High prevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* spp. in Danish blood donors. A study in areas with high and average incidence of Legionnaires’ disease
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SUMMARY

The incidence of Legionnaires’ disease has an uneven geographical distribution in Denmark, ranging from 3 to 70 notified cases per million inhabitants per year in different towns. We investigated the prevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* in the one town with a consistently high incidence (Randers, Aarhus County) and compared it with that of an area of average incidence (Vejle, Vejle County). Blood samples were collected from healthy blood donors in Randers (n = 308) and in Vejle (n = 400), and analysed for antibodies to *Legionella* by indirect immunofluorescence antibody test with *L. pneumophila*, *L. micdadei*, and *L. bozemanii* as antigens. Overall 22.9% of the donors had antibody titres of $\geq 1:128$; indicating that antibodies to *Legionella* are common in healthy individuals, and reflecting that the bacteria may be widely distributed in the environment. Surprisingly, the study did not reveal a higher prevalence in the hyperendemic area. Thus, the high incidence of notified cases in this particular town may not be attributed to an overall increased exposure of the general population.

INTRODUCTION

*Legionella pneumophila* and other members of the family Legionellaceae are the causative agents of legionellosis. *Legionella* spp. are aquatic bacteria that can be transmitted to humans by inhalation of water or an aerosol contaminated with the bacteria. The clinical spectrum of legionellosis ranges from asymptomatic infection, through influenza-like disease (Pontiac fever) to Legionnaires’ disease (LD), an often severe pneumonia. *Legionella* infections are underdiagnosed but are nonetheless recognized to be common causes of community-acquired pneumonia [1, 2], in particular in hospitalized patients with exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [3].

LD is a notifiable disease in Denmark, and the incidence is about 20 per million per year; about 50–60% are sporadic community-acquired cases [4]. The incidence of non-pneumonic legionellosis is unknown. The incidence of community-acquired LD is known to vary geographically, and we have shown that a specific town in Denmark, Randers, has a high incidence of LD. It has not been possible to find the cause of this high incidence or otherwise explain the observation [5].

Previous outbreak studies have shown increased antibody levels among individuals exposed to *L. pneumophila*, although these persons did not develop LD [6–8]. Hence, a possible presence of a continuous environmental infective source would probably result in a high prevalence of antibodies to
Table 1. Laboratory criteria for study inclusion

(1) Culture of any Legionella spp. from respiratory tissues, respiratory secretions or blood.
(2) Fourfold or greater rise in antibody titre to \( \geq 1:128 \) using indirect immunofluorescent antibody test (IFAT) to Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1.
(3) Detection of L. pneumophila antigen in urine.
(4) Detection of Legionella spp. DNA by PCR (polymerase chain reaction) in respiratory secretion or respiratory tissues.
(5) Fourfold or greater rise in antibody titre to \( \geq 1:128 \) using indirect IFAT to any Legionella serogroup or species.
(6) An indirect immunofluorescent antibody titre to any Legionella serogroup or species of \( \geq 1:256 \).

Criteria (1)–(3) are considered as confirmatory of a current or recent Legionella infection (Legionnaires’ disease in a case of pneumonia). Criteria (4)–(6) are considered as presumptive of a current, recent or past Legionella infection (Legionnaires’ disease in a case of pneumonia).

one or more serogroups of Legionella in the population of Randers. The aim of this study was to: (1) describe the geographical variation in the incidence of LD in towns in Denmark, and (2) determine the seroprevalence of antibodies to Legionella spp. in the general healthy population in a town with a high incidence of LD and compare the seroprevalence with that of a similar town with an average incidence of LD.

METHODS

Incidence study

Cases of Legionella infections were ascertained by reviewing all Legionella laboratory tests analysed at Statens Serum Institut from the two counties of Vejle and Aarhus, between July 1996 and June 2002. In addition, we included cases of LD notified by physicians to the Department of Epidemiology, Statens Serum Institut, during the same period. A few infections (about 3% of all registered infections in the two counties) were diagnosed at the local microbiology departments [5] and therefore not confirmed by the reference laboratory at the Statens Serum Institut; these cases were therefore not included in our study.

Cases were defined according to the definition of a positive laboratory test by Statens Serum Institut (Table 1). Nosocomial and travel-related notified cases, according to the definitions of the European Working Group for Legionella Infections, were excluded.

By using the Danish Civil Registry number, a unique ID number assigned to all individuals with residence in Denmark, we obtained the addresses of the cases at the time of diagnosis. The addresses were aggregated at the postcode level, and cases were distributed according to seven towns of residence in two neighbouring counties. All towns had a population between 48,000 to 62,000, except Aarhus with a population of about 285,000.

Seroepidemiological study

Blood samples were collected from 308 healthy blood donors living in the town of Randers (Aarhus County) and 400 healthy blood donors living in Vejle (Vejle County). Blood donors in Denmark are unpaid healthy volunteers aged between 18 and 65 years. The sampling period was from late February to early June 2004. No difference was found in sampling frequency between the towns. Sampling took place at the sole hospital in each town. The mean age for the blood donors in Randers and Vejle was 43 and 45 years respectively \( (P=0.01) \) (Table 2), but there was no difference in the distribution of age groups \( (<31, 31–40, 41–50, >50 \text{ years}) \) between the two towns. Fifty-seven percent were males, and there was no difference in gender distribution between the towns.

The blood samples were analysed for antibodies to Legionella spp. by indirect immunofluorescence antibody test (IFAT) with plate-grown and heat-inactivated L. pneumophila serogroup (sg) 1–6 and L. micdadei and L. bozemanii as antigens. The serum samples were titrated from 1:64 and upwards. Antibodies to Legionella spp. were detected with a FITC conjugated rabbit anti-human IgM, A and G antibody (Code F0200, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark). An E. coli blocking fluid was used to block cross-reacting antibodies to Gram-negative bacteria [9]. Samples with an antibody titre of \( \geq 1:128 \) were considered as positive for Legionella.

The two towns are located within a distance of about 100 km, and are comparable according to population density, number of citizens in town areas, age composition, and number of unemployed. Each town is served by a single hospital. The incidence of notified LD in Vejle is nearly identical to the national average.

Statistical methods

The home addresses of the blood donors were plotted in a geographical information system (ArcView GIS
Comparisons of proportions were carried out by $\chi^2$ tests with a level of statistical significance of $P < 0.05$. The analyses were made in STATA version 9.2 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA).

### RESULTS

#### Incidence of community-acquired LD in towns

In seven towns Vejle and Aarhus counties in Denmark (Fig. 1), the incidence of notified community-acquired cases varied from 3 to 19 per million, and the incidence of cases with positive laboratory results ranged from 20 to 40 per million. The exception was the hyperendemic area, Randers, where the incidence of notified cases was 70 per million and the incidence of positive laboratory cases was 132 per million (Fig. 2).

#### Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* in healthy blood donors

In Randers, 62 (20.1%) of 308 donors had IFAT titres of $\geq 1:128$, compared with 101 (25.3%) of 400 donors from Vejle (risk ratio 0.80, 95% CI 0.60–1.05, $P = 0.109$) (Table 2). There was a higher seroprevalence of persons with titres of 1:256 in Vejle ($P = 0.020$) than in Randers (Table 2).

In total there were 61.4% (438) donors with a titre of $<1:64$, 15.0% (107) with a titre of 1:64, 17.0% (121) with 1:128 and 6.0% (43) with titres of 1:256. The prevalence of positive results ($\geq 1:128$) was highest against sg 2 (14.4%), followed by sg 1 (12.9%), sg 6 (12.3%), sg 5 (10.3%), sg 4 (9.6%), and sg 3 (6.9%) (Fig. 3).

There were visually no geographical clusters according to home addresses either in antibody titres or serogroups (not shown).

---

**Table 2. Seroprevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* in titres***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Titre, % (number)</th>
<th>High incidence area Randers</th>
<th>Reference area Vejle</th>
<th>Risk ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&lt;1:64$</td>
<td>63.9 (198)</td>
<td>59.6 (240)</td>
<td>1.07 (0.95–1.20)</td>
<td>$P = 0.244$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:64$</td>
<td>15.4 (48)</td>
<td>14.6 (59)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.74–1.50)</td>
<td>$P = 0.759$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:128$</td>
<td>16.5 (51)</td>
<td>17.4 (70)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.68–1.31)</td>
<td>$P = 0.741$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1:256$</td>
<td>3.5 (11)</td>
<td>7.7 (31)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.23–0.90)</td>
<td>$P = 0.020$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The titres are based on the highest titre to any antigen in each case.
would be unlikely to explain the hyperendemicity in the
Danish population [seroprevalence defined as titres of
(n=1004), notified to the Department of Epidemiology,
Statens Serum Institut, according to the known specified
species and serogroups (n=313). L.mic, Legionella micdadei;
L.boz, Legionella bozemanii.

DISCUSSION

The incidence of community-acquired LD is known to
vary geographically. We found a very high variation of from 3 to 70 notified cases per million in different towns. Excluding Randers, case rates ranged from 3 to 19, which is within range of the general incidence in Denmark. The incidence in Randers was as high as 70 per million, and continued to be high in 2004 (data not shown). The incidence of a positive laboratory test is much higher; the true incidence of LD in Denmark may be somewhere between the incidence of notified cases and the incidence of positive laboratory cases. In a previous study in Randers all but one of the individuals with a positive laboratory test were hospitalized, and 91% left the hospital with the diagnosis of either Legionella pneumonia or pneumonia [5].

The high incidence of notified community-acquired cases in Randers could be a surveillance artefact, i.e. a result of increased awareness of Legionella among health-care providers of the region. However, a careful analysis could not reveal differences in the frequency of use of diagnostic testing, or outbreaks caused by specific serogroups of Legionella. The incidence in Randers was high in all age groups, being highest among the eldest. In Randers and in the rest of Aarhus County (including the towns of Silkeborg and Aarhus) 0.3% of the population was tested for legionellosis each year. There was no significant difference in sex distribution between the different areas in the county (male/female 1.5:1) [5]. A surveillance artefact would be unlikely to explain the hyperendemicity revealed both in the notified cases and in ascertainment of laboratory tests.

One of our main hypotheses was that the hyperendemic situation in Randers could be explained by an ongoing source of Legionella exposure in the community, and that this would result in a high prevalence of antibodies to one or more serogroups. A study conducted in an exposed population in The Netherlands indicates that exposure increases the prevalence of antibodies in the exposed population [10]. Our hypothesis was not confirmed by the present study. Surprisingly, the prevalence of Legionella infection tended to be higher in Vejle, where a greater number of donors had a titre of 1:256.

In the light of the present study, an alternative explanation could be that the hyperendemicity in Randers was due to exposure to one or more virulent subtypes in certain areas or buildings, and that this exposure was not reflected by a general increase in the seroprevalence among healthy blood donors. On the basis of examinations of healthy blood donors, one cannot exclude the existence of subpopulations in Randers with high levels of antibodies. However, the geographical distribution of home addresses did not reveal any obvious clusters, and therefore the existence of high-risk subpopulations remains a speculation.

In addition to the limitations in the selection of the study population, the limitations of seroepidemiology of legionellosis have to be considered. Cross-reactivity has been reported, e.g. to Campylobacter [11]. A Campylobacter-blocking fluid was not used in the present study. However, an E. coli-blocking fluid was used and for ten Campylobacter antibody-positive sera analysed by IFAT, only one had a titre of 1:128, one had a titre of 1:256 and eight were negative, <1:64 (data not shown). These results suggest that there was no general cross-reactivity between Campylobacter-positive sera and the Legionella IFAT antigens. Our results obtained by IFAT were compared with those of other assays. By investigating 200 of the 708 samples we found good correlation with the Focus Legionella IFA kit (Focus Diagnostics Inc., Cypress, CA, USA) and, to a minor degree, the Zeus Scientific L. pneumophila serogroups 1–6 IgG/M/A ELISA (Zeus Scientific Inc., Raritan, NJ, USA). In both kits more positive samples were found than in the in-house assay indicating that cross-reactivity is less of a problem in the in-house assay than in the other assays (P. Elverdal, unpublished data).
We found an overall prevalence for IFAT titres of 1:128 at 17%, and 13% for sg 1. In a neighbouring country, Sweden, the overall prevalence of antibodies to *L. pneumophila* sg 1, which was the only antigen used, was <1% (IFAT ≥1:16) [12]. Even though the results can be difficult to compare because of different assays used, they suggest a higher prevalence in our study population compared with the Swedish population. The Swedish population is otherwise comparable to the Danish population in terms of general health and socioeconomic conditions.

As previously mentioned, the incidence of reported cases of LD is high in Denmark, and in addition, the present study suggests that the prevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* is high. On the other hand, there have been no major outbreaks. We speculate that a high antibody level reflects a degree of immunity to *Legionella* in the population that could reduce the risk of disease in events, that otherwise would lead to outbreaks [10, 13].

The prevalence of antibodies to *Legionella* in Denmark has not previously been published. The prevalence has been described in antibody levels of 1:128 and 1:256; according to the cut-off levels indicating *Legionella* infection. The distribution of antibodies to *Legionella* serogroups in healthy blood donors in our study did not reflect the distribution of serogroups isolated from cases of LD (Fig. 3), or the environmental distributions in Denmark [13, 14]. These differences in distributions support the theory that the pathogenicity and virulence of the bacteria varies among different serogroups. The clinical prevalence of *Legionella* spp. and serogroups also differs from the environmental prevalence in France with *L. pneumophila* sg 1 accounting for 30%, and serogroups 3 and 6 for more than 20% in the environment, and the incidence of sg 1 in LD of about 85% [15]. We found that antibodies to *L. pneumophila* sg 1–6 were almost equally distributed. *L. micdadei* and *L. bozemanii* did not appear to be common in healthy individuals, suggesting that the bacteria are widely distributed in the environment in general. To date, it is not well known if persons with antibodies have experienced disease or are asymptomatic, and further studies are required to demonstrate if there may be a connection between these antibody levels and disease, socioeconomic factors, or the environment.
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