

TAUBERIAN- AND CONVEXITY THEOREMS FOR CERTAIN (N, p, q) -MEANS

RÜDIGER KIESEL AND ULRICH STADTMÜLLER

ABSTRACT. The summability fields of generalized Nörlund means $(N, p^{*\alpha}, p)$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}$, are increasing with α and are contained in that of the corresponding power series method (P, p) . Particular cases are the Cesàro- and Euler-means with corresponding power series methods of Abel and Borel. In this paper we generalize a convexity theorem, which is well-known for the Cesàro means and which was recently shown for the Euler means to a large class of generalized Nörlund means.

1. Introduction. We consider throughout complex sequences (s_n) and discuss the relations of certain summability methods.

We say a sequence (s_n) of complex numbers is *summable* to s by the

(i) *Cesàro-method* of order $\alpha > -1$, briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(C_\alpha)$, if

$$\frac{1}{\binom{n+\alpha}{n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n-k+\alpha-1}{n-k} s_k \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty);$$

(ii) *Euler-method* of order $0 < p \leq 1$, briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(E_p)$, if

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} s_k \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty);$$

(iii) *Abel-method*, briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(A)$, if

$$f(t) = (1-t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n t^n \quad \text{exists for } 0 < t < 1 \text{ and } f(t) \rightarrow s \text{ (} t \rightarrow 1-);$$

(iv) *Borel-method*, briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(B)$, if

$$g(t) = e^{-t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{s_n}{n!} t^n \quad \text{exists for } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } g(t) \rightarrow s \text{ (} t \rightarrow \infty).$$

The Cesàro- and Abel-method resp. the Euler- and Borel-method are known to be closely related, see [9, 17, 19].

Especially the following Abelian inclusions are well known, see e.g. [9; Theorems 43, 55, 118, 128]

$$\text{for } -1 < \alpha \leq \beta: s_n \rightarrow s(C_\alpha) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(C_\beta) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(A),$$

$$\text{for } 0 < p \leq q \leq 1: s_n \rightarrow s(E_q) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(E_p) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(B).$$

The following converse or Tauberian theorem for the Cesàro-Abel-case goes back to Littlewood [14] ($\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{N}$), and Anderson [1] ($\alpha, \beta \geq -1$).

Received by the editors February 17, 1993.

AMS subject classification: 40E05.

Key words and phrases: Power series methods, generalized Nörlund means, convexity theorems, Tauberian theorems.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

THEOREM TC 1. (i) Let $-1 < \alpha < \beta$ then $s_n \rightarrow s(A)$ and $s_n = O(1)(C_\alpha)$ imply $s_n \rightarrow s(C_\beta)$.

(ii) For $-1 < \alpha < \delta \leq \beta$ we have the so-called convexity-theorem $s_n \rightarrow s(C_\beta)$ and $s_n = O(1)(C_\alpha)$ imply $s_n \rightarrow s(C_\delta)$.

Quite recently Boos and Tietz [4] proved that the situation is completely analogous for the Euler-Borel-case.

THEOREM TC 2. (i) Let $0 < p < q \leq 1$ then $s_n \rightarrow s(B)$ and $s_n = O(1)(E_q)$ imply $s_n \rightarrow s(E_p)$.

(ii) For $0 < p \leq r < q \leq 1$ we have the convexity-theorem $s_n \rightarrow s(E_p)$ and $s_n = O(1)(E_q)$ imply $s_n \rightarrow s(E_r)$.

Obviously part (ii) is in both cases a trivial consequence of the Abelian inclusion and part (i).

The aim of this paper is to show that the above results are special cases of a more general setting.

For the following assume that (p_n) is a sequence of reals with the following properties:

$$(1.1) \quad p_0 > 0, p_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ such that the power series } p(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n t^n \text{ has radius of convergence } R > 0.$$

Since we can use $p_n R^n$ as weights in case $0 < R < \infty$, we only have to deal with the two cases $R = 1$ and $R = \infty$.

Furthermore we define the α -th convolution $p_n^{*\alpha}$ of a sequence (p_n) by

$$p_n^{*1} := p_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{and} \quad p_n^{*(\alpha+1)} := \sum_{k=0}^n p_n^{*\alpha} p_k.$$

We now generalize the summability methods used in Theorems TC1 and TC2. To this end we need a further sequence (q_n) of nonnegative reals, also satisfying (1.1), in general with a different radius of convergence R_q for the associated power series.

We then say, that a sequence (s_n) is summable to s by the

(i) *power series method* of summability (P, p) , briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(P, p)$, if

$$(1.2) \quad p_s(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n p_n t^n \text{ converges for } |t| < R \text{ and if } \sigma_p(t) = \frac{p_s(t)}{p(t)} \rightarrow s \text{ as } t \rightarrow R-.$$

(In case $R = 1$ we have the so-called (J_p) -methods, in case $R = \infty$ the (B_p) -methods).

(ii) *general Nörlund-means* $(N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta})$; $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$, briefly $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta})$, if

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*\alpha} q_k^{*\beta} s_k \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ where we suppose that } r_n := (p^{*\alpha} * q^{*\beta})_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*\alpha} q_k^{*\beta} > 0 \text{ for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

We require all methods to be regular. By Theorem 5 in [9], we have regularity for a power series method if and only if

- (1.4) (A) $P_n = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty, (n \rightarrow \infty)$, in case $R = 1$, and
- (B) $p(t)$ is not a polynomial, i.e. $p_n \neq 0$ for infinitely many n in case $R = \infty$.

By Theorem 3 in [9] the general Nörlund mean $(N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta})$ is regular if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{P_{n-k}^{*\alpha}}{r_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for any fixed } k.$$

REMARK 1. Important special cases are

(i) The Cesàro-Abel-methods:

$$p_n = 1 : (P, p) = (A), (N, p^{*\alpha}, p) = (C_\alpha) \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(ii) The generalized Abel-method ($\delta > 0$):

$$p_n = \binom{n-1+\delta}{n} : (P, p) = (A_{\delta-1}), (N, p^{*\alpha}, \mathbf{1}) = (C_{\alpha\delta}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(iii) The Euler-Borel-methods:

$$p_n = 1/n! : (P, p) = (B), (N, p^{*\alpha}, p) = (E_{\frac{1}{1+\alpha}}), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}.$$

(We use the notation $\mathbf{1}$ for the sequence $(1, 1, \dots)$).

We now generalize the above results to our general setting, provided some regularity assumptions are satisfied.

2. Main results. In [10], Proposition 1, R. Kiesel showed that for $\alpha \leq \beta, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ the following inclusions hold true:

$$s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, p) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\beta}, p) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(P, p),$$

provided that for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ the methods $(N, p^{*\gamma}, p)$ are regular (for the second inclusion only the regularity of the (P, p) -method is needed.) This is especially the case, if one of the following conditions is satisfied.

- (2.1) (A) $p_n \sim n^\sigma L(n), \sigma \geq 0, n^\sigma L(n)$ is nondecreasing and $L(\cdot)$ is slowly varying, see [3] §1.2 for the definition;
- (B) $p_n \sim \exp\{-g(n)\}$, where $g \in C_2[0, \infty)$, with $g''(x) \downarrow 0, x^2 g''(x) \uparrow \infty (x \rightarrow \infty)$.

Using the sequence of “maximal weights” (Δ_n) defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_n = \inf_{0 < t < R} p(t)t^{-n},$$

we have in the above cases the following relationship

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta_n = \sqrt{2\pi}\phi(n)p_n(n \rightarrow \infty),$$

where $\phi(\cdot)$ is a suitable, positive function.

For $(x \rightarrow \infty)$ we have in case (A) that $\sqrt{2\pi}\phi(x) \sim \Gamma(\sigma + 1)(\frac{\sigma+1}{e})^{-\sigma-1}x$ and in case (B) that $\phi(x) \sim (g''(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Following [2, 3 §2.11] we call a function $\psi: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ *self-neglecting* if ψ satisfies $\psi(x) = o(x)$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$), and if $\psi(x+t\psi(x))/\psi(x) \rightarrow 1$ ($x \rightarrow \infty$) locally uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Observe that $g''(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is self-neglecting because of (2.1) and since for e.g. $t \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{g''(x + tg''(x)^{-1/2})}{g''(x)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq 1, \text{ and} \\ \left(\frac{g''(x + tg''(x)^{-1/2})}{g''(x)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} &= \left(\frac{(x + tg''(x)^{-1/2})^2 g''(x + tg''(x)^{-1/2})}{x^2 g''(x)} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{x^2 g''(x)}} \right) \\ &\leq 1 + \frac{t}{\sqrt{x^2 g''(x)}} \rightarrow 1 \text{ (} x \rightarrow \infty \text{), locally uniformly in } t. \end{aligned}$$

Because of this locally uniform convergence $\phi(\cdot)$ is self-neglecting, too.

We can now state our main theorem

THEOREM 1. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha < \delta \leq \beta$ and assume that (p_n) satisfies (2.1). Then*

- (i) $s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\gamma})$ and $s_n = O(1)$ ($N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}$) imply $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\beta}, p^{*\gamma})$.
- (ii) $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\beta}, p^{*\gamma})$ and $s_n = O(1)$ ($N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}$) imply $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\delta}, p^{*\gamma})$.

REMARK 2. In case $p_n \equiv 1, \gamma = 1$ resp. $p_n = 1/n!, \gamma = 1$ Theorem 1 is Theorem TC1 resp. TC2 in the discrete index case.

In our paradigms Abel- and Borel-method we have the following relations of the methods (see [5]):

- (i) Abel-case: $(A_{\alpha-1}) = \left(P, \binom{n+\alpha-1}{n} \right) = (P, \mathbf{1}^{*\alpha}), \alpha > 0$, then for $\mu > \lambda > -1$:

$$s_n \rightarrow s(A_\mu) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(A_\lambda).$$

- (ii) Borel-case: Since $p_n^{*\alpha} = \alpha^n/n!$, we have

$$(B) = (P, 1/n!) \approx \left(P, \left(\frac{\alpha^n}{n!} \right) \right) = \left(P, (1/n!)^{*\alpha} \right).$$

(Where we use \approx to note that two methods are equivalent.)

So the question arises what the relation of $(P, p^{*\alpha})$ and $(P, p^{*\beta})$ resp. $(N, p, p^{*\alpha})$ and $(N, p, p^{*\beta})$ in the general case is. Unfortunately we can only present answers to the question under additional assumptions.

PROPOSITION 1. Suppose $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and the sequence (p_n) satisfies (1.1) with $R = 1$ or $R = \infty$ and $p_n^{*\alpha} > 0$. If we have furthermore that $\mu_n = (p_n^{*\beta}) / (p_n^{*\alpha})$ is a totally monotone sequence, i.e.

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_n = \int_0^R t^n d\chi(t) < \infty$$

for all $n = 0, 1, \dots$ with some (bounded) nondecreasing function χ , then we have

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\alpha}) \text{ implies } s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\beta}).$$

This result can also be obtained using a theorem of Borwein in [5], but we are able to present a somewhat easier proof. An answer to the question of inclusion in case of the $(N, p, p^{*\alpha})$ -means, was already given by Das [8], but again only under restricting additional assumptions.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and (p_n) a sequence of strictly positive reals. If

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} \uparrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and if additionally either

$$\frac{p_n^{*\beta}}{p_n^{*\alpha}} \geq \frac{p_{n+1}^{*\beta}}{p_{n+1}^{*\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad (N, p, p^{*\beta}) \text{ is regular,}$$

or

$$\frac{p_n^{*\beta}}{p_n^{*\alpha}} \leq \frac{p_{n+1}^{*\beta}}{p_{n+1}^{*\alpha}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_n^{*\beta} p_n^{*\alpha+1}}{p_n^{*\beta+1} p_n^{*\alpha}} = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad (N, p, p^{*\alpha}) \text{ is regular,}$$

then $(N, p, p^{*\alpha})$ convergence implies $(N, p, p^{*\beta})$ -convergence.

3. Auxiliary results. First we discuss the asymptotic properties of the (N, p, q) -means.

LEMMA 1. Assume that (p_n) satisfies (2.1).

(i) In case (A), i.e. $p_n = n^\sigma L(n)$, we have

$$p_n^{*2} \sim \begin{cases} n^{2\sigma+1} L^2(n) B(\sigma + 1, \sigma + 1), & \text{if } \sigma > -1, \\ L^*(n) n^{-1}, & \text{if } \sigma = -1, \end{cases}$$

with $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ denoting the beta-integral and $L^*(\cdot)$ some slowly varying function.

(ii) In case (B), we have for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.1) \quad p_n^{*\alpha} \sim \sqrt{(2\pi)^{\alpha-1} / \alpha} \phi(n/\alpha)^{\alpha-1} \exp\{-\alpha g(n/\alpha)\} \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

$\phi(\cdot)$ as in (2.3).

PROOF. (i) is a slight generalisation of Theorem 42 in [9] and Theorem 2.3.1 in Chapter 5 of [20]. (ii) For $\alpha = 2$ the result is contained in Proposition 3 of [10]. We use induction on α for the general case. By Definition we have

$$p_n^{*(\alpha+1)} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_\nu^{*\alpha} p_{n-\nu}.$$

We define a function

$$(3.2) \quad \varepsilon(x) = x(x^2 g''(x))^{-1/4}.$$

Then we can show that the essential part of the sum occurs for $\nu \in M(n)$ with

$$M(n) := \left\{ \nu : \left| \nu - \frac{\alpha n}{\alpha + 1} \right| \leq \varepsilon\left(\frac{\alpha n}{\alpha + 1}\right) \right\}.$$

(Use techniques similar to those in the proof of Lemma 2 in [6], see also related calculations in [12, 13].)

By the induction hypotheses we find

$$p_n^{*(\alpha+1)} \sim \sum_{\nu \in M(n)} \sqrt{(2\pi)^{\alpha-1} / \alpha} \phi(\nu/\alpha)^{\alpha-1} \exp\{-\alpha g(\nu/\alpha)\} \exp\{-g(n-\nu)\}.$$

We now use the asymptotics for p_n and the Taylor-expansion ($\theta, \vartheta \in (0, 1)$):

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^{*(\alpha+1)} \sim \sum_{\nu \in M(n)} & \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2\pi}{g''(\frac{\nu}{\alpha})} \right)^{\alpha-1}} \exp \left\{ -\alpha \left(g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) + g'\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \right. \right. \\ & + \left. \frac{1}{2} g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1} + \theta \left(\frac{\nu}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right)\right) \left(\frac{\nu}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right)^2 \right) \\ & - g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) - g'\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \left(n - \nu - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1} + \vartheta \left(n - \nu - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right)\right) \left(n - \nu - \frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we use the basic inequality (13) in [6], namely

$$\left| \frac{g''(t)}{g''(x)} - 1 \right| \leq 4 \frac{|t-x|}{x} \text{ for all sufficiently large } t, x, \text{ if } |t-x| \leq x/4,$$

which is satisfied in our range $M(n)$, and the fact that $\varepsilon(n)/n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^{*(\alpha+1)} & \sim \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{2\pi}{g''(\frac{n}{\alpha+1})} \right)^{\alpha-1}} \times \exp\left\{ -(\alpha+1)g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \right\} \\ & \times \sum_{\nu \in M(n)} \exp\left\{ -\frac{(\alpha+1)}{2\alpha} g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \left(\nu - \frac{n\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^2 \right\} (1 + o(1)) \\ & \sim \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^\alpha}{\alpha+1}} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right)^\alpha \exp\left\{ -(\alpha+1)g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha+1}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last step use the approximation of the sum with the integral of a Gaussian density with variance $\alpha / \left((\alpha+1)g''\left(n/(\alpha+1)\right) \right)$. ■

COROLLARY. If (p_n) satisfies (2.1(B)) and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$, then we have for the entry $a_{n,k}$ of the $(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ -matrix the asymptotic relation

$$a_{n,k} \sim \sqrt{\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\pi\alpha\beta}} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^{-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{k - \frac{n\beta}{\alpha + \beta}}{\phi\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)}\right)^2\right\}$$

if $\left|k - \frac{n\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right| \leq \varepsilon(n)$ with $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ as in (3.2) and furthermore

$$\sum_{\left|k - \frac{n\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right| > \varepsilon(n)} a_{n,k} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

PROOF. If $\left|k - \frac{n\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right| \leq \varepsilon(n)$ then $(\theta, \xi \in (0, 1))$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_{n-k}^{*\alpha} P_k^{*\beta}}{P_n^{*(\alpha+\beta)}} &\sim \frac{\exp\{-\alpha g\left(\frac{n-k}{\alpha}\right) - \beta g\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)\}}{\exp\{-(\alpha + \beta)g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\}} \sqrt{\frac{(2\pi)^{\alpha-1} (2\pi)^{\beta-1} g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^{\alpha + \beta - 1} (\alpha + \beta)}{(2\pi)^{\alpha + \beta - 1} g''\left(\frac{n-k}{\alpha}\right)^{\alpha-1} g''\left(\frac{k}{\beta}\right)^{\beta-1} \alpha\beta}} \\ &\sim \exp\left\{-\alpha \left(g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right) + g'\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \left(\frac{n-k}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{1}{2}g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta} + \theta\left(\frac{n-k}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right) \left(\frac{n-k}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^2\right) \\ &\quad \left. - \beta \left(g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right) + g'\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right) \left(\frac{k}{\beta} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{1}{2}g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta} + \xi\left(\frac{k}{\beta} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right) \left(\frac{k}{\beta} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^2\right) \Big\} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{(\alpha + \beta)g\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)\right\} \sqrt{(1 + o(1)) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{2\pi\alpha\beta} g''\left(\frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now $\left|\frac{k}{\beta} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{\beta}$ and $\left|\frac{n-k}{\alpha} - \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta}\right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon(n)}{\alpha}$. Therefore we obtain the desired result by the same calculations as used in Lemma 1. For the second part observe that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{P_{n-k}^{*\alpha} P_k^{*\beta}}{P_n^{*(\alpha+\beta)}} = 1 \sim (1 + o(1)) \sum_{\left|k - \frac{n\beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right| \leq \varepsilon(n)} \exp\{\dots\} \sqrt{\dots}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We now give the asymptotics of the relevant power-series methods and show that for bounded sequences these methods are equivalent to certain generalized Valiron-type means, compare [6, 11].

LEMMA 2. Assume that (p_n) satisfies (2.1(B)). Then we have as $x \rightarrow \infty$
(i)

$$\left(p\left(\exp\left\{g'\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)\right\}\right)\right)^\mu \sim \left(\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)\right)^\mu \exp\left\{-\left(g\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) - \frac{x}{\mu}g'\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)\right)\right\}.$$

(ii) For bounded sequences (s_n) the following equivalence holds true

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\mu}) \Leftrightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{x-t}{\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})}\right)^2\right\} s(t) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})} \rightarrow s,$$

where $s(t) = s_{[t]}$, for $t \geq 0$ and $s(t) = 0$ elsewhere.

PROOF. (i) follows directly from [12], Lemma 5, resp. [13], Lemma 8, see also Lemma 2 in [6].

(ii) In this case the calculations are similar to the calculations used in [6], Lemma 2 and [11], Theorem 2, so we only outline the major steps. We have by using Lemma 1 and part (i) (For the notation see (1.2)).

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{p^{*\mu}}(e^{g'(\frac{x}{\mu})}) &= \frac{(1 + o(1))}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \exp\left\{-\mu g\left(\frac{n}{\mu}\right) + ng'\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) + \mu g\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) - xg'\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right)\right\} \\ &= \frac{(1 + o(1))}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \exp\left\{-\frac{\mu}{2} g''\left(\frac{x}{\mu} + \theta\left(\frac{n}{\mu} - \frac{x}{\mu}\right)\right) \left(\frac{n}{\mu} - \frac{x}{\mu}\right)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{(1 + o(1))}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\mu} g''\left(\frac{x}{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{n}{\mu} - \frac{x}{\mu}\right)^2\right\} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\mu} \left(\frac{x-t}{\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})}\right)^2\right\} s(t) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2\pi\mu}\phi(\frac{x}{\mu})}. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Next we show that the $(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ -means generalize some important properties of the Euler means.

First we consider the well known product-formula for the Euler-means

$$E_{\alpha} \circ E_{\beta} = E_{\alpha+\beta}.$$

This becomes

LEMMA 3. Assume that (p_n) and (q_n) satisfy (1.1) (with possibly different radii of convergence) and let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \leq \beta$.

(i) With $r^{*(\alpha+\beta)} := p^{*\alpha} * q^{*\beta}$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad (N, p^{*\beta}, q^{*\gamma}) = (N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, r^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\gamma})$$

resp. in case $(p_n) = (q_n)$

$$(N, p^{*\beta}, p^{*\gamma}) = (N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, p^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}).$$

(ii) If $(N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, r^{*(\alpha+\gamma)})$ is regular, then $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\gamma})$ implies $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\beta}, q^{*\gamma})$.

PROOF. (ii) is a trivial consequence of (i).

To prove (i) observe that

$$(p^{*(\beta-\alpha)} * r^{*(\alpha+\gamma)})_n = (p^{*\beta} * q^{*\gamma})_n$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*(\beta-\alpha)} r_k^{*(\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{1}{r_k^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_{k-\nu}^{*\alpha} q_{\nu}^{*\gamma} s_{\nu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n q_{\nu}^{*\gamma} s_{\nu} \sum_{k=0}^{n-\nu} p_{n-\nu-k}^{*(\beta-\alpha)} p_k^{*\alpha} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu}^{*\beta} q_{\nu}^{*\gamma} s_{\nu}.$$

Now $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, r^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\gamma})$ means that

$$\frac{1}{(p^{*(\beta-\alpha)} * r^{*(\alpha+\gamma)})_n} \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*(\beta-\alpha)} r_k^{*(\alpha+\gamma)} \frac{1}{r_k^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}} \sum_{\nu=0}^k p_{k-\nu}^{*\alpha} q_{\nu}^{*\gamma} s_{\nu} \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

but by the above identities this is the same as

$$\frac{1}{(p^{*\beta} * q^{*\gamma})_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu}^{*\beta} q_{\nu}^{*\gamma} s_{\nu} \rightarrow s \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

which is $(N, p^{*\beta}, q^{*\gamma})$ convergence. ■

A classical result of Knopp [9, Theorem 149] gives a connection between Cesàro convergence with speed and Euler convergence. We generalize this for general (p_n) with an additional condition on the sequence (s_n) . (In [10, Theorem 2] this generalization is given with an additional condition on the (p_n) , but without conditions on the (s_n) .)

LEMMA 4. *Let (p_n) be a sequence of weights satisfying (2.1(B)) and $\phi(\cdot)$ as in (2.3). Furthermore assume that $s_n = O(1)$. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (s_k + \varepsilon_k) = s + o\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{n}\right), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \text{ with some nullsequence } (\varepsilon_n)$$

implies $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

PROOF. Since $s_n = O(1)$ we can use the asymptotic weights computed in the Corollary to Lemma 1 in the $(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ method. By inclusion we have only to show the implication for the $(N, p, p^{*\beta})$ method. Because of regularity and linearity we can suppose $s = 0$ and omit the convergent sequence (ε_k) . Thus the hypothesis becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^n s_k = o(\phi(n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

For given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq l \geq m \geq N$

$$\left| \sum_{k=m}^l s_k \right| \leq \varepsilon \phi(l) \leq \varepsilon \phi(n),$$

using also the monotonicity of $\phi(\cdot)$. By the Corollary to Lemma 1 and since $s_n = O(1)$ we have for the $(N, p, p^{*\beta})$ -transform t_n

$$t_n = \sqrt{\frac{\beta+1}{2\pi\beta}} \phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta+1}\right)^{-1} \sum_{\left|k - \frac{n\beta}{\beta+1}\right| \leq \varepsilon(n)} \exp\left\{-\frac{\beta+1}{2\beta} \left(\frac{k - \frac{n\beta}{\beta+1}}{\phi\left(\frac{n}{\beta+1}\right)}\right)^2\right\} s_k + o(1),$$

with a function $\varepsilon(\cdot)$ as in (3.2). So the weights are piecewise monotonic and the maximal weight is for $k = \frac{n\beta}{\beta+1}$. We therefore split the sum in two parts, namely

$$t_n = \sum_{\frac{n\beta}{\beta+1} - \varepsilon(n) \leq k < \frac{n\beta}{\beta+1}} \dots + \sum_{\frac{n\beta}{\beta+1} \leq k \leq \frac{n\beta}{\beta+1} + \varepsilon(n)} \dots + o(1).$$

Using Abels partial summation and the monotonicity of the weights we find that each of the two sums is bounded by $\varepsilon \frac{\phi(n)}{\phi(n)/(\beta+1)}$. Since $\phi(n/\gamma) = O(\phi(n))$ for any fixed $\gamma > 0$, we obtain the desired result. ■

Cesàro-convergence with speed is also connected to the methods of moving-averages by the following

PROPOSITION 3. *The following statements are equivalent for a self-neglecting function $\phi(\cdot)$*

- (i) $\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (s_k + \varepsilon_k) = s + o\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{n}\right)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for some $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$.
- (ii) $\frac{1}{u\phi(n)} \sum_{n \leq k < n+u\phi(n)} s_k \rightarrow s, \forall u > 0, (n \rightarrow \infty)$.

For the proof see [2], for notation and properties of self-neglecting functions consult [3, §2.11].

In the Euler-Borel case we have the identity $(B) \circ (E_p) \approx (B)$. A similar identity can be obtained in the general case. For a related calculation compare [7].

LEMMA 5. *Assume that (p_n) and (q_n) satisfy (1.1) with the same radius of convergence R and let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$ then*

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, q^{*\beta}) \Leftrightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(P, r^{*(\alpha+\beta)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta}).$$

PROOF. $s_n \rightarrow s(P, q^{*\beta})$ means that $\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n q_n^{*\beta} x^n}{(q(x))^\beta} \rightarrow s, (x \rightarrow R)$, and $s_n \rightarrow s(P, r^{*(\alpha+\beta)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta})$ means that

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*\alpha} s_k q_k^{*\beta} \right) x^n}{(p(x))^\alpha (q(x))^\beta} \rightarrow s \quad (x \rightarrow R).$$

But

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n q_n^{*\beta} x^n}{(q(x))^\beta} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*\alpha} s_k q_k^{*\beta} \right) x^n}{(p(x))^\alpha (q(x))^\beta},$$

and this proves the proposition. ■

Using Borwein’s Theorem, *i.e.* Proposition 1, we obtain

COROLLARY. *If the assumptions of Lemma 5 hold true and if $\frac{q_n^{*\beta}}{r_n^{*(\alpha+\beta)}}$ is a totally monotone sequence, then*

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, q^{*\beta}) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(P, q^{*\beta}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, q^{*\beta}).$$

Generalizing Theorem 1 in [10] slightly we obtain the following Tauberian theorem:

THEOREM 2. Assume that (p_n) satisfies (1.1) and (2.1(B)). Then we have under the Tauberian condition $s_n = O(1)$ that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\gamma}) \text{ implies } s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

REMARK 3. (i) Under (2.1) $(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ is regular for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

(ii) $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\beta})$ implies always $s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\beta})$, since

$$\sigma_{p^{*\beta}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n p_n^{*\beta} x^n}{(p(x))^\beta} = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n^{*(\alpha+\beta)} \frac{1}{p_n^{*(\alpha+\beta)}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n p_{n-k}^{*\alpha} p_k^{*\beta} s_k\right) x^n}{(p(x))^\alpha (p(x))^\beta},$$

and since $(P, p^{*(\alpha+\beta)})$ is regular, the Abelian conclusion follows.

PROOF. By Lemma 3(ii), it is sufficient to consider $\alpha = 1$. Define $s(u) = s_{[u]}$ if $u \geq 0$ and $s(u) = 0$ if $u < 0$ and $K(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \exp\{-x^2/2\}$.

Since $s_n = O(1)$ we have by Lemma 2(ii), that $s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\gamma})$ implies

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K\left(\frac{x-t}{\sqrt{\gamma}\phi(\frac{x}{\gamma})}\right) s(t) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\gamma}\phi(x/\gamma)} = s.$$

The conditions of Theorem 1 of [15], i.e. $K(x) \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$, the Fourier-transform of K is nonvanishing for any real argument and $\phi(\cdot)$ is self-neglecting, are trivially satisfied.

It follows now from that theorem that if we choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and define

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, & \text{if } x \in (-\varepsilon, 0), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin (-\varepsilon, 0), \end{cases}$$

that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H\left(\frac{x-t}{\sqrt{\gamma}\phi(x)}\right) s(t) \frac{dt}{\sqrt{\gamma}\phi(x/\gamma)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{\gamma}\phi(x/\gamma)} \sum_{x < k < x + \varepsilon \sqrt{\gamma}\phi(x/\gamma)} s_k = s.$$

Because $\phi(\cdot)$ is self-neglecting and $\phi(x/\gamma) = O(\phi(x))$, for any fixed $\gamma > 0$, we obtain by Proposition 3, that

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (s_k + \varepsilon_k) = s + o\left(\frac{\phi(n)}{n}\right),$$

which in turn by Lemma 4 implies that $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p, p^{*\beta})$. ■

4. Proofs.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Part (i) by Lemma 5:

$$s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*\gamma}) \iff s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}).$$

In case (A): We apply Karamatas' Tauberian theorem (observe Lemma 1) (see [2, Theorem 1.7.6, 18]) and obtain

$$s_n \rightarrow s(N, \mathbf{1}, p^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}).$$

Since $s_n = O(1)(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma})$ we can use the asymptotic weights and assume w.l.o.g that $p_n^{*(\beta-\alpha)}$ is nondecreasing and by Theorem 3 in Das [8] we get

$$s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, p^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}),$$

which by Lemma 3(i) implies our result.

In case (B): Since $s_n = O(1)(N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma})$ we can use Theorem 2 to obtain directly

$$s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*(\beta-\alpha)}, p^{*(\alpha+\gamma)}) \circ (N, p^{*\alpha}, p^{*\gamma}).$$

The last step is as above.

Part (ii) is directly implied by part (i) and by the Abelian inclusion. ■

REMARK 4. Boos/Tietz [4] gave an alternative proof of Theorem 1 in the Borel-case. The basic steps are as follows ($\alpha = \gamma = 1, \beta = 2$)

- (i) $s_n \rightarrow s(P, p) \Rightarrow s_n \rightarrow s(P, p^{*3})(N, p^{*2}, p)$
- (ii) $(N, p^{*2}, p) = (N, p, p^{*2})(N, p, p)$. Hence if (*) $((N, p, p^{*2})x)_n - ((N, p, p^{*2})x)_{n-1} = O(1/\phi(n))$ for bounded sequences (x_n) , one can use the O -Tauberian theorems in [12, 13] to conclude
- (iii) $s_n \rightarrow s(N, p^{*2}, p)$.

The statement (*) in (ii) is true for some special cases, like $p_n = 1/n!$, but has not been obtained in general so far.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Observe that e.g. in case $R = \infty$

$$\sigma_{p^{*\beta}}(x) = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \frac{p_n^{*\beta}}{p_n^{*\alpha}} p_n^{*\alpha} x^n}{(p(x))^{\beta}} = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{p(xt)^{\alpha}}{p(x)^{\beta}} \sigma_{p^{*\alpha}}(xt) d\chi(t) = L(\sigma_{p^{*\alpha}}(\cdot), x).$$

The interchange of integral and sum is allowed because of the absolute convergence for $x > 0$. We now follow the arguments in an unpublished paper by A. Jakimovski (oral communication, see also [16] for details.)

$L(f, x)$ is a positive linear operator on a linear space of real functions in $C[0, \infty)$ with the properties:

- (i) There exists $e(t) > 0, e(t) \rightarrow 1, t \rightarrow \infty$ such that $L(e(\cdot), x) \rightarrow 1, x \rightarrow \infty$, namely $e(t) = \sigma_{p^{*\alpha}}(t)$ with the sequence (s_n) chosen to be $(1, 1, \dots)$.

(ii) There exists some $e_0(t) > 0$ such that $L(e_0(\cdot), x) \rightarrow 0, x \rightarrow \infty$, namely $e_0(t) = \sigma_{p^* \alpha}(t) = p_0^{*\alpha} / p(t)^\alpha$, with the sequence (s_n) chosen to be $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$.

From (i) and the assumptions we find

$$|f(t) - se(t)| < \varepsilon/2 \leq \varepsilon e(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0(\varepsilon),$$

and by (ii)

$$|f(t) - se(t)| \leq M \leq \frac{M}{m} e_0(t), \quad t \in [0, t_0(\varepsilon)],$$

with suitable M, m . Hence for $t \geq 0$:

$$|f(t) - se(t)| \leq \varepsilon e(t) + \frac{M}{m} e_0(t).$$

Since L is linear and positive we obtain that $L(f(\cdot), x) \rightarrow s$ if $f(x) \rightarrow s$, which yields the desired result. ■

REFERENCES

1. A. F. Andersen, *Studier over Cesàro's summabilitetsmetode*, Dissertation, København, 1921.
2. N. H. Bingham and C. M. Goldie, *On one-sided Tauberian conditions*, *Analysis* **3**(1983), 159–188.
3. N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie and J. L. Teugels, *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
4. J. Boos and H. Tietz, *Convexity theorems for the circle methods of summability*, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **40**(1992), 151–155.
5. D. Borwein, *On summability methods based on Power Series*, *Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **64**(1957), 342–349.
6. D. Borwein and W. Kratz, *An O -Tauberian theorem and a High Indices theorem for power series methods of summability*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **115**(1994), 365–375.
7. G. Das, *On some methods of summability*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **17**(1966), 244–256.
8. ———, *On some methods of summability II*, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **19**(1968), 417–431.
9. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Press, 1949.
10. R. Kiesel, *General Nörlund transforms and power series methods*, *Math. Z.* **214**(1993), 273–286.
11. R. Kiesel and U. Stadtmüller, *Tauberian theorems for general power series methods*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge. Phil. Soc.* **110**(1991), 483–490.
12. W. Kratz and U. Stadtmüller, *O -Tauberian theorems for (J_p) -methods with rapidly increasing weights*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **41**(1990), 489–502.
13. ———, *Tauberian theorems for Borel-type methods of summability*, *Arch. Math.* **55**(1990), 465–474.
14. J. E. Littlewood, *The converse of Abel's theorem on power series*, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **9**(1911), 434–448.
15. T. T. Moh, *On a General Tauberian theorem*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **36**(1972), 167–172.
16. W. Motzer, *Taubersätze zwischen Potenzreihenverfahren und speziellen Matrixverfahren*, Dissertation, Universität Ulm, Ulm, 1993.
17. A. Peyerimhoff, *Lectures on Summability*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **107**, Springer-Verlag, 1969.
18. H. Tietz and R. Trautner, *Taubersätze für Potenzreihen*, *Arch. Math.* **50**(1988), 164–174.
19. K. Zeller and W. Beekmann, *Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
20. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series, Vol. I*, Cambridge University Press, 1968.

Universität Ulm

Abteilung Stochastik

D-89069 Ulm

Germany

e-mail: kiesel@mathematik.uni-ulm.de

stamue@mathematik.uni-ulm.de