

A Meeting of the Nutrition Society, hosted by the Scottish Section, was held at The Teacher Building, 14 St Enoch Square, Glasgow on 5–6 April 2011

70th Anniversary Conference on ‘Nutrition and health: from conception to adolescence’

Symposium I: Consequences of obesity and overweight during pregnancy The consequences of obesity and excess weight gain in pregnancy

Jane E. Norman^{1*} and Rebecca Reynolds^{1,2}

¹Tommy’s Centre for Maternal and Fetal Health, MRC Centre for Reproductive Health, Queen’s Medical Research Institute, University of Edinburgh, 47 Little France Crescent, Edinburgh EH16 4TY, UK

²Endocrinology Unit, University/BHF Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

The prevalence of obesity in pregnancy is rising exponentially; about 15–20% of pregnant women now enter pregnancy with a BMI which would define them as obese. This paper provides a review of the strong links between obesity and adverse pregnancy outcome which operate across a range of pregnancy complications. For example, obesity is associated with an increased risk of maternal mortality, gestational diabetes mellitus, thromboembolism, pre-eclampsia and postpartum haemorrhage. Obesity also complicates operative delivery; it makes operative delivery more difficult, increases complications and paradoxically increases the need for operative delivery. The risk of the majority of these complications is amplified by excess weight gain in pregnancy and increases in proportion to the degree of obesity, for example, women with extreme obesity have OR of 7.89 for gestational diabetes and 3.84 for postpartum haemorrhage compared to their lean counterparts. The consequences of maternal obesity do not stop once the baby is born. Maternal obesity programmes a variety of long-term adverse outcomes, including obesity in the offspring at adulthood. Such an effect is mediated at least in part via high birthweight; a recent study has suggested that the odds of adult obesity are two-fold greater in babies weighing more than 4 kg at birth. The mechanism by which obesity causes adverse pregnancy outcome is uncertain. This paper reviews the emerging evidence that hyperglycaemia and insulin resistance may both play a role: the links between hyperglycaemia in pregnancy and both increased birthweight and insulin resistance have been demonstrated in two large studies. Lastly, we discuss the nature and rationale for possible intervention strategies in obese pregnant women.

Obesity in pregnancy: Pregnancy outcomes: Intervention strategies

Prevalence of obesity

The prevalence of obesity is rising worldwide. Scotland, in particular, has one of the highest prevalences of obesity of all Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development countries⁽¹⁾, with a prevalence of 22% among men and 24% among women in 2003. Given the above information, it is not surprising that a significant proportion of women enter pregnancy with a BMI which would define

them as obese. Published data from Scotland suggest that 18.9% of women in Glasgow have a BMI of 30 or more at the time of pregnancy booking⁽²⁾; these data concur with our own data in Lothian where 16.3% of women had a BMI of 30 or more at the time of booking in 2008⁽³⁾. Data from England suggest that the rise in rates of obesity in the general population is widely paralleled in the population of pregnant women^(4,5) and although prevalences of obesity in pregnant women remain 3–5% behind the general

Abbreviation: HAPO, hyperglycaemia and adverse pregnancy outcome.

***Corresponding author:** Professor Jane Norman, email jane.norman@ed.ac.uk

population, they are projected to be over 20% by the time of writing in 2011.

The consequences of obesity in non pregnant individuals have been extensively described. They include an increased risk of type 2 diabetes (typical fold increase of 12), hypertension (four-fold risk), myocardial infarction and colon cancer (each a three-fold risk) angina, gall bladder disease and ovarian cancer (two-fold risk) in addition to conditions whose incidence is only modestly increased by obesity such as stroke and osteoarthritis. A recent meta-analysis has shown that for every increase in BMI of 5 kg/m² there is a 10% increase in neoplastic mortality, 40% increase in vascular mortality and a greater than 50% increase in diabetic, renal and hepatic mortality⁽⁶⁾. In contrast, the impact of obesity on reproductive outcomes has only recently been the subject of significant research⁽⁷⁾. In pregnancy in the UK, attention has been drawn to this issue in the last two Confidential Enquiries on Maternal Mortality^(8,9). In the most recently reported triennium, 27% of women who died had a BMI of 30, a proportion in excess of the proportion of obese women in the maternity population. Thus, obese pregnant women appear to be at increased risk of death during pregnancy. Regarding specific causes of death, overweight or obese pregnant women were highly over-represented among those dying of thromboembolism (of whom 78% were overweight or obese) and cardiac causes (of whom 61% were overweight or obese)⁽⁸⁾. Although disease pathophysiology clearly plays a role, the previous triennium reported that lack of basic equipment (e.g. lack of sphygmomanometer cuffs of appropriate size to measure blood pressure in obese pregnant women) and/or logistical issues (e.g. transport of obese pregnant women) could also be a factor in the death of some obese women⁽⁹⁾.

In view of the increased mortality among obese pregnant women, the report of the 2002–2005 triennium recommended that 'Preconception counselling and support, both opportunistic and planned, should be provided for women of childbearing age with pre-existing serious medical or mental health conditions which may be aggravated by pregnancy This includes obesity (BMI>30)⁽⁹⁾.

While this recommendation is important and useful, it is less clear how pre-conception advice can improve outcome. Achieving a normal weight before embarking on pregnancy is a counsel of perfection; if weight cannot be lost the range of interventions which can improve outcome among obese women remains limited⁽¹⁰⁾.

Antenatal pregnancy complications increased by obesity

Other than death, many adverse pregnancy outcomes are increased by obesity. They include maternal haemorrhage, maternal infection and longer hospital stay (Table 1)⁽¹¹⁾. In parallel with these major adverse outcomes, the 'minor' complications of pregnancy (heartburn, chest infection and symphysis pubis discomfort) are also increased in obese pregnant women, with evidence of a dose-dependent effect⁽³⁾. Although 'minor' in terms of risk of mortality, these events are not only distressing to the sufferer, but

Table 1. Adverse pregnancy outcomes increased by obesity (data from Heslehurst *et al.*⁽¹¹⁾)

Event	OR (95% CI)
Maternal haemorrhage	1.24 (1.20, 1.28)
Maternal infection	3.35 (2.74, 4.06)
Longer hospital stay	2.84 (2.77, 2.91)
Caesarean section	2.00 (1.87, 2.15)
Instrumental delivery	1.17 (1.13, 1.21)

Table 2. Adverse pregnancy outcomes increased by extreme obesity (data from Knight *et al.*⁽¹²⁾)

Event	Adjusted OR	95% CI
Antenatal and postpartum complications		
Pre-eclampsia	4.46	2.42, 8.16
Induction of labour	1.97	1.53, 2.54
Postpartum haemorrhage	3.04	0.96, 9.67
Intensive care unit admission	3.86	1.41, 10.60
Gestational diabetes	7.89	3.94, 15.80
Thrombosis	Infinity	0.75, infinity
Delivery complications		
Shoulder dystocia	1.89	0.82, 4.34
Caesarean section	3.50	2.72, 4.51
Problems with epidural anaesthetic	3.54	1.49, 8.42
Problems with spinal anaesthetic	9.10	2.02, 41.00

have an adverse economic impact. In extreme obesity (BMI>50) the risk of adverse outcomes increases further. The United Kingdom Obstetric Surveillance system study of extreme obesity identified that BMI>50 was associated with increased adjusted OR of a range of adverse outcomes, including gestational diabetes, thrombosis, pre-eclampsia, postpartum haemorrhage and intensive care unit admission (Table 2)⁽¹²⁾.

Delivery complications

Delivery of an obese pregnant woman remains a challenge. Obese women are more likely to require both caesarean section and instrumental delivery⁽¹¹⁾ (Table 1), paradoxically obese pregnant women are at an increased risk of the complications associated with operative delivery. These risks are further exaggerated in morbid obesity⁽¹²⁾ (Table 2), where operative delivery poses challenges to both the anaesthetist and obstetrician. Given the high likelihood of operative delivery, even where vaginal delivery is attempted, the fact that 'emergency' caesarean section carries greater risks than 'elective' caesarean section, and the need for experienced members of staff if operative delivery is needed, one could argue that women with morbid obesity might be best delivered by elective caesarean section. A prospective study of women with extreme obesity delivered by elective caesarean section compared with those in whom a vaginal delivery was planned showed no evidence of reduced complications in the caesarean section group, with the exception of a reduction in shoulder dystocia⁽¹³⁾. As the authors note, these data do not support a policy of routine planned caesarean section for all

Table 3. Adverse outcomes for the baby – data from Chu *et al.*, Heslehurst *et al.* and Metwally *et al.* ^(11,14,15)

Event	OR (95% CI)
Miscarriage	1.67 (1.25, 2.25)
Stillbirth	2.07 (1.59, 2.74)
Shoulder dystocia	1.04 (0.97, 1.12)
Neonatal intensive care unit admission	1.35 (1.22, 1.49)
Fetal abnormality	Increased
Macrosomia	Increased

morbidly obese women. In the Edinburgh metabolic antenatal clinic over the last few years, we have made a decision for delivery by elective caesarian on an individual patient basis after multidisciplinary discussion and careful consultation with the pregnant woman on a number of occasions.

Immediate effects of maternal obesity on the baby

The adverse effects of obesity in pregnancy are not confined to problems for the mother; the baby also is at risk from events arising in pregnancy. These events range from miscarriage and stillbirth, through fetal abnormality to macrosomia (Table 3) ^(11,14,15). A meta-analysis in the *Lancet* recently identified overweight and obesity as the single biggest modifiable factor in stillbirth in resource-rich countries ⁽¹⁶⁾. Additionally, the increased risk of a variety of maternal pregnancy complications results in an increased risk of induced preterm birth with a relative risk 1.30 (95% CI 1.23, 1.37) ⁽¹⁷⁾.

Weight gain during pregnancy

Given the known adverse effects of obesity in pregnancy, it is not surprising that these effects are mimicked or amplified by excess weight gain in pregnancy. Excess gestational weight gain is associated with increased mean birthweight and an increased incidence of babies being large for gestational age ⁽¹⁸⁾. Conversely, inadequate gestational weight gain is associated with low birthweight and an increased incidence of babies being small for gestational age. For the mother, increasing evidence suggests that high gestational weight gain results in increased maternal obesity, as well as higher blood pressure, later in life ⁽¹⁹⁾. Although there is clear evidence that ‘too much’ and ‘too little’ weight gain in pregnancy is not good, there are limited data to properly inform the ranges of appropriate weight gain. Notwithstanding, the Institute of Medicine in the USA recently issued guidelines on weight gain, suggesting for the first time that those with a high BMI at the onset of pregnancy should limit weight gain, compared with those with a normal or low BMI at booking ⁽²⁰⁾. The Institute of Medicine recommendations are shown in Table 4. Importantly, there is as yet insufficient evidence to suggest that women with a very high BMI (BMI \geq 40) should lose weight in pregnancy; cohort studies suggest that although such a strategy can minimise the risk of macrosomia, caesarean section and pre-eclampsia, it does

Table 4. Institute of Medicine Recommendations for weight gain during pregnancy ⁽²⁰⁾

Category	BMI (kg/m ²)	Recommended weight gain (kg)
Underweight	< 18.5	12.5–18
Normal	18.5–24.9	11.8–16
Overweight	25–29.9	7–11.5
Obese	\geq 30	5–9

so at the expense of an increased incidence of babies that are small for gestational age ⁽²¹⁾.

Programming of adverse pregnancy outcome

In addition to the immediate adverse consequences of maternal obesity and/or excess weight gain in pregnancy, growing evidence suggests that maternal obesity can ‘programme’ the baby for disease in future life ⁽²²⁾. For example, Reichman and Nepomnyaschy showed that maternal obesity increases offspring asthma, with an OR of 1.52 (95% CI 1.18, 1.93) ⁽²³⁾. Others have shown a possible link between maternal obesity and adverse neurodevelopmental outcomes, including cognitive problems and attention-deficit disorders in the baby ⁽²⁴⁾. However, the most widely investigated programming effect of maternal obesity is on offspring obesity. There are now several observational studies supporting an association between maternal obesity and increased risk of obesity in the offspring as neonates, in childhood and in adolescence (see Drake and Reynolds for review ⁽²²⁾). We have recently shown that offspring fat mass and weight circumference in adulthood are also positively related to maternal BMI during pregnancy ⁽²⁵⁾ independently of adult obesity lifestyle factors. In addition to these studies directly correlating offspring obesity in adulthood with maternal BMI, further studies using the surrogate of offspring birthweight support a link between maternal obesity and offspring obesity. As described earlier, maternal obesity is associated with high offspring birthweight. Linking birthweight and adult obesity, both the Nurses Health Studies (women) and the Health Professionals Follow-up study (men), large studies of about 163 000 and 22 000 sample size, respectively, showed a J-shaped association (in other words, a positive association at both ends of the curve) between birthweight and adult obesity ^(26,27). A systematic review by Parsons also demonstrated positive associations between birthweight and adult obesity, regardless of the method of obesity measurement (BMI, weight, skinfold thickness etc.) ⁽²⁸⁾. This positive association between high birthweight (>4000 g) and adult obesity was confirmed again in the most recent systematic review with OR (95% CI) of adult obesity of 2.07 (1.91–2.24); interestingly this review suggested that the association between low birthweight and adult obesity disappeared after studies with selection bias were excluded ⁽²⁹⁾.

The results of these epidemiological studies are recapitulated in animal studies, where maternal and offspring food intake can be tightly regulated, with environmental parameters carefully controlled between the groups.

Bayol *et al.*⁽³⁰⁾ determined perirenal fat mass in rats exposed to a 'junk food' or a 'normal' diet, all on the background of either maternal junk food intake during pregnancy or normal diet during pregnancy. Not surprisingly, rats exposed to a junk-food diet both post-weaning and *in utero* had the greatest perirenal fat mass, and one that was substantially greater than rats never exposed to junk food. Rats exposed to junk food post-weaning, but not *in utero*, also displayed greater perirenal fat mass compared to normal-diet controls, albeit less pronounced than those exposed to junk food *in utero*. Of perhaps most surprise, however, rats exposed to junk food *in utero*, but then transferred to chow diet post-natally, also showed increased perirenal fat mass compared to controls (these differences reaching statistical significance in males but not females), indicating that the *in utero* effects of maternal obesity have consequences long beyond pregnancy. Although such studies cannot be replicated in human subjects, it appears likely that similar effects do occur although it is difficult to disentangle post-natal lifestyle influences. Catalano has postulated a scenario whereby maternal obesity during pregnancy begets fetal and neonatal obesity⁽³¹⁾. There is a potential for neonatal obesity to be modified in childhood by diet and exercise, but if it is not, it becomes childhood obesity (often accompanied by a decrease in insulin sensitivity), again with the potential modifiers of diet and exercise⁽³²⁾, but if unmodified it becomes adult obesity (often accompanied by type 2 diabetes), which in women who become pregnant again exposes the fetus to obesity *in utero* and an abnormal metabolic environment⁽³¹⁾.

What mechanisms link obesity and adverse pregnancy outcome?

An understanding of mechanisms is required in order to devise therapies to treat the links between obesity and adverse pregnancy outcome. Several mechanisms have been postulated, but the ones backed by most evidence, and which will be discussed here, are hyperglycaemia and insulin resistance.

Impaired glucose tolerance

The links between diabetes and obesity are well recognised. The positive association between high glucose and high BMI remains, even below a 'cut off' level of glucose which would qualify for a diagnosis of diabetes. For example, Abbasi *et al.* showed a continuous positive association (r 0.465, $P < 0.01$) between BMI and plasma glucose concentration⁽³³⁾, in a study of 300 healthy men. These results have been recapitulated in pregnancy, with maternal glucose concentrations of < 99 mg/dl (5.5 mmol/l), 99–130 mg/dl and > 130 mg/dl (7.2 mmol/l) in women in the Camden health study segregating women into those with a mean pre-gravid BMI of 23.2, 23.9 and 25.0, respectively ($P < 0.001$)⁽³⁴⁾. This study also confirmed the veracity of the Pedersen hypothesis, that is, high maternal blood glucose results in increased nutrient transfer to the fetus and hence increased fetal growth⁽³⁵⁾, in that blood glucose

Table 5. Secondary outcomes from the HAPO study⁽³⁶⁾

Outcome	OR of adverse outcome with increase in 2 h glucose of 1 SD
Premature delivery (<37 weeks)	1.16 (1.10–1.23)
Shoulder dystocia or birth injury	1.22 (1.09–1.37)
Intensive neonatal care	1.09 (1.03–1.14)
Hyperbilirubinemia	1.08 (1.02–1.13)
Pre-eclampsia	1.28 (1.20–1.37)

concentrations also correlated with increasing risk of having a large-for-gestational-age baby: taking glucose of < 99 mg/dl (5.5 mmol/l) as a referent, those with a medium (99–130 mg/dl) and high (> 130) blood glucose had odds of a large-for-gestational-age baby of 1.4 and 3.59, respectively. Although Pedersen defined his hypothesis to explain macrosomia among babies of diabetic women, a similar paradigm appears to operate in women with a modestly elevated blood glucose but below the threshold for diagnosis of gestational diabetes.

In the Camden study, blood glucose segregated with rates of caesarean section as well as macrosomia. With glucose of < 99 mg/dl as referent, medium and highest blood glucose levels had odds of caesarean section of 1.31 and 2.64, respectively.

Although the Camden health study is large, with data on over 1000 women, it is dwarfed by the hyperglycaemia and adverse pregnancy outcome (HAPO) study which shows similar effects⁽³⁶⁾. In HAPO, over 25 000 women underwent a 75 g glucose tolerance test in the late second or early third gestation. Those with gestational diabetes (fasting plasma glucose above 5.8 mmol/l of 2-h plasma glucose above 11.1 mmol/l) were identified and treated appropriately. The results of the glucose tolerance test remained concealed in the 23 000 women who did not have gestational diabetes. At the end of the study, the OR of adverse pregnancy outcomes were correlated against blood glucose profile. The prespecified primary outcomes were: birthweight above the 90th centile for gestational age, primary caesarean delivery, clinically diagnosed neonatal hypoglycaemia and cord-blood C-peptide level above the 90th centile. HAPO showed a direct relationship between each of birth weight above the 90th centile and primary caesarean section frequency, and blood glucose, with those in the highest blood glucose band having a greater proportion of women with babies > 90 th centile in weight and being delivered by primary caesarean section. There was also an increase in adverse outcomes of premature delivery, shoulder dystocia, requirement for neonatal intensive care, hyperbilirubinaemia and pre-eclampsia (Table 5). Thus, HAPO confirms the link between high blood glucose levels (even those not normally considered to qualify for gestational diabetes) and adverse pregnancy outcome, including high birthweight. Other studies have shown that this positive correlation between maternal blood glucose and offspring BMI may persist into early childhood⁽³⁷⁾ although the association may not be apparent in very young children⁽³⁸⁾. That association between maternal glucose and adverse pregnancy outcome including high

birthweight is causal (i.e. that high blood glucose causes adverse pregnancy outcome) rather than a mere association is evidenced by the Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in pregnant women study, in which women with modestly impaired glucose tolerance (fasting glucose <7.8 mmol/l and 2 h post 75 g oral glucose load of 7.8–11.0 mmol) were randomised routine care or to diet and insulin⁽³⁹⁾. Although the risk of the composite adverse perinatal outcome was low in both groups 4 v. 1%, respectively, those randomised to diet and insulin had a lower incidence of the adverse outcome compared with those in the routine care group (relative risk 0.33, 95% CI 0.14, 0.75). Thus, there is good evidence that not only is modestly elevated blood glucose causal in the aetiology of adverse pregnancy outcome, but also that treatment (with diet and insulin) can ameliorate this causal link. Given that obese pregnant women have modestly elevated blood glucose compared to their lean counterparts, and have increased incidence of the adverse pregnancy outcomes (larger babies and caesarean section) compared to lean pregnant women, it is likely that elevated blood glucose concentrations mediate (at least in part) the effects of obesity on adverse pregnancy outcome.

Longer term, there is emerging evidence that maternal blood glucose concentration programmes the offspring for later-life obesity. Dabelea's seminal study showing a greater incidence of obesity and diabetes in Pima Indians born after their mothers developed gestational diabetes, compared to their siblings born beforehand but no difference in the incidence of either diabetes or obesity after paternal development of diabetes⁽⁴⁰⁾ provides important evidence of the programming effect of being exposed to high glucose levels *in utero*. This study has recently been confirmed in a study of 280 000 Swedish men, where the mean BMI of men aged 18 was 0.94 kg/m² (95% CI 0.35, 1.52) greater in those who were born after compared to their siblings born before their mothers developed gestational diabetes, after adjustment for a variety of confounding factors⁽⁴¹⁾.

Insulin resistance

The evidence for elevated maternal glucose mediating adverse pregnancy outcome is strong. In contrast, there is less evidence for the links between insulin resistance and adverse pregnancy outcome. However, obese pregnant women are insulin resistant compared to their lean pregnant counterparts^(42,43). Challier *et al.* assessed insulin sensitivity from fasting glucose and insulin measurements using the Homeostasis Model Assessment and found mean Homoeostasis Model Assessment to be 4.3 (SD 0.5) in a group of obese pregnant women, compared with 1.2 (SD 0.3) in lean pregnant women ($P < 0.001$) (i.e. increased insulin resistance in the obese). Ramsay *et al.* showed median (interquartile range) insulin levels to be 14.2 (11.3–27) and 6.15 (4.47–9.5) in obese v. lean pregnant women ($P < 0.0001$), respectively, again suggesting increased insulin resistance in obese. To our knowledge, no studies have attempted to correlate insulin resistance with adverse pregnancy outcome. However, insulin resistance is

Table 6. Adverse pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome⁽⁴⁴⁾

Outcome	OR (95% CI)
Gestational diabetes	2.94 (1.70, 5.08)
Pregnancy-induced hypertension	3.67 (1.98, 6.81)
Caesarean section	1.56 (1.20, 2.02)
Preterm delivery	1.75 (1.16, 2.62)
Macrosomia	1.13 (0.73, 1.75)
NICU admission	2.31 (1.25, 4.26)
Perinatal mortality	3.07 (1.03, 9.21)

NICU, neonatal intensive care unit.

a common finding in women with polycystic ovary syndrome (defined as two out of the three symptoms of oligo- or amenorrhoea, excess androgen activity and polycystic ovaries demonstrable on ultrasound examination): a meta-analysis of outcomes in pregnant women with a history of polycystic ovary syndrome showed an increased incidence of a variety of adverse pregnancy outcome including macrosomia (OR 1.13, 95% CI 0.73, 1.75) and caesarean section (OR 1.56, 95% CI 1.20, 2.02)⁽⁴⁴⁾ (Table 6). Thus, there is circumstantial evidence that insulin resistance may also link obesity to adverse pregnancy outcome.

Interventions

Understanding the links between obesity and adverse pregnancy outcome can inform effective therapeutic interventions. In non-pregnant individuals, diet and exercise are advocated to improve health. In pregnancy, there is limited evidence for the efficacy of diet and exercise⁽⁴⁵⁾ although several trials are underway to test these interventions (e.g. UPBEAT ISRCTN: 89971375).

Given the known links between obesity, elevated blood glucose, insulin resistance and adverse pregnancy outcome, we believe that metformin may be an appropriate therapy for obese pregnant women. Metformin is a biguanide which increases glucose uptake in the liver and skeletal muscle, and decreases hepatic glucose production, likely via increased AMP-activated protein kinase⁽⁴⁶⁾. Its use is endorsed as the first-line treatment for gestational diabetes⁽⁴⁷⁾ based on the results of the metformin v. insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes study⁽⁴⁸⁾. In the metformin v. insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes study, 751 women with gestational diabetes, diagnosed between 20 and 33 weeks gestation, were randomised either to metformin, with or without insulin if necessary, or to insulin directly. The composite primary outcome was neonatal hypoglycaemia or respiratory distress or need for phototherapy or birth trauma or 5 min Apgar score less than 7 or prematurity. The incidence of the primary outcome was similar in both groups (32.0% in the metformin group and 32.2% in the insulin group), relative risk 0.99, 95% CI 0.80, 1.23. There was no difference in birthweight. Just under 50% of the metformin group needed to take insulin in addition to metformin, but the remainder did not. Maternal weight gain (from enrolment to 36 weeks

gestation) was, however, lower in the metformin group: 0.4 (SD 2.9) kg v. 2.0 (SD 3.3) kg, $P < 0.001$.

We hypothesise that metformin, in reducing modestly elevated blood glucose and improving insulin resistance, might reduce adverse outcome in obese pregnant women. We are testing this hypothesis in the EMPOWaR study (ISRCTN 1279843), funded by the Medical Research Council, and managed by the Efficacy and Mechanisms Evaluation board on behalf of the National Institute for Health Research. In EMPOWaR, 400 obese pregnant women will be randomised to metformin or placebo from 12 to 16 weeks of pregnancy until delivery. The primary outcome is birthweight centile, but we will also look at the effect of metformin on vascular function, glucose disposal and baby fat mass. We hope that metformin may reduce mean birthweight (i.e. reduce excess birthweight centile) without increasing the risk of intrauterine growth retardation.

Summary

The ideal management of maternal obesity is prevention, but it seems unlikely that the increasing prevalence of obesity in pregnancy is going to change soon. The links between maternal obesity and adverse outcome are strong, and operate across a range of pregnancy complications. Further research is urgently needed to understand these links, in order to be able to develop therapies, and improve pregnancy outcome in this increasingly common condition.

Acknowledgements

J.E.N. and R.R. have funding from the charity Tommy's and from the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme (which is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and managed by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)) for their research into obesity and pregnancy. The views expressed in this publication are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the MRC, NHS, NIHR or the Department of Health. Neither of the authors has any other conflict of interest in relation to this work. J.E.N. produced the first draft of the paper. Both J.E.N. and R.R. critically revised the manuscript and take responsibility for the final version.

References

1. Scottish Public Health Observatory (2007) Obesity in Scotland, an epidemiology briefing.
2. Kanagalingam MG, Forouhi NG, Greer IA *et al.* (2005) Changes in booking body mass index over a decade: Retrospective analysis from a Glasgow Maternity Hospital. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **112**, 1431–1433.
3. Denison FC, Norrie G, Graham B *et al.* (2009) Increased maternal BMI is associated with an increased risk of minor complications during pregnancy with consequent cost implications. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **116**, 1467–1472.
4. Heslehurst N, Ells LJ, Simpson H *et al.* (2007) Trends in maternal obesity incidence rates, demographic predictors, and health inequalities in 36,821 women over a 15-year period. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **114**, 187–194.
5. Heslehurst N, Rankin J, Wilkinson JR *et al.* (2010) A nationally representative study of maternal obesity in England, UK: Trends in incidence and demographic inequalities in 619 323 births, 1989–2007. *Int J Obes* **34**, 420–428.
6. Whitlock G, Lewington S, Sherliker P *et al.* (2009) Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900 000 adults: Collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *Lancet* **373**, 1083–1096.
7. Norman JE (2010) The adverse effects of obesity on reproduction. *Reproduction* **140**, 343–345.
8. Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health (2011) Saving mothers lives: Reviewing maternal deaths to make motherhood safer 2006–2008. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **118**, 1–203.
9. Confidential Enquiry into Maternal and Child Health (2007) Saving mothers lives. *Reviewing Maternal Deaths to make Motherhood Safer: 2003–2005*. London: CEMACH.
10. CMACE/RCOG Joint Guideline (2010) Management of women with obesity in pregnancy.
11. Heslehurst N, Simpson H, Ells LJ *et al.* (2008) The impact of maternal BMI status on pregnancy outcomes with immediate short-term obstetric resource implications: A meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* **9**, 635–683.
12. Knight M, Kurinczuk JJ, Spark P *et al.* (2010) Extreme obesity in pregnancy in the United Kingdom. *Obstet Gynecol* **115**, 989–997.
13. Homer CS, Kurinczuk JJ, Spark P *et al.* (2011) Planned vaginal delivery or planned caesarean delivery in women with extreme obesity. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* **118**, 480–487.
14. Chu SY, Kim SY, Lau J *et al.* (2007) Maternal obesity and risk of stillbirth: A meta-analysis. *Am J Obstet Gynaecol* **197**, 223–228.
15. Metwally M, Ong KJ, Ledger WI *et al.* (2008) Does high body mass index increase the risk of miscarriage after spontaneous and assisted conception? A meta-analysis of the evidence. *Fertil Steril* **90**, 714–726.
16. Flenady V, Koopmans L, Middleton P *et al.* (2011) Major risk factors for stillbirth in high-income countries: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* **377**, 1331–1340.
17. McDonald SD, Han Z, Mulla S *et al.* (2010) Overweight and obesity in mothers and risk of preterm birth and low birth weight infants: Systematic review and meta-analyses. *BMJ* **341**, c3428.
18. Siega-Riz AM, Viswanathan M, Moos MK *et al.* (2009) A systematic review of outcomes of maternal weight gain according to the Institute of Medicine recommendations: Birthweight, fetal growth, and postpartum weight retention. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* **201**, 339 e1–339 e14.
19. Fraser A, Tilling K, Macdonald-Wallis C *et al.* (2011) Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass index, waist circumference, and blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: The Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Am J Clin Nutr* **93**, 1285–1292.
20. Institute of the National Academies of Medicine (2009) *Weight Gain During Pregnancy – Reexamining the Guidelines*. National Academies Press: Washington, DC.
21. Blomberg M (2011) Maternal and neonatal outcomes among obese women with weight gain below the new institute of medicine recommendations. *Obstet Gynecol* **117**, 1065–1070.
22. Drake AJ & Reynolds RM (2010) Impact of maternal obesity on offspring obesity and cardiometabolic disease risk. *Reproduction* **140**, 387–398.
23. Reichman NE & Nepomnyaschy L (2008) Maternal pre-pregnancy obesity and diagnosis of asthma in offspring at age 3 years. *Matern Child Health J* **12**, 725–733.

24. Van Lieshout RJ, Taylor VH & Boyle MH (2011) Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy obesity and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: A systematic review. *Obes Rev* **12**, e548–e559.
25. Reynolds RM, Osmond C, Phillips D *et al.* (2010) Maternal BMI, parity, and pregnancy weight gain: Influences on offspring adiposity in young adulthood. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **95**, 5365–5369.
26. Curhan GC, Chertow GM, Willett WC *et al.* (1996) Birth weight and adult hypertension and obesity in women. *Circulation* **94**, 1310–1315.
27. Curhan GC, Willett WC, Rimm EB *et al.* (1996) Birth weight and adult hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and obesity in US men. *Circulation* **94**, 3246–3250.
28. Parsons TJ, Power C, Logan S *et al.* (1999) Childhood predictors of adult obesity: A systematic review. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **23**, Suppl. 8, S1–S107.
29. Yu ZB, Han SP, Zhu GZ *et al.* (2011) Birth weight and subsequent risk of obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obes Rev* **12**, 525–542.
30. Bayol SA, Simbi BH, Bertrand JA *et al.* (2008) Offspring from mothers fed a ‘junk food’ diet in pregnancy and lactation exhibit exacerbated adiposity that is more pronounced in females. *J Physiol* **586**, 3219–3230.
31. Catalano PM (2003) Obesity and pregnancy – the propagation of a vicious cycle? *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **88**, 3505–3506.
32. Lawlor DA, Benfield L, Logue J *et al.* (2010) Association between general and central adiposity in childhood, and change in these, with cardiovascular risk factors in adolescence: Prospective cohort study. *BMJ* **341**, c6224.
33. Abbasi F, Brown BW, Lamendola C *et al.* (2002) Relationship between obesity, insulin resistance, and coronary heart disease risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* **40**, 937–943.
34. Scholl TO, Sowers M, Chen X *et al.* (2001) Maternal glucose concentration influences fetal growth, gestation, and pregnancy complications. *Am J Epidemiol* **154**, 514–520.
35. Pedersen J (1954) Weight and length at birth of infants of diabetic mothers. *Acta Endocrinol* **16**(4), 330–342.
36. Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR *et al.* (2008) Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* **358**, 1991–2002.
37. Deierlein AL, Siega-Riz AM, Chantala K *et al.* (2011) The association between maternal glucose concentration and child BMI at age 3 years. *Diabetes Care* **34**, 480–484.
38. Pettitt DJ, McKenna S, McLaughlin C *et al.* (2010) Maternal glucose at 28 weeks of gestation is not associated with obesity in 2-year-old offspring: The Belfast Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) family study. *Diabetes Care* **33**, 1219–1223.
39. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR *et al.* (2005) Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. *N Engl J Med* **352**, 2477–2486.
40. Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS *et al.* (2000) Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and obesity: A study of discordant sibships. *Diabetes* **49**, 2208–2211.
41. Lawlor DA, Lichtenstein P & Langstrom N (2011) Association of maternal diabetes mellitus in pregnancy with offspring adiposity into early adulthood: Sibling study in a prospective cohort of 280,866 men from 248,293 families. *Circulation* **123**, 258–265.
42. Challier JC, Basu S, Bintein T *et al.* (2008) Obesity in pregnancy stimulates macrophage accumulation and inflammation in the placenta. *Placenta* **29**, 274–281.
43. Ramsay JE, Ferrell WR, Crawford L *et al.* (2002) Maternal obesity is associated with dysregulation of metabolic, vascular, and inflammatory pathways. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **87**, 4231–4237.
44. Boomsma CM, Eijkemans MN, Hughes EG *et al.* (2006) A meta-analysis of pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod Update* **12**, 673–683.
45. Denison FC & Chiswick C (2011) Improving pregnancy outcome in obese women. *Proc Nutr Soc* (In the Press).
46. Zhou G, Myers R, Li Y *et al.* (2001) Role of AMP-activated protein kinase in mechanism of metformin action. *J Clin Invest* **108**, 1167–1174.
47. National Collaborating Centre for Women’s and Children’s Health (NICE) (2008) *Diabetes in Pregnancy*. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.
48. Rowan JA, Hague WM, Gao W *et al.* (2008) Metformin versus insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes. *N Engl J Med* **358**, 2003–2015.