Laboratory plasma physics experiments using merging supersonic plasma jets
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We describe a laboratory plasma physics experiment at Los Alamos National Laboratory that uses two merging supersonic plasma jets formed and launched by pulsed-power-driven railguns. The jets can be formed using any atomic species or mixture available in a compressed-gas bottle and have the following nominal initial parameters at the railgun nozzle exit: \( n_e \approx n_i \sim 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), \( T_e \approx T_i \approx 1.4 \text{ eV} \), \( V_{\text{jet}} \approx 30–100 \text{ km/s} \), mean charge \( \bar{Z} \approx 1 \), sonic Mach number \( M_s \equiv V_{\text{jet}}/C_s > 10 \), jet diameter = 5 cm, and jet length \( \approx 20 \text{ cm} \). Experiments to date have focused on the study of merging-jet dynamics and the shocks that form as a result of the interaction, in both collisional and collisionless regimes with respect to the inter-jet classical ion mean free path, and with and without an applied magnetic field. However, many other studies are also possible, as discussed in this paper.

1. Introduction

The Plasma Liner Experiment (PLX) is a laboratory plasma physics facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory. The primary purpose and goal of the PLX is to form and study spherically imploding plasma liners via multiple merging plasma jets, motivated by high-energy-density (HED) physics and magneto-inertial fusion (MIF) applications (Thio et al. 1999, 2001; Hsu et al. 2012a,b; Santarius 2012; Knapp and Kirkpatrick 2014). For fundamental HED-physics studies (Drake 2006), PLX is envisioned to provide an economic means for forming inertially confined cm-, \( \mu \text{s-} \), and Mbar-scale plasmas (Awe et al. 2011; Davis et al. 2012; Cassibry et al. 2013) upon the stagnation of up to 60 merged pulsed-power-driven, supersonic plasma jets. Such an experiment would allow for good diagnostic measurements on largerspatial and longer-temporal scales and a much higher shot rate than those of typical laser- or Z-pinch-driven HED experiments. For the MIF application (Lindemuth and Kirkpatrick 1983; Kirkpatrick et al. 1995; Lindemuth and Siemon 2009), the use of a spherically converging plasma liner for compressing a magnetized target plasma would avoid the repetitive hardware destruction inherent in solid-liner approaches (e.g. Intrator et al. 2004; Slutz et al. 2010; Degnan et al. 2013), and it would allow...
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for a higher repetition rate (\(\sim 1\) Hz), which is desirable for an economic, pulsed fusion-energy system.

The PLX facility was built in 2010–2011, and the first plasma jet was fired in September, 2011. Initial research characterized in detail the plasma properties and evolution of a single jet (Hsu et al. 2012b). A second railgun was installed in mid-2012, and ensuing experiments focused on the oblique merging of two plasma jets, resulting in detailed observations shown to be consistent with collisional plasma shocks (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014). Due to loss of funding, we were not able to build the facility up to 30 railguns as originally planned. Instead, ongoing experiments are focused on the head-on merging of two plasma jets to form and study unmagnetized and magnetized plasma shocks. An in-chamber pulsed Helmholtz (HH) coil was installed in late 2013 to enable the study of magnetized shocks. The facility now enables a unique experimental research program on the detailed study of plasma shocks, from collisional-to-collisionless and unmagnetized-to-magnetized regimes. Preliminary designs for a 36- or 60-jet experiment (with \(\sim 1.5\) MJ of capacitive stored energy) to form spherically imploding plasma liners are also in hand.

Plasma jet and shock studies on PLX complement many other related, contemporary experimental efforts. Examples include studies of plasma jet dynamics, interactions, and/or shock formation in astrophysically or fusion-relevant contexts using a variety of plasma formation methods, ranging from coaxial plasma guns (e.g. Bellan et al. 2005; Hsu and Bellan 2005; Moser and Bellan 2012) to wire-array-driven pinches (e.g. Haas et al. 2011; Gourdain and Seyler 2013; Swadling et al. 2014) to laser-driven experiments (e.g. Fox et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Ross et al. 2013; Fiksel et al. 2014). In general, PLX plasmas are colder and more collisional than the other experiments, but have the benefits of larger spatial size for ease of diagnostic measurements, more choices for the working plasma species, and the option of both unmagnetized and magnetized experiments. A brief overview of many plasma-jet experiments and formation methods is given in Hsu (2009).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the PLX experimental device and parameters. Section 3 discusses some of the physics questions that can be addressed on PLX. Section 4 summarizes the major results obtained thus far, and Sec. 5 describes some future opportunities.

2. Description of experimental device and parameters

2.1. Device description

The PLX consists of a 9 ft. (2.74 m) diameter spherical vacuum chamber (Fig. 1), two pulsed-power-driven plasma railguns (Sec. 2.1.1), a diagnostic suite (Sec. 2.1.2), and an in-chamber HH coil (Sec. 2.1.4) to generate a pulsed magnetic field up to 0.22 T. The facility is housed in a 3000 ft.\(^2\) (279 m\(^2\)) high-bay space with a 10-ton (9072 kg) bridge crane, roll-up door with 18.5-ft. (5.6 m) tall and 16-ft. (4.9 m) wide clearance, 208- and 480-VAC power, and building chilled water and compressed air.

2.1.1. Plasma railguns and pulsed-power systems.

The plasma railguns (Figs 2(a) and (b)) and their high-current, spark-gap switches (Fig. 2(c)) were designed and built by collaborator HyperV Technologies Corp. The railgun has two parallel-plate electrodes made of tungsten alloy (HD-17BB), separated by insulators made of zirconium-toughened alumina (ZTA). The railgun bore is 1 in. (2.54 cm) \(\times\) 1 in. (2.54 cm), and the body is a clamshell design consisting of two Noryl halves bolted together (Figs 2(a) and (b)). A custom-built gas-puff valve injects
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Table 1. Capacitor bank parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Capacitor model</th>
<th>Rated bank voltage (kV)</th>
<th>Total bank capacitance (μF)</th>
<th>Max. energy (kJ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Railguns (one bank per gun)</td>
<td>Maxwell 32184</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12 or 36</td>
<td>9.6 or 28.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-ionization (for both guns)</td>
<td>Maxwell 32814</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas valve (for both guns)</td>
<td>Maxwell 32567</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HH coil</td>
<td>GA 32934</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Photograph of the PLX vacuum chamber (2.74-m diameter).

Figure 2. (a) Photograph of railgun. (b) Schematic of railgun (courtesy of HyperV Technologies). (c) Photograph of two linear, spark-gap switches (one main switch, one crowbar) installed on top of a railgun capacitor bank.

neutral gas into a pre-ionization (PI) chamber at the rear of the railgun. A cylindrical acrylic nozzle with 5 cm inner diameter is mounted at the exit of the railgun bore. The rails, PI, and gas valves (GV) are driven by four separate capacitor banks, which are summarized in Table 1. The GVs and Pis of both guns are connected in parallel and driven by a single GV and PI bank, respectively.
2.1.2. Diagnostics.

Operational diagnostics include a multi-chord visible interferometer, a visible-to-near-infrared survey spectrometer, an array of three photodiodes (PD), a charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera, a schlieren imaging system, an electrostatic triple probe, and magnetic probe arrays. We briefly summarize the diagnostic capabilities here; more technical details are given elsewhere (Lynn et al. 2010; Hsu et al. 2012b).

The 561-nm multi-chord interferometer (Merritt et al. 2012a,b) is fiber-coupled between the launch laser optics and the vacuum chamber, allowing for relatively easy (a few days work) modification of chord positioning for different experiments and different plasma gun geometries. Several different chord arrangements have been used for single-jet propagation (Hsu et al. 2012b), two-jet oblique-merging experiments (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014), and two-jet head-on merging experiments (Moser and Hsu 2014). The time-resolution of the continuous interferometer phase signals is 50 ns, limited by the 40-MHz digitization rate. Spatial resolution is determined by the chord spacing (typically a few cm) and the laser spot size (≈ 3 mm diameter at the position of the plasma).

The survey spectrometer system consists of a 0.275-m spectrometer and a gated (0.45 μs duration), 1024-pixel, multi-channel-plate array covering the wavelength range 300–900 nm. The best spectral resolution, corresponding to a 600-line/mm grating, is 0.152 nm/pixel. Plasma light is collected at a vacuum chamber window into a 5-mm diameter collimating lens and brought to the spectrometer with an optical fiber bundle. The diameter of the spectrometer viewing chord is ≈ 7 cm at the position of the plasma. The lens and fiber can be moved easily to different viewing positions.

A three-channel PD array collects chord-integrated and time-resolved broadband visible (300–850 nm) plasma emission for determining jet propagation speed, based on the viewing-chord positions and time-of-flight estimates of features in the PD data traces. The peak PD responsivity is 0.65 A/W at 970 nm, and the frequency response decreases with increasing gain (2.1 and 0.1 MHz at 20 and 50 dB, respectively). The light is collected through an adjustable aperture that is typically set at 1 cm, which constitutes the nominal spatial resolution.

An intensified CCD camera (DiCam Pro ICCD), with spectral sensitivity from the UV to near-IR, is used to capture time-gated, visible images of the experiment. The camera records 1280 × 1024 pixel images with 12-bit dynamic range. The typical gate time used is 20 ns. The camera is triggered remotely via an optical fiber, housed inside a metal shielding box, and mounted next to a large rectangular borosilicate window on the vacuum chamber (although it can be moved to other ports as well).

A schlieren system (Settles 2001) for obtaining 2D images (4 cm × 16 cm) sensitive to plasma-density gradients has been constructed. The PLX schlieren system (Adams et al. 2012) uses a pulsed 1.064-μm Nd:YAG laser (3 ns pulse, 30–80 mJ) expanded to a 20-cm diameter beam that is then collimated and passed through the plasma between 25-cm diameter, f/8 mirrors. A tunable knife edge blocks light refracted by density gradients in the plasma. The image is captured by an IR camera (Apogee Alta U1109) which contains a 2048 × 512 CCD detector with 8% quantum efficiency at 1.064 μm. The system is designed to give images with >5% contrast for electron-density gradients ≳ 10^{15} cm^{-4}, i.e. for 1-cm-scale gradients in the presence of 10^{14} cm^{-3} density plasmas. The intensity of the recorded image is proportional to \nabla N, where \nabla is the refractive index of the plasma. The PLX schlieren diagnostic has yet to be tested on plasmas with sufficient density gradients to yield images with detectable contrast.
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Magnetic probe arrays measure all three components of the magnetic field along the railgun nozzle and within the plasma-jet-interaction region. The nozzle probe array consists of hand-wound coils (using 0.5 mm diameter Kapton-coated magnet wire) around a rectangular Delrin rod (0.37 × 0.48 cm²). These probes have effective turns × area (NA) of ≈10 cm² in the frequency range 10–5000 kHz. The internal probe array is mounted inside an insertable probe shaft and contains two sets of 3-axis coils at two positions separated by 27.75 cm, for the simultaneous measurement of up to six B-dot signals simultaneously. These probe arrays use commercial inductor chips (Coilcraft 1008CS-272XJLB, with dimensions 2.92 × 2.79 × 2.03 mm³); the probe construction is similar to that described by Romero-Talamás et al. (2004). The internal probe array coils have NA ≈ 0.7 cm² between 10–1000 kHz. The B-dot signals are integrated by a passive integration circuit with RC = 100 μs.

An electrostatic triple probe (e.g. Ji et al. 1991) measures the instantaneous floating potential V_f, electron temperature T_e, and ion saturation current I_{sat} as a function of time at one position in space. The probe can be moved to different radial positions with respect to chamber center. Due to the lack of a reliable, nearby reference ground potential (due to large voltage excursions on the vacuum chamber during a shot), the triple probe is not yet producing reliable data. To improve the probe operation, we have built four battery-powered, analog optical channels (up to 1-MHz frequency response) to bring probe signals back to the data acquisition system.

Finally, there are Rogowski coils, high-voltage probes, voltage divider networks, and pulsed current monitors to record the pulsed electrical currents and voltages of all the capacitor banks.

2.1.3. Control and data acquisition systems.

The PLX has an FPGA-based control/trigger system and CAMAC-based digital-data-acquisition system, all integrated through a LabVIEW software interface and operated from a desktop computer. Both analog and optical channels are available for diagnostic triggering and operating relays for the various hardware sub-systems. The data-acquisition system consists of 64 channels with 12-bit resolution, up to 40 MHz sampling rate, and 32 kilosamples of memory per channel (four Joerger TR modules). Shot information and diagnostic data are stored in an MDSplus tree (www.mdsplus.org).

2.1.4. In-chamber HH coils.

We have installed inside the vacuum chamber a pair of magnetic coils arranged in a HH configuration (coil radius and separation ≈30 cm) inside the vacuum chamber (see Fig. 3). The coils and mounting structure were designed and fabricated by Woodruff Scientific, LLC, and are presently installed such that the HH field is perpendicular to the direction of jet propagation, but the HH field can be adjusted up to ±30° about the perpendicular and parallel directions with respect to the jet propagation direction. The coils are driven by a capacitor bank (see Table 1) with a current-rise time of ≈1.3 ms. The maximum HH-bank operating voltage of 4 kV gives a coil current ≈8.2 kA and a peak magnetic field of 0.22 T. The minimum operating voltage is around 200 V (corresponding to about 0.44 kA and 0.012 T peak magnetic field), below which the HH-bank ignitron switch does not fire reliably. The magnetic-field profiles have been characterized experimentally using three concentric flux loops of different radii.
Table 2. Measured and achievable range of railgun-driven argon plasma jet parameters. Hydrogen and helium jets have similar values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$Z \approx 2$ cm</th>
<th>$Z \approx 41$ cm</th>
<th>range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ion+neutral density $n_{tot}$ (cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{16}$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{15}$</td>
<td>$10^{14}$–$10^{17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron temperature $T_e$ (eV)</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet velocity $V$ (km/s)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean charge $\bar{Z}$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>$\sim$1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet length $L$ (cm)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet diameter $D$ (cm)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Parameters

Table 2 summarizes the experimentally measured or inferred physical parameters of our plasma jets, both at the gun-nozzle exit and after propagating $\approx 41$ cm. For head-on jet-merging experiments, the jets propagate $\approx 1.1$ m before colliding with each other near chamber center, where the jet $n_{tot} \sim 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $T_e \approx 1$ eV just before the collision. For the achievable range of $n_{tot}$, $T_e$, and $V$ just before jets collide, the inter-jet counter-streaming ion mean free path $\lambda_{i,ctr}$ (mean free path of an ion in one jet interacting with all species in the opposing jet) can range from collisional, i.e. $\lambda_{i,ctr} < \Delta$ (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014), where $\Delta$ is the gradient-scale-length of the shock that forms as a result of the colliding jets, to collisionless, i.e. $\lambda_{i,ctr} \gg \Delta$ (the goal of ongoing work). Note that both ion and electron collisionality are very high within each jet, and that the inter-jet counter-streaming electron mean free path is very short, i.e. $\lambda_{e,ctr} \ll \Delta$. 

Figure 3. Photograph of the in-chamber HH coil, looking down the barrel of one railgun.
3. Physics questions that can be addressed

3.1. Plasma shock structure and dynamics

Highly supersonic plasmas, i.e. in which the sonic Mach number $M \equiv V/C_s \gg 1$ (with $V$ the plasma flow speed and $C_s$ the ion sound speed), are needed and provide a natural opportunity to study plasma shock physics (e.g. Jaffrin and Probststein 1964; Casanova et al. 1991; Sagdeev and Kennel 1991). Detailed laboratory studies of the latter have been scarce for two main, coupled reasons: (i) the most readily available ways to form supersonic plasmas tended to be in very high-density regimes, e.g. using laser, z-pinch, or $\theta$-pinch sources that (ii) presented significant diagnostic challenges. The latter arose due to a combination of small spatial scales, high plasma densities, and/or lack of diagnostic access. The PLX, with its macroscopic plasma jets at moderate density and completely open diagnostic access, presents a unique experimental platform for studying detailed plasma shock structure and dynamics on multiple-cm spatial and several-$\mu$s time scales. The experiment also offers the ability to span collisional to collisionless regimes for the counter-streaming ions and unmagnetized to magnetized jet interactions.

3.2. Equation of state in regimes intermediate between magnetic and inertial fusion

Plasma equation of state (EOS), i.e. ionization state and the relationship between specific internal energies and pressure, can be complicated depending on the regime of plasma temperature and density as well as the plasma species or mixture of species. In magnetic fusion plasmas, which typically are at low density and consist of fully ionized hydrogen isotopes, EOS is relatively straightforward, e.g. governed by the ideal gas law $p = n_e kT_e + n_i kT_i$ with $n_e = n_i$. On the other hand, in inertial fusion and HED plasmas, which can have mixed-species plasmas with less than fully stripped ions, EOS is a leading order issue complicated by atomic physics, and significant effort has been made and sophisticated capabilities developed to model EOS.

The PLX railguns can generate low-to-moderate density plasmas using any species or mixture available in a compressed-gas bottle. The density and temperature range (see Table 2) are such that the mean charge state $\bar{Z}$ is rapidly varying, and local-thermodynamic-equilibrium (LTE) and non-LTE models predict notably different EOS. PLX plasmas, whether single- or merging-jet, offer a platform for measuring and constraining EOS in a plasma regime that has not received much detailed attention.

3.3. Interaction of plasma flow with vacuum magnetic field or magnetized plasma

Studies of plasma flow across a magnetic field (e.g. Baker and Hammel 1965), or into another magnetized plasma (e.g. Liu and Hsu 2011) have a long history, and have been motivated by both basic plasma physics and applications such as tokamak fueling (e.g. Perkins et al. 1988). With our in-chamber HH coil, we can study supersonic plasma jet interactions with a vacuum magnetic field. In addition, because our plasma jets each consist of multiple ‘blobs’ due to the ringing railgun current, we can study the interaction of trailing blobs with stagnated magnetized plasma formed from the merged leading blobs.

4. Summary of major results

4.1. Characterization of single-jet parameters and evolution

The first set of experiments that we performed on PLX focused on the detailed characterization of the plasma parameters, profiles, and evolution over $\approx 1$ m of...
propagation of a single argon plasma jet launched by a plasma railgun (Hsu et al. 2012b). These experiments were done to help us understand and interpret planned, subsequent experiments based on the merging of two or more plasma jets, and also to provide accurate initial conditions for numerical modeling of experiments using these plasma jets. These experiments also contributed uniquely to the plasma railgun literature, most of which has focused on in-bore characterization of the plasma armature as a pusher for launching solid projectiles to high velocities (see Batteh 1991, for example). Table 2 summarizes the measured (or experimentally inferred) argon plasma jet parameters at the exit of the railgun nozzle \((Z \approx 2 \text{ cm})\) and downstream \((Z \approx 41 \text{ cm})\), as well as the expected range of values for some of the parameters, although the latter were not systematically measured in the single-jet studies.

The plasma jet is essentially unmagnetized after a few tens of centimeters of propagation (at a typical speed of \(3 \text{–} 7 \text{ cm}/\mu\text{s}\)) due to fast resistive decay of the magnetic field that is embedded in the jet as it exits the railgun bore. Using magnetic pickup coils mounted on the exterior surface of the railgun nozzle, we have measured (Merritt et al. 2014) the decay time of the transverse (relative to the jet propagation) component of the magnetic field (of order \(750 \text{ G near the railgun nozzle exit for peak railgun current of order 200 kA}\)) to be \(\approx 5.6 \mu\text{s}\), which is consistent with the expected magnetic diffusion time estimated using the plasma jet parameters and radial scale lengths. Thus, for the jet-merging studies described in Secs 4.2 and 4.3, the jet kinetic energy density \((\rho v^2/2)\) is much greater (by a factor of \(50 \text{–} 10^4\)) than the magnetic energy density \((B^2/2\mu_0)\) at the time of initial jet merging.

### 4.2. Evidence for collisional shock formation between obliquely merging plasma jets

Following the single-jet experiments, we conducted experiments on the oblique merging of two plasma jets (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014), in order to gain better understanding of the essential underlying physical process for plasma liner formation via merging plasma jets. This work led to the identification and characterization of the stagnation layer between obliquely merging jets and the demonstration that the stagnation layer morphology is consistent with that of a collisional oblique plasma shock (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014).

We obtained temporally and spatially resolved survey-spectrometer and interferometer measurements of the stagnation layer, along with sequences of time-gated visible CCD images. Based on experimentally inferred plasma parameters, the inter-jet ion mean free path were less than the stagnation layer thickness, i.e. the oblique jet-merging was in a collisional regime. Detailed analyses of the data from all three diagnostics allowed us to arrive at the following key results (Merritt et al. 2013, 2014): demonstration that (a) the observed stagnation layer morphology is consistent with that expected of a collisional oblique plasma shock, (b) the peak density exceeds that of simple plasma interpenetration but is about a factor of 2 smaller than the peak density predicted by hydrodynamic shock theory, and (c) the observed gradient scale length at the postulated shock boundary is consistent with two-fluid plasma theory predictions of shock layer thickness.

### 4.3. Transition from collisionless interpenetration to collisional stagnation during head-on jet merging

In working toward a more collisionless regime for the counter-streaming ions between the merging jets, we performed a set of head-on jet-merging experiments in which the interaction between jets began with collisionless interpenetration but then transitioned to collisional stagnation due to a rising \(Z\) (Moser and Hsu 2014). This observation demonstrates a clear way by which an initially collisionless interaction between
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colliding plasmas can evolve toward a collisional one, and provides data that can be used to validate physics models of plasma collisionality and ionization in the presence of complex EOS. The observation of unmagnetized, supersonic interpenetration also establishes a regime in which neither macro- nor micro-instabilities are present or strong enough to introduce collisionless shock effects.

4.4. Observation of Rayleigh-Taylor-instability growth and progression to longer wavelength

As mentioned earlier, our ringing railgun current produces several serial plasma ‘blobs’, each separated in time by 20–30 μs. The leading blob of each jet each compresses the applied magnetic field and stagnates in the center of the chamber upon merging with the opposing jet. We observed one trailing blob decelerating against the stagnated magnetized plasma in the center (formed by the merged leading blobs). Images from a multiple-frame CCD camera (Invisible Vision model UHSi 12/24) revealed the growth of Rayleigh–Taylor-like fingers at the leading edge of this trailing blob as well as the evolution toward longer wavelength of these fingers. Preliminary instability-growth-rate estimates and magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations suggest that our observations are consistent with Rayleigh–Taylor instability of this decelerating interface. The progression toward longer mode wavelength could be due to a combination of magnetic stabilization and viscous damping. Detailed analysis is ongoing, and these results will be reported elsewhere.

4.5. Ongoing studies of magnetized head-on jet merging to study cosmically relevant collisionless shocks

Ongoing experiments on PLX are aimed at forming and studying ‘cosmically relevant’ collisionless shocks, as described by Drake (2000), who argues that such experiments must meet a number of competing constraints on magnetization, plasma β, Alfvén Mach number $M_A$, and collisionality. Table 3 summarizes the original design parameters for collisionless shock studies on PLX using head-on-merging plasma jets. Hybrid particle-in-cell (PIC) and fully kinetic PIC simulations of head-on merging jets in this parameter regime confirmed that we would be in a collisionless regime and that magnetized shocks should form (Thoma et al. 2013). However, the level of impurities in our railgun-driven jets was higher than expected (Hsu et al. 2012b; Merritt et al. 2014; Moser and Hsu 2014), leading to an unanticipated rise in $\bar{Z}$ (even in hydrogen experiments), causing a reduction in the counter-streaming ion-ion mean free path that scales as $\bar{Z}^{-4}$, and a transition to a collisional regime (described in Sec. 4.3) at the originally chosen jet velocities. Thus, ongoing work is aimed at reaching collisionless regimes for the counter-streaming ions by achieving even higher counter-streaming jet velocities to overcome the rising-$\bar{Z}$ effect. Failing this, we may need to upgrade to coaxial guns (Witherspoon et al. 2009), which are expected to have far lower levels of impurities.

5. Future opportunities

Future opportunities on PLX with two plasma guns include further and more detailed studies of all the topics discussed in Secs 3 and 4. Upgraded coaxial guns (e.g. Witherspoon et al. 2009) would reduce impurities and allow for higher velocities than railguns. In addition, the plasma shock and interpenetration data offer a rare opportunity to validate collisionality, EOS, ionization, and shock-handling physics models employed in MHD, multi-fluid, and kinetic plasma codes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Jets at collision</th>
<th>Post-shock</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>$H^+$</td>
<td>$H^+$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{14}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (eV)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$T_i = 139$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (km/s)</td>
<td>$V_{jet} = 100$</td>
<td>$V_{shock} = 167$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic field (G)</td>
<td>300 (applied)</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length $L$ (cm)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radius $R$ (cm)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criterion</td>
<td>Estimate for proposed experiment (post-shock values)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2R/\rho_i \gg 1$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta &gt; 1$</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_A &gt; 1$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_i/\rho_i \gg 1$</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_M \gg 1$</td>
<td>$\sim 100$ (for $T_e \sim 10$ eV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_{ci} \tau_{exp} \gg 1$</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L/(c/\omega_{pi}) \gg 1$</td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Proposed reference experimental values, and evaluation of relevant physics criteria against those of Drake (2000) for a cosmically relevant collisionless shock experiment on PLX. Here, $\rho_i$ is the thermal ion gyro-radius, $\beta$ the ratio of plasma thermal-to-magnetic pressure, $M_A$ the Alfvén Mach number, $\lambda_i$ the ion mean free path, $R_M$ the magnetic Reynold’s number, $\omega_{ci}$ the ion-cyclotron frequency, $\tau_{exp}$ the shock-transit time, and $c/\omega_{pi}$ the ion inertial length. All values given are for a quasi-perpendicular shock, and all speeds are in the laboratory frame.

If PLX is upgraded to have many more guns, e.g. 36 or 60, then the merging and spherical convergence of all the jets could produce cm-, $\mu$s-, and Mbar-scale plasmas, enabling unique fundamental physics studies of many HED topics (as described in the United States Department of Energy Report of the Workshop on High Energy Density Laboratory Physics Research Needs, Nov. 15–18, 2009) and the exploration of a standoff MIF driver for fusion energy (Hsu et al. 2012a).
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