Integrated watershed management solutions for healthy coastal ecosystems and people
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Abstract

Tropical coastal ecosystems are in decline worldwide due to an increasing suite of human activities, which threaten the biodiversity and human wellbeing that these ecosystems support. One of the major drivers of decline is poor water quality from land-based activities. This review summarises the evidence of impacts to coastal ecosystems, particularly coral reefs, from sediments, nutrients, chemicals and pathogens entering coastal zones through surface and groundwater. We also assess how these pollutants affect the health of coastal human populations through: (1) enhanced transmission of infectious diseases; (2) reduced food availability and nutritional deficit from decline of fisheries associated with degraded habitat; and (3) food poisoning from consumption of contaminated seafood. We use this information to identify opportunities for holistic approaches to integrated watershed management (IWM) that target overlapping drivers of ill-health in downstream coastal ecosystems and people. We demonstrate that appropriate management requires taking a multi-sector, systems approach that accounts for socio-ecological feedbacks, with collaboration required across environmental, agricultural, public health, and water, sanitation and hygiene sectors, as well as across the land–sea interface. Finally, we provide recommendations of key actions for IWM that can help achieve multiple sustainable development goals for both nature and people on coasts.

Impact Statements

The pollution of water and waterways from land-based human activities has extensive impacts on both human and ecosystem health, contributing to significant global health burdens and loss of critical ecosystem services. Management of pollution is therefore a major focus of multiple sustainable development goals (SDGs) to achieve targets for: zero hunger (SDG 2); good health and well-being (SDG 3); clean water and sanitation (SDG 6); climate action (SDG 13); life below water (SDG 14); and life on land (SDG 15). Despite extensive and complex impacts of poor water quality, pollution control has been highly sectorised and under-resourced, with poor coordination of implementation, often across insufficient scales to realise benefits. This review provides a novel summary of the overlapping impacts of water pollution to downstream public and coastal ecosystem health to support planning and decision-making that benefits a wide range of stakeholders from government, civil society and the private sector. We provide evidence-based suggestions to optimise investments in holistic, integrated watershed management (IWM) to improve water quality and achieve overall systems health, which also provides co-benefits for biodiversity and climate. We also identify the key enabling factors required to coordinate and monitor IWM implementation to achieve desired outcomes. Specifically, the summary of pollution impacts and suggested management strategies provided in this review aim to provide awareness and tools to alleviate impacts to nutrition, water-related disease burdens and food poisoning that arise from poor water quality, which cause devastating economic and health costs disproportionately borne by the poorest countries.
Introduction

Tropical coastal ecosystems support some of the most diverse and productive environments on Earth and provide millions of people with vital ecosystem goods and services, such as food, livelihoods and coastal protection (Moberg and Folke, 1999; Cesar et al., 2003). However, with over 1.3 billion people in the tropics living within 100 km of coastlines (Sale et al., 2014), coastal ecosystems are becoming increasingly threatened by a suite of local, regional and global human activities, many of which affect water quality (Bellwood et al., 2004; Lotze et al., 2006; Orth et al., 2006). Declining water quality is a primary driver of coastal ecosystem degradation (Crain et al., 2009). Declines in water quality are driven mainly by pollutants from upstream human activities within watersheds flowing into coastal environments and are expected to worsen with increased coastal development and future climate change (Rabalais et al., 2009; He and Silliman, 2019).

Watershed management has received increasing focus as a tool for preserving the health of downstream coastal ecosystems, with research demonstrating critical land–sea linkages for coastal ecosystem health (Carlson et al., 2019; Sahavacharin et al., 2022). Despite the extensive literature and examples of decline, there are few examples of watershed management producing improvements to tropical coastal ecosystem conditions (Wear, 2016). Challenges in achieving measurable success are largely due to the large spatial scale over which interventions often need to be applied within watersheds to adequately address multiple sources of pollution, capacity shortfalls for necessary monitoring, and the temporal lags to detect any changes in water quality and/or ecosystem health within coastal environments (Meals et al., 2010).

Watershed condition also regulates a suite of processes that affect human health and wellbeing, including water filtration, flood management, and the provision of important cultural and recreational services (Jenkins et al., 2018a). Polluted water flowing within watersheds onto coastal environments is a major contributor to global human disease burdens, with poor water quality conservatively estimated to result annually in 1.4 million deaths, 3 million disability-adjusted life years and 12 billion USD in economic losses, a cost disproportionately borne by the poorest countries (Shuval, 2003; Fuller et al., 2022). Yet the influence of watershed management on human health is rarely considered and is largely absent from public health literature (Bunch et al., 2014).

Identifying the overlapping upstream drivers of poor water quality that also create significant risks to public health presents an opportunity to motivate action and leverage long-term and large-scale investments while simultaneously improving coastal ecosystem water quality. By facilitating both human and ecosystem health, watershed management can serve as a focal area for place-based management interventions that serve to promote overall systems health (Cadham et al., 2005; Parkes and Horwitz, 2009; Jenkins et al., 2018b; Jordan and Benson, 2020). Here, we consider systems health as the emergent result of functioning interdependencies, interactions and feedbacks between ecological and socio-cultural settings, behaviour, and physiology, nested across micro-level (e.g., communities of microbes), meso-level (e.g., watersheds) and macro-level (e.g., global climate patterns) domains.

This review aims to: (1) synthesise and summarise the latest science regarding water quality impacts on coastal ecosystems (focused primarily on coral reefs); (2) identify pathways to improve systems health through policy implementation and direct management actions; and (3) provide evidence-based suggestions for strategic investments in watershed interventions across sectors that can help achieve multiple sustainable development goals (SDGs) and other global commitments and targets relating to biodiversity, marine pollution and public health.

Water quality impacts on coastal ecosystems

The quantity and quality of land-based runoff flowing into adjacent coastal ecosystems is determined by the characteristics of the watershed, such as geology, rainfall, soil type, land cover/vegetation (type and quantity) and slope (Douglas, 1967). There is a large body of evidence that demonstrates how human activities within watersheds alter runoff by removing native vegetation, changing the hydrology, altering microbial communities and adding/increasing pollutants within runoff (e.g., Peters and Meybeck, 2000; Liao et al., 2020).

Several broad pollutant categories are used to describe the pollutants reaching coastal waters from land-based activities. Here, we focus on the following common categories applicable to both human and coastal ecosystem health: sediments, nutrients, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), plastics and microdebris, pathogens, heavy metals, and pharmaceuticals and personal care products (Todd et al., 2010; World Health Organization (WHO), 2016; Kroon et al., 2020). Terrestrially derived sediments, heavy metals and nutrients are naturally transported from soils into coastal environments by ground and surface water, but due to large-scale human activities such as land-clearing (Table 1), the sources and transport into coastal waters has increased drastically, threatening over 30% of coral reefs globally (Andrello et al., 2021). POPs are synthetic organic chemicals that can persist in soils and water and bioaccumulate in organisms. POPs are widely produced across industries (Table 1) both intentionally, such as some insecticides, and unintentionally as by-products, such as dioxins (Weber et al., 2011). Other synthetic pollutants include the nonorganic plastics and microdebris, which can flow into coastal waters from numerous human sources (Table 1) such as trash, litter and weathering of materials like tires (Smith et al., 2018; Macleod et al., 2021). Pathogens are disease-causing microbes and can naturally exist in coastal water and organisms but can also be introduced from land-based sources such as sewage (Table 1). Pharmaceuticals include chemicals used for personal, agricultural or animal health, such as antibiotics, while personal care products include chemicals generally used for cosmetic reasons, such as shampoos and moisturisers (Boxall et al., 2012).

The primary land-based activities creating these pollutants and driving global declines in coastal water quality are land clearing, poor food production practices, urban development, mining and poor wastewater management (domestic and industrial) (Lu et al., 2018). These human activities erode or release pollutants such as sediment, metals, pathogens and nutrients into surface and ground-water, which are then transported downstream to coastal environments (Crain et al., 2009; Amato et al., 2016). The flow of impacts from human activities within watersheds to coastal ecosystems is summarised below (Figure 1).

As outlined in Table 1, pollutants can have multiple sources that can make it difficult to pinpoint which activity in a watershed is having the greatest impact on coastal ecosystems. For example, nutrients and sediments can originate from both wastewater pollution and agricultural runoff (Figure 1). Similarly, pharmaceuticals and personal care products can originate from cosmetics and medications used domestically as well as from medications used...
in agriculture (Table 1). In addition to the complexity of sources and types of pollutants, synergistic impacts and interactions occur when multiple pollutants are present at elevated levels, which can exacerbate the degradation of coastal ecosystems and harm associated organisms (Lu et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2021). Synergistic impacts and interactions also occur when pollutants are present with other stressors, such as climate change, disease, invasive species and overfishing. We focus on synergistic interactions on coral reefs, given the large body of research.

Herbivory is an important ecological process within coral reef ecosystems and can have complex and synergistic interactions with poor water quality (Table 2; Mumby et al., 2007). For example, in reefs with combined exposure to poor water quality and few herbivores, macroalgae and sediment-laden turfs can replace live coral as the dominant benthos (McField et al., 2020, 2022). Sea level rise and climate-driven ocean warming are predicted to increase the sensitivity of coral reef ecosystems to poor water quality. Land-based pollution can lower the threshold for thermal stress and increase coral sensitivity to infection, resulting in increased bleaching (Fisher et al., 2019), coral mortality (Claar et al., 2020) and outbreaks of disease on coral reefs (Vega-Thurber et al., 2020). Corals that bleach from thermal stress also have reduced capacity to cope with sediment pollution (Bessell-Browne et al., 2017). Nutrient pollution can result in brittle corals that are less resilient to the impacts of climate change, such as sea level rise and the increased severity and frequency of cyclones (Table 2; Rice et al., 2020). Improving water quality through management of human activities within watersheds can therefore improve the resilience of corals to global impacts such as climate change.

### Water quality impacts on human health

Many of the same drivers of declines in water quality and aquatic biodiversity, such as watershed deforestation, forest fragmentation on riverbanks and poor coverage of sanitation services, are also associated with human health impacts (Table 2). Impacts to

---

**Table 1.** Key references documenting global/regional linkages between human activities within watersheds and elevated levels of pollutants in runoff to coastal ecosystems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human watershed activity</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>• Sediments • Nutrients • Persistent organic pollutants (e.g., organophosphates and organochlorides in pesticides) • Heavy metals (e.g., copper in fertilisers, mercury in fungicides) • Pharmaceuticals (e.g., antibiotics) • Plastics and microdebris</td>
<td>van Dam et al., 2011; Thorburn et al., 2013; Kroon et al., 2014; MacLeod et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock and invasive ungulates</td>
<td>• Sediments • Nutrients • Pathogens (e.g., zoonotic virus/bacteria) • Heavy metals (e.g., copper from livestock feed) • Pharmaceuticals (e.g., antibiotics) • Plastics and microdebris</td>
<td>Agouridis et al., 2005; McDowell and Wilcock, 2008; Todd et al., 2010; Bartley et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture</td>
<td>• Nutrients • Persistent organic pollutants (e.g., organotin in molluscicides) • Heavy metals (e.g., copper in algaeicides) • Pharmaceuticals (e.g., antibiotics) • Plastics and microdebris</td>
<td>Gräslund and Bengtsson, 2001; Primavera, 2006; Lusher et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deforestation and burning</td>
<td>• Sediments • Nutrients • Persistent organic pollutants (e.g., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from burning)</td>
<td>Sundarambal et al., 2010; Todd et al., 2010; Suárez-Castro et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban development (surface hardening and channel modification)</td>
<td>• Sediments</td>
<td>Freeman et al., 2007; Kroon et al., 2014; McGrane, 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining (including gravel extraction)</td>
<td>• Sediments • Nutrients • Persistent organic pollutants (e.g., polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from coal mining) • Heavy metals (e.g., lead, nickel, mercury)</td>
<td>Kondolf, 1994; Ahrens and Morrisey, 2005; Todd et al., 2010; van Dam et al., 2011; Shumway, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wastewater (sewage, domestic, industrial, and storm water)</td>
<td>• Sediments • Nutrients • Pathogens (e.g., water-associated bacteria/virus) • Persistent organic pollutants (e.g., oil hydrocarbons from urban storm water) • Heavy metals (e.g., tin from industrial wastewater) • Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (e.g., antibiotics, psychotropic drugs, and cosmetics) • Plastics and microdebris</td>
<td>Loya, 2004; Todd et al., 2010; van Dam et al., 2011; Kroon et al., 2014; Wear and Thurber, 2015; Boucher and Friot, 2017; Littman et al., 2020; Tuholske et al., 2021; Wear et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
humans from poor water quality include enhanced transmission of disease through polluted water and waterways, nutrition deficits from fisheries decline and chronic illness, and food poisoning from the contamination of important aquatic foods (Shuval, 2003; World Health Organization (WHO), 2015; Chase and Ngure, 2016). Over a million people die each year from water-related diseases, and at least 50% of these deaths are children and attributable to microbial intestinal infections (Kovacs et al., 2015). Water related diseases such as diarrhoea are major contributors to global disease burdens, causing 8% of all deaths in children under the age of 5 years largely due to inadequate drinking-water quality (United Nations Inter-Agency Group for Child Mortality Estimation (UN IGME), 2019; World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), 2021). Persistent endemicity and explosive outbreaks of water-related disease are often fuelled by interacting environmental factors related to climate change, land use and changing social conditions (Cann et al., 2013; Prüss-Ustün et al., 2019). Water-related illness and travel associated with accessing safe water sources also contributes to reduced socioeconomic outcomes, such as reduced school attendance and gender equity (Fisher, 2008; Sorensen et al., 2011).

Communities reliant on surface and groundwater sources for drinking, bathing and household cleaning water are most at risk to water-related diseases and exposure to pollutants of emerging concern, particularly in tropical environments (Ragosta et al., 2011; World Health Organization (WHO), 2016; Herrera et al., 2017). Climate change is predicted to further increase global disease burdens by altering water-related disease dynamics (Semenza, 2020). Changes in rainfall and temperature will threaten water security, enhance pathogen survival and virulence, and increase exposure to contaminated water through multiple pathways, including flooding (Hofstra, 2011; Levy et al., 2018). Rates of diarrhoea are predicted to increase under warmer and/or wetter conditions, with 1°C of warming predicted to increase diarrhoeal disease by 5% in developing countries (Singh et al., 2001).

Although water-related diseases are more often associated with exposure on land and freshwater, polluted seawater also presents a significant risk to human health. An estimated 180 million cases of upper respiratory disease and gastroenteritis occur each year due to humans bathing in polluted ocean waters or ingesting contaminated seafood, while around 4 million cases (and 40 thousand deaths) of infectious hepatitis A and E (HAV/HEV) occur annually from contaminated seafood from polluted coastal waters (Shuval, 2003; World Health Organization (WHO), 2015). Additionally, seafood contaminated with methylmercury and polychlorinated biphenyls can cause cardiovascular diseases in humans as well as severe impacts to infants in utero (Landrigan et al., 2020). The impacts of polluted seawater create a huge social and economic cost to communities, with pathogens in ocean pollution causing an estimated $19.4 billion (2022 USD) in economic losses annually because of their direct impacts on humans alone (Shuval, 2003).

Microplastics and debris found in wastewater pollution can also form a unique microbial community that is distinct from the surrounding water (Zettler et al., 2013). The microbial community on plastic can include pathogenic microorganisms, such as Vibrio spp., that cause infections through contaminated water or seafood consumption (Zettler et al., 2013; Kirstein et al., 2016). In the case of some zoonotic parasitic microbes that cause illness in aquatic wildlife and illness in humans from shellfish consumption, counts of the microbes are higher on plastics than in surrounding water (Zhang et al., 2022). Plastics therefore potentially create a novel habitat for pathogens to be concentrated and dispersed beyond
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**Figure 1.** Diagram depicting flow of impacts from key land-based activities on water quality properties that reach coral reef ecosystems.
Table 2. Impacts of poor water quality on humans, coral reefs, and coral reef organisms categorised by pollutant type, with key references indicated for further information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Humans</th>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrially derived sediment</td>
<td>Increased cost and complexity of water treatment.</td>
<td>Can lead to inadequate coverage of treated water and increased time/cost accessing safe water sources.</td>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO), 2012, 2016; Price and Heberling, 2018; Albert et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased risk of water-related diseases in humans.</td>
<td>Increased mortality and comorbidity, healthcare burdens.</td>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO), 2012, 2016; Jenkins et al., 2016; Herrera et al., 2017; Albert et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Change in aesthetic of water for human use.</td>
<td>Loss of cultural and spiritual values, reduced tourism benefits.</td>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO), 2012; 2016; Landrigan et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral reef organisms</td>
<td>Reduced fertilisation and settlement for coral and reef building species. Reduced coral growth rate, colony size, and photosynthetic yield. Partial mortality.</td>
<td>Reduced reef accretion and coral cover reduces habitat complexity and the capacity of coral reef ecosystems to recover from disturbances.</td>
<td>Rogers, 1990; Van Woesik and Done, 1997; Gilmour, 1999; Wesseling et al., 2001; Philipp and Fabricius, 2003; Fabricius, 2005; Bessell-Browne et al., 2017; Ricardo et al., 2018; Jones et al., 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suppression of herbivory by reef fish. Reduced abundance of herbivorous fish species. Accumulation in algal turfs.</td>
<td>Proliferation of coral-inhibiting algae, reducing coral cover and the capacity of coral reef ecosystems to recover from disturbances. Reduced structure and nutrition also leads to reduced fish populations and diversity.</td>
<td>Wenger et al., 2015; Moustaka et al., 2018; Tebbett and Bellwood, 2019; Wenger et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extended larval development and reduced settlement of fish. Gill damage and mortality of fish. Increased susceptibility to disease of larval fish. Reduced foraging ability. Reduced fish species richness.</td>
<td>Reduced fish recruitment, biomass and diversity, which impacts capacity of reef ecosystems to recover from disturbance.</td>
<td>Hess et al., 2015; Wenger et al., 2015; Moustaka et al., 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients (organic and inorganic)*</td>
<td>Severe health impacts for human infants through consumption of contaminated water.</td>
<td>Increased mortality and comorbidity.</td>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO), 2016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Impacts to health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increased macrobioeroder density in corals.</strong></td>
<td>Capacity to recover from disturbances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Increased algal growth.</strong></td>
<td>Proliferation of coral-inhibiting algae under reduced herbivory, reducing coral cover and the capacity of coral reef ecosystems to recover from disturbances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Coral disease.</strong></td>
<td>Potential reductions in the composition, abundance, and ultimately the accretion of coral. Limited information at present.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Pathogens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McManus et al., 2000; Lapointe et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redding et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coral reef organisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increased pathogenic microbiota on fish gills and shellfish.</td>
<td>Potential outbreak of disease and reductions in fish recruitment. Limited information at present.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Persistent organic pollutants (POPs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severe health impacts (e.g., cardiovascular disease, toxicity and developmental defects) through consumption of contaminated water.</td>
<td>Increased mortality and comorbidity, reduced schooling attendance, healthcare burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of antifungal resistant pathogens.</td>
<td>Limited information at present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential health impacts from immune and endocrine disruption.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Offensive odour in water.</td>
<td>Loss of cultural and spiritual values, reduced tourism benefits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Coral reef organisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced fertilisation, settlement, and development of corals and coral reef organisms. Accumulation in coral and coral reef organisms. Reduced photosynthetic efficiency, chlorophyll concentration, and symbiont density in corals. Reduced growth of coral reef building organisms. Partial and complete</td>
<td>Reduced coral cover and reef accretion. Ultimately reduces coral reef ecosystem capacity to recover from disturbances.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant Impacts to health</th>
<th>Impacts to health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Olfactory impairment in fish.</td>
<td>Limited information on chronic exposure at present. Could alter fish population dynamics and leave coral reef organism’s vulnerable to additional stressors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disruption in fish and other coral reef organisms.</td>
<td>Potentially reduced fisheries services which may lead to health impacts from reduced nutrition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immuno-suppression in fish.</td>
<td>Wenger et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation in fish and molluscs.</td>
<td>Severe disease and impacts to developing infants through consumption of contaminated seafood.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landrigan et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy metals</td>
<td>Humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe health impacts (e.g., developmental defects and toxicity) through contact with or consumption of contaminated water.</td>
<td>Increased mortality and comorbidity, reduced schooling attendance, healthcare burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of biological sewage treatment.</td>
<td>Can lead to inadequate coverage of treated water and increased time/cost accessing safe water sources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO), 2016; Rehman et al., 2018; Landrigan et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral reef organisms</td>
<td>Coral reef ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced fertilisation, settlement, and development of corals. Coral bleaching. Reduced chlorophyll concentration and symbiont density in corals. Partial and complete mortality of corals.</td>
<td>Reduced coral cover and reef accretion. Ultimately reduces coral reef ecosystem capacity to recover from disturbances.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negri et al., 2002; Nalley et al., 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embryo malformation and reduced hatching success in fish. Olfactory impairment and behavioural changes in fish.</td>
<td>Fish larvae and new recruits are potentially more prone to predation. Limited information on chronic and lower levels of exposure at present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosch et al., 2016; Landrigan et al., 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immuno-suppression in fish. Accumulation in fish and molluscs.</td>
<td>Increased disease and death rates in fish.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disruption of fish reproduction.</td>
<td>Coral reef organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal care products and pharmaceuticals</td>
<td>Humans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promotion of antimicrobial resistant water related pathogens.</td>
<td>Increased mortality and comorbidity, healthcare burdens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential endocrine disruption of human development and immune systems.</td>
<td>Limited information at present.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral reef organisms</td>
<td>Coral reef ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disruption of coral fecundity. Endocrine disruption of development and/or growth of coral and coral reef organisms.</td>
<td>May lead to reductions in the composition, abundance, and ultimately the accretion of coral. Limited information at present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarrant et al., 2004; Wear and Thurber, 2015; Downs et al., 2016; Watkins and Sallach, 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Continued)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
their typical range, as floating plastics can travel longer distances than natural substrates (e.g., wood and macroalgae), and sinking microplastics are readily ingested by filter-feeding shellfish (Zettler et al., 2013; Littman et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2022).

Polluted coastal ecosystems also affect the health of coastal human populations through fisheries decline (Hicks et al., 2019; Li et al., 2019). Millions of people depend on tropical coastal fisheries for essential protein and micro-nutrients (Kawarazuka and Béné, 2010; Teh et al., 2013). More than 10% of the global population is likely to face micronutrient and fatty acid deficiencies if the current trajectories of fisheries decline continue, especially in the developing nations at the Equator (Golden et al., 2016). In addition, individuals already experiencing chronic health effects due to repeated exposure to pathogens will have nutrient absorption challenges, further exacerbating any micronutrient deficiencies from declining fisheries (Chase and Ngure, 2016). Better recognition of the economic and human health costs resulting from pollution impacts is critical for prioritising action and leveraging the necessary cross-sectoral partnerships and resources required for managing pollution at appropriate scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Impacts to health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced tissue regeneration in coral</td>
<td>May lead to changes in fish population dynamics and communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality of coral</td>
<td>Potentially reduced fisheries services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral bleaching</td>
<td>Wenger et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endocrine disruption of development and/or growth in fish</td>
<td>May lead to changes in population dynamics of commercially harvested species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered predator–prey interactions and aggressive behaviour of fish.</td>
<td>Potentially reduced fisheries services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA alterations and reduced reproduction and development in crustaceans.</td>
<td>Garcia et al., 2014; Maranho et al., 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth inhibition in algae.</td>
<td>Aguirre-Martínez et al., 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plastic and microdebris</th>
<th>Humans</th>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential impacts to health (e.g., infertility and damage to the nervous system). Increase favourable conditions for pathogens.</td>
<td>Limited information at present. Potential increases in disease burden associated with contaminated water. Plastic consumption potentially leading to health hazards.</td>
<td>Zettler et al., 2013; Galloway, 2015; Kirstein et al., 2016</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coral reef organisms</th>
<th>Coral reef ecosystems</th>
<th>Human populations</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reduced reproduction and growth</td>
<td>Limited information at present. May lead to reductions in the composition, abundance, and ultimately the accretion of coral.</td>
<td>Limited information at present. Potentially reduced coastal protection services and reduced fisheries services.</td>
<td>Todd et al., 2010; Lamb et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease, bleaching and tissue necrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Littman et al., 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced transport of other contaminants to corals.</td>
<td>Limited information at present. May lead to a range of contaminant-specific impacts, such as reduced coral cover and reef accretion from sediment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accumulation in fish, molluscs, and other reef associated organisms.</td>
<td>Limited information at present. May lead to changes in population dynamics and communities in marine ecosystems.</td>
<td>Limited information at present. Potential increases in disease burden associated with contaminated seafood consumption. Plastic consumption potentially leading to health hazards.</td>
<td>Smith et al., 2018; Littman et al., 2020; Wu and Seebacher, 2020; Zhang et al., 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Contaminant dynamics are complex, with different impacts and response curves observed even between contaminants in the same group (e.g., different heavy metals generate different impacts, different types of nutrients generate different impacts). Different levels of exposure also generate different responses, with some nutrient species generating positive responses under certain exposure levels. Impacts reported here are a general summary of known impacts from the introduction of each contaminant group at harmful levels observed in the environment.
Systems approaches to watershed management

There are an array of site-based management interventions that can be implemented at nested scales within watersheds to improve water quality (Liu et al., 2017; Richmond et al., 2019; Leder et al., 2021). Mitigation efforts typically include policy instruments and place-based interventions.

Policy instruments, such as regulations or market-based incentives, can be applied at any scale and are not necessarily spatially bound within watersheds or aimed at specific watersheds. For example, the implementation of policy instruments can control, reduce and/or prevent pollution through improved use, transport, storage and disposal of chemicals (Taylor et al., 2012; Olmstead and Zheng, 2021) and nutrients (UNEP, 2012). Policy instruments can also initiate the implementation of soil conservation and erosion/runoff control strategies, such as maintaining riparian buffer zones by legislating mangrove protection (Richmond et al., 2019).

Place-based interventions are specifically applied at a range of scales, from landscape, residential, down to individual and microbial scales (Figure 2). Traditionally, human health focused place-based interventions have been targeted at a residential and individual scale, through the application of water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH) infrastructure improvements or behaviour change campaigns (World Health Organization (WHO), 2016; World Health Organization (WHO) and United Nations Children’s Fund (UNICEF), 2021). However, there is now substantial evidence that landscape scale interventions could deliver significant human health outcomes, while also protecting ecosystem health. For example, a study involving 35 developing countries found that higher upstream tree cover in watersheds was associated with a lower probability of childhood diarrhoeal disease downstream (Herrera et al., 2017). In Hawai‘i, Ragosta et al. (2011) demonstrated that higher riparian canopy cover was associated with lower Enterococcus concentrations in stream water. New genomics research is beginning to reveal how more intact ecosystems, from the watershed to the individual organism scale, are more likely to carry lower pathogen loads (Hess et al., 2015; Shore-Maggio et al., 2018; Bass et al., 2019). Coastal ecosystems also play a key role in regulating disease risk in the marine environment, with a recent study showing that when seagrass meadows are present, there are 50% fewer potentially pathogenic bacteria capable of causing disease in humans and aquatic organisms (Lamb et al., 2017). However, coastal ecosystems themselves are vulnerable to high levels of pollution (Crain et al., 2009; Wear, 2016; Turschwell et al., 2021), underscoring the importance of implementing a system-wide approach when managing watersheds.

Despite the recognition that pollution is one of the greatest threats facing coral reef ecosystems (Burke et al., 2011; Andrello et al., 2021), there are limited examples of water quality management associated with successful recovery of coral reef ecosystems, and of those, the management interventions have primarily only tackled pollution arising from point-source pollution (Birkeland et al., 2013; Reef Resilience Network, 2021). Designing and measuring the effectiveness of policy instruments for water quality management is difficult due to lack of compliance and information on contaminant thresholds and monitoring (Taylor et al., 2012; Olmstead and Zheng, 2021). Place-based interventions are often impeded by difficulties in engaging stakeholders, lack of systematic/transparent planning, and funding shortfalls (Jupiter et al., 2017; Ayala-Orozco et al., 2018). For example, where stakeholders are not effectively engaged, interventions can be hindered by divergent visions, interests, and tensions within and between sectors (Ayala-Orozco et al., 2018). Lack of engagement can also limit buy-in and uptake of interventions by groups (Oteros-Rozas et al., 2015; Mitchell et al., 2022). Lack of systematic/transparent planning and evaluation can generate a lack of trust, accountability and credibility from the perspective of stakeholders (Ayala-Orozco et al., 2018), and lead to missed opportunities for effective action (Jupiter et al., 2017; Beer et al., 2020). Funding shortfalls and lack of personnel prohibit action at the scale and duration required (Ayala-Orozco et al., 2018; Beer et al., 2020). Interventions for nonpoint source pollution can be particularly challenging as pollution loading is difficult to estimate and is often attributable to many...
Watershed case study 1: Watershed interventions for systems health in Fiji

Low coverage of properly treated drinking water and sanitation in remote areas of Fiji leaves communities heavily reliant on the safety and security of unprotected water sources and vulnerable to water-related diseases. Severe outbreaks of water-related infectious diseases, such as leptospirosis, typhoid and dengue (hereafter LTD), are common. LTD cases and associated syndromes are correlated with environmental conditions, with large outbreaks typically occurring following heavy rainfall and flooding (Lau et al., 2010; Nelson et al., 2022), with increased severity within degraded watersheds (Jenkins et al., 2016).

Coastal and freshwater ecosystems are also threatened by degraded watersheds in Fiji, with decreased fish, coral and seagrass cover seen downstream of cleared and developed watersheds due to the runoff of harmful pollutants (Jenkins et al., 2010; Brown et al., 2017; McKenzie and Yoshida, 2020). These ecosystems support the livelihoods, nutrition and incomes of many rural communities (Mangubhai et al., 2018).

The Watershed Interventions for Systems Health in Fiji (WISH Fiji) project aims to address these overlapping problems through a collaborative effort between government, academic and non-governmental organisations (NGO) partners. Project collaborators are co-designing targeted ‘up-stream’ interventions implemented across various nested scales (Figure 2) with local communities to prevent, detect and respond to LTDs, in addition to mitigating degradation of downstream resources and ecosystems (McFarlane et al., 2019). In doing so, the WISH Fiji project aims to transform both environmental and public health action from reactive to preventative, and improve the overall health of the system to maintain integrity against LTD and natural disasters.

Watershed case study 2: Wastewater management in Roatan, Honduras

Roatan Island, in the Bay Islands of Honduras, is bordered by coral reef ecosystems that attract over a million tourists into the region. Provisioning unpolluted runoff from watersheds is essential to maintaining the health of these ecosystems, but also to protect the health of Roatan communities and tourists. However, limited wastewater treatment on the island resulted in discharge of untreated or inadequately treated wastewater directly onto coral reef ecosystems. Local ecological knowledge linked this wastewater runoff to outbreaks of water-related infectious disease in both humans and corals in the region, which raised fears of impacts on tourism (the main source of income in Roatan).

To combat both the human health and ecosystem impacts of untreated wastewater discharge, a collaboration between government, conservation groups and water associations identified the need for a community wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and water quality program in West End, Roatan. The West End WWTP was then built in 2011 and has since been connected to 99% of accessible homes and businesses in the area.

Critically, a water quality laboratory led by the Bay Islands Conservation Association was also built to enable testing of marine water downstream of the WWTP, allowing significant improvements in water quality to be observed. Within 7 years of the WWTP installation, the public beach downstream passed the United States EPA safe swimming standards for Enterococcus, a bacteria which can cause a variety of infections and is associated with faecal contamination. The beach has since been awarded an Ecological Blue Flag certification that validates the areas as safe for tourists. Improved metrics for coral reef ecosystem health were also observed, likely as a result of improved water quality (Coral Reef Alliance, 2020).

Key enabling factors

Cross-sectoral coordination and integrated governance

Managing watersheds offers numerous opportunities to address systems health challenges linked to achievement of multiple SDGs (Jenkins et al., 2018a), but simultaneously tackling multiple objectives requires coordination and integrated governance. Cross-sectoral collaborations can create a more holistic understanding of the watershed system and the breadth of its impacts across sectors (Parkes et al., 2010). This holistic understanding can improve the efficiency of integrated watershed management (IWM) by targeting multiple problems at once, creating the potential for win–win scenarios for both coastal ecosystem health and human health (Jupiter et al., 2014; Jenkins and Jupiter, 2015).

The success of cross-sectoral coordination and governance relies on careful participatory engagement and integrated policy development and implementation (Olsen and Christie, 2000; Lane, 2008). Decision-making should be developed through engagement with a wide range of stakeholders and resource users at multiple scales, improving coordination between divisions that may typically focus on the coastline or in specific sectors (Wang et al., 2016). Care should be taken to incorporate information from multiple knowledge systems in planning and practice to ensure alignment with local values and objectives (Tengô et al., 2014). Engagement should capture the diversity of land and water use practices, needs, goals and potential conflicts across sectors, and ensure that all involvement is participatory, transparent, accountable and culturally appropriate (Jupiter et al., 2014; Richmond et al., 2019).
delivery (Davidson and De Loë, 2014). Polycentric and collabora-
tive governance approaches, particularly those involving Indigene-
ous peoples and local communities, are appropriate in this context to
to bridge across sectors and jurisdictional levels and address water-
shed systems issues at appropriate scales (e.g., Huitema et al., 2009;
Morrison, 2017). Watershed management across multiple agencies
and organisations can be coordinated by specific institutions that
can serve as bridging organisations, such as catchment authorities,
which operate most effectively when they have legislated mandates
and operating budgets (Parkes et al., 2010; Davidson and De Loë,
2014).

Critically, integrated policy needs to be developed based on a
good understanding of the connections among systems so that
evidence-based predictions and decisions can be made about how
any interventions may influence outcomes in multiple sectors
(Álvarez-Romero et al., 2015). It is essential to consider any poten-
tial trade-off scenarios wherein mutual benefits are not shared
between sectors, or one sector may even be exposed to more harm.
For example, the construction or restoration of wetlands for
improving water quality and ecosystem health may have uninten-
tended consequences for mosquito-borne disease risk (Malan et al.,
2009; Horwitz and Finlayson, 2011); and the installation of
dams and weirs for improving water security and sediment pollu-
tion may have unintended consequences for freshwater ecosystems
and fisheries (Dudgeon et al., 2006; Kroon et al., 2014). Having a
wide range of informed stakeholders sharing resources and taking
an integrated approach will assist in buffering this risk and create
more effective and proactive governance wherein benefits across
sectors are optimised.

**Sustainable financing**

Improving water quality through upstream interventions is expen-
sive and requires sustained investment (Muchaponswa et al., 2018).
There is often a long lag time between implementing interventions
and observing improvements in metrics of ecosystem and public
health, while success can also be obscured by other disturbances,
such as cyclones and coral bleaching (Richmond et al., 2019).
Delays in realising anticipated benefits create disincentives for
long-term action when program and policy targets require short-
term results.

Water and watershed funds are a common financing tool used in
various geographies globally to ensure a sustained source of funding
(The Nature Conservancy (TNC) and Goldman, 2009; Kauffman,
2014). These funds are often resourced through voluntary contribu-
tions of donors and water users, such as utility companies and
farmers, which are then used to pay for and support upstream
strategies to conserve the quality and security of water sources.
Boards may invest the funding directly or use grants to identify and
develop critical intervention strategies (The Nature Conservancy
(TNC) and Goldman, 2009). Linking the needs of downstream
water users with upstream communities and land users allows the
funds to provide a low-cost and sustainable financing method of
maintaining clean and regular water supply (The Nature Conserv-
ancy (TNC) and Goldman, 2009).

Examples of successful water funds are mainly from temperate
regions and exclude marine ecosystems, such as the Latin American
Water Funds Partnership (LAWFP). LAWFP is an agreement between
a consortium of international NGOs to enhance and
preserve water security in Latin America and supports 25 water
funds across nine countries with varying water management goals
and local funding bodies (Bremer et al., 2016). In total, LAWFP
supported water funds are managing over 227,000 ha of land,
potentially benefiting 89 million people, and have leveraged over
$205 million USD in resources. Many funds prioritise not only water infrastructure management for humans, but also the use of
nature-based solutions as a means to preserve the health of aquatic ecosystems (Kauffman, 2014). However, as with many water funds
(and conservation efforts), there have been limited measurements
of the outcomes or baselines to fully perceive the benefits of these
funds (Bremer et al., 2016).

The availability of local sources of funding for sustainable
financing of a water or watershed fund will vary from region to
region as beneficiaries vary. Not all communities and industries
pay for water use; under these circumstances, it may be feasible
to develop business cases for investment based on foregone
healthcare and productivity costs if watershed improvements
prevent people from getting sick. Key to developing these busi-
ness plans is first assessing how much disease risk can be reduced
by a portfolio of management interventions and balancing the
wide range of savings in foregone costs (healthcare, missed work
and education, tourism impacts) against annual investment
needs. Considerations also need to be taken for the potential
benefits from buffering against the influence of climate change
on disease.

Various other types of conservation and climate change finan-
cing can additionally or alternatively support watershed manage-
ment financing. For example, in some coral reef areas, payment for
ecosystem services schemes have also been proposed as a way for
downstream resource users to incentivise upstream resources users
to manage water quality (Goldman-Benner et al., 2012; Peng and
Oleson, 2017). Climate financing that supports nature-based solu-
tions is commonly expected to deliver various water services,
though evidence shows mixed results on base flow, annual surface
runoff and water quality depending on local geographic conditions
and the mix of interventions utilised (Vigerstol et al., 2021; de
Freitas et al., 2022).

**Conclusions/recommendations**

The latest science makes it clear that unplanned development, poor
land use, unsustainable agricultural practices and poor wastewater
management within watersheds are significant threats to coastal
populations and ecosystems. Despite the threats, incentivising
improved watershed management practices for the sake of improv-
ing water quality for downstream environmental benefits has
remained a challenge. In the future, it is recommended that policies
and management are designed using systems health approaches
that aim to restore water quality to achieve multiple benefits for
human and coastal ecosystem health, while facilitating sustainable
social and economic development.

Through our review, we identified a series of actionable recom-
mandations to promote holistic approaches to watershed manage-
ment for systems health (Table 3). These include best practice
lessons from existing, IWM programs on: inclusive planning;
implementation through cross-sectoral coordination; participatory
management; monitoring to identify risks and measure progress of
interventions; mobilising resources to sustain long-term action;
and sharing information to promote replication and scaling of
integrated approaches. To achieve SDG targets by 2030, there is
increasing urgency to prioritise these types of management
approaches that simultaneously deliver on benefits for nature,
people and climate.
Table 3. Recommendations for planning, coordinating, monitoring, resourcing and scaling sustained investment in integrated watershed management for systems health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planning</th>
<th>Ensure engagement of the full range of actors, landowners and beneficiaries within watershed boundaries and provide platforms for transparent, participatory planning and decision-making.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coordinating</td>
<td>Undertake policy gap analysis and strategic environmental assessments to improve harmonisation and implementation of existing policies Engage, strengthen and/or establish multi-sector management authorities (e.g., watershed commissions) with the mandate and resources to coordinate action across marine resource users/ managers, logging, mining, agricultural, public health, tourism and WASH sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring</td>
<td>Undertake risk and resilience assessments to identify main sources of land-based impacts to coastal reef ecosystems, and consider where these risks overlap with risks to public health, especially in the context of future climate change scenarios. Conduct research and synthesis to improve the quantity and quality of data available on thresholds and indicators of water quality and impacts on coral reef ecosystems, including integration of indigenous knowledge, citizen science, and private sector, and making the information easily accessible (i.e., through new knowledge management products and an open-source water quality database) to support monitoring and assessment programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource mobilisation</td>
<td>Develop/enhance sustainable and innovative financing mechanisms, through impact investment and private sector engagement, business case studies and integrated resource mobilisation strategies, to provide the resources required to implement phased, integrated watershed management interventions across nested scales.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaling</td>
<td>Develop guidance materials to integrate coral reef ecosystem health into integrated watershed management, public health and WASH planning. Document the process of developing and implementing integrated watershed management strategies in order to create communication materials for the broader conservation, WASH and public health communities on best practises and lessons learned, working with regional agencies and mechanisms to upscale.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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