## A CYCLIC INVOLUTION OF PERIOD ELEVEN

W. R. HUTCHERSON

In two earlier papers* the writer discussed involutions of periods five and seven on certain cubic surfaces in $S_{3}$. In this paper, a quartic surface containing a cyclic involution of period eleven is considered.

The surface

$$
F_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \equiv a x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3}+b x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}{ }^{2}+c x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}+d x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3} x_{4}=0
$$

is invariant under the cyclic collineation $T$ of period eleven,

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}: x^{\prime}{ }_{2}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x_{4}^{\prime}=x_{1}: E x_{2}: E^{2} x_{3}: E^{3} x_{4} \quad\left(E^{11}=1\right) .
$$

Points $P_{1}(1,0,0,0), P_{2}(0,1,0,0), P_{3}(0,0,1,0)$, and $P_{4}(0,0,0,1)$ are all invariant under $T$ and lie on the surface $F_{4}$. This fact may be stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Each vertex of the tetrahedron of reference not only lies on the surface but is a point of coincidence.

By rewriting $F_{4}$ in the order

$$
a x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3}+x_{4}\left(b x_{1} x_{2} x_{4}+c x_{1} x_{3}^{2}+d x_{2}^{2} x_{3}\right)=0
$$

it is easily seen that the line $P_{1} P_{2}\left(x_{3}=x_{4}=0\right)$ lies on the surface. However, only the two points $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of the line are invariant under $T$. In similar manner $P_{1} P_{4}, P_{1} P_{3}, P_{2} P_{4}$, and $P_{3} P_{4}$ lie on $F_{4}$ with only two invariant points on each line. The line $P_{2} P_{3}$ does not lie on the surface. A second theorem has been proved.

Theorem 2. This surface includes all the six edges of the tetrahedron of reference, except $P_{2} P_{3}$.

It is true that $P_{3}$ is simple on $F_{4}$ while $P_{2}$ and $P_{4}$ are double, and $P_{1}$ is triple. In this paper only point $P_{3}$ will be investigated in detail.

Consider a curve $C$, not transformed into itself by $T$, and passing through $P_{3}$. Take the plane $x_{4}+K x_{1}=0$ of the pencil passing through $P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$, tangent to $C$. This plane is transformed into $E^{3} x_{4}+K x_{1}=0$ or $x_{4}+K E^{8} x_{1}$ $=0$ by $T$ and hence is non-invariant. The curve cut out on $F_{4}$ by $x_{4}+K x_{1}=0$ is therefore non-invariant. The common tangent to the two curves is not

[^0]transformed into itself. Thus the two curves do not touch each other at $P_{\mathbf{8}}$. Now, since $C$ was a variable curve through $P_{3}$ satisfying the non-invariant property, it follows that $P_{3}$ is an imperfect coincidence point: In similar manner it can be shown that $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{4}$ are also imperfect coincidence points. The following theorem has just been proved.

Theorem 3. The $I_{11}$ belonging to $F_{4}$ in $S_{3}$ has four imperfect points of coincidence.

Consider the complete system of curves $|A|$ cut out on $F_{4}$ by all surfaces of order eleven. Its dimension is 243 , its genus is 243 , and the number of variable intersections of two members of the system is 484 . A curve $A$ of this system is not in general transformed into itself by $T$. There are, however, eleven partial systems $\left|A_{i}\right|$ in $|A|$ which are transformed into themselves. By use of $\left|A_{1}\right|$ we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1} x_{1}{ }^{11}+a_{2} x_{2}{ }^{11}+a_{3} x_{3}{ }^{11}+a_{4} x_{4}{ }^{11}+a_{5} x_{1}{ }^{7} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{3}+a_{6} x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{3}+a_{7} x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{2} \\
& +a_{8} x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{2}+a_{9} x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{2}+a_{10} x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{4}+a_{11} x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4}+a_{12} x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4} \\
& +a_{13} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2}{ }^{6} x_{3} x_{4}+a_{14} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{8} x_{4}+a_{15} x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{5}+a_{16} x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{4}+a_{17} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{3}{ }^{3} \\
& +a_{18} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{7} x_{3}{ }^{2}+a_{19} x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{9} x_{3}+a_{20} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2} x_{4}{ }^{7}+a_{21} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{6}+a_{22} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{6} \\
& +a_{23} x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{4}{ }^{6}+a_{24} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4}{ }^{5}+a_{25} x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{5}+a_{26} x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{5}+a_{27} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{4} \\
& +a_{28} x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{4}{ }^{4}+a_{29} x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4}{ }^{4}+a_{30} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{6} x_{4}{ }^{3}+a_{31} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{3}+a_{32} x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{8} x_{4}{ }^{2} \\
& +a_{33} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{7} x_{4}{ }^{2}=0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We refer the curves $A_{1}$ projectively to the hyperplanes of a linear space of thirty-two dimensions. We obtain a surface $\varphi$, of order 44, as the image of $I_{11}$. The equations of the transformation for mapping $I_{11}$ upon $\varphi$ in $S_{32}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho X_{1}=x_{1}{ }^{11} \quad \rho X_{12}=x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4} \quad \rho X_{23}=x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{4}{ }^{6} \\
& \rho X_{2}=x_{2}{ }^{11} \quad \rho X_{13}=x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2}{ }^{6} x_{3} x_{4} \quad \rho X_{24}=x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4}{ }^{5} \\
& \rho X_{3}=x_{3}{ }^{11} \quad \rho X_{14}=x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{8} x_{4} \quad \rho X_{25}=x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{5} \\
& \rho X_{4}=x_{4}{ }^{11} \quad \rho X_{15}=x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{5} \quad \rho X_{26}=x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{5} \\
& \rho X_{5}=x_{1}{ }^{7} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{3} \quad \rho X_{16}=x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{4} \quad \rho X_{27}=x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{4} \\
& \rho X_{6}=x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{3} \quad \rho X_{17}=x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{3}{ }^{3} \quad \rho X_{28}=x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{4}{ }^{4} \\
& \rho X_{7}=x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{2} \quad \rho X_{18}=x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{7} x_{3}{ }^{2} \quad \rho X_{29}=x_{2}{ }^{4} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4}{ }^{4} \\
& \rho X_{8}=x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{2} \quad \rho X_{19}=x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{9} x_{3} \quad \rho X_{3 \theta}=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}{ }^{6} x_{4}{ }^{8} \\
& \rho X_{9}=x_{1}{ }^{4} x_{2}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{2} \quad \rho X_{20}=x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{2} x_{4}{ }^{7} \quad \rho X_{31}=x_{2}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{3} \\
& \rho X_{10}=x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{4} \quad \rho X_{21}=x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{6} \quad \rho X_{32}=x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{8} x_{4}{ }^{2} \\
& \rho X_{11}=x_{1}{ }^{5} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{3} x_{4} \quad \rho X_{22}=x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{6} \quad \rho X_{33}=x_{2}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{7} x_{4}{ }^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By eliminating $\rho, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}$, and $x_{4}$ from these thirty-three equations and $F_{4}\left(x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}\right)=0$, we get as the thirty equations defining the surface:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
X_{1} X_{5} X_{6} X_{7} X_{8} X_{9} X_{11} X_{12} X_{16} \\
X_{5} X_{21} X_{22} X_{24} X_{25} X_{26} X_{28} X_{29} X_{31}
\end{array}\right\| & =0 \\
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
X_{2} X_{9} X_{13} X_{14} X_{17} X_{18} X_{19} \\
X_{13} X_{5} X_{7} X_{8} X_{10} X_{11} X_{12}
\end{array}\right\| & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\begin{array}{rl}
X_{3} X_{15} X_{27} X_{30} X_{31} X_{32} X_{33} \\
X_{30} X_{6} X_{20} X_{22} X_{23} X_{24} X_{25}
\end{array}\right\| & =0 \\
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
X_{4} X_{20} X_{22} X_{23} X_{24} X_{25} \\
X_{21} X_{7} X_{11} X_{12} X_{15} X_{16}
\end{array}\right\| & =0 \\
\left\|\begin{array}{l}
X_{6} X_{7} X_{8} X_{10} X_{11} \\
X_{23} X_{25} X_{26} X_{28} X_{29}
\end{array}\right\| & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

and equation $a X_{31}+b X_{25}+c X_{28}+d X_{29}=0$. Designate by $P^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ the branch point of $\varphi$ corresponding to the point $P_{3}$ on $F_{4}$. The coordinates of $P^{\prime}{ }_{3}$ are all zero except $X_{3}$.

The curves $A_{1}$ on $F_{4}$ pass through $P_{3}$ if $a_{3}=0$. The tangent plane at $P_{3}$ to $F_{4}$ is $x_{2}=0$. Now, the system of eleventh-degree surfaces passing through $P_{3}$ cuts $x_{2}=0$ in the curves $x_{2}=0$, and
$a_{1} x_{1}{ }^{11}+a_{4} x_{4}{ }^{11}+a_{5} x_{1}{ }^{7} x_{3} x_{4}{ }^{3}+a_{10} x_{1}{ }^{6} x_{3}{ }^{4} x_{4}+a_{21} x_{1}{ }^{3} x_{3}{ }^{2} x_{4}{ }^{6}+a_{27} x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{3}{ }^{5} x_{4}{ }^{4}+a_{32} x_{1} x_{3}{ }^{8} x_{4}{ }^{2}=0$.
For general values of the constants this is an eleventh-degree curve with a triple point at $P_{3}$, two branches being tangent to the line $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$ and one to the line $x_{2}=x_{1}=0$. When $a_{5}=a_{10}=a_{21}=a_{27}=a_{32}=0$, the plane eleventh-degree curve breaks up into eleven lines through $P_{3}$. These are all distinct except when either $a_{1}=0$ or $a_{4}=0$, when they coincide with $x_{2}=$ $x_{4}=0$ or $x_{2}=x_{1}=0$, respectively. Since $P_{3}$ is imperfect, the $\left\langle A_{1}\right|$ through $P_{3}$ must have eleven distinct branches unless each branch touches one of the two invariant directions. In the plane $x_{2}=0$, the involution $I_{11}$ is generated by the homography $T_{1}$, which is $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x^{\prime}{ }_{4}=x_{1}: E^{2} x_{3}: E^{3} x_{4}$.

By use of the plane quadratic transformation $X, y_{1}: y_{3}: y_{4}=w_{1} w_{4}: w_{3}{ }^{2}: w_{1} w_{3}$ and $X^{-1}, w_{1}: w_{3}: w_{4}=y_{4}{ }^{2}: y_{3} y_{4}: y_{1} y_{3}$ one gets

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim_{X-1}\left(y_{4}^{2}, y_{3} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{T_{1}}\left(E^{6} y_{4}, E^{5} y_{3} y_{4}, E^{2} y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{X}\left(E^{6} w_{1}, E^{5} w_{3}, E^{2} w_{4}\right)
$$

or

$$
x_{1}^{\prime}: x_{3}^{\prime}: x_{4}^{\prime}=E^{4} x_{1}: E^{3} x_{3}: x_{4} \quad \text { for } T_{2}
$$

Again $\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim_{X-1}\left(y_{4}{ }^{2}, y_{3} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{T_{2}}\left(y_{4}{ }^{2}, E^{3} y_{3} y_{4}, E^{7} y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{X}\left(w_{1}, E^{3} w_{3}, E^{7} w_{4}\right)$ or $T_{3}$ is $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x^{\prime}{ }_{4}=x_{1}: E^{3} x_{3}: E^{7} x_{4}$. By use of $X T_{3} X^{-1}$ one gets

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim\left(E^{14} w_{1}, E^{10} w_{3}, E^{3} w_{4}\right)
$$

or $T_{4}$ is $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x^{\prime}{ }_{4}=E^{11} x_{1}: E^{7} x_{3}: x_{4}=x_{1}: E^{7} x_{3}: x_{4}$.
Thus, the following theorem has just been established.
Theorem 4. The imperfect point of coincidence $P_{3}$ has an imperfect point in the first order neighbourhood along the $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$ direction. It also has an imperfect point in the second order neighbourhood. In the third order neighbourhood there is a perfect point.

Now, investigate the characteristics of the point adjacent to $P_{3}$ along the invariant direction $x_{4}=x_{2}=0$. By use of $Y T_{1} Y^{-1}$, where the transforma-
tion $Y$ is $y_{1}: y_{3}: y_{4}=w_{3} w_{4}: w_{3}^{2}: w_{1} w_{4}$ and the inverse is $w_{1}: w_{3}: w_{4}=y_{3} y_{4}$ : $y_{1} y_{3}: y_{1}{ }^{2}$, we get $\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim_{Y-1}\left(y_{3} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3}, y_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \sim_{T_{1}}\left(E^{5} y_{3} y_{4}, E^{2} y_{1} y_{3}, y_{1}{ }^{2}\right) \sim_{Y}\left(E^{5} w_{1}\right.$, $\left.E^{2} w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$. We have an imperfect point. Define $T^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ as $Y T_{1} Y^{-1}$. Now apply $X T^{\prime}{ }_{2} X^{-1} \equiv T^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2}$ to our next order point, remembering that $T^{\prime}{ }_{2}$ may be written $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x^{\prime}{ }_{4}=E^{5} x_{1}: E^{2} x_{3}: x_{4}$. We obtain

$$
\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim_{X-1}\left(y_{4}^{2}, y_{3} y_{4}, y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{T_{3}^{\prime}}\left(y_{4}^{2}, E^{2} y_{3} y_{4}, E^{7} y_{1} y_{3}\right) \sim_{X}\left(w_{1}, E^{2} w_{3}, E^{7} w_{4}\right)
$$

This transformation $T^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2}$ or $x^{\prime}{ }_{1}: x^{\prime}{ }_{3}: x^{\prime}{ }_{4}=x_{1}: E^{2} x_{3}: E^{7} x_{4}$ gives evidence of another imperfect point. For the third order neighbourhood, we use $Y T^{\prime \prime}{ }_{2} Y^{-1} \equiv T^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{2}$. This becomes $\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim\left(E^{9} w_{1}, E^{2} w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$, denoting an imperfect point in the third order neighbourhood of $P_{3}$ along the $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$ direction.

Finally, by use of $X T^{\prime \prime \prime}{ }_{2} X^{-1} \equiv T^{\mathrm{iv}}{ }_{2}$ we get $\left(w_{1}, w_{3}, w_{4}\right) \sim\left(w_{1}, E^{2} w_{3}, E^{11} w_{4}\right)$ or $\left(w_{1}, E^{2} w_{3}, w_{4}\right)$ since $E^{11}=1$. This indicates a perfect point. We shall state our result in the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Along the invariant direction $x_{2}=x_{4}=0$, there are no perfect points in either the first or second or third order neighbourhood of $P_{3}$. There is, however, a perfect point in the fourth order neighbourhood.

The following theorem is self-evident.
Theorem 6. The imperfect point $P_{3}$ on $F_{4}$ has no perfect points in the neighbourhood of the first or second order. It does have one in the third order neighbourhood and one in the fourth order neighbourhood, however.
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