Intracranial Aneurysm Rupture Following Intravenous Thrombolysis for Stroke

Faizal Haji, Brian van Adel, Michael Avery, Joseph Megyesi, G. Bryan Young

Can J Neurol Sci. 2014; 41: 95-98

Treatment of acute ischemic stroke with intravenous tissue plasminogen activator (IV-tPA) has proven efficacy and safety up to 4.5 hours from clinical onset. Treatment with IV-tPA may lead to potentially serious complications, the most devastating being intracranial hemorrhage (ICH). In this setting, ICH risk may be increased by advanced age, hypertension, large infarction volume, anticoagulant use, previous stroke, and cerebral vascular lesions. Current guidelines cite many of these factors, including the presence of unruptured intracranial aneurysms (UIAs), as exclusion criteria for thrombolytic therapy for acute ischemic stroke.

The population affected by these guidelines is not trivial: among patients with ischemic stroke, the prevalence of UIAs is between 6.6-9.3%, noticeably higher than the general population. Thus, up to one in every ten patients receiving thrombolysis for acute stroke may harbor an UIA; a number that is likely to grow with advances in stroke imaging, such as computed tomogram (CT) and magnetic resonance (MR) angiography (CTA/MRA). This compounds the therapeutic dilemma created by the paucity of evidence (and subsequent controversy) regarding whether IV thrombolysis truly increases the likelihood of aneurysm rupture. Thus, “is thrombolysis in the presence of an unruptured intracranial aneurysm safe?” remains an important unanswered question, with attendant, potentially life-threatening risk, for a significant proportion of ischemic stroke patients.

To date, two cases of intracranial aneurysm rupture following intravenous thrombolytic therapy have been reported: one in the setting of acute myocardial infarction, the other in a patient with symptoms of sentinel hemorrhage who received IV-tPA for ischemic stroke. We report the case of a previously asymptomatic patient with multiple UIAs who suffered massive (and ultimately fatal) subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) following IV-tPA for acute ischemic stroke. To our knowledge, this is the first reported case where a previously asymptomatic intracranial aneurysm was the likely cause of a massive subarachnoid hemorrhage following intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke.

CASE REPORT

History

A 71-year-old right-handed-male presented to hospital within thirty minutes of a witnessed sudden onset right face and arm hemiparesis, right-sided neglect and global aphasia. His medical history included pneumonectomy for lung cancer, peripheral arterial disease with bilateral aortofemoral bypass, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and 30-pack-years of smoking. The patient was not receiving antiplatelet therapy. Examination revealed blood pressure of 150/80 mmHg, heart rate of 70 and an initial National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score of 15. Laboratory investigations were within normal limits. The admission non-contrast cranial CT scan showed a hyperdense MCA, an Alberta Stroke Program Early CT Score (ASPECTS) of 8 and no hemorrhage or mass lesion (Figure 1).

Management

The patient was assessed by the Ontario Telemedicine Network Telestroke protocol. As no contraindications for thrombolysis were identified, the patient received 90 mg of IV-tPA in standard fashion within three hours of symptom onset. He remained hemodynamically and clinically stable during the infusion of IV-tPA. The patient recovered some neurological function over the next few hours, with mild improvement of his aphasia (vocalizing single words). He was admitted to intensive care for monitoring.

Clinical Course

Approximately five hours post-thrombolysis, the patient’s level of consciousness rapidly deteriorated. His blood pressure increased to 200/90 mmHg and his Glasgow Coma Scale fell from 14 to 10 (E3V1M6), with development of right-sided hemiplegia. An emergent CT scan demonstrated a diffuse Fischer III SAH and early signs of hydrocephalus (Figure 2). Despite aggressive medical management, he progressed to decorticate coma. The patient was intubated and transferred emergently to a tertiary care facility for neurosurgical assessment, where an external ventricular drain was placed. Computed tomogram angiography (CTA) demonstrated severe atherosclerotic stenosis of the proximal left internal carotid artery (ICA) and a dissection of the left extracranial ICA, just distal to the segment of stenosis (Figure 3). Based on the imaging findings, we suspect the acute dissection of the left ICA precipitated a large artery-to-artery thromboembolism, resulting in the patient’s initial presentation of proximal left MCA
Figure 1: A-C: Admission cranial non-contrast computer tomography (NCCT) scan performed as part of an acute stroke protocol. A: Axial CT image demonstrates a left hyperdense MCA sign (arrow). B and C: ischemic changes in the left insular cortex and left frontal lobe. ASPECTS = 8. No evidence of mass lesion, or hemorrhage.

Figure 2: A repeat cranial non-contrast computer tomography (NCCT) approximately 5 hours after treatment with IV-tPA for the patient’s acute left MCA ischemic stroke. A-C: Axial CT scan demonstrates a diffuse, Fisher grade III, subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) and further evolution of the patient's left MCA infarct.
occlusion. Computed tomography angiography also revealed saccular aneurysms of the left posterior communicating (P-comm) artery and right posterior inferior cerebellar artery (PICA), measuring 4 mm x 6 mm and 6 mm x 8.5 mm respectively (Figure 4). Despite ongoing CSF diversion, the patient’s neurological status did not improve. After discussion with the family, supportive measures were withdrawn and the patient died.

**DISCUSSION**

Despite the extended time window for intravenous thrombolysis, the majority of acute stroke patients do not receive IV-tPA either due to delayed presentation or failure to meet inclusion/exclusion criteria. However, as our experience grows, the results from inadvertent or deliberate off-label use of thrombolysis has called some of these criteria into question. For instance, among published reports of 34 patients with asymptomatic intracranial aneurysms who received IV thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke, none suffered SAH attributable to aneurysm rupture following administration of IV-tPA. Collectively, this literature supports the claim that, among patients with small (<10mm) asymptomatic aneurysms, IV-tPA for acute ischemic stroke may be administered without additional adverse events.

To our knowledge, only two cases of aneurysm rupture following intravenous thrombolysis have been reported. In the first, the combination of high-dose IV-tPA (100 mg) and the use of a heparin infusion for treatment of acute myocardial infarction may have increased the likelihood of aneurysm rupture. Thus, some have argued that there is no scientific basis for the current exclusion of patients with asymptomatic aneurysms in the setting of acute ischemic stroke, where the dose of IV-tPA is lower.

---

**Figure 3:** Computed tomogram angiogram 12 hours from onset of the acute ischemic stroke presentation. A-D: Left carotid artery shows normal caliber of the distal common carotid artery (A), and severe stenosis of the proximal internal carotid artery (ICA) just beyond the carotid bifurcation (B), and a dissection of the more distal extracranial ICA (C). D: Corresponding maximum intensity projection (MIP) imaging of the left carotid artery demonstrating a dissection flap of the left ICA. 1-3 represents the anatomical level of the left carotid artery shown in the axial source images from A-C.

**Figure 4:** Repeat CT head and CT angiogram 12 hours from onset of the acute ischemic stroke presentation A-B: CT angiography identified two intracranial aneurysms involving the right PICA (A) and left PCom (B). C-D: Further evolution of the large left MCA territory cerebral infarction as noted by marked hypodensity (arrows).
The use of intravenous thrombolysis for acute ischemic stroke in the presence of asymptomatic UIAs remains controversial. Although an increasing number of patients have been successfully treated with IV-tPA in this setting, the results of this report suggest that caution is still warranted. Further data is needed to help guide therapeutic decisions for this small, but significant population of stroke patients.
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