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#### Abstract

A partial differential equation, the local Möbius equation, is introduced in Riemannian geometry which completely characterizes the local twisted product structure of a Riemannian manifold. Also the characterizations of warped product and product structures of Riemannian manifolds are made by the local Möbius equation and an additional partial differential equation.


## 1 Introduction

It is clear that local structure of a Riemannian manifold cannot determine its global structure. Yet it may be expected that global properties of a Riemannian manifold may determine its local structure. One important local structure of a Riemannian manifold is its local decomposition to certain product structures such as, most importantly, twisted product, warped product or product structures. In this paper, we give some results showing global analytic structure of a Riemannian manifold can determine its local structure as a decomposition to certain product structures as in the above. Here we introduce a (global) partial differential equation on a Riemannian manifold, called the local Möbius equation, and in the case of a solution to this equation, manifold locally decomposes to certain products of Riemannian manifolds. In fact, local Möbius equation completely characterizes the twisted product and product Riemannian manifolds, and in particular, with an additional partial differential equation, it completely characterizes the locally warped product Riemannian manifolds. In this paper we give these results in the setting of Riemannian geometry. Yet it may be easily seen that the results remain valid in the setting of semi-Riemannian geometry as well, under certain regularity assumptions reducing the cases similar to Riemannian case by ruling out degenerate spaces occurring because of an indefinite metric tensor.

In Section 2, we give preliminaries and motivation to local Möbius equation. In Section 3, we state main decomposition results of this paper.
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## 2 Preliminaries and Motivation

Let $(M, g)$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$. We also denote the gradient of a function on $(M, g)$ by $\nabla$. As a notation, we define the Hessian tensor, Hessian form and Laplacian of a function $f:(M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h_{f}(X)=\nabla_{X} \nabla f, H_{f}(X, Y)=g\left(h_{f}(X), Y\right)$ and $\Delta f=\operatorname{div} \nabla f$, respectively, where $X, Y \in \Gamma T M$. In [2], a function $f:(M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to satisfy the Möbius equation if

$$
H_{f}-d f \otimes d f-\frac{1}{n}[\Delta f-g(\nabla f, \nabla f)] g=0
$$

on $(M, g)$. It is also shown in [2] that, if $f:(M, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the Möbius equation then the function $t=e^{-f}$ satisfies the equation, which we call the localized Möbius equation,

$$
H_{t}=\frac{\Delta t}{n} g
$$

on $(M, g)$. We call the latter equation localized Möbius equation since if the localized Möbius equation has a solution $t$ then the Möbius equation has a local solution given by $f=-\log t$, provided that $t>0$. The localized Möbius equation is studied in Riemannian geometry in connection to its relation to warped product decompositions in [2], and as well, in a similar way in semi-Riemannian geometry in [1].

Now we modify and extend local Möbius equation in a way that to characterize twisted products in Riemannian geometry. Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be Riemannian manifolds of dimensions $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ with Levi-Civita connections $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{2}{\nabla}$, respectively. The second fundamental form of a map $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y)=\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} f_{*} Y-f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)
$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma T M_{1}$ and $\stackrel{2}{\nabla}$ also denotes the pullback of $\stackrel{2}{\nabla}$ along $f$. Also the tension field of $f$ is defined by

$$
\tau(f)=\operatorname{trace} \nabla f_{*}
$$

with respect to $g_{1}$.
Here we could call a map $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfy local Möbius equation if

$$
\nabla f_{*}=\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}} g_{1}
$$

However in order to make it characterize twisted products we adopt the following definition.

Definition 1 Let $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be a submersion between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ with dimensions $n_{1}>n_{2} \geq 1$. Then $f$ is said to satisfy the local Möbius equation if

$$
\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y)=\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}(X, Y)
$$

and

$$
\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, U)=0
$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ and $U \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$.
Remark 1 Note that if $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=(M, g)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)=(\mathbb{R}, d x \otimes d x)$ then the local Möbius equation defined above becomes

$$
H_{f}(X, Y)=\frac{\Delta f}{n-1} g(X, Y)
$$

and

$$
H_{f}(X, U)=0
$$

or equivalently,

$$
h_{f}(X)=\frac{\Delta f}{n-1} X
$$

where $X, Y \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ and $U \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Note here that, in this case, local Möbius equation is essentially different than what we called localized Möbius equation.

## 3 Decomposition Theorems

In this section, first we show that the local Möbius equation completely characterizes the locally twisted product Riemannian manifolds. Recall that, if $\left(M^{1}, g^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M^{2}, g^{2}\right)$ are Riemannian manifolds and $\varphi: M^{1} \times M^{2} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a function then the product manifold $\left(M^{1} \times M^{2}, g^{1} \oplus \varphi g^{2}\right)$ is called the twisted product of $\left(M^{1}, g^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M^{2}, g^{2}\right)$ with twisting function $\varphi$.

Theorem 1 Let a submersion $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfy the local Möbius equation. Then $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a twisted product $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$, where $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ are Riemannian manifolds, and $f: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ is the projection map.

Proof Note that $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ are orthogonal distributions on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ with $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ is integrable. First we show that $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ is integrable with totally geodesic integral manifolds. For this, it suffices to show that ${ }_{\nabla}^{\nabla}{ }_{U} V \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ for every $U, V \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Now let $U, V \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ and $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. Then since

$$
g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} V, X\right)=-g_{1}\left(V, \stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)=-g_{1}\left(V,\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)^{\perp}\right)
$$

where $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)^{\perp}$ is the component of $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X$ in $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$, it follows that

$$
g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} V, X\right)=0 \quad \text { iff } \quad\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)^{\perp}=0 \quad \text { iff } \quad f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)=0
$$

for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. On the other hand, since

$$
0=\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(U, X)=\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{U} f_{*} X-f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)=-f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)
$$

for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$, it follows that $\nabla_{U} V \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ and hence $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ is integrable with totally geodesic integral manifolds.

Next we show that integral manifolds of $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ are totally umbilic. Let $\mathbb{I}_{f}$ be the second fundamental form tensor of $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. Then, for $X, Y \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{*} \|_{f}(X, Y) & =f_{*}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\perp}=f_{*}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right) \\
& =-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y)+\stackrel{2}{\nabla} f_{*} f_{*} Y \\
& =-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y) \\
& =-\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}(X, Y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, if $\tilde{\tau}(f)$ is the lift of $\tau(f)$ to $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ defined by $f_{*}(\tilde{\tau}(f))=\tau(f)_{f\left(p_{1}\right)}$,

$$
\mathbb{I}_{f}(X, Y)=-\frac{\tilde{\tau}(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}(X, Y)
$$

That is, integral manifolds of $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ are totally umbilic with the mean curvature vector field $N=-\frac{\tilde{\tau}(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}}$. Hence by [3, Prop. 3-b], $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a twisted product.

Now we complete showing that the local Möbius equation completely characterizes the local twisted product decomposition. First we need the following lemma.

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$ be the twisted product of Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ with twisting function $\varphi$, and let $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}, \stackrel{1}{\nabla}^{1}$ and $\nabla^{\nabla^{2}}$ be the Levi-Civita connections of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right),\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$, respectively. Given vector fields $X_{1}, Y_{1}, Z_{1}$ on $M_{1}^{1}$ and $X_{2}, Y_{2}, Z_{2}$ on $M_{1}^{2}$, we can lift them to $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$ and obtain vector fields $X=\left(X_{1}, 0\right)+\left(0, X_{2}\right)=\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Y=\left(Y_{1}, 0\right)+\left(0, Y_{2}\right)=\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)$ and $Z=\left(Z_{1}, 0\right)+\left(0, Z_{2}\right)=\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)$ on $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}\right)$.

Lemma 1 Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$ be the twisted product of Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ with twisting function $\varphi$. Then for vector fields $X, Y$, $Z$ on $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$ as in the above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)= & g_{1}\left(\left({\left.\left.\stackrel{1}{\nabla^{1}}{ }_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, \stackrel{1}{\nabla^{2}}{ }_{X_{2}} Y_{2}\right),\left(Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right)\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left[X(\lambda) g_{1}^{2}\left(Y_{2}, Z_{2}\right)+Y(\lambda) g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Z_{2}\right)-Z(\lambda) g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)\right]\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda=\log \varphi$.
Proof The proof can be obtained from Koszul formula by a straightforward computation.

Theorem 2 Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$ be the twisted product of Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ with twisting function $\varphi$. Then the projection map $\pi_{1}: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation.

Proof Since $\nabla \pi_{1 *}$ is tensorial, it suffices to show that $\pi_{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation for the vector fields as in the above of Lemma 1. First note that, for $X=$ $\left(0, X_{2}\right), Y=\left(0, Y_{2}\right)$ and $U=\left(U_{1}, 0\right)$ on $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$, by Lemma 1,

$$
g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y, U\right)=-\frac{1}{2} U(\lambda) g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} g_{1}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \lambda, U) g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\perp}=-\frac{1}{2} g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \lambda)^{\perp}
$$

where $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)^{\perp}$ and $(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \lambda)^{\perp}$ are the components of $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ and $\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \lambda$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{1}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$, respectively. Next note that, for $U=\left(U_{1}, 0\right)$ and $V=\left(V_{1}, 0\right)$ on $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$, by Lemma $1, \stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} V=\left(\nabla^{1}{ }_{U_{1}} V_{1}, 0\right)$. Hence, if $\left\{V_{1}^{1}, \ldots, V_{1}^{n_{1}^{1}}\right\}$ and $\left\{X_{2}^{1}, \ldots, X_{2}^{n_{1}^{2}}\right\}$ are orthonormal basis frames on $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$, respectively, then $\left\{V^{1}=\left(V_{1}^{1}, 0\right), \ldots, V^{n_{1}^{1}}=\left(V_{1}^{n_{1}^{1}}, 0\right), \frac{X^{1}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}=\left(0, \frac{X_{1}^{1}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}\right), \ldots, \frac{X^{n_{1}^{2}}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}=\left(0, \frac{X_{2}^{n_{1}^{2}}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}\right)\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis frame on $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)\left(\frac{X^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}, \frac{X^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}\right) & =\nabla^{1} \frac{x^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}} \pi_{1 *} \frac{X^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}-\pi_{1 *}\left(\nabla_{\frac{x^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}} \frac{X^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{\varphi^{1 / 2}} \pi_{1 *}\left({\stackrel{1}{\nabla} X^{i}}_{\left.\frac{X^{i}}{\varphi^{1 / 2}}\right)^{\perp}}\right. \\
& =-\frac{1}{\varphi} \pi_{1 *}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla_{X^{i}}} X^{i}\right)^{\perp} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \varphi} g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}^{i}, X_{2}^{i}\right) \pi_{1 *}(\nabla \lambda)^{\perp} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \varphi^{2}} \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)\left(V^{i}, V^{i}\right) & =\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{V^{i}}^{1} \pi_{1 *} V^{i}-\pi_{1 *}\left(\nabla_{V^{i}} V^{i}\right) \\
& =\stackrel{1}{\nabla^{1} V^{i}}\left(V_{1}^{i} \circ \pi_{1}\right)-\left(\nabla_{\nabla^{1}}^{1} V_{1}^{i} V_{1}^{i}\right) \circ \pi_{1} \\
& =\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{V_{1}^{i}}^{1} V_{1}^{i}\right) \circ \pi_{1}-\left(\nabla_{V_{V}^{i}}^{1} V_{1}^{i}\right) \circ \pi_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\operatorname{trace} \nabla \pi_{1 *}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2 \varphi^{2}} \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}
$$

On the other hand, for $X=\left(0, X_{2}\right)$ and $Y=\left(0, Y_{2}\right)$, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(X, Y) & =\stackrel{1}{\nabla^{1}}{ }_{X} \pi_{1 *} Y-\pi_{1 *}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}{ }_{X} Y\right) \\
& =-\pi_{1 *}\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\perp} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} g_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \lambda)^{\perp} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \varphi^{2}} g_{1}(X, Y) \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(X, Y)=\frac{\tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)}{n_{1}^{2}} g_{1}(X, Y)
$$

for vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{2}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$.
To show the second equation in the local Möbius equation is also satisfied by $\pi_{1}$, let $U=\left(U_{1}, 0\right)$ and $X=\left(0, X_{2}\right)$ on $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$. Then for $Z=\left(Z_{1}, 0\right)$ on $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$, by Lemma 1, $g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X, Z\right)=0$. Hence $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)^{\perp}=0$, where $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right)^{\perp}$ is the component of $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{1}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(U, X) & =\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\nabla}_{U}^{1} \pi_{1 *} X-\pi_{1 *}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{U} X\right) \\
& =-\pi_{1 *}\left(\nabla_{U} X\right)^{\perp}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $\pi_{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation.

Remark 2 Note in the proof of the above theorem that if a Riemannian submersion $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfies the local Möbius equation then $\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(U, V)=0$ for every $U, V \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ since $f$ is the projection map onto the first factor of local twisted product decomposition of $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$.

Recall that a map $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ is called harmonic if $\tau(f)=0$. Here also observe that, by Remark 2, a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds is a totally geodesic map if and only if it is harmonic and satisfies the local Möbius equation.

Corollary 1 If a harmonic submersion $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfies the local Möbius equation then $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a product $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus g_{1}^{2}\right)$, where $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ are Riemannian manifolds and $f: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ is the projection map. Conversely, if $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=$ ( $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus g_{1}^{2}$ ) is a product of Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ then the projection map $\pi_{1}: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ is harmonic and satisfies the local Möbius equation.

Proof By Theorem 1, ( $M_{1}, g_{1}$ ) is locally a twisted product with totally umbilic integral manifolds of ker $f_{*}$ whose mean curvature vector field is given by $N=-\frac{\tilde{\tau}(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}}$, where $\tilde{\tau}(f)$ is the lift of $\tau(f)$ to $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence, since $\tau(f)=0, \tilde{\tau}(f)=0$ and it follows that $N=0$, that is, integral manifolds of ker $f_{*}$ are totally geodesic. Then from [3, Prop. 3-d], $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a product $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus g_{1}^{2}\right)$. Conversely, by Theorem 2, $\pi_{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation. Also by the proof of Theorem 2, since $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus g_{1}^{2}\right)$ is a twisted product with twisting function $\varphi=1$, it follows that $\tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)=$ trace $\nabla \pi_{1 *}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2 \varphi^{2}} \pi_{1 *}(\nabla \varphi)^{\perp}=0$. Thus $\pi_{1}$ is harmonic. (In fact, $\pi_{1}$ is totally geodesic by the local Möbius equation).

Remark 3 In Vilms [4] the following theorem is proven:
Theorem 3 ([4]) Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ be Riemannian manifolds with dimensions $n_{1}>n_{2} \geq 1$, where $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is complete, connected and simply connected. If there is a surjective, totally geodesic Riemannian submersion $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ then $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is a product $\left(M_{2} \times M_{3}, g_{2} \oplus g_{3}\right)$, where $\left(M_{3}, g_{3}\right)$ is a Riemannian manifold.

Here recall that a totally geodesic submersion satisfies the local Möbius equation and is harmonic. Hence, in the view of Corollary 1, it can be easily seen that the assumption of totally geodesic Riemannian submersion in the above theorem can be weakened to totally geodesic submersion and still obtain a local product decomposition on $M_{1}$.

Now we show that the local Möbius equation with an additional assumption completely characterizes the locally warped product Riemannian manifolds. Recall that a twisted product $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$ is called a warped product if $\varphi$ is a function on $M_{1}^{1}$ only.

Theorem 4 Let a submersion $f:\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ between Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)$ satisfy the local Möbius equation. If, in addition, $\nabla \nabla f_{*}(X, Y, Z)=0$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$, then $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a warped product $\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$, where $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ are Riemannian manifolds and $f: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ is the projection map.

Proof First note that, by Theorem $1,\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a twisted product with totally umbilic integral manifolds of $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ whose mean curvature vector field is given by $N=-\frac{\tilde{\tau}(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}}$, where $\tilde{\tau}(f)$ is the lift of $\tau(f)$ to $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$. Hence to show that $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a warped product, it suffices to show that $N$ is normal parallel along the integral manifolds of $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. For, first note that if $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(X, \tilde{\tau}(f)) & =\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} f_{*} \tilde{\tau}(f)-f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\tau}(f)\right) \\
& =\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} \tau(f)-f_{*}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\tau}(f)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} \tau(f)=f_{*}\left(\nabla_{X} \tilde{\tau}(f)\right)=f_{*}\left(\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\tau}(f)\right)^{\perp}\right)
$$

and hence, to show that $N$ is normal parallel along the integral manifolds of ker $f_{*}$, it suffices to show that $\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} \tau(f)=0$ for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. For this, note that, for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left(\nabla \nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y, Z)= & \stackrel{2}{\nabla_{X}}\left(\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(Y, Z)\right)-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla_{X}} Y, Z\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)\left(Y, \stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Z\right) \\
= & \stackrel{2}{\nabla_{X}}\left(\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)(Y, Z)\right)-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)\left(\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)^{T}, Z\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)\left(Y,\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Z\right)^{T}\right) \\
= & \stackrel{2}{\nabla_{X}}\left(\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}(Y, Z)\right)-\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right) \\
& -\frac{\tau(f)}{n_{1}-n_{2}} g_{1}\left(Y, \stackrel{1}{\nabla_{X}} Z\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{n_{1}-n_{2}}\left(\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} \tau(f)\right) g_{1}(Y, Z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y\right)^{T}$ and $\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Z\right)^{T}$ are the components of $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Y$ and $\stackrel{1}{\nabla}_{X} Z$ in ker $f_{*}$, respectively. Thus, $\stackrel{2}{\nabla}_{X} \tau(f)=0$ for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ and it follows from [3, Prop. 3-c] that $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)$ is locally a warped product.

Conversely, we have the following.

Theorem 5 Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=\left(M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{1} \oplus \varphi g_{1}^{2}\right)$ be a warped product of Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}^{1}, g_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{1}^{2}, g_{1}^{2}\right)$ with warping function $\varphi$. Then the projection map $\pi_{1}: M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2} \rightarrow M_{1}^{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation with $\left(\nabla \nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(X, Y, Z)=0$ for every $X, Y, Z$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{2}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$.

Proof Note that, by Theorem 2, $\pi_{1}$ satisfies the local Möbius equation and

$$
\left(\nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(Y, Z)=\frac{1}{2 \varphi^{2}} g_{1}(Y, Z) \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}
$$

where $Y=\left(0, Y_{2}\right), Z=\left(0, Z_{2}\right)$ and $(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}$ is the component of $\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{1}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$. Thus, since $\varphi$ is a function on $M_{1}^{1}$ only, $(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}=\left(\nabla^{1} \varphi, 0\right)$, and it follows from

$$
\tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2 \varphi^{2}} \pi_{1 *}(\stackrel{1}{\nabla} \varphi)^{\perp}=\frac{n_{1}^{2}}{2 \varphi^{2}}\left(\nabla^{1} \varphi\right) \circ \pi_{1}
$$

(see the proof of Theorem 2) that $\nabla^{1}{ }_{X} \tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)=0$ for every $X=\left(0, X_{2}\right)$. Then as in the proof of Theorem 4,

$$
\left(\nabla \nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(X, Y, Z)=\frac{1}{n_{1}^{2}}\left(\stackrel{1}{\nabla_{X}} \tau\left(\pi_{1}\right)\right) g_{1}(Y, Z)=0
$$

for every $X=\left(0, X_{2}\right), Y=\left(0, Y_{2}\right)$ and $Z=\left(0, Z_{2}\right)$. Hence since $\nabla \nabla \pi_{1 *}$ is tensorial, $\left(\nabla \nabla \pi_{1 *}\right)(X, Y, Z)=0$ for every $X, Y, Z$ tangent to the copies of $M_{1}^{2}$ in $M_{1}^{1} \times M_{1}^{2}$.

In the statement of Theorem 4, the assumption on $\nabla \nabla f_{*}$ can be replaced by an assumption on the Ricci tensor for the following special case.

Let $\left(M_{1}, g_{1}\right)=(M, g)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbb{R}^{m},\langle\rangle,\right)$, where $\langle\rangle=,\sum_{i=1}^{m} d x^{i} \otimes d x^{i}$ is the Euclidean metric tensor on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Then note that, if $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right):(M, g) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m},\langle\rangle,\right)$ is a map then

$$
\nabla f_{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{f} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \circ f \quad \text { and } \quad \tau(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \circ f
$$

Corollary 2 Let a submersion $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m}\right):(M, g) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{m},\langle\rangle,\right)$ satisfy the local Möbius equation with $\operatorname{Ric}(U, X)=0$ for every $U \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ and $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$, where Ric is the Ricci tensor of $(M, g)$. Then $(M, g)$ is locally a warped product $\left(M^{1} \times\right.$ $M^{2}, g^{1} \oplus \varphi g^{2}$ ), where $\left(M^{1}, g^{1}\right)$ and $\left(M^{2}, g^{2}\right)$ are Riemannian manifolds and $f: M^{1} \times$ $M^{2} \rightarrow M^{1}$ is the projection map.

Proof By Theorem 4, it suffices to show that $\left(\nabla \nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y, Z)=0$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. Also note that, by the proof of Theorem 4, since

$$
\left(\nabla \nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y, Z)=\frac{1}{n-m}\left(D_{X} \tau(f)\right) g(Y, Z)
$$

where $D$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m},\langle\rangle,\right)$, for this, it suffices to show that $D_{X} \tau(f)=0$ for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. Thus, for $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$, since

$$
D_{X} \tau(f)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} X\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \circ f
$$

we need to show that $X\left(\Delta f_{i}\right)=0$ for every $X \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$. Now first note that, since $\left(\nabla f_{*}\right)=H_{f_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \circ f$, as in Remark $1, h_{f_{i}}(X)=\frac{\Delta f_{i}}{n-m} X$ for every $X \in \Gamma$ ker $f_{*}$. Hence for $X, Y \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y) \nabla f_{i} & =\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} \nabla f_{i}-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} \nabla f_{i}-\nabla_{[X, Y]} \nabla f_{i} \\
& =\nabla_{X}\left(\frac{\Delta f_{i}}{n-m} Y\right)-\nabla_{Y}\left(\frac{\Delta f_{i}}{n-m} X\right)-\frac{\Delta f_{i}}{n-m}[X, Y] \\
& =\frac{1}{n-m}\left(X\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) Y-Y\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor of $(M, g)$.
Also for $Y \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$ and $U \in \Gamma\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$, since $\left(\operatorname{ker} f_{*}\right)^{\perp}$ is totally geodesic,

$$
g\left(R(U, Y) \nabla f_{i}, U\right)=g\left(R\left(\nabla f_{i}, U\right) U, Y\right)=0 .
$$

Thus, if $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-m-1}, X_{n-m}=Y\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame in ker $f_{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\operatorname{Ric}\left(Y, \nabla f_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} g\left(X_{i}\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) Y-Y\left(\Delta f_{i}\right) X_{i}, X_{i}\right) \\
& =-Y\left(\Delta f_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $X\left(\Delta f_{i}\right)=0$ for every $X \in \Gamma$ ker $f_{*}$ and hence $\left(\nabla \nabla f_{*}\right)(X, Y, Z)=0$ for every $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma \operatorname{ker} f_{*}$.

Remark 4 We finalize this paper with a note on the question of "when a local diffeomorphism between two Riemannian manifolds is a local isometry?". That is, "is there a global analytic condition on a local diffeomorphism between two Riemannian manifolds, such as a partial differential equation satisfied by it, so that it becomes a local isometry?". In this paper we investigated a partial answer to this question in terms of a submersion between two Riemannian manifolds satisfying a local Möbius equation.
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