition to the metabolomic measurements, in vivo VLDL kinetics were measured via stable isotope infusion in seventy-three of the individuals. These experimentally measured VLDL secretion rates along with individually defined NEFA uptake rates (based on body composition and secretion rates of NEFA from adipose and muscle) were used to constrain the iHepatocytes2322 GSMN. The resulting personalised GSMNs were then simulated using the secretion rate of VLDL as an objective function in order to identify hepatic metabolic alterations between individuals with high and low steatosis. Liver fluxes were predicted for each subject and several reactions, consistent with an increased demand for NAD+ and glutathione, correlated to steatosis and net fat influx.

Relating this back to amino acid precursors and the lower levels of plasma serine and glycine, in a proof-of-concept study in six subjects with obesity, Mardinoglu and colleagues observed both a decrease in liver fat (mean 26.8 to 20.4%) and aspartate and alanine transaminase levels after 14 d of serine supplementation (about 20 g of l-serine, 200 mg/kg/d). The authors suggest serine could be combined with N-acetylcysteine, nicotinamide riboside and l-carnitine as a supplement to aid in mitochondrial FA uptake and oxidation and increased generation of glutathione may have benefit for either the prevention or treatment of NASH. While pilot trials have examined N-acetylcysteine and l-carnitine supplementation in NAFLD separately with mixed results, they have not been examined in combination. Amino acid disturbances, particularly to glutamate, serine and glycine continue to be explored in relation to NAFLD liver disease severity in different populations. Returning to the ideas of systems medicine and personalised nutrition, and the example of responders and non-responders to n-3 supplementation, an open question is whether or not a subgroup of NAFLD patients are likely to benefit (respond) to such intervention more than others. It is hoped with advances in systems biology the identification of such patient subgroups will be feasible in the near future.

Other work has also integrated transcriptomic data with experimentally measured in vivo flux measurements from NAFLD patients utilising a GSMN. Hyötyläinen and workers used Recon1 and measured flux ratios of metabolites and bile acids across the hepatic venous splanchnic bed in nine subjects with NAFLD that were fasted and then underwent euglycemic hyperinsulinemia. The work developed a metabolic adaptability score and found steatosis is associated with overall reduced adaptability. Steatosis induced mitochondrial metabolism, lipolysis and glycogenogenesis; plus, a switch from lactate to glycerol as a substrate for gluconeogenesis. In this, and the work of Mardinoglu and colleagues, GSMNs were utilised for the mechanistic interpretation of clinical (transcriptomic and metabolomic) NAFLD data. However, these models are static, reflecting liver adaptation at an endpoint and do not give insight into the dynamic reprogramming of global metabolism and metabolic adaptation to maintain homeostasis in response to stimulation as recently addressed by Maldonado and colleagues.

Building on their previous work establishing the use of quasi-steady state Petri nets to integrate and simulate gene regulatory networks and/or physiologically based pharmacokinetic models with constraint-based GSMNs, the group has developed novel multi-scale models to predict the hepatocyte’s response to fat and sugar. In one case, from experimental -omics data and the literature, they manually curated a comprehensive network reconstruction of the PPARα regulome. Integrated to the HepatoNet1 GSMN, the resulting multi-scale model reproduced metabolic responses to increased FA levels and mimicked lipid loading in vitro. Adding to the conflicting literature on the role of PPARα in NAFLD, the model predicted that activation of PPARα by lipids produces a bi-phasic response, which initially exacerbates steatosis. The data highlight potential challenges for the use of PPARα agonists to treat NAFLD and illustrate how dynamic simulation and systems approaches can yield mechanistic explanations for drug off-target effects. While the PPARα regulome module was sufficiently large to preclude complete deterministic parameters for every reaction; illustrating the flexibility of quasi-steady state Petri nets, the authors also simulate a kinetic multi-scale model of monosaccharide transport and insulin signalling integrated to the HepatoNet1 GSMN. Interestingly, while the model predicted differential kinetics for the utilisation of glucose and fructose, TAG production was predicted to be similar from both monosaccharides. This finding is supported both by the author’s experimental data presented alongside the simulations as well as other clinical and intervention data. These data imply that it is the quantity, not type of sugar that drives fat accumulation in liver cells and NAFLD per se.

The focus here has been on reviewing recent work applying the simulation of GSMNs in NAFLD-related research. Computational approaches and network reconstructions are rapidly evolving and present models have strengths and weaknesses that will resolve in future iterations. More work is needed comparing results from different reconstructions and establishing the best choice(s) of objective functions for human applications. Integrating constraint-based analyses of GSMNs with whole body physiologically based pharmacokinetic models or gene regulatory and signalling network models in multi-scale fashion for dynamic simulations and insights into pathogenesis over time is a present research goal.

Conclusions

The interdisciplinary methods of systems biology are rapidly evolving and have recently been applied to the study...
of NAFLD. Technology too is quickly advancing and a not too distant future is envisioned where individual genetic, proteomic and metabolomic information can be integrated computationally with clinical data. Ideally this will inform personalised nutrition and precision medicine approaches for improving prognosis of chronic diseases such as NAFLD, obesity and type 2 diabetes. Several genetic variants mediating susceptibility to liver diseases have been identified and validated, opening up possibilities for the use of polygenic risk scores to stratify patients once the disease is identified. Progression of NAFLD is dependent on environmental factors and it should be stressed that NAFLD is reversible through lifestyle change. As has recently been argued for type 2 diabetes, a systems disease requires a ‘systems solution’ (155). While intervention studies demonstrate that high-intensity combination interventions, including behaviour change alongside dietary and lifestyle change, are most efficacious for treating NAFLD; undoubtedly, broader societal systems-level changes are urgently required to reduce the present burden and prevent obesity and related morbidities such as NAFLD and type 2 diabetes going forward.
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