Undue burden: Black faculty, COVID-19, and the racial justice movement
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Abstract

A crucial reckoning was initiated when the COVID-19 pandemic began to expose and intensify long-standing racial/ethnic health inequities, all while various sectors of society pursued racial justice reform. As a result, there has been a contextual shift towards broader recognition of systemic racism, and not race, as the shared foundational driver of both societal maladies. This confluence of issues is of particular relevance to Black populations disproportionately affected by the pandemic and racial injustice. In response, institutions have initiated diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) efforts as a way forward. This article considers how the dual pandemic climate of COVID-19-related health inequities and the racial justice movement could exacerbate the “time and effort tax” on Black faculty to engage in DEI efforts in academia and biomedicine. We discuss the impact of this “tax” on career advancement and well-being, and introduce an operational framework for considering the interconnected influence of systemic racism, the dual pandemics, and DEI work on the experience of Black faculty. If not meaningfully addressed, the “time and effort tax” could contribute to Black and other underrepresented minority faculty leaving academia and biomedicine—consequently, the very diversity, equity, and inclusion work meant to increase representation could decrease it.

Dual Pandemic Climate

The year 2020 saw the collision of two global pandemics: the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and racial injustice. In the USA, the COVID-19 pandemic is described as a syndemic where pre-existing conditions (e.g., diabetes and cardiovascular disease) and social factors (e.g., fragmented healthcare) interact to create excess morbidity and mortality in marginalized groups [1] (i.e., those in the numerical and sociopolitical minority) [2]. Marginalized groups, inclusive of underrepresented racial/ethnic groups (URGs) such as Black populations, have higher rates of the chronic conditions that increase the risk of COVID-19-related morbidity and mortality relative to White populations and occupy a disproportionate number of the essential worker roles that increased their susceptibility to the virus [3–5].

Until recently, inequities experienced by the Black community were not broadly considered in the context of systemic racism [6] – a foundational component of health and justice inequities [7]. This delay in meaningful acknowledgment of the impact of systemic racism occurs despite health disparities described in the Institutes of Medicine’s “Unequal Treatment” in 2003 [8], and similar articulations by public health and social science scholars who have been championing this work for decades [9,10,11]. The recent contextual shift to recognize racism as a root cause [12] occurred as the health and economic devastation of the pandemic played out alongside the continued senseless murder of Black people captured on video. Specifically, the Black Lives Matter (BLM) movement, a contemporary extension of past movements defending the humanity of Black people [13], became mainstream after a captive global audience created by the pandemic quarantine watched police murder George P. Floyd, Jr. on May 25, 2020. The BLM movement subsequently motivated demands for accountability for police misconduct and broader global calls for racial justice reform [14], including in academic and biomedical spaces [14–17]. In fact, leading clinical, academic, and biomedical entities have begun to acknowledge the relationship between systemic racism and the inequities experienced by Black professionals in their respective fields. Described as a systemic diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) initiatives
are a common approach to remedying such disparities [18]. Given the overlapping disproportionate impact of the COVID-19 pandemic and the concurrent pandemic of racial injustice [6] on Black communities [7], we focus our examination of their dual impact on Black faculty (e.g., in teaching, research, and/or clinical roles) in academia and biomedicine through the lens of DEI work.

URG faculty and staff in academic, biomedical, and other settings often confront an undue burden, referred to as the “minority tax,” disproportionately placed on them to participate in institutional DEI work [19–21]. Participation in these efforts includes a combination of recruiting, mentoring, teaching, committee membership, and conducting trainings or workshops designed to, directly or indirectly meet the institution’s stated DEI goals. A distinctive consequence of these activities [22] is they often hinder the academic advancement of URGs [21], effectively “taxing” groups for whom there are significant career achievement gaps (e.g., research grant funding, publications, and promotion) when compared to their White counterparts [23]. This tax is a well-described challenge [19,20] brought to the forefront of our collective consciousness, along with the other long-standing societal maladies of racial and ethnic health inequities and systemic racism, by the dual pandemics of COVID-19 and racial injustice. Here, we discuss the same phenomenon, however, instead use the term “time and effort tax” given the current movement toward language and descriptors that avoid further stigmatizing marginalized groups [24]. While we, a predominantly Black group of scholars in biomedicine, focus on Black faculty, there is no question that the challenges described herein cut across marginalized groups (e.g., as described by race/ethnicity, ability, immigration status, and LGBTQIA+ identity), especially for those whose identities are intersectional.

Acknowledgement of systemic racism (and not race) as the central driver of health and justice inequities defines much of the current dual pandemic climate and inspired the institutional statements and initiatives designed to address it. As this work unfolds, we consider how this climate could intensify the time and effort tax on Black faculty and present an operational framework that can be used to inform rigorous scientific enquiry and recommendations in this regard.

Pressure on Career Advancement

As with other classic examples of the “tax,” the engagement of Black faculty in institutional efforts to support equity through DEI can have the unintended consequence of overextending those who are often either one of the few or the only URG in their department and/or institution [14,20]. As documented in the pre-COVID-19 era, assignment of DEI work, which is not typically counted in the overall assessment of success [20], often belies the very concept of “protected time” for research and therein jeopardizes the career advancement of those URG faculty who are over-represented in these efforts. At the height of the dual pandemic, there was a simultaneous emphasis on COVID-19-related funding opportunities (more money for research) and antiracist initiatives (non-research). Navigating this landscape of research opportunities and DEI responsibilities can create a consequential imbalance for Black faculty in independent investigator positions responsible for securing funding for their work and maintaining their research productivity [25]. This is especially true for those at institutions that may be under financial strain due to pandemic-related revenue losses, limiting the resources available to support Black researchers who have taken on DEI roles. Support in this context, whether it be staff, technical resources, and/or funding, is vital given well-documented racial/ethnic achievement gaps in research [23,26–28]. Overall, there are significant disparities in federal funding for research among underrepresented groups [23,27], with Black and Asian female investigators less likely to receive National Institutes of Health (NIH) R01 funding compared to White female investigators in the US [26], a significant marker of success and requirement for promotion at some academic institutions [25]. Black faculty tasked with taking on multiple or a single high-demand DEI role have less time to pursue potentially career advancing opportunities.

Additionally, an increasingly competitive funding environment for health disparities research (HDR) is important given findings that the Black-White funding gap in the US is linked, in part, to the tendency of Black investigators to focus on public health-related research targeting disease prevention and intervention (e.g., socioeconomic, inequities, lifestyle), which receive lower rates of NIH funding [23]. Yet, data indicate that even among applications focused on these topic areas, Black investigators are less likely to be funded compared to their White counterparts [23]. Considering the smaller relative number of Black faculty in academia and biomedicine, the institutional pressures for them to participate as change agents [14], and the existing funding landscape, Black investigators are increasingly vulnerable to a time and effort tax often associated with DEI efforts, which consequentially may continue the pattern of disparate research funding.

When the pandemic is eventually over, and the attention and interest in antiracism likely wanes, health inequities and racial justice will still matter and their collective impact will still weigh heavily on Black faculty. Recommendations to address the tax on career advancement in this context include protection of time for early career faculty [22] re-evaluating the meaning of research excellence [14], and institutions demonstrating the value they place on DEI through investment in and compensation of those doing the DEI work [14,29].

Commitment vs. Burden

Many institutions have made antiracism statements and/or begun to prioritize health equity and antiracism in response to the dual pandemics. Without comprehensive examination of these efforts and changing structural policies and practices, these efforts are thought to be more effective at masking rather than eliminating systemic racism [25]. Many Black faculty feel a passion and commitment to be active in the movement towards eliminating systemic racism [14,20]. Those whose research address issues that disproportionately impact marginalized communities – their communities – can also feel a tremendous burden to champion these DEI efforts in the professional spaces they occupy [21]. Notably, Black faculty are both more likely to be engaged in HDR [23] and to lead institutional DEI efforts. Both feelings of commitment and burden can be amplified in the dual pandemic climate.

For example, Black faculty in DEI roles may feel helpless or struggle when confronting the expectations for transformative change from younger generations. Moreover, the change in perspective that occurs as Black faculty gain positions of power but continue to confront significant barriers to fundamental change can translate into a repeating cycle of helplessness over sequential generations. This experience is further complicated if appointed to DEI roles without the structural support, compensation, or protected time to facilitate success of the work [18]. Underlying much of this is the burden of assumptions that any given individual Black
Conversely, an individual increasing microaggressions [14,21]ronments. Consequently, Black faculty may experience the stress of able conversations take place in order to promote antiracist envi-

Well-being: a Physical and Emotional Toll

Black faculty are not immune to the dual pandemics impact on their communities; the consequences are present in their personal and professional lives. In this heightened moment, there is more attention to the perspective of Black faculty describing the ways in which their lived experiences with this “tax” [32] and racial bias [7,33] impact them both emotionally and professionally. In a commentary, Dr Sophie Balzora, M.D. describes her experience with the tax and the dual role of being a Black female in academic medi-

demic [32]. Dr Balzora explains her expectation of being “othered” and tokenized by those in her White male-dominated field because “...intersectional discrimination born from individual, institu-
tional, and systemic racism and sexism is pervasive and embedded in the culture of medicine” [32]. Being othered, tokenized, and stereotyped has been expressed among Black medical students [33], clinicians, and scientists [7]. Professional status does not provide insulation from health inequities and racial injustice.

We see further evidence of this with the death of Dr Susan Moore, M.D., a Black family physician who died of COVID-19 after she documented, via a viral video, what she described as racist treatment [34]. As noted in an op-ed, her death is an example of the “injustice and intersection of being a healthcare provider and a per-
son of color during COVID-19” [34]. Despite her professional back-
ground, Dr Moore’s advocacy for her own healthcare and humanity did not save her life. Her death is yet another illustration that other people’s perception of Black racial identity, regardless of how a Black person may experience it, can be life-threatening [14]. Conversely, an individual’s assessment of their own racial identity in terms of how the broader society views (or values) Black people, be it positively or negatively [35], also shapes their experiences. Both external and internal perceptions of Black racial identity are consequential, and in the context of observing those that look like you affected by the pandemic and/or racial injustice, knowing someone who experienced it, or experiencing it yourself, the impact can be profoundly negative. The time and effort tax adds another layer of complexity as Black faculty are tapped to address DEI goals without addressing their proximity to the devastation caused by the pandemic and racial injustice.

The current racial justice movement requires that uncomfortable conversations take place in order to promote antiracist envi-

Dual Pandemic Climate and DEI Work: An Operational Framework for Intervention

The COVID-19 pandemic and the racial justice movement have jointly motivated DEI work that is necessary, but also potentially harmful when the targets of systemic racism are expected to be the primary agents of change against it. Above, we describe the ways in which Black faculty’s well-being, sense of commitment to DEI, and ultimately, their career advancement, are jeopardized in the dual pandemic climate. Recommendations to address these challenges exist, including those that call for directly confronting the under-
lysing racism/systemic behind them. Related to this, we believe one way to support direct approaches to fundamental change in this regard is to ground DEI work in rigorous conceptual frameworks. Figure 1 depicts one such framework from which to begin thinking both broadly and specifically about the ways in which to avoid this “tax” in the dual pandemic climate and beyond.

This dynamic framework, which should evolve over time, is intended to spark consideration of the ways in which each framework pathway could guide the design, implementation, and evaluation of change-oriented policies. It can be used to inform scholarly research and interventions aimed at hypothesis generation, pro-
gram development, advocacy, and recommendations in ways that leverage the choice and agency institutions have to redress current and/or past dynamics underlying the “tax.” No one pathway in this framework is a panacea for addressing systemic racism in this con-
text. However, any one of the outlined pathways can have a multi-
level impact due to the unfortunate pervasive and interconnected relationships between systemic racism, the dual pandemics, DEI work, and the experience of Black faculty.

A Crucial Reckoning for Academia and Biomedicine

The heightened need for DEI work in the dual pandemic climate requires that academic and biomedical institutions doing this work, who are at the forefront of providing care, conducting research, and developing treatments and vaccines for COVID-19, take care to ensure equity in the distribution of DEI tasks to avoid “taxing” marginalized groups, specifically Black faculty disproportionately impacted by both crises [14]. Rigorous research is needed to quantify the magnitude of this dual burden and to iden-
tify efficacious targets for intervention, and we provide a framework as a potential starting point for all groups – inclusive of non-BIPOC groups -- to conceptualize this work.
The time and effort tax highlights one of the ways in which Black people may be relied upon to do the heavy lifting to help move society beyond its systemic racist past and present — through advocacy, leadership, protest, voting, etc. — while many are coping (or not) by living and working through their collective and at times personal trauma. Importantly, the reliance on Black faculty is happening in the context of the constant diminishing of the humanity of Black people in their everyday lives, as is evidenced by the repeated lack of justice for the most egregious acts of violence against them. The lived experiences of Black faculty, in all of its varied forms, shape how they both process the collision of issues at this time and leverage this time to create change. The work at the institutional (and societal) level in support of the BLM movement includes recognition that it is essential that Black and other URG voices are amplified, and that their perspectives form the foundation for DEI efforts. That said, Black people (and other URGs) are not a monolith and cannot be primarily or solely responsible for undoing the racism that targets them. Institutions cannot continue to leverage the voices, experiences, and work of marginalized groups without considering the ways in which overtasking them in these roles can compromise their career advancement and well-being. The unrealistic and unjust expectations associated with the time and effort tax are not sustainable and may contribute to Black and other URG faculty leaving academia and biomedicine. If the “tax” is not meaningfully addressed, the very diversity, equity, and inclusion work meant to increase representation could decrease it.
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Fig. 1. An operational framework for the interconnected relationship between the dual pandemics, diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) work, and the career advancement, sense of commitment to DEI, and well-being of Black faculty in academia and biomedicine. The green filled ovals indicate each of the dual pandemics. Bolded arrows indicate pre-pandemic relationships stemming from systemic racism. Non-bolded arrows indicate the additional relationships stemming from the dual pandemics.


