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Summary
How contemporaneous guidelines interpret similar data is interesting but not relevant here. Conflicts of interest are important and various. Two clinically important recommendations in the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guideline CG178 deserve re-visiting.

Conflicts of interest and bias
Impugning the opposition is another classic debating trick. Kendall et al suggest that we have ‘succumbed to bias’ without further explanation. Examining the basis or validity for the recommendations in CG178 does not mean the questioner is biased. To question is to be scientific, and our editorial merely states that CG178 is ‘open to a critique of bias’. Conflicts of interest are important in any discussion of bias, and can be varied.6,7 Potential conflicts of interest here might include: training fees, grant applications and publication royalties for the recommended interventions; researcher or guideline allegiance; receiving money from NICE to promote NICE; and putting forward research questions that mirror the authors’ own career interests. NICE itself7 and others8 have expressed concern regarding the governance of NICE guideline groups.

Recommendations re-visited
Two clinically important CG178 recommendations deserve re-visiting. First, authorities8 regard at-risk mental states (ARMS) – for which CG178 has a whole new chapter – as an unreliable category with little predictive validity. Kendall et al state that CG178 recommended to ‘offer CBT for people with ARMS’ based on a meta-analysis they themselves conducted, without commenting on the ARMS construct validity, fidelity of the intervention or resource implications.

Second, advocating cognitive behavioural therapy for psychosis (CBTp) as sole therapy (i.e. no medication of any sort) during the first month of first-episode psychosis arguably lacks clinical face validity and could potentially be dangerous given the high suicide rate in this population.10 Extrapolating recommendations from ‘sparse’ data is also ill- advised. Moreover, the practical issues of what happens when CBTp is refused or not available locally are simply not addressed by CG178.

In a serious disorder such as schizophrenia, all effective treatments are welcome. CBTp may well have a small benefit (effect size 0.2) in psychosis,11 although recently there have been concerns regarding the reproducibility of psychology studies.12 Our original editorial used tendentious language with the aim of provoking rational debate – a pillar of scientific progress. It seems we have partially succeeded in that aim.
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The three stories are taken from Martinus Martini’s 1782 dissertation on demonomania.

The Man from Morea
A man fled from Morea because he had committed a serious crime and took himself to Milo island – by which he escaped judicial penalty but not ecclesiastical condemnation. As he had been rightly ejected from the communion of believers, he was buried in a remote deserted place when he died. The inhabitants of the island were tormented at night by spectres, and so, stricken with fear, they decided to exhume the body of the dead man. When they did this the man who had died not long before was now apparently living; the veins were swollen with blood and the tomb was filled with grapes, apples, nuts and other things to eat.

The islanders referred the issue to the Patriarch of Constantinople, asking that he be reconciled to the Church and be absolved by dire exorcisations. Meanwhile they brought the corpse to the temple and there committed it to earth. Suddenly during prayers and other sacred acts a murmuring noise was heard in the tomb. The people believed this had occurred at the very same time as the Patriarch had given absolution.

Martini remarks that ‘Great faith is required’!

The story of Plogowitz
In 1725 . . . Plogowitz strangled nine people over the course of eight days after his funeral . . . He was dug up, and there was no corruption, no putrefaction, no blemish whatever except for his nose . . . His hair, beard, nails had grown . . . His mouth was full of blood, which people claimed he had drained from the veins of living men. They transfixed his heart with a sharp stake, which caused much fresh blood to pour out of the mouth and ears. Then his corpse was consigned to the flames.

The Story of Arnold Paole
A soldier called Arnold Paole had died after a fall . . . While still alive he had said that having been previously tormented by a vampire, he had eaten earth from its tomb, and had washed himself with the blood in order to overcome this evil . . . Twenty or thirty days after his death many people complained about Paole, because they were tormented by him, indeed by now he had killed four people . . . Around forty days after the death his corpse was exhumed and found to be uncorrupted; fresh blood flowed from the eyes, ears and mouth, and new nails were growing . . . When they transfixed the heart with a stake, it gave out a perceptible sound, and the corpse was consigned to the flames.

The introduction to this short series on vampirism was published in the March issue of the BJPsych.