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Stephen P. Lownie, Ramiro Larrazabal, Maximilian K. Kole

ABSTRACT: Introduction: Impaired collateral circulation can lead to stroke during carotid endarterectomy. Carotid stump pressure (CSP) is used as a surrogate measure of collateral flow. The objective was to determine whether anatomical features obtained from digital subtraction angiography correlate with CSP during temporary internal carotid artery occlusion. The second objective was to use these features in combination to predict CSP. Methods: Digital subtraction angiographies from 102 patients obtained before endarterectomy were reviewed for anatomical variables including: degree of ipsilateral and contralateral carotid artery stenosis; patency of the anterior communicating artery; presence of cross-flow into ipsilateral middle cerebral artery branches; and size (< or ≥1 mm calibre) of the ipsilateral proximal anterior cerebral (A1), the contralateral A1, and the ipsilateral posterior communicating arteries. At surgery, systemic mean arterial pressure (MAP) and CSP were recorded. Multiple regression analysis was used to assess for anatomical features significantly associated with CSP. A ”predicted CSP” equation was applied to 54 subsequent patients and correlated with measured CSP. Results: Variables correlating with CSP included MAP (p = 0.001); the presence of severe contralateral carotid stenosis (p = 0.002); patency of the anterior communicating artery (p = 0.013); and the size of the contralateral A1 segment (p = 0.029). Angiographic cross-flow, ipsilateral A1 size, and ipsilateral posterior communicating artery size were not significant. Predicted CSP correlated significantly with measured CSP (p < 0.0001; \( R^2 = 0.34 \)). Conclusions: Anatomical features and systemic MAP are associated with carotid stump pressure during internal carotid artery occlusion and account for a significant amount of its variation.
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Randomized clinical trials established that carotid endarterectomy (CEA) is beneficial in preventing stroke resulting from symptomatic severe carotid artery stenosis. However, a disabling stroke is also a potential complication of CEA. This may be due to either thromboembolic or hemodynamic events. The latter is related to insufficient collateral blood flow during...
temporary clamping of the carotid artery. Angiographic identifica-
tion of collaterals assists in identifying CEA patients who
are at lower risk of stroke. Such collaterals are associated with
a five-fold lower risk of hemispheric stroke within 30 days
of CEA.

One measurement of the cerebral collateral circulation during
surgery is the carotid artery stump pressure (CSP). This can
predict cerebral hypoperfusion during CEA. CSP measurement
is simple and compares favourably with other methods such as
transcranial Doppler and somatosensory evoked potentials. Our study
examined the preoperative digital subtraction angiograms of patients
scheduled to undergo CEA to determine if any anatomical features
correlated with intraoperative CSP values and whether they could
predict critical hypoperfusion during carotid clamping. Predictive
anatomical features could be of additional use in other situations
involving spontaneous or deliberate carotid artery occlusion.

METHODS

Angiographic and hemodynamic data were collected according to
the Canadian Tri-Council policy statement on ethical conduct for
research involving the secondary use of data originally collected for
health care purposes. One hundred and two consecutive patients
(65 men, 37 women) between 45 and 86 years of age (mean,
69 years) were included. All had symptomatic severe internal carotid
artery (ICA) stenosis of at least 70% according to North American
Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial criteria.

During the period of data collection (late 1990s), all patients had
routine preoperative selective angiography of the common carotid
arteries and aortic arch and standard digital subtraction (DSA) or cut
film images. At our institution, computed tomographic angiography
(CTA) is the more contemporary diagnostic test performed before
CEA, and results using that modality are the subject of our second
report. DSA findings were reviewed before the CEA by a neuroradiologist (RL) blinded to the study question. The following were
assessed: presence or absence of severe (>70% North American
Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial) stenosis of the
ipsilateral and/or contralateral ICA; presence or absence of
spontaneous cross-flow from the contralateral ICA into ipsilateral
middle cerebral artery (MCA) branches; presence or absence of
a patent anterior communicating artery as demonstrated by flow into
both anterior cerebral artery A2 segments from either A1 segment;
size of the ipsilateral and contralateral anterior cerebral artery A1
segments; and size of ipsilateral posterior communicating artery
(PCoA). Vessel size was classified as ≥1 mm or <1 mm.

Carotid surgeries were performed after informed consent and
under general endotracheal anaesthesia. The anesthesiologist
routinely kept the partial pressure of carbon dioxide >40 mmHg
immediately before and during carotid artery cross-clamping. Mean
systemic pressure was obtained immediately before clamping. Upon
clamping of the common carotid and external carotid arteries, CSP
was measured using a 22-gauge needle placed in the common carotid
artery just proximal to the carotid plaque and connected to a
calibrated pressure transducer. CSP was allowed to stabilize for
several seconds before measurement. Among the four surgeons who
contributed data to the study, some never used shunts, whereas others
used them only rarely. All agreed to measure CSP irrespective of
whether or not they used shunts. Because this was an observational
study to examine DSA imaging versus CSP, there was no intention to
study surgical management or clinical outcome based on CSP.

Statistical analyses were performed by independent biostatisti-
ticians using the SAS System (the REG procedure). Multiple
regression analysis using backward elimination was performed to
select the features most strongly associated with CSP.

Based on the statistical analysis, a simplified regression
equation was used to calculate a “predicted CSP.” The equation
was simplified so that the operating surgeon could quickly
manually calculate a predicted CSP based on the patient’s
systemic blood pressure and known anatomy immediately
before clamping the carotid. In a subsequent operated consecutive
series of 54 individuals, the simplified CSP was calculated and
the actual CSP was again measured. Correlation coefficient,
standard deviation (SD) of residuals, F-test, analysis of variance,
and Runs test for linearity were performed. A residual plot was
created to determine whether the residuals were normally
distributed.

RESULTS

Of the 10 hemodynamic and demographic variables evaluated,
four were found to be significantly associated with CSP (Table 1).
These included: systemic mean arterial pressure (MAP), the
presence of severe contralateral stenosis (Con Sten), a patent
anterior communicating artery (ACoA), and the size of the
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Figure 1: Vascular segments with statistically significant association with CSP in 102 patients using multiple regression analysis with backward elimination. ACoA, anterior communicating artery; cA1, contralateral A1; CSP, carotid stump pressure; MAP, mean arterial pressure.

Table 1: CSP: regression analysis of all variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significant Variables</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>Nonsignificant Variables</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systemic mean pressure</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>Ipsilateral A1</td>
<td>0.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralateral stenosis</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Ipsilateral Pcomm</td>
<td>0.614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior communicating</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>Ipsilateral stenosis</td>
<td>0.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralateral A1</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>Angiographic cross-flow</td>
<td>0.976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.461</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A1, anterior cerebral artery proximal to anterior communicating artery; CSP, carotid stump pressure; PCoA, posterior communicating artery.
contralateral A1 segment (Con A1). These four factors explained 24% of the variability in CSP (Figure 1).

The simplified regression equation for “predicted CSP” equaled: 
\[
\frac{1}{3} \times \text{MAP} - 10 \times (\text{Con Sten}) + 10 \times (\text{ACoA}) + 10 \times (\text{Con A1}).
\]
The value of Con Sten was allocated as 1 if filling and 0 if not observed. Con A1 was allocated as 1 if the diameter of the contralateral A1 was \(\geq 1\) mm, or 0 if its size was <1 mm. Predicted CSP demonstrated a significant correlation with actual CSP at the time of surgery in the 54 subsequently operated patients. Mean predicted CSP (\(\pm\) SD) was 46.5 ± 6.25 mmHg (range, 33-60 mmHg) and mean actual CSP (\(\pm\) SD) was 49.5 ± 11.8 mmHg (range, 30-78 mmHg). Correlation coefficient (r) was 0.5827; \(R^2\) was 0.3395. The SD of residuals from line (Sy,x) was 9.743. To test whether the slope was significantly different from zero, the F value was determined to be 26.732, and the p value was <0.0001, considered extremely significant. From the analysis of variance, the linear regression (model) showed a sum of squares of 2537.5 and a mean square of 2537.5; deviations from linearity (residual) showed a sum of squares of 4936.0 and a mean square of 94.923. Runs test demonstrated 23 points above the line, 31 below, and 33 runs. There was not a significant departure from linearity (p = 0.9577, not significant) (Figure 2). Residuals, consisting of the differences between individual predicted stump pressures and actual measured stump pressures at surgery, are plotted in Figure 3. The model appeared fairly normally distributed, with residuals within a range to be of surgical relevance.

**DISCUSSION**

Measurement of the carotid stump pressure is a simple widely used method to assess cerebral hemispheric collateral blood flow during carotid surgery and balloon test occlusion. It is used during CEA to decide whether temporary shunting of the clamped carotid might be required to prevent hemodynamic stroke. It has also been used to predict tolerance to therapeutic balloon occlusion of the carotid artery. In this study, the goal was to examine preoperative angiographic and hemodynamic factors to determine if there was any association with the level of CSP. In elucidating such factors, it might be possible to predict the tolerance to occlusion of the ICA using easily available radiological findings. Certain patients with CSP < 25 mmHg may be at increased risk of hemodynamic stroke during carotid cross-clamping. Surgeons who perform selective shunting will depend on individual CSP levels to make the shunt decision in the operating room. However, correlating preoperative neurovascular imaging with CSP could alert the surgeon preoperatively that shunting may be required.

**SMP**

This study confirms the dependence of the CSP on the systemic mean blood pressure. Moore found a statistically significant correlation between CSP and MAP in 36 patients. In 23 patients, Hays found that elevating systemic blood pressure usually elevated CSP by approximately 1 mmHg for every 2 mmHg rise in MAP. Baseline CSP influences the responsiveness to MAP: at baseline CSP levels lower than 40 mmHg, it is more difficult to elevate it, with as low as a 1:4 relationship.

**Contralateral ICA**

The most important anatomic variable associated with CSP was the presence of severe contralateral stenosis or occlusion. In our study, the mean CSP was 49 mmHg in cases with no contralateral stenosis, but 39 mmHg in cases with >70% contralateral stenosis (two-tailed t-test; p = 0.0041). The CSP is dependent on the patency of the contralateral ICA (Table 2). Sweet and Bennett noted that temporary occlusion of the contralateral
carotid artery sometimes produces a marked drop in CSP. This may reflect the capacity of the PCoA to provide supply from the basilar when the carotids are occluded.

Anterior Circle of Willis

The patency of the anterior communicating artery and the diameter of the Con A1 proved to be significantly associated with CSP. These are two of the three anatomic components connecting the ICAs via the anterior Circle of Willis, which is regarded as the most important source of collateral blood supply to the brain.13,14 The significance of the ACoA and Con A1 is logical because these vessels convey flow to the ipsilateral hemisphere.15,16 Interestingly, angiographic cross-flow did not emerge as a significant predictor of collateral blood flow. This is contrary to other studies,13,17 but may be due to redundancy. The presence or absence of visible cross-flow apparently adds little to the level of collateral flow already explained by ACoA and the calibre of the Con A1. With ACoA flow present and with a Con A1 greater than 1 mm, cross-flow may only become prominent when the ipsilateral carotid is nearly (>95%) occluded. The calibre of the ipsilateral A1 also did not emerge as an important factor, an unexpected finding for an intrinsic component of the anterior Circle of Willis. However, even with a small ipsilateral A1, a large Con A1 still serves as an important source of flow to both A2 segments. The A2 may then be able to contribute to the distal MCA via leptomeningeal connections. In such situations, the ipsilateral MCA and its lenticulostriates may need to pull collateral flow from the posterior communicating and ophthalmic arteries. On the other hand, some degree of patency of the ipsilateral A1 would mitigate the rare and dangerous “isolated hemisphere.”

PCoA

The calibre of the PCoA did not emerge as a significant factor. This contrasts with other studies.18-20 However, the PCoA is a less frequent source of collateral supply compared with the anterior Circle of Willis. Although the number of our cases is greater than 100, it may have been insufficient to demonstrate a relationship.

Limitations of Study

Our study has some limitations. Only the Circle of Willis collateral pathways were evaluated. Potentially important collateral supply exists from other sources including extracranial pathways and leptomeningeal connections. However, these sources do not usually contribute significant collateral flow during acute occlusion of the carotid artery.14,21 A second limitation concerns the use of DSA. Contemporary noninvasive imaging modalities such as CTA and magnetic resonance angiography could have improved the sensitivity and accuracy of the study. Investigation using CTA has been completed and is the subject of our second report. Results using DSA are still considered relevant in situations in which pretreatment DSA has been performed (e.g. in balloon test or therapeutic ICA occlusion). DSA results also serve as a useful reference to compare subsequent results using CTA. At our centre, these results have been found helpful in anticipating the need for selective shunting during CEA.

CONCLUSION

Intraoperative carotid stump pressure can be predicted with accuracy using the systemic mean arterial pressure and data from preoperative DSA. These provide an estimate of the adequacy of the collateral circulation. The prediction of the CSP may be useful during procedures involving temporary or permanent carotid occlusion, highlighting the potential for dangerously low collateral flow. It may also be useful in situations in which the carotid artery becomes occluded spontaneously in certain disease states.
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