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We present some existence results for the "nonresonant" singular boundary value problem $\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu y=f(t, y)$ a.e. on $[0,1]$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=y(1)=0$. Here $\mu$ is such that $\frac{1}{p q}\left(p u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu u=0$ a.e. on $[0,1]$ with $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)=u(1)=0$ has only the trivial solution.
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## 1. Introduction

This paper establishes existence results for the "nonresonant" singular boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p(t)(t)}\left(p(t) y^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+\mu y(t)=f(t, y(t)) \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{1.1}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\mu$ is such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu y(t)=0 \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{1.2}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has only the trivial solution. Throughout the paper $p \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ together with $p>0$ on ( 0,1 ); also $q$ is measurable with $q>0$ a.e. on [0,1] and $\int_{0}^{1} p(x) q(x) d x<\infty$.

Remarks. (i). Throughout the condition $y(1)=0$ could be replaced by the more general condition $a y(1)+b \lim _{1 \rightarrow 1}-p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0, a>0, b \geqq 0$.
(ii). We do not assume $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}<\infty$.

In addition $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ will be a Carathéodory function. By this we mean:
(i). $t \rightarrow f(t, y)$ is measurable for all $y \in \mathbf{R}$
(ii). $y \rightarrow f(t, y)$ is a continuous for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$.

For notional purposes let $w$ be a weight function. By $L_{w}^{r}[0,1], r \geqq 1$ we mean the space of functions $u$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} w(t)|u(t)|^{r} d t<\infty$. In particular $L_{w}^{2}[0,1]$ denotes the space of functions $u$ such that $\int_{0}^{1} w(t)|u(t)|^{2} d t<\infty$; also for $u, v \in L_{w}^{2}[0,1]$ define $\langle u, v\rangle=$
$\int_{0}^{1} w(t) u(t) \overline{v(t)} d t$. Let $A C[0,1]$ be the space of functions which are absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$.

This paper will be divided into three main sections. Section 2 discusses the linear problem i.e. (1.1) with $f \equiv 0$. In Section 3 fixed point methods (in particular a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type) is used to obtain an existence principle. The final section establishes some existence results for (1.1); these results extend and complement the theory in $[4,6,21]$.

Finally we remark here that problems of type (1.1) occur in many applications in the physical sciences, for example in radially symmetric nonlinear diffusion [20,22] in the $n$-dimensional sphere we have $p(t)=t^{n-1}$; these problems involve a homogeneous Neumann condition at zero i.e. $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} t^{n-1} y^{\prime}(t)=0$. Another important example is the Poisson-Boltzmann equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\alpha}{t} y^{\prime}=f(t, y), 0<t<1  \tag{1.3}\\
y^{\prime}\left(0^{+}\right)=y(1)=0, \alpha \geqq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

which occurs in the theory of thermal explosions [3] and in the theory of electrohydrodynamics [11]. The results related to (1.3) in the literature [4] usually consider the situation when inf $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$, sup $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ are bounded and satisfy a "nonresonant" condition; here the infimum and supremum are taken over $\{(t, y): 0 \leqq t \leqq 1,-\infty<y<\infty\}$. In this paper we improve the above existence result; in fact in our theory the existence of $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$ is not assumed.

## 2. Linear problem

Theorem 2.1. Suppose

$$
\begin{gather*}
p \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1) \text { with } p>0 \text { on }(0,1)  \tag{2.1}\\
q \in L_{p}^{1}[0,1] \text { with } q>0 \text { a.e. on }[0,1] \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha} d s<\infty \text { for some constant } \alpha>1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied.
(i) Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu q y=0 \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{2.4}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(0)=a_{0} \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a solution $y_{1} \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ with $p y_{1}^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$. (By a solution to (2.4) we mean a function $y \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1), p y^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$ which satisfies the differential equation a.e. on $[0,1]$ and the stated conditions.)
(ii) Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu q y=0 \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{2.5}\\
y(1)=0 \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1^{-}}-p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a solution $y_{2} \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ with $y_{2} \in C(0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ and $p y_{2}^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$.
Proof. (i). Let $C[0,1]$ denote the Banach space of continuous functions on [0,1] endowed with the norm

$$
|u|_{K}=\sup _{t \in[0,1]}\left|e^{-K R(t)} u(t)\right| \quad \text { where } \quad R(t)=\int_{0}^{t} p(x) q(x) d x
$$

and

$$
K=\frac{1}{\beta}\left(|\mu| \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha} d s\right)^{\beta}
$$

Remark. Here $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ i.e. $\beta$ and $\alpha$ are conjugate exponents.
Solving (2.4) is equivalent to finding $y \in C[0,1]$ which satisfies

$$
y(t)=a_{0}-\mu \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) y(x) d x d s
$$

Define the operator $N: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ by

$$
N y(t)=a_{0}-\mu \int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) y(x) d x d s
$$

Now $N$ is a contraction since

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|N u-N v|_{K} \leqq|\mu||u-v|_{K} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} e^{-K R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) e^{K R(x)} d x d s \\
& \leqq|\mu||u-v|_{K} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} e^{-K R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p q d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p q e^{\beta K R(x)} d x\right)^{1 / \beta} d s \\
& \leqq|\mu||u-v|_{K} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} e^{-K R(t)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left(\frac{e^{\beta K R(s)}}{\beta K}-\frac{1}{\beta K}\right)^{1 / \beta} d s \\
& \leqq \frac{|\mu|}{(\beta K)^{1 / \beta}}|u-v|_{K} \sup _{t \in[0,1]} e^{-K R(t)}\left(e^{1}\{\beta K R(t)\}-1\right)^{1 / \beta} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / x} d s \\
& \leqq\left(1-e^{-\beta K R(1)}\right)^{1 / \beta}|u-v|_{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

using Hölder's integral inequality. The Banach contraction principle now establishes the result.
(ii). Let $L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ denote the Banach space of functions $u$, with $\int_{0}^{1} p q|u|^{\alpha} d t<\infty$, endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{K}=\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) e^{-K Q(t)}|u(t)|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{1 / \alpha} \quad \text { where } Q(t)=\int_{t}^{1} p(x) q(x) d x
$$

and

$$
K=\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\left(|\mu|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t\right)^{\beta / \alpha} \quad \text { where } \beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} .
$$

Remarks. (i). Notice for example that $\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}<\infty$ since

$$
\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}=\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}}{p(s)\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}} d s \leqq \frac{1}{\left(\int_{0}^{1 / 2} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha}} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / \alpha} d s
$$

(ii). Notice (2.3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t<\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this let

$$
g(t)=\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha-1}
$$

and fix $\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<1$. Interchange the order of integration and use Hölder's inequality to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} p(t) q(t) g(t) \int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)} d t & =\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{\varepsilon}^{s} p(t) q(t) g(t) d t \\
& \leqq\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} p(t) q(t) g^{\beta}(t) d t\right)^{1 / \beta} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{s} p(t) q(t) d t\right)^{1 / \alpha} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \leqq\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{i}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t\right)^{1 / \beta} \int_{\varepsilon}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{\varepsilon}^{s} p(t) q(t) d t\right)^{1 / \alpha} d s
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=-\int_{i}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}-\mu \int_{i}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{s}^{1} p(x) q(x) y(x) d x d s \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a solution $y_{2} \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$. Also we will show $y_{2} \in C(0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ and $p y_{2}^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$ and consequently $y_{2}$ will be a solution of (2.5).

Define the operator: $L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ by

$$
M y(t)=-\int_{i}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}-\mu \int_{:}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{s}^{1} p(x) q(x) y(x) d x d s
$$

Remark. $\quad M$ is well defined because of (2.6) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} p q\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{1}{p} \int_{s}^{1} p q|y| d x d s\right)^{\alpha} d t & \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q|y| d x\right)^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q|y|^{\alpha} d x\right)\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q d x\right)^{\alpha / \beta} \int_{0}^{1} p q\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $y \in L_{p q}^{x}[0,1]$.
Now $M$ is a contraction since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|M u-M v\|_{K}^{\alpha} & \leqq|\mu|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q e^{-K Q(t)}\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{s}^{1} p(x) q(x)|u(x)-v(x)| d x d s\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq|\mu|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q e^{-K Q(t)}\left(\int_{1}^{1} p q e^{-K Q(x) / \alpha} e^{K Q(x) / \alpha}|u(x)-v(x)| d x \int_{i}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq|\mu|^{\alpha}\|u-v\|_{K}^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q e^{-K Q(t)}\left(\int_{1}^{1} p q e^{\beta K Q(x) / \alpha} d x\right)^{\alpha / \beta}\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq|\mu|^{\alpha}\|u-v\|_{K}^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q e^{-K Q(t)}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta K} e^{\beta K Q(t) / \alpha}-\frac{\alpha}{\beta K}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta K}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}|\mu|^{\alpha}\|u-v\|_{K}^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p q\left(1-e^{-\beta K Q(t) / \alpha}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& \leqq\left(1-e^{-\beta K Q(0) / \alpha}\right)^{\alpha / \beta}\|u-v\|_{K}^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The Banach contraction principle now establishes that (2.7) has a solution $y_{2} \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$. Also

$$
p(t) y_{2}^{\prime}(t)=1+\mu \int_{t}^{1} p(x) q(x) y_{2}(x) d x
$$

so $p y_{2}^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$ since $y_{2} \in L_{p q}^{a}[0,1]$ implies $p q y_{2} \in L^{1}[0,1]$. Thus $y_{2}$ is a solution of (2.5).

Consider now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu y=h(t) \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \in L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1] ; \text { here } \beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.
Theorem 2.2. Suppose (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and (2.9) are satisfied. In addition $\mu$ is such that (1.2) has only the trivial solution. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu y=h(t) \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{2.10}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has exactly one solution $y$ (note $y \in L_{p q}^{a}[0,1]$ with $y \in C(0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ and $\left.p y^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) q(s) h(s) d s \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t, s)$ is the Green's function i.e.

$$
G(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t)}{W(s)}=c_{0} p(s) y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t), 0<s \leqq t \\
\frac{y_{1}(t) y_{2}(s)}{W(s)}=c_{0} p(s) y_{2}(s) y_{1}(t), 0 \leqq s<1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ are as described in Theorem 2.1 and $W(s)$ is the Wronksian of $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ at $s$ and notice $p(s) W(s)=\left(1 / c_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for $s \in[0,1]$.

Proof. This follows the standard construction of the Green's function; see [22, 24] for example. We will just justify that $p(s) W(s) \neq 0$ for $s \in[0,1]$. To see this all one needs to show is that $y_{1}(1) \neq 0$. If $y_{1}(1)=0$ then $y_{1}$ satisfies (1.2) and consequently $y_{1} \equiv 0$. This contradicts the fact that $y(0)=a_{0} \neq 0$.

Remark. Notice $y$ in (2.11) is in $L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{t}^{1} p(s) q\left|y_{2}(s) y_{1}(t) h(s)\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t \leqq \int_{0}^{1} p q\left|y_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{1} p q\left|y_{2}\right|^{\alpha} d s\right)\left(\int_{i}^{1} p q|h|^{\beta} d s\right)^{\alpha / \beta} d s
$$

and so

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{0}^{t} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t) h(s)\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t<\infty
$$

## 3. Existence principle

We use a nonlinear alternative of Leray-Schauder type [9] to establish our existence principle. By a map being compact we mean it is continuous with relatively compact range. A map is completely continuous if it is continuous and the image of every bounded set in the domain is contained in a compact set in the range.

Theorem 3.1. Assume $U$ is a relatively open subset of a convex set $K$ in a Banach space E. Let $N: \bar{U} \rightarrow K$ be a compact map with $0 \in U$. Then either
(i) $N$ has a fixed point in $\bar{U}$; or
(ii) there is $a u \in \partial U$ and $a \lambda \in(0,1)$ such that $u=\lambda N u$.

Next we gather some well known results [12] from the theory of nonlinear integral equations.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha>1$ be a constant and $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carathéodory function. Define the operator

$$
F y(t)=f(t, y(t))
$$

and suppose $F: L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1]$; here $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$. Then $F$ is continuous and bounded.
Theorem 3.3. Consider the linear integral operator

$$
A y(t)=\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) k(t, s) y(s) d s
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)|k(t, s)|^{\alpha} d s d t<\infty \text { for some } \alpha>1 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A: L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1], \beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$ is completely continuous.
We next prove an existence principle for (1.1).

Theorem 3.4. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carethéodory function and suppose (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) are satisfied. Also suppose

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, y(t)) \in L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1] \quad \text { whenever } \quad y \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] ; \text { here } \quad \beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition $\mu$ is such that (1.2) has only the trivial solution. Now suppose there is a constant $M_{0}$, independent of $\lambda$, with

$$
\|y\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{1 / \alpha} \leqq M_{0}
$$

for any solution $y$ (here $y \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ with $y \in C(0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ and $\left.p y^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]\right)$ to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\mu y=\lambda f(t, y) \quad \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{3.3}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Then (1.1) has at least one solution.
Proof. Solving (3.3) $)_{\lambda}$ is equivalent to finding $y \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\lambda \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) k(t, s) f(s, y(s)) d s \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
k(t, s)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{0} y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t), 0<s \leqq t \\
c_{0} y_{2}(s) y_{1}(t), t \leqq s<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $y_{1}, y_{2}, c_{0}$ are described in Theorem 2.2. Define the operator $N: L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ by

$$
N y(t)=\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) k(t, s) f(s, y(s) d s
$$

Remark. $N$ is well defined since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p q\left(\int_{t}^{1} p q\left|y_{1}(t) y_{2}(s) f(s, y)\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t \leqq \int_{0}^{1} p q\left|y_{1}\right|^{\alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{1} p q\left|y_{2}\right|^{\alpha} d s\right)\left(\int_{t}^{1} p q|f(s, y)|^{\beta} d s\right)^{\alpha / \beta} d t
$$

and so

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t) f(s, y(s))\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t<\infty
$$

Next define $F: L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1]$ by

$$
F y(t)=f(t, y(t))
$$

and $A: L_{p q}^{\beta}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ by

$$
A y(t)=\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) k(t, s) y(s) d s
$$

Notice (3.2) and Theorem 3.2 implies $F$ is bounded and continuous. $A$ is completely continuous by Theorem 3.3.

Remark. Notice $\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)|k(t, s)|^{\alpha} d s d t<\infty$ since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{1}(s) y_{2}(t)\right|^{\alpha} d s d t \leqq \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left|y_{2}(t)\right|^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{1}(s)\right|^{\alpha} d s d t<\infty\right.
$$

and so

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left(\int_{1}^{1} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{2}(s) y_{1}(t)\right|^{2} d s d t<\infty\right.
$$

Consequently $N=A F: L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] \rightarrow L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]$ is completely continuous. Set

$$
U=\left\{u \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]:\|u\|<M_{0}+1\right\}, K=E=L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] .
$$

Then Theorem 3.1 implies that $N$ has a fixed point i.e. (1.1) has a solution $y \in L_{p q}^{a}[0,1]$. The fact that $y \in C(0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ with $p y^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]$ follows from (3.4) with $\lambda=1$.

## 4. Existence theory

Theorem 4.1. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carathéodory function and suppose (2.1), (2.2)
and (2.3) are satisfied. In addition $\mu$ is such that (1.2) has only the trivial solution. Let $\beta=\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}$. Now assume

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
|f(t, u)| \leqq \phi_{1}(t)+\phi_{2}(t) \psi(|u|) \text { a.e. on }[0,1] \text { where } \phi_{1}^{\beta}, \phi_{2} \in L_{p q}^{1}[0,1] \\
\text { and } \psi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty) \text { is a continuous function }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { there exists } Q_{0} \geqq 0 \text { and a continuous function } \theta:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty) \text { with } \\
\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) \phi_{2}^{\beta}(s) \psi^{\beta}(|y(s)|) d s \leqq Q_{0} \theta(\|y\|) \text { for any } y \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1] ; \\
\text { here }\|y\|=\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)|y(t)|^{\alpha} d t\right)^{1 / \alpha}
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{0} \equiv 2^{q 0} c_{0}^{\alpha} Q_{0}^{\alpha / \beta}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\alpha} \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\theta(x))^{\alpha / \beta}}{x^{\alpha}}<1 \text { where } y_{1}, y_{2}, c_{0}  \tag{4.3}\\
\text { are as described in Theorem } 2.2 \text { and } q_{0}=\frac{2 \alpha^{2} \beta-\beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta}{\alpha \beta}
\end{array}\right.
$$

are satisfied. Then (1.1) has at least one solution.
Remarks. (i). Notice (3.2) is automatically satisfied since (4.2) holds and also since $\phi_{1}^{\beta} \in L_{p q}^{1}[0,1]$.
(ii). If $\psi(|u|)=|u|^{\gamma}, 0 \leqq \gamma<\min \left\{\frac{\alpha}{\beta}, 1\right\}$ and $\phi_{2}^{\beta a /(\alpha-\beta \gamma)} \in L_{p q}^{1}[0,1]$ then (4.2) and (4.3) are satisfied since

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) \phi_{2}^{\beta}(s)|y(s)|^{\beta \gamma} d s \leqq\|y\|^{\beta \gamma}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{2}^{\beta \alpha /(\alpha-\beta \gamma)} d s\right)^{(\alpha-\beta \gamma) / \alpha} \text { for any } y \in L_{p q}^{\alpha}[0,1]
$$

and so with $\theta(x)=x^{\beta \gamma}$ we have

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\theta(x))^{\alpha / \beta}}{x^{\alpha}}=\underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } x^{\alpha(y-1)}=0 .
$$

Proof. Let $y$ be a solution to $(3.3)_{\lambda}$ for $0<\lambda<1$. Then

$$
y(t)=\lambda c_{0} y_{2}(t) \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) y_{1}(s) f(s, y(s)) d s+\lambda c_{0} y_{1}(t) \int_{1}^{1} p(s) q(s) f(s, y(s)) d s
$$

where $y_{1}, y_{2}, c_{0}$ are as described in Theorem 2.2. Recall $\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)^{r_{0}} \leqq 2^{r_{0}-1}\left(a_{0}^{r_{0}}+b_{0}^{r_{0}}\right), a_{0} \geqq$ $0, b_{0} \geqq 0, r_{0} \geqq 1$ so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|y\|^{\alpha} & \leqq 2^{\alpha-1} c_{0}^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left|y_{2}(t)\right|^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{t} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{1}(s) \| f(s, y(s))\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t \\
& +2^{\alpha-1} c_{0}^{\alpha} \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t)\left|y_{1}(t)\right|^{\alpha}\left(\int_{t}^{1} p(s) q(s)\left|y_{2}(s) \| f(s, y(s))\right| d s\right)^{\alpha} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

This together with Hölder's inequality implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|^{\alpha} \leqq 2^{\alpha} c_{0}^{\alpha}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\alpha}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)|f(s, y(s))|^{\beta} d s\right)^{\alpha / \beta} . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s)|f(s,(s))|^{\beta} d s & \leqq 2^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) \phi_{1}^{\beta}(s) d s+2^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) \phi_{2}^{\beta}(s) \psi^{\beta}(|y(s)|) d s \\
& \leqq 2^{\beta-1} \int_{0}^{1} p(s) q(s) \phi_{1}^{\beta}(s) d s+2^{\beta-1} Q_{0} \theta(\|y\|) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This inequality together with $\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)^{1 / r_{0}} \leqq 2^{\left(r_{0}-1\right) / r_{0}}\left(a_{0}^{1 / r_{0}}+b_{0}^{1 / r_{0}}\right), a_{0} \geqq 0, b_{0} \geqq 0, r_{0} \geqq 1$ or $\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)^{s_{0}} \leqq 2^{s_{0}-1}\left(a_{0}^{s_{0}}+b_{0}^{s_{0}}\right), s_{0} \geqq 1$ and (4.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|^{\alpha} \leqq 2^{\alpha} c_{0}^{\alpha}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\alpha} 2^{(\alpha-\beta) / \alpha}\left(2^{\alpha(\beta-1) / \beta}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{1}^{\beta} d s\right)^{\alpha / \beta}+2^{\alpha(\beta-1) / \beta} Q_{0}^{\alpha / \beta}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(\|y\|))^{\alpha / \beta}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqq 2^{q_{0}} c_{0}^{\alpha}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{\alpha}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\left(\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{1}^{\beta} d s\right)^{\alpha / \beta}}{\|y\|^{\alpha}}+\frac{Q_{0}^{\alpha / \beta}(\theta(\|y\|))^{\alpha / \beta}}{\|y\|^{\alpha}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus there exists a constant $M_{0}$, independent of $\lambda$, with $\|y\| \leqq M_{0}$ for any solution $y$ satisfying (3.3) i.e. $y=\lambda N y$ where $N$ is as described in Theorem 3.4. If this was not true then there exists $u_{n}=\lambda_{n} N u_{n}$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and since limsup $\left(s_{n}+t_{n}\right) \leqq$ $\limsup s_{n}+\lim \sup t_{n}$ for any sequences $s_{n} \geqq 0, t_{n} \geqq 0$ we have from (4.6) that $1 \leqq A_{0}$, a contradiction (see (4.3)). Thus there exists a constant $M_{0}$, independent of $\lambda$, with $\|y\| \leqq M_{0}$ and the result now follows from Theorem 3.4.

The next two existence results extend in a "particular direction" Theorem 4.1 if certain criteria are fulfilled. To discuss the first result we begin by gathering together some facts on the singular eigenvalue problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L u=\lambda u \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{4.7}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) u^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
u(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $L u=-\frac{1}{p q}\left(p u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Assume (2.1), (2.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2} d s<\infty \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold.

Remarks. (i). In this case $\alpha=2$ in (2.3).
(ii). Here $t=0$ is a singular point in the limit circle case [18, 19, 24].

Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
D(L)=\left\{\omega \in C[0,1]: w, p w^{\prime} \in A C[0,1] \text { with } \frac{1}{p q}\left(p w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime} \in L_{p q}^{2}[0,1]\right. \\
\text { and } \left.\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) w^{\prime}(t)=w(1)=0\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In $[18,19]$ it was shown that $L^{-1}: L_{p q}^{2}[0,1] \rightarrow D(L)$ and $L^{-1}$ is completely continuous with $\left\langle L^{-1} u, v\right\rangle=\left\langle u, L^{-1} v\right\rangle$ for $u, v \in L_{p q}^{2}[0,1]$. Consequently the spectral theorem for compact self adjoint operators [24] implies that $L$ has a countably infinite number of real eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ with corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi_{i} \in D(L)$. The eigenfunctions $\psi_{i}$ may be chosen so that they form an orthonormal set and we may arrange the eigenvalues so that

$$
\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots
$$

The following Rayleigh-Ritz minimization theorem [18, 19] also holds.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose (2.1), (2.2) and (4.8) hold. Then

$$
\lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) y^{2}(t) d t \leqq \int_{0}^{1} p(t)\left[y^{\prime}(t)\right]^{2} d t
$$

for all functions $y \in D(L)$.
We can improve the result in Theorem 4.1 if (4.8) holds and if $\mu<\lambda_{0}$; here $\lambda_{0}$ is the first eigenvalue of (4.7). In particular consider

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=f(t, y) \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{4.9}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.3. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a Carathéodory function and suppose (2.1), (2.2) and (4.8) are satisfied. Also assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, y(t)) \in L_{p q}^{2}[0,1] \text { whenever } y \in L_{p q}^{2}[0,1] . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition suppose $f(t, u)=g(t, u)+h(t, u)$ with $g, h:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ Carathéodory functions and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|u h(t, u)| \leqq \phi_{1}(t)|u|+\phi_{2}(t) \rho(|u|) \text { a.e. on }[0,1] \text { where } \rho:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)  \tag{4.11}\\
\text { is a nondecreasing continuous function }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
u g(t, u) \geqq-\mu_{0} u^{2} \text { for a.e. } t \in[0,1] \text { and } u \in \mathbf{R} ; \text { here } \mu_{0}<\lambda_{0}  \tag{4.12}\\
\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \phi_{1}(t)\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2} d t<\infty \text { and } \int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \phi_{2}(t) \rho\left(\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d t<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { there exist constants } \left.Q_{1} \text { (independent of } a_{0} \text { and } b_{0}\right) \text { and } Q_{2} \text { such that for any }  \tag{4.14}\\
a_{0} \geqq 0, b_{0} \geqq 0 \text { we have } \rho\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right) \leqq Q_{1} \rho\left(a_{0}\right) \rho\left(b_{0}\right)+Q_{2} \rho\left(b_{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A_{1} \equiv Q_{1}\left(\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \phi_{2}(t) \rho\left(\left(\int_{1}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d t\right) \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(x)}{x^{2}}<\eta_{0}  \tag{4.15}\\
\text { with } \eta_{0}=1 \text { if } \mu_{0}<0 \text { whereas } \eta_{0}=1-\frac{\mu_{0}}{\lambda_{0}} \text { if } 0 \leqq \mu_{0}<\lambda_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

are satisfied. Then (4.9) has at least one solution.
Remark. If $\rho(|u|)=|u|^{\gamma+1}, 0 \leqq \gamma<1$ and $\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \phi_{2}(t)\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{(\gamma+1) / 2} d t<\infty$ then (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15) are satisfied since if $Q_{1}=1, Q_{2}=0$ we have $\rho\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)=\left|a_{0} b_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}=$ $\left|a_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}\left|b_{0}\right|^{\gamma+1}$ and also

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho(x)}{x^{2}}=\underset{x \rightarrow \infty}{\lim \sup } x^{\nu-1}=0
$$

Proof. Let $y$ be a solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda f(t, y) \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{4.16}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $0<\lambda<1$. Multiply the differential equation by $-y$ and integrate from 0 to 1 to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} & \leqq-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} p q y g(t, y) d t+\int_{0}^{1} p q|y h(t, y)| d t \\
& \leqq \lambda \mu_{0}\|y\|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1} p q\left[\phi_{1}(t)|y(t)|+\phi_{1}(t) \rho(|y(t)|)\right] d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where for notational purposes $\|u\|^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} p q|u|^{2} d t$ and $\|u\|_{0}^{2}=\int_{0}^{1} p|u|^{2} d t$. Apply Theorem 4.2 if $0 \leqq \mu_{0}<\lambda_{0}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{0}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leqq \int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{1}|y| d t+\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{2} \rho(|y(t)|) d t \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{0}$ is as described in (4.15). Also for $t \in(0,1)$ we have from Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(t)| \leqq\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this together with (4.17) and the fact that $\rho$ is nondecreasing yields

$$
\eta_{0}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leqq N_{1}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}+\int_{0}^{1} p(t) q(t) \phi_{2}(t) \rho\left(\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d t
$$

where $N_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{1}\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2} d t$. Using (4.14) we obtain

$$
\eta_{0}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2} \leqq N_{1}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}+Q_{1} N_{2} \rho\left(\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\right)+Q_{2} N_{2}
$$

where $N_{2}=\int_{0}^{1} p q \phi_{2} \rho\left(\left(\int_{t}^{1} \frac{d s}{p(s)}\right)^{1 / 2}\right) d t$. Consequently

$$
\eta_{0} \leqq \frac{N_{1}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}+Q_{2} N_{2}}{\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}}+\frac{Q_{1} N_{2} \rho\left(\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}\right)}{\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0}^{2}}
$$

Thus (as in Theorem 4.1) exists a constant $M_{1}$, independent of $\lambda$, with $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{0} \leqq M_{1}$ for any solution $y$ to (4.16) ${ }_{\lambda}$. This together with Theorem 4.2 yields

$$
\int_{0}^{1} p q|y|^{2} d t \leqq \frac{1}{\lambda_{0}} M_{1}^{2}
$$

so the result follows from Theorem 3.4 (with $\mu=0$ and $\alpha=2$ ).
Finally we examine the boundary value problem (4.9) where in the case $p q f:[0,1] \times$ $\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is an $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function. By this we mean:
(i) pqf: $[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, and
(ii) for any $r>0$ there exists $h_{r} \in L^{1}[0,1]$ with $|p(t) q(t) f(t, u)| \leqq h_{r}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0,1]$ and for all $|u| \leqq r$.

For the remainder of the paper assume (2.1), (2.2) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) d x d s<\infty \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) h_{r}(x) d x d s<\infty \text { for any } r>0 ; \text { here } h_{r} \text { is as described above } \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. In [8, 18] we proved the following existence principle.
Theorem 4.4. Let $p q f:[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function with (2.1), (2.2), (4.19) and (4.20) holding. In addition suppose there is a constant $M_{0}$, independent of $\lambda$, with

$$
|y|_{0}=\sup _{[0,1]}|y(t)| \leqq M_{0}
$$

for any solution $y$ (here $y \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1)$ with $\left.p y^{\prime} \in A C[0,1]\right)$ to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{p q}\left(p y^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\lambda f(t, y) \text { a.e. on }[0,1]  \tag{4.21}\\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} p(t) y^{\prime}(t)=0 \\
y(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

for each $0<\lambda<1$. Then (4.9) has at least one solution.
Theorem 4.5. Let pqf: $[0,1] \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a $L^{1}$-Carathéodory function with (2.1), (2.2) and (4.19) holding. In addition suppose

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|f(t, u)| \leqq \phi_{1}(t)+\phi_{2}(t) \Omega(|u|) \text { a.e. on }[0,1] \text { where } \Omega:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)  \tag{4.22}\\
\text { is a nondecreasing continuous function }
\end{array}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{i}(x) d x d s<\infty, i=1,2 \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{2}(x) d x d s\right) \limsup _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Omega(x)}{x}<1 \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied. Then (4.9) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let $y$ be a solution to $(4.21)_{\lambda}$ for $0<\lambda<1$. Then for $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
y(t)=-\int_{i}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) f(x, y(x)) d x d s
$$

and so

$$
|y(x)| \leqq \int_{t}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{1}(x) d x d s+\int_{1}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{2}(x) \Omega(|y(x)|) d x d s
$$

Now $|y(x)| \leqq \sup _{[0,1]}|y(s)| \equiv|y|_{0}$ and this together with the fact that $\Omega$ is nondecreasing yields

$$
|y(t)| \leqq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{1}(x) d x d s+\Omega\left(|y|_{0}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{2}(x) d x d s
$$

Let $K_{i}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{p(s)} \int_{0}^{s} p(x) q(x) \phi_{i}(x) d x d s, i=1,2$ so

$$
|y|_{0} \leqq K_{1}+K_{2} \Omega\left(|y|_{0}\right)
$$

and consequently

$$
1 \leqq \frac{K_{1}}{|y|_{0}}+\frac{K_{2} \Omega\left(|y|_{0}\right)}{|y|_{0}}
$$

Thus (as in Theorem 4.1) there exists a constant $M_{0}$, independent of $\lambda$, with $|y|_{0} \leqq M_{0}$ for any solution $y$ to (4.21) ${ }_{\lambda}$ The result follows from Theorem 4.4.
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