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#### Abstract

For a field $F, \operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$, let $W F$ denote the Witt ring of quadratic forms of $F$ and let $\left\langle F^{*}\right\rangle \subseteq W F$ denote the multiplicative group of 1 -dimensional forms $\langle a\rangle, a \in F^{*}$. It follows from a construction of D. K. Harrison that if $E, F$ are fields (both of characteristic $\neq 2$ ) and $\rho: W E \rightarrow W F$ is a ring isomorphism, then there exists a ring isomorphism $\bar{\rho}: W E \rightarrow W F$ which "preserves dimension" in the sense that $\bar{\rho}\left\langle E^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle F^{*}\right\rangle$. In this paper, the relationship between $\rho$ and $\bar{\rho}$ is clarified.


1. Preliminaries. Let $R$ be an (abstract) Witt ring in the terminology of [6] and let $G$ denote the distinguished group of units of $R$. For example, one could take $R=W F$ where $F$ is some field, $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$. In this case, $G=\left\langle F^{*}\right\rangle$.

One needs to know something of the structure of the full unit group $R^{*}$. If $u \in R^{*}$ then $u$ decomposes uniquely as $u=a(1+x)$ where $a \in G$ and $x \in I^{2}$. Here $I \subseteq R$ denotes the fundamental ideal. $a=d_{ \pm}(u)$, the signed discriminant of $u$. Thus, it is enough to consider units of the form $u=1+x, x \in I^{2}$. Computing signatures this yields $\pm 1=\sigma(u)=1+\sigma(x) \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ so $\sigma(x)=0$ for all signatures $\sigma$ of $R$. By Pfister's local-global principle, this implies $x$ is nilpotent (i.e., 2-primary torsion). Conversely, if $x$ is nilpotent then, from general ring theory, $1+x$ is a unit.

For almost everything done here, the above will suffice. However to obtain certain refinements it is necessary to know the relationship between the additive order of $x$ and the multiplicative order of $1+x$. The first half of this is fairly easy:
1.1. Proposition. If $x \in I$ and $2^{k} x=0$ then $(1+x)^{2^{k}}=1$.

Proof. $(1+x)^{2^{k}}=\left(1+2 x+x^{2}\right)^{2^{k-1}}=(1+y)^{2^{k-1}}$ where $y=2 x+x^{2}$. By the Annihilator Theorem for Pfister forms, $x=\sum_{i}\left(1-s_{i}\right) t_{i}$ where $t_{i} \in R$ and $s_{i} \in D\left(\langle 1,1\rangle^{k}\right)$. Here, $D(q)$ denotes the value set of the quadratic form $q$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2} & =\sum_{i}\left(1-s_{i}\right)^{2} t_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left(1-s_{i}\right)\left(1-s_{j}\right) t_{i} t_{j} \\
& =\sum_{i} 2\left(1-s_{i}\right) t_{i}^{2}+\sum_{i<j} 2\left(1-s_{i}\right)\left(1-s_{j}\right) t_{i} t_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]Since $2^{k}\left(1-s_{i}\right)=0$, it follows that $2^{k-1} y=0$. By induction on $k$ this implies $(1+y)^{2^{k-1}}=1$.

The second half follows from the theory of logarithms and exponentials developed in [5]. This does not seem to have any simple proof:
1.2. Proposition. If $x \in I^{2}$ and $(1+x)^{2^{k}}=1$ then $2^{k} x=0$.

Proof. See [5].
2. Homomorphisms. $R$ can be described as the quotient of the integral group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ obtained by factoring by the ideal generated by $1+(-1)$ and all elements $(1-a)(1-b)$ where $a, b \in G$ satisfy $1 \in D\langle a, b\rangle$.

Let $\bar{R}$ be another Witt ring and let $\bar{G}$ be its distinguished group of units. From the presentation of $R$ as a quotient of $\mathbf{Z}[G]$, specifying a (ring) homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is equivalent to specifying a group homomorphism $\rho: G \rightarrow \bar{R}^{*}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (1) } \rho(-1)=-1 \text { and }  \tag{1}\\
& \text { (2) } \forall a, b \in G, 1 \in D\langle a, b\rangle \Rightarrow(1-\rho(a))(1-\rho(b))=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $G$ may not be a ring invariant, one should not expect $\rho(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$ to hold in general. $\rho$ will be referred to as a scheme homomorphism if $\rho(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$. For scheme homomorphisms, condition (2) can be replaced by the equivalent condition:

$$
\forall a, b \in G, 1 \in D\langle a, b\rangle \Rightarrow 1 \in \bar{D}\langle\rho(a), \rho(b)\rangle
$$

2.1. Proposition. If either $G=\{ \pm 1\}$ or $\bar{I}^{2}$ is torsion free then each homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ is a scheme homomorphism.

Proof. If $G=\{ \pm 1\}$ then $\rho(G)=\rho(\{ \pm 1\})=\{ \pm 1\} \subseteq \bar{G}$. If $\bar{I}^{2}$ is torsion free then, by results in section $1, \bar{R}^{*}=\bar{G}$, so $\rho(G) \subseteq \bar{G}$ holds in this case too.
2.2. Examples. (i) $G=\{ \pm 1\}$ holds if and only if $R=\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z} /(2)$, or $\mathbf{Z} /(4)$. Specific realizations of these three types can be obtained by taking $R=W F$ where $F$ is (respectively) $\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$, or a finite field $\mathbf{F}_{q}, q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. If $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $W \mathbf{F}_{q}=\mathbf{Z} /(2)\left[C_{2}\right]$ (the group ring over $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$ of the cyclic group $C_{2}$ ) so $G \neq\{ \pm 1\}$ in this case. (ii) $I$ (resp. $I^{2}$ ) is torsion free if and only if $D\langle 1,1\rangle=1$ (resp. $D\langle 1,-a\rangle=G$ for all $a \in D\langle 1,1\rangle$ ). Thus, if $R=W F, F$ a field, then $I$ (resp. $I^{2}$ ) is torsion free if and only if $F$ is Pythagorean (resp. Quasi-Pythagorean). Elementary examples: R, C are Pythagorean; finite fields are Quasi-Pythagorean. (iii) If $R=W F$ where $F$ is a global field or a local field $\neq \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$ then $I^{2}$ is not torsion free but $I^{3}$ is torsion free.

To obtain Harrison's map $\rho \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$ (see [2], [3], and [6] ) one needs to assume that $\bar{R}$ satisfies an additional property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a, b \in \bar{G},(1-a)(1-b) \in \bar{I}^{3} \Rightarrow(1-a)(1-b)=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is true if $\bar{R}=W F, F$ a field, $\operatorname{char}(F) \neq 2$, e.g., see [4]. In what follows, this special property is assumed whenever necessary.

Let $\rho: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a homomorphism. $\rho^{-1}(\bar{I})$ is an ideal of index 2 in $R$ so $\rho^{-1}(\bar{I})=I$. In particular, $\rho(I) \subseteq \bar{I}$. For $a \in G$, consider $\rho(a) \in \bar{R}^{*}$. This decomposes uniquely as $\rho(a)=\bar{\rho}(a)(1+x(a))$ where $\bar{\rho}(a) \in \bar{G}$ and $x(a) \in \bar{I}^{2}$. Thus $\bar{\rho}: G \rightarrow \bar{G}$ is a group homomorphism. Since $\rho(-1)=$ $-1 \in \bar{G}$, it follows that $\bar{\rho}(-1)=-1$. Now suppose $a, b \in G$ satisfy $1 \in D\langle a, b\rangle$. Then $(1-\rho(a))(1-\rho(b))=0$. Since $\bar{\rho}(c)-\rho(c) \in \bar{I}^{2}$ holds for any $c \in G$, this implies that $(1-\bar{\rho}(a))(1-\bar{\rho}(b)) \in \bar{I}^{3}$ and hence, by (*), that $(1-\bar{\rho}(a))(1-\bar{\rho}(b))=0$. Thus $\bar{\rho}$ induces a scheme homomorphism $\bar{\rho}: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$.
$\bar{\rho}$ is characterized as the unique scheme homomorphism satisfying $\bar{\rho}(x) \equiv$ $\rho(x)\left(\bmod \bar{I}^{2}\right)$ for all $x \in R$. Clearly $\bar{\rho}=\rho$ if and only if $\rho$ is a scheme homomorphism. Also $\rho \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$ is functorial in the sense that $\overline{\psi \circ \rho}=\bar{\psi} \circ \bar{\rho}$ and $\overline{1}$ $=1$. In particular, if $\rho$ is bijective, then $\bar{\rho}$ is bijective.
2.3. Note. For $a \in G, \rho(a)=\bar{\rho}(a)(1+x(a))$ with $x(a) \in \bar{I}^{2} .1+x(a)$ is a unit of order 2 in $\bar{R}$. Thus $x(a)$ is 2-primary torsion so $\rho(a)-\bar{\rho}(a)=\bar{\rho}(a) x(a)$ is 2-primary torsion. Since $G$ generates $R$ additively, this implies that $\rho(x) \equiv \bar{\rho}(x)\left(\bmod \left(\bar{I}^{2}\right)_{\text {tor }}\right)$ holds for all $x \in R$. Here, $\left(\bar{I}^{k}\right)_{\text {tor }}$ denotes the torsion part of $\bar{I}^{k}$. Actually, if we use (1.2), we can conclude that $2 x(a)=0$ for each $a \in G$ so $2 \rho=2 \bar{\rho}$.
2.4. Proposition. Suppose $G \neq\{ \pm 1\}, \bar{I}^{2}$ is not torsion free, but $\bar{I}^{k}$ is torsion free for some $k \geqq 3$. Then, for each scheme homomorphism $\alpha: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$, there exists a homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ such that $\bar{\rho}=\alpha, \rho \neq \alpha$.

Proof. We may as well assume $\left(\bar{I}^{k-1}\right)_{\text {tor }} \neq 0$ so $G /\{ \pm 1\}$ and $\left(\bar{I}^{k-1}\right)_{\text {tor }}$ are non-trivial groups of exponent 2 . Thus there exists a non-trivial group homomorphism $x: G \rightarrow\left(\bar{I}^{k-1}\right)_{\text {tor }}$ with $x(-1)=0$. Pick any such homomorphism and define $\rho: G \rightarrow R^{*}$ by $\rho(a)=\alpha(a)(1+x(a)) \forall a \in G$. Now $x(a) x(b) \in\left(\bar{I}^{k}\right)_{\text {tor }}=0$ so $(1+x(a))(1+x(b))=1+x(a)+x(b)=$ $1+x(a b)$. Thus $\rho$ is a group homomorphism. Also $x(-1)=0$ and $\alpha(-1)=-1$ so $\rho(-1)=-1$. Assume $1 \in D\langle a, b\rangle, a, b \in G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-\rho(a))(1-\rho(b)) & =(1-\alpha(a))(1-\alpha(b))+(1-\alpha(a)) \alpha(b) x(b) \\
& +(1-\alpha(b)) \alpha(a) x(a)+\alpha(a) x(a) \alpha(b) x(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term here is zero since $\alpha$ is a homomorphism. The last three terms are zero since $\left(\bar{I}^{k}\right)_{\text {tor }}=0$. Thus $\rho$ induces a homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow \bar{R}$.
3. Automorphisms. Consider a homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $\bar{\rho}=1$. That is, assume $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{2}\right)$ holds for all $x \in R$.
3.1. Lemma. Suppose $k \geqq 2$ and that $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{k}\right)$ holds for all $x \in R$. Then $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{i+k}\right)$ holds for all $x \in I^{i+1}, i \geqq 0$.

Proof. The result is clear if $i=0$. If $i \geqq 1$, the result follows by induction using

$$
\rho(x y)-x y=\rho(x)(\rho(y)-y)+(\rho(x)-x) y
$$

with $x \in I, y \in I^{i}$.
3.2. Lemma. If $k \geqq 2$ and $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{k}\right)$ holds for all $x \in R$ then $\rho^{2}(a) \equiv a\left(\bmod I^{2 k-1}\right)$ holds for all $a \in R$.

Proof. Since $G$ generates $R$ we can assume $a \in G$. Thus $\rho(a)=a(1+x)$ with $x \in I^{k}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{2}(a)=\rho(\rho(a)) & =\rho(a(1+x))=\rho(a)(1+\rho(x)) \\
& =a(1+x)(1+\rho(x))=a+a(x+\rho(x)+x \rho(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have to show that

$$
x+\rho(x)+x \rho(x)=2 x+(\rho(x)-x)+x \rho(x) \in I^{2 k-1}
$$

Clearly $x \rho(x) \in I^{2 k}$. By (3.1), $\rho(x)-x \in I^{2 k-1}$. Also, $1+x$ has order 2 in $R^{*}$, so $2 x+x^{2}=0$. Thus $2 x=-x^{2} \in I^{2 k}$. (In fact, by (1.2), $2 x=0$.)
3.3. Proposition. Suppose $I^{2}$ is not torsion free but $I^{k}$ is torsion free for some $k \geqq 3$. Then there exists an automorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow R$ such that $\bar{\rho}=1, \rho \neq 1$.

Proof. If $G=\{ \pm 1\}$ then $R=\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z} /(2)$, or $\mathbf{Z} /(4)$ and $I^{2}$ is torsion free. Thus $G \neq\{ \pm 1\}$. Thus, by (2.4), there is some homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow R$ such that $\bar{\rho}=1, \rho \neq 1$. Pick any such $\rho$ and pick $s$ so large that $2^{s}+1 \geqq k$. Then for any $x \in R$, (3.2) implies that $\rho^{2^{s}}(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{2^{s}+1}\right)$. By (2.3), $\rho^{2^{s}}(x)-x$ is torsion so $\rho^{2^{s}}(x)=x$. Thus, $\rho^{2^{s}}=1$. This implies $\rho$ is bijective.

Let $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ denote the group of automorphisms $\rho: R \rightarrow R$. Let $\operatorname{Aut}_{s c}(R) \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ be the subgroup consisting of scheme automorphisms. For $j \geqq 1$ let $\operatorname{Aut}_{j}(R) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ be the subgroup of automorphisms satisfying $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod I^{j+1}\right)$ for all $x \in R$. Harrison's map $\rho \rightarrow \bar{\rho}$ is a group homomorphism from $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ onto $\mathrm{Aut}_{s c}(R)$ with kernel $\mathrm{Aut}_{1}(R)$. Since $\bar{\rho}=\rho$ for $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{s c}(R), \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ is a semi-direct product of $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}_{s c}(R)$. If $I^{2}$ is torsion free, $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)=1$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(R)=\operatorname{Aut}_{s c}(R)$. Suppose $I^{2}$ is not torsion free but $I^{k+1}$ is torsion free for some $k \geqq 2$. Then $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R) \neq 1$ but $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R)=1$. Each $\operatorname{Aut}_{j}(R)$ is normal in $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$. Also, by (3.2), $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{j}(R) \Rightarrow \rho^{2} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{2 j}(R)$. Thus, in this case, $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ is solvable
and each element of $\mathrm{Aut}_{1}(R)$ has finite 2-power order.
3.4. Proposition. If $I^{3}$ is torsion free then $\mathrm{Aut}_{1}(R)$ is canonically isomorphic to the group $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{gr}}\left(G /\{ \pm 1\}\right.$, $\left.\left(I^{2}\right)_{\mathrm{tor}}\right)$. (Here, "Hom ${ }_{\mathrm{gr}}$ " denotes group homomorphisms.)

Proof. If $x: G \rightarrow\left(I^{2}\right)_{\text {tor }}$ is any group homomorphism satisfying $x(-1)=0$ then, by the proof of (2.4), $x$ induces a homomorphism $\rho: R \rightarrow R$ given by $\rho(a)=a(1+x(a))$ for all $a \in G$. As in the proof of (3.3), $\rho^{2}=1$ so $\rho$ is an automorphism and hence $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R) . x \rightarrow \rho$ provides the desired isomorphism.
$R$ is said to be of local type if it is the Witt ring of a local field. $R$ is said to be of elementary type if $|G|<\infty$ and $R$ is built up from $\mathbf{Z} /(2), \mathbf{Z} /(4), \mathbf{Z}$ and local types by forming Witt products and group rings. For elementary types, it is possible to give a precise inductive description of $\mathrm{Aut}_{s c}(R)$. This is an easy consequence of the material on quadratic form schemes developed in [6] and will not be given here.

For local types, $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ and the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{s c}(R)$ on $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ can be computed explicitly using (3.4). In contrast, the structure of Aut $(R)$ for general elementary types is not at all well understood. This is because $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ is not very well behaved with respect to formation of Witt products and group rings.

Denote by $J_{k} \subseteq R$ the ideal of elements of (additive) order 2 in $I^{k+1}$. For elementary types it is known that $J_{k} \neq 0 \Rightarrow J_{k} \neq J_{k+1}$. For general Witt rings this appears to be open. Each $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R)$ satisfies $\rho(x) \equiv x\left(\bmod J_{k}\right)$ for all $x \in R$. This follows from (1.2) (also see (2.3)). If $k \geqq 1$ is such that $J_{k} \neq 0$, $J_{k+1}=0$, then the element $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R), \rho \neq 1$, constructed in (3.3), is actually in the group $\operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R)$. One would hope that if $k \geqq 1$ is arbitrary then $J_{k} \neq J_{k+1} \Rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R) \neq \operatorname{Aut}_{k+1}(R)$. In general it is not known if this is true.
3.5. Proposition. If $R$ is of elementary type, $k \geqq 1$, and $J_{k} \neq 0$ then there exists $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R), \rho \notin \operatorname{Aut}_{k+1}(R)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $|G|$. If $R$ is of local type then $k=1$ and the result is clear. There are two cases left to consider. Case 1: $R=R_{1} \times R_{2}$ (Witt product). Then $J_{k}=\left(J_{1}\right)_{k} \times\left(J_{2}\right)_{k}$ (ordinary product) so $\left(J_{i}\right)_{k} \neq 0$ for $i=1$ or 2 , say $\left(J_{1}\right)_{k} \neq 0$. Thus, by induction, there exists $\rho_{1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k}\left(R_{1}\right) \backslash \operatorname{Aut}_{k+1}\left(R_{1}\right)$. Take $\rho=\rho_{1} \times 1$. Case 2: $R=\bar{R}[\Delta], \Delta=\{1, g\}$. Then $J_{k}=\bar{J}_{k} \oplus(1-g) \bar{J}_{k-1}$, so $\bar{J}_{k-1} \neq 0$. Pick $x \in \bar{J}_{k-1} \backslash \bar{J}_{k}$ and define $\rho: R \rightarrow R$ by $\rho(a)=a$ for $a \in \bar{G}, \rho(g)=g+(1-g) x$. Then $\rho$ is a ring automorphism, $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k}(R) \backslash \mathrm{Aut}_{k+1}(R)$.

If we drop the assumption that $I^{k+1}$ is torsion free for some $k \geqq 2$ then it is not known whether $I^{2}$ not torsion free $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R) \neq 1$. In fact very little
is known. If $R$ is the Witt ring of a field then $\cap_{j} I^{j}=0$ by [1]. In this case it follows that $\cap_{j} \mathrm{Aut}_{j}(R)=1$. Combining this with (3.2) one can deduce that any element $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ which has finite order has 2-power order. See example 4 below for a case where $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ has elements of infinite order.

## 4. Examples.

(1) Take $R=\mathbf{Z} /(4)[\Delta], \Delta$ a group of exponent 2 (so $G=\Delta \times\{ \pm 1\}$ ). Thus, if $|\Delta|=2^{k}$, then $J_{k} \neq 0, J_{k+1}=0$. We show that $\operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ has exponent 2. $D\langle 1,1\rangle=\{ \pm 1\}$ so $J_{0} \subseteq R$ is the ideal generated by 2 . Let $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ be arbitrary. Then, for $a \in G, \rho(a)$ has the form $\rho(a)=a+2 r, r \in I$. Let $\rho(r)-r=2 s, s \in I$. Then $\rho^{2}(a)=\rho(a)+2 \rho(r)=a+2 r+2(r+2 s)=$ $a+4 r+4 s=a$. This shows $\rho^{2}=1$.
(2) $\mathrm{Aut}_{l}(R) / \mathrm{Aut}_{2 l}(R)$ is abelian of exponent 2 . To obtain an example where $\operatorname{Aut}_{l}(R) / \operatorname{Aut}_{2 l+1}(R)$ is not abelian one can take $R=\mathbf{Z} /(2)[\Delta]$ where $\Delta$ is a group of exponent 2 with $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$ - basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}, b_{0}, \ldots, b_{l}$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(a_{1}\right)=\psi\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{1}+\prod_{i=0}^{l}\left(1+b_{i}\right) \text { and } \\
& \rho\left(b_{0}\right)=b_{0}+\left(1+b_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(1+a_{i}\right), \psi\left(b_{0}\right)=b_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $i \geqq 2$ and $j \geqq 1$ set $\rho\left(a_{i}\right)=\psi\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}$ and $\rho\left(b_{j}\right)=\psi\left(b_{j}\right)=b_{j}$. Then $\rho$, $\psi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{l}(R)$ but, as one can verify by direct computation, $\rho\left(\psi\left(a_{1}\right)\right) \not \equiv \psi\left(\rho\left(a_{1}\right)\right)$ $\left(\bmod J_{2 l+1}\right)$.
(3) If $J_{2^{m}}=0$ then each $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ has order at most $2^{m}$. To obtain an example where this bound is attained take $m \geqq 1$ and $R=\mathbf{Z} /(2)[\Delta]$ where $\Delta$ has exponent 2 and $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$-dimension $2^{m}$. Fix a $\mathbf{Z} /(2)$-basis for $\Delta$ of the form $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i} \mid i \in \mathbf{Z} /\left(2^{m-1}\right)\right\}$. Define $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}+\left(1+a_{i+1}\right)\left(1+b_{i}\right), \\
& \rho\left(b_{i}\right)=b_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A careful inductive argument shows that

$$
\rho^{2^{s}}\left(a_{i}\right)=a_{i}+\left(1+a_{i+2^{s}}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{2^{s}}\left(1+b_{i+j-1}\right)
$$

for $s=0, \ldots, m-1$. Taking $s=m-1$ in this formula, it follows that $\rho^{2^{m-1}} \neq 1$.
(4) It is possible to show (for example by patching together automorphisms constructed in the above example) that the Witt ring $R=\mathbf{Z} /(2)[\Delta], \Delta$ countably infinite, has elements $\rho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{1}(R)$ of infinite order.
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