Accelerated muscle and adipose tissue loss may predict survival in pancreatic cancer patients: the relationship with diabetes and anaemia
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Abstract

Weight loss leading to cachexia is associated with poor treatment response and reduced survival in pancreatic cancer patients. We aim to identify indicators that allow for early detection that will advance our understanding of cachexia and will support targeted anti-cachexia therapies. A total of fifty pancreatic cancer patients were analysed for skeletal muscle and visceral adipose tissue (VAT) changes using computed tomography (CT) scans. These changes were related to physical characteristics, secondary disease states and treatment parameters. Overall, patients lost 1·72 (SD 3·29) kg of muscle and 1·04 (SD 1·08) kg of VAT during the disease trajectory (413 (SD 213) d). After sorting patients into tertiles by rate of VAT and muscle loss, patients losing VAT at \( \geq 0·40 \) kg/100 d had poorer survival outcomes compared with patients with \( \leq 0·10 \) kg/100 d of VAT loss (\( P = 0·020 \)). Patients presenting with diabetes at diagnosis demonstrated significantly more and accelerated VAT loss compared with non-diabetic patients. In contrast, patients who were anaemic at the first CT scan lost significantly more muscle tissue and at accelerated rates compared with non-anaemic patients. Accelerated rates of VAT loss are associated with reduced survival. Identifying associated features of cachexia, such as diabetes and anaemia, is essential for the early detection of cachexia and may facilitate the attenuation of complications associated with cachexia.
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The majority of advanced cancer patients experience accelerated involuntary loss of muscle with or without adipose tissue loss during the course of their disease trajectory\(^{(1)}\). Weight loss, a defining feature of cachexia, is associated with poorer treatment response and decreased survival in several cancer diagnoses including pancreatic cancer\(^{(2)}\). Despite the importance of the interaction between body composition and survival, few studies have characterised specific body composition changes (fat and muscle) using precise measures of muscle and fat such as computed tomography (CT) image analysis. Thus, the relationship between these changes and clinical and physical characteristics is unclear.

A recent international consensus highlighted the importance of identifying key biological predictors of cachexia, such as insulin resistance\(^{(3)}\). Body composition is related to a variety of these parameters, and thus, these markers may be potential determinants of muscle and adipose tissue losses. Pancreatic cancer patients experience some of the most severe symptoms of cachexia\(^{(4)}\); therefore, the relationships between changes in body composition and physical and clinical characteristics may be more pronounced in this population. Insulin resistance, for example, has been associated with increased muscle wasting\(^{(5)}\), suggesting that diabetics may exhibit accelerated rates of muscle wasting when concurrently diagnosed with pancreatic cancer. Anaemia has also been used to diagnose cancer cachexia\(^{(6)}\), while surgery has been associated with accelerated tissue loss\(^{(7)}\). Thus, these parameters are of particular interest. The potential relationships between body composition and clinical parameters that are documented during routine care, such as inflammatory markers, markers of tumour burden and secondary disease states, have not been evaluated.

Weight and crude measures of fat and lean mass, derived from bioelectrical impedance analysis, are methods commonly...
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The objectives of the present study were to precisely quantify body composition at diagnosis and during the pancreatic cancer trajectory. Subsequently, changes in body composition were related to clinical markers acquired during routine follow-up and clinical outcomes, including survival, to identify associated features of cachexia. Identification of these features is essential for the early detection of cachexia and the attenuation of complications associated with cachexia. We hypothesised that patients would lose significant amounts of muscle and adipose tissue over the disease trajectory. Second, patients with the largest losses and the most accelerated rates of muscle and adipose tissue loss would be associated with the poorest survival outcomes and clinical parameters.

Physical and clinical characteristics

Anthropometric measurements at diagnosis including height and weight were recorded from patient records and used to calculate BMI (kg/m²). Stage of disease at diagnosis was determined using the tumour node metastasis (TNM) classification of malignant tumours system. Survival, stage of tumour, histology and presence of diabetes were recorded at diagnosis only. The presence of anaemia (Hb < 120 g/l for females, Hb < 130 g/l for males) (12), CA19-9 values as well as whether patients received nutritional consults (i.e. patients who met with a registered dietitian regardless of whether nutritional supplements were prescribed) or dietary supplementation (i.e. patients who consumed some form of nutritional supplement in addition to their habitual diet independent of a dietetic consult) were recorded throughout the disease trajectory. Hb values and CA19-9 values within 1 month of the first CT scan were considered representative of diagnostic values. All patients had Hb values within that time frame, while only twenty-eight patients had CA19-9 values within that time. These blood parameters were recorded and then related to body composition.

Materials and methods

Patient selection

The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving human subjects/patients were approved by the University of Waterloo Research Ethics Board and the Hamilton Health Sciences Research Ethics Board. Patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer and who had died between July 2003 and February 2010 were identified at the Juravinski Cancer Centre in Hamilton, ON, Canada, which includes a catchment of approximately 1·2 million people. We classified patients based on stage (I, II, III and IV), progression and treatment. Patients were included if they: (1) died from pancreatic cancer, (2) were diagnosed with either a carcinoma or adenocarcinoma, (3) had at least two abdominal CT scans performed during their disease trajectory and (4) CT scans were performed up to 2 years before their time of death. Patients surviving for less than 4 months were excluded from analysis, as these patients were in the terminal stage of their disease and changes in body composition would have been distinct from patients at earlier stages of the disease (3); these patients would also be less likely to positively respond to neoplastic and nutritional therapies. At this stage of disease, the associated risk of nutritional therapy probably outweighs any potential benefit (3). CT scans that were performed 3 months or more before the date of diagnosis were not included in the present analysis, as they are not representative of body composition during disease presentation. Any two consecutive scans were at least 1 month apart and no longer than 1 year apart (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1](https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/british-journal-of-nutrition/article/body-composition-in-pancreatic-cancer/9B5B9730D29B167A7D2CAE07F783256C)

**Fig. 1.** Representative timeline of the disease trajectory with the average timing of computed tomography (CT) scan.
Computed tomography image analysis

Skeletal muscle and adipose tissue cross-sectional areas (CSA) were quantified using single-slice CT scans at the third lumbar vertebrae (L3). Tissue CSA at this location are strongly correlated with whole-body skeletal muscle and adipose tissue mass distribution (15–17). Skeletal muscle tissue included psoas, erector spinae, quadratus lumborum, transverse abdominal, internal and external obliques, and rectus abdominus. We also specifically measured VAT, as it is the most metabolically active fat tissue (9). Importantly, VAT is associated with the metabolic syndrome (16) and pancreatic cancer (10), and it has been associated with decreased survival time in pancreatic cancer patients (11). Thus, VAT may influence clinical outcomes in pancreatic cancer more than other adipose tissue depots.

Slice-O-Matic® version 4.3 (Tomovision) allows the precise quantification of specific tissue using Hounsfield units. Hounsfield unit threshold values were defined at −29 to 150 for skeletal muscle (17), −150 to −50 for VAT (18), and −190 to −30 for both SAT and IMAT (17). CSA (cm²) were computed for each tissue by summing tissue pixels and multiplying by the pixel surface area. All CT images were analysed by a single trained analyst who was blinded to clinical markers and patient outcomes. To calculate the intra-analyst CV, five CT images were analysed twice on two separate days 6 months apart. The mean CV for skeletal muscle CSA was 0·322 and 0·130 % for adipose tissue CSA. To avoid bias, two additional trained analysts (who were blinded to both the objectives of the study and the patient characteristics of the CT scans) quantified muscle and adipose tissue for all scans and resulted in a mean CV <1·0 % for skeletal muscle CSA and approximately 3·0 % for adipose tissue CSA. Thus, all data presented are from the primary analyst to ensure consistency.

Calculations for estimating tissue mass, net tissue change and rate of tissue change

There is a strong relationship between L3 skeletal muscle and whole-body lean tissue in cancer patients (r 0·85) (13); however, equations to predict whole-body skeletal muscle mass from L3 CT images do not exist for this specific population. Therefore, an algorithm derived from a healthy population was used to estimate whole-body skeletal muscle volume from the CSA at L3 (13):

\[
\text{Whole-body skeletal muscle volume (L)} = 0.166 \times (\text{skeletal muscle 5 cm above L4–L5 (cm}^2)) + 2.142; \quad r^2 0.855
\]

where L4 and L5 are the fourth and fifth lumbar vertebrae, respectively.

A density of 1·04 g/cm³ was used to estimate skeletal muscle mass (g) from volume (19).

Sarcopenia was defined based on cut-off points described in a cohort of advanced cancer patients and defined as ≤38·9 cm²/m² for females and ≤55·4 cm²/m² for males (15). To identify whether a patient was below (indicating sarcopenia) or above (indicating non-sarcopenia) these cut-off points, skeletal muscle CSA at L3 (cm²) was divided by height squared (m²). Whole-body VAT was estimated from VAT CSA with an algorithm from a healthy population (14). Similar to skeletal muscle, predictive equations have not been developed for cancer populations; however, there is a strong relationship (r 0·88) between VAT CSA at L3 and whole-body VAT volume in healthy humans (14).

\[
\text{VAT volume (L)} = 0.0205 \times (\text{VAT CSA 5 cm above L4–L5 (cm}^2)) + 0.1475; \quad r^2 0.945
\]

A density of 0·96 g/cm³ was used to convert VAT volume into mass (g) (19).

There were patients who had portions of SAT that were not visible in the viewing field of the CT (n 22); for those patients for whom SAT could be viewed and calculated in its entirety (n 28), total adipose tissue (TAT) CSA was used to estimate whole-body adipose tissue mass. TAT was calculated as the sum of SAT, VAT and IMAT. Regression equations from a cohort of advanced cancer patients were used to estimate whole-body TAT from the surface area using the following equation (15):

\[
\text{Whole-body fat mass (kg)} = 0.042 \times (\text{TAT at L3 (cm}^2)) + 11.2; \quad r^2 0.77
\]

Net tissue change analysis for both skeletal muscle and VAT was based on the absolute difference between the first and last scans available for each patient (average 286 (SD 151) d; Fig. 1). Multiple scans were performed between the first and last scans for each patient; these scans were analysed and tissue change between consecutive scans was averaged over 100 d intervals from the time of death (i.e. 0–100 d before death, 101–200 d before death, etc.) to create a disease trajectory. The time intervals examined included approximately 70, 150, 250 and 350 d from death. There were no significant differences in the rate of change or total tissue change between each consecutive time interval, thus net tissue changes were representative of the longitudinal changes during the disease trajectory (data not shown). Absolute changes in CSA were converted to mass using the aforementioned algorithms for skeletal muscle and VAT.

Absolute changes between the first and last scans were then calculated as a rate of change per 100 d to provide a more realistic time frame for assessing the rate of tissue loss. This also provided a standardised unit to allow comparisons between patients as the timing of CT images was unique for each individual.

\[
\Delta (\text{kg/100 d}) = (\text{kg at the last scan} - \text{kg at the first scan}) / \text{total time survived} \times 100 \text{ d}
\]

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using SigmaPlot® version 11.2 (Systat Software, Inc.). All values are presented as means and standard deviations, significance was identified at
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Results

Patient characteristics

A total of fifty pancreatic cancer patients met the study criteria. Patients were 66 (sd 12) years old with an equal distribution of males (56 %) and females (44 %). Average BMI was 23.4 (sd 3.7) kg/m², and 58 % of the patients were characterised as normal weight (BMI between 18.5 and 24.9 kg/m²), as defined by the World Health Organization.18 Despite normal BMI at diagnosis, 48 % of the patients were characterised as sarcopenic. Only five of the patients were classified as overweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), indicating that sarcopenia was independent of overall body size (Table 1). Interestingly, sarcopenic patients were more likely to be diagnosed at an earlier stage (IIB) of disease compared with non-sarcopenic patients (stage IV, P=0.008). However, cancer stage was not significantly related to the other clinical parameters assessed including presence of diabetes at diagnosis (P=0.778), presence of anaemia at the time of the first CT scan (P=0.423), nutritional supplementation (P=0.219) or any other parameters listed in Table 1. In terms of treatment, 62 % of the patients underwent surgery at some point during the treatment trajectory, 46 % received radiation and 88 % received chemotherapy. The heterogeneity of this patient group allows for the identification of global changes in body composition associated with pancreatic cancer and for the identification of any clinical parameters that may be associated with increased muscle and adipose tissue loss. Physical characteristics of patients at diagnosis and treatment parameters are presented in Table 1.

Net tissue change analysis

Longitudinal analysis was performed to identify different rates of change in muscle and adipose tissue. There were no significant differences in total tissue change and rates of change between consecutive time intervals (i.e. approximately 70, 150, 250 and 350 d from death) throughout the disease trajectory for muscle or adipose tissue (data not shown). Absolute changes in muscle and VAT were representative of change throughout the disease trajectory; based on these findings, the remainder of the present results are based on net tissue changes. Overall, thirty-eight of the fifty patients (76.0 %) experienced net muscle loss, and 86.0 % (forty-three out of the fifty patients) experienced net VAT loss over the disease trajectory. On average, approximately 1.72 kg (P<0.001) of

Table 1. Physical diagnostic characteristics and treatment parameters of patients (n=50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>Mean 66.0 (sd 12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>49–84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>Mean 66.0 (sd 12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male 28 (56), Female 22 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcopenic at diagnosis</td>
<td>Male 24 (48), Female 24 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour stage</td>
<td>IIB 15 (30), III 18 (36), IV 16 (32), Unknown 1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma 49 (98), Carcinoma 1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery*</td>
<td>Yes 31 (62), No 19 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation therapy</td>
<td>Yes 23 (46), No 27 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemotherapy†</td>
<td>Chemotherapy 44 (88), No chemotherapy 6 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of patients receiving nutritional consultation</td>
<td>Yes 32 (64), No 18 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional supplementation‡</td>
<td>Yes 27 (54), No 23 (46)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CT, computed tomography. Surgery mainly included radical (78 %), palliative or bypass surgeries, and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) with stent insertion. Chemotherapy regimens predominantly included gemcitabine (80 %), 5-flourouracil (infusion or bolus) and capcitabine. Nutritional supplementations included Ensure, Carnation Breakfast Anytime and protein powder.
Tissue losses were then ranked based on total loss over the disease trajectory and were subsequently divided into equal tertiles of approximately seventeen patients per group. Patients in tertile 1 lost 4.95 (SD 2.50) kg of muscle, while patients in tertile 3 actually gained a small amount of muscle (1.18 (SD 1.31) kg) over the disease trajectory (P = 0.001; Table 3). VAT showed a similar trend with patients in tertile 1 losing 2.24 (SD 0.67) kg of VAT and patients in tertile 3 showing a relatively little change in VAT (0.04 (SD 0.48) kg) (P < 0.001; Table 3). The rate of change in muscle and VAT followed the same distribution as absolute tissue loss (P < 0.001; Table 3).

Interestingly, patients who lost VAT at the most accelerated rate (average −0.74 (SD 0.36) kg/100 d, tertile 1) demonstrated shorter average survival time (316.8 (SD 26.3) d) compared with patients who lost VAT at the slowest rate (515.1 (SD 13.1) kg) over the disease trajectory (P < 0.001; Table 3). Not all patients with moderate VAT loss (423.8 (SD 55.5) d, average −0.25 (SD 0.09) kg/100 d, P = 0.20; Fig. 2(a)). Also, patients who lost muscle at the most accelerated rate (average −1.59 (SD 1.00) kg/100 d, tertile 1) tended to demonstrate shorter survival time (335.0 (SD 38.7) d) compared with patients who lost muscle at slower rates (508.4 (SD 57.3) d, average −0.32 (SD 0.19) kg/100 d, tertile 2) and those who gained muscle (413.8 (SD 56.8) d, average −0.29 (SD 0.32) kg/100 d, tertile 3, P = 0.104; Fig. 2(b)). However, there was no significant difference in survival time between patients who lost the most VAT or skeletal muscle compared with patients who lost smaller absolute amounts of tissue (data not shown, P = 0.876 and P = 0.884, respectively). This suggests that the rate of tissue loss, not the absolute amount of tissue loss, may be specifically important in patient survival.

Muscle was lost at a rate of −0.55 (SD 1.00) kg/100 d (P < 0.001; Table 2). Patients also lost approximately 1.04 kg of VAT (P < 0.001) between the first and last CT images at a rate of −0.32 (SD 0.39) kg/100 d (P < 0.001; Table 2). Tissue losses were then ranked based on total loss over the disease trajectory and were subsequently divided into equal tertiles of approximately seventeen patients per group. Patients in tertile 1 lost 4.95 (SD 2.50) kg of muscle, while patients in tertile 3 actually gained a small amount of muscle (1.18 (SD 1.31) kg) over the disease trajectory (P < 0.001; Table 3). VAT showed a similar trend with patients in tertile 1 losing 2.24 (SD 0.67) kg of VAT and patients in tertile 3 showing a relatively little change in VAT (0.04 (SD 0.48) kg) (P < 0.001; Table 3). The rate of change in muscle and VAT followed the same distribution as absolute tissue loss (P < 0.001; Table 3).

Interestingly, patients who lost VAT at the most accelerated rate (average −0.74 (SD 0.36) kg/100 d, tertile 1) demonstrated shorter average survival time (316.8 (SD 26.3) d) compared with patients who lost VAT at the slowest rate (515.1 (SD 13.1) kg) over the disease trajectory (P < 0.001; Table 3). Not all patients with moderate VAT loss (423.8 (SD 55.5) d, average −0.25 (SD 0.09) kg/100 d, P = 0.20; Fig. 2(a)). Also, patients who lost muscle at the most accelerated rate (average −1.59 (SD 1.00) kg/100 d, tertile 1) tended to demonstrate shorter survival time (335.0 (SD 38.7) d) compared with patients who lost muscle at slower rates (508.4 (SD 57.3) d, average −0.32 (SD 0.19) kg/100 d, tertile 2) and those who gained muscle (413.8 (SD 56.8) d, average −0.29 (SD 0.32) kg/100 d, tertile 3, P = 0.104; Fig. 2(b)). However, there was no significant difference in survival time between patients who lost the most VAT or skeletal muscle compared with patients who lost smaller absolute amounts of tissue (data not shown, P = 0.876 and P = 0.884, respectively). This suggests that the rate of tissue loss, not the absolute amount of tissue loss, may be specifically important in patient survival.

Table 2. Tissue characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First scan</th>
<th>Last scan</th>
<th>Δ</th>
<th>Δ/100 d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle (cm²)</td>
<td>129.90</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>119.30*</td>
<td>27.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle (kg)</td>
<td>24.50</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>22.80*</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (cm²)</td>
<td>111.10</td>
<td>73.10</td>
<td>58.40*</td>
<td>56.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (kg)</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.29*</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAT, visceral adipose tissue.
* Mean values were significantly different between the first and last scans (P < 0.05).
males and females in the proportion of VAT lost relative to body weight (−1.77 (SD 1.64) % v. −1.32 (SD 1.75) %, P=0.333). In addition, there were no significant differences observed in the amount of VAT lost or the rate of VAT loss relative to age or BMI (Table 4). Chemotherapy was not assessed as a clinical parameter, as 88 % of the patients received chemotherapy at some point during the disease trajectory.

Patients presenting with diabetes at diagnosis lost significantly more VAT when compared with patients who were not diabetic at diagnosis (−2.50 (SD 0.99) kg v. −0.74 (SD 1.06) kg, P=0.017; Table 4). Diabetic patients also lost VAT at an accelerated rate when compared with non-diabetic patients (−0.44 (SD 0.33) kg/100 d v. −0.20 (SD 0.30) kg/100 d, P=0.010; Table 4). This also corresponded to a greater proportion of VAT relative to total body weight lost by diabetic patients compared with non-diabetic patients (−2.14 (SD 1.49) % v. −1.17 (SD 1.65) %, respectively, P=0.033). There were no significant differences in absolute VAT loss and the rate of VAT loss for any of the other clinical parameter listed in Table 4. A description of the characteristics of the subpopulations examined can be found in Table 5.

### Table 3. Tertile analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Tertile 1</th>
<th>Tertile 2</th>
<th>Tertile 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscle (kg)</td>
<td>−4.93</td>
<td>−1.23*</td>
<td>1.18*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of muscle change (kg/100 d)</td>
<td>−1.59</td>
<td>−0.32*</td>
<td>0.29*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT (kg)</td>
<td>−2.24</td>
<td>−0.90*</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of VAT change (kg/100 d)</td>
<td>−0.74</td>
<td>−0.25*</td>
<td>−0.02*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAT, visceral adipose tissue.
*Mean values were significantly different from those of tertile 1 (P<0.05).

**Determinants of skeletal muscle loss**

Muscle tissue was lost at accelerated rates when compared with an ageing population followed over 12 years (age 65.4 (SD 4.2) years at the first evaluation), who typically lose muscle at a rate of approximately 1.4 % per year (17), and cross-sectional studies have demonstrated a loss of approximately 1 % of muscle per year (age range 15–83 years) (18). In the present study, muscle CSA was lost at a rate of approximately 7.48 % per year.

Also, patients who were anaemic at the first CT scan lost more muscle and at an accelerated rate when compared with non-anaemic patients (−2.41 (SD 3.17) kg v. −1.00 (SD 2.78) kg, respectively, P=0.029; −0.71 (SD 0.87) kg/100 d v. −0.29 (SD 0.85) kg/100 d, respectively, P=0.017; Table 4). Anaemic patients also lost a greater proportion of total initial body weight compared with non-anaemic patients (−3.58 (SD 4.76) % v. −1.07 (SD 3.15) %, respectively, P=0.018). The presence of diabetes at diagnosis tended to influence the amount of muscle lost, with diabetic patients tending to lose more muscle than non-diabetic patients (−2.58 (SD 4.01) kg v. −1.14 (SD 2.18) kg, respectively, P=0.110; Table 4).

![Fig. 2. Kaplan–Meier survival curves: division of patients based on tertiles of the rate of tissue loss in kg/100 d. (a) Rate of change in visceral adipose tissue (VAT). *Values were significantly different between tertile 1 (——) and tertile 3 (...) (P<0.05). Tertile 1: VAT loss >−0.40 kg/100 d; tertile 2 (—): VAT loss −0.40 and >−0.10 kg/100 d; tertile 3: VAT loss ≤−0.10 kg/100 d. (b) Rate of change in skeletal muscle; tertile 1: rate of muscle loss >−0.70 kg/100 d; tertile 2: rate of muscle loss −0.70 and <−0.10 kg/100 d; tertile 3: rate of muscle loss ≤−0.10 kg/100 d.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114512001067)
Also, patients who received nutritional supplementation lost significantly less skeletal muscle and the rate of loss was significantly attenuated when compared with patients who did not receive nutritional supplementation (−0.36 kg/100 d vs. −2.26 kg/100 d, respectively, \(P=0.008\), curves not shown). Nutritional supplementation was associated with dietetic consult (\(P<0.001\)); however, dietetic consult alone was not associated with changes in muscle (\(P=0.395\); Table 4). There were no significant differences in muscle loss for any of the other characteristics examined (Table 4).

Similar to VAT, males compared with females tended to lose more muscle tissue and at an accelerated rate (−2.47 kg/100 d vs. −0.76 kg/100 d, \(P=0.084\); −0.78 kg/100 d vs. −1.19 kg/100 d, \(P=0.065\); Table 4). However, there were no significant differences between the proportion of muscle mass relative to total body weight between males and females (−3.24% vs. −1.19%, \(P=0.346\)). Also, patients classified as sarcopenic at the time of the first scan tended to lose less muscle when compared with patients classified as non-sarcopenic at their first scan (−0.93 kg vs. −2.26 kg, \(P<0.001\)).
respectively, \( P = 0.054 \); Table 4). Non-sarcopenic patients lost muscle at an accelerated rate when compared with sarcopenic patients (20.65 (SD 0.80) kg/100 d vs. 20.39 (SD 1.18) kg/100 d, respectively, \( P = 0.025 \); Table 4). Sarcopenic patients also tended to live longer than non-sarcopenic patients (456.3 (SD 55.7) d vs. 418.8 (SD 64.5) d, \( P = 0.051 \)), which may be explained by the finding that sarcopenic patients were diagnosed with earlier-stage cancer compared with non-sarcopenic patients. There were no significant influences of any other physical characteristics on changes in skeletal muscle (Table 4). A description of the characteristics of the subpopulations examined can be found in Table 5.

### Discussion

The major finding of the present study is that the rate at which pancreatic cancer patients lose VAT and skeletal muscle provides an important indicator of survival compared with the total amount of tissue lost. Despite patients losing similar amounts of VAT over the disease trajectory, patients who survived for >1 year had attenuated rates of VAT loss compared with patients who survived for <1 year. We demonstrated that muscle tissue was lost at accelerated rates (approximately 7-48% per year) in this group of cancer patients, which is far more exacerbated than aged individuals (15-83 years old) who typically lose muscle at a rate of 1-1.4% per year(21,22).

Additionally, this is the first study to identify that the presence of diabetes at diagnosis was associated with significantly more VAT loss and a tendency for greater muscle loss in pancreatic cancer patients. The presence of anaemia at the first CT scan was also associated with significant and accelerated losses of muscle compared with patients with normal Hb levels. These data suggest that anaemic and diabetic patients may be at a greater risk of cachexia when diagnosed with pancreatic cancer. The presence of diabetes at diagnosis or anaemia at the first CT scan, both contributors of muscle wasting(6), may serve as potential biomarkers of patients most susceptible to cancer cachexia. We demonstrated that use of nutritional supplementation may decrease the amount of skeletal muscle lost and attenuate the rate of tissue loss, which may improve survival. The present study demonstrated that patients receiving nutritional supplementation tended to live longer than patients not receiving supplementation, which warrants future investigation into this hypothesis. Identification of potential predictors of cachexia may allow early detection in patients who are at a risk of developing cachexia, which may permit the development of interventions near the time of diagnosis of pancreatic cancer patients.

### Table 5. Characteristics of the subpopulations analysed†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Sarcopenia</th>
<th>Diabetes</th>
<th>Anaemia</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Radiation</th>
<th>Dietetic consult</th>
<th>Supplementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average BMI (kg/m²)</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average age (years)</strong></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>63.2</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>64.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sarcopenia</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diabetes</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Anaemia</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgery</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Radiation</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dietetic consult</strong></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Values represent the number of patients within each subpopulation (columns) with each characteristic examined (rows), except for average BMI and average age.

* Values were significantly different between the expected proportion of patients in the subpopulation and the actual proportion of patients in the subpopulation (\( P \leq 0.05 \)).
Rate of tissue loss may provide a better indicator of survival than total tissue lost

Pancreatic cancer patients have the highest incidence rates of cancer cachexia and experience the most severe symptoms of cachexia\(^1\). While few studies have characterised the specific changes in muscle and adipose tissue, we demonstrated that pancreatic cancer patients lose both muscle and fat during the disease trajectory. The patterns of tissue loss in the present study are different from those observed in other cancer populations such as colorectal cancer\(^2\); thus, each cancer type should be considered separately. Despite its metabolic importance, changes in VAT quantitatively represent changes in all adipose tissue depots, as demonstrated by Hallgreen & Hall\(^3\) and confirmed in the present study. In a recent study by Tan et al.\(^4\), forty-four pancreatic cancer patients lost \(2\pm 1\) (sd 4·1) kg of lean mass and \(4\pm 5\) (sd 3·7) kg of VAT mass during the 189 d disease trajectory. The patients in the present study were similar to the Tan et al.\(^4\) patient cohort in terms of age, BMI and sex, but the patients in the present study survived longer. Thus, when patients were grouped by those surviving \(<1\) year \(v\) those surviving \(>1\) year, we observed that all pancreatic patients lost similar amounts of total muscle and adipose tissue. However, the rate at which muscle and adipose tissue was lost influenced survival. Patients who lost VAT at the most accelerated rates had the poorest survival outcomes and patients who lost muscle at the fastest rate also tended to have the poorest survival outcomes. Patients with the most accelerated tissue losses may exhibit increased stimulation of the catabolic pathways associated with muscle and adipose wasting, such as increased pro-inflammatory processes, and inhibition of the anabolic pathways\(^5\). Attenuating the rate of muscle and adipose tissue loss through these pathways may improve survival in pancreatic cancer patients.

Determinants of visceral adipose tissue and muscle loss are independent and unique

Pancreatic cancer patients who had been diagnosed with diabetes before their cancer diagnosis lost significantly more VAT and tended to lose more skeletal muscle when compared with non-diabetic patients. The development of glucose intolerance has been documented in advanced cancer patients with cachexia\(^6\), and is a defining characteristic of diabetes. Pancreatic cancer patients with impaired glucose handling at diagnosis may be predisposed to changes in body composition, as their pre-existing glucose intolerance may exacerbate changes in muscle and adipose tissue during the disease trajectory. Patients who are identified as diabetic at cancer diagnosis are exposed to perturbed glucose and insulin metabolism for a longer duration of time compared with patients who develop diabetes during the disease trajectory. Patients with longer-term diabetes (i.e. diabetic at cancer diagnosis) may have accelerated losses of muscle and adipose tissue for this reason.

The association between diabetes and tissue loss has also been demonstrated in elderly populations with type 2 diabetes\(^7\). In these studies, elderly individuals with type 2 diabetes display a greater magnitude of sarcopenia, compared with elderly individuals without type 2 diabetes. Also, Wang et al.\(^8\) demonstrated that insulin resistance accelerates muscle protein degradation in \(db/db\) mice via the ubiquitin–proteasome pathway, the pathway most often implicated in muscle wasting in cancer cachexia\(^9\). Patients presenting with impaired glucose handling at diagnosis may be at an increased risk of muscle and adipose tissue loss.

The presence of anaemia at the first CT scan also significantly influenced the rate of skeletal muscle loss, with anaemic patients losing significantly more muscle at an accelerated rate when compared with non-anaemic patients. The development of anaemia in conjunction with cachexia has been documented and the presence of anaemia is one of the biochemical markers used to diagnose cachexia\(^10\). This suggests that patients who are anaemic at the first CT scan may be at an increased risk of weight loss and may have poorer survival outcomes. Anaemia affects the ability of erythrocytes to efficiently deliver O\(_2\) to peripheral tissues. Impaired O\(_2\) delivery may therefore exacerbate the rate of muscle loss by impairing the tissue’s ability to oxidise metabolic substrates. Anaemia in chronic disease states is associated with increased inflammation\(^11\), which, in some diseases such as chronic kidney disease, has been linked to muscle wasting\(^12\). It is possible that inflammation may also be mediating the influence of anaemia on muscle wasting in pancreatic cancer patients. Altogether, the interrelationship between diabetes, anaemia and muscle loss suggests that availability of glucose and inflammation may influence the rate of muscle loss, ultimately contributing to cachexia.

Patients who received nutritional supplementation lost significantly less muscle at a slower rate when compared with patients who did not receive any type of nutritional supplementation. Supplemented patients also tended to live longer than non-supplemented patients. This was independent of the stage of cancer at diagnosis. The nutritional supplements varied across patients and included protein powder, Ensure, Boost, Carnation Breakfast Anytime, Glucerna, vitamin and mineral supplementations. Regardless of the type of nutritional supplementation, patients receiving dietary supplements experienced a significantly slower loss of muscle tissue and tended to live longer. Many studies have examined the use of nutritional supplementation to counter the effects of cancer cachexia, for example, by adding ‘calories’\(^5\) or by using n-3 fatty acids\(^13\); however, results have been inconclusive and may be secondary to the use of crude body composition tools such as weight or bioelectrical impedance analysis. Using CT imaging, we demonstrated that dietary supplement use is associated with attenuated muscle wasting, and this was related to improved survival in pancreatic cancer patients. Similarly, Mantovani et al.\(^14\) used CT and dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to identify attenuated losses in lean body mass with nutritional supplement. While we found a positive association between dietary supplements and survival in pancreatic cancer patients, the heterogeneity in the type of supplement used, combined with the small number of patients receiving...
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supplement (n 27), prevented further analysis. Thus, future investigations using CT imaging or other precise modalities of body composition to specifically quantify changes in muscle and fat mass are warranted for identifying successful supplements.

Surprisingly, sarcopenic patients lost significantly less muscle tissue at an attenuated rate when compared with non-sarcopenic patients. Sarcopenic patients also tended to lose less VAT and have improved survival outcomes when compared with non-sarcopenic patients. In the present study, sarcopenic patients were diagnosed with earlier-stage cancer when compared with non-sarcopenic patients. The majority of sarcopenic patients (42%) were diagnosed with stage IIB cancer compared with only 19% of non-sarcopenic patients (P=0·008). Involuntary weight loss is often one of the first reported symptoms of pancreatic cancer(37); thus, early weight loss may have contributed to their sarcopenia, and may have led to an earlier diagnosis. Patients diagnosed with earlier-stage pancreatic cancer have improved survival outcomes(38), which supports the findings of the present study.

Similar to the findings of Tan et al.(8), sarcopenia alone did not predict poorer survival outcome in pancreatic cancer patients. Tan et al.(8) observed increased hazard ratios in sarcopenic obese patients when compared with other patients (normal-weight non-sarcopenic, obese non-sarcopenic and normal-weight sarcopenic collectively); however, there were no significant differences between sarcopenic patients and non-sarcopenic patients.

Interestingly, we did not observe any significant influence on different treatment types on body composition. Current literature has demonstrated that patients who undergo surgery experience significant tissue loss(7,29). However, due to the short time course of the disease, the small sample size and heterogeneity in the type of surgery performed, as well as the variability in the timing of the surgery, surgery itself was not significantly related to muscle and adipose tissue loss. Other treatment modalities such as chemotherapy have been related to lean tissue loss(40), however, this could not be evaluated in the present study due to the high proportion (88%) of patients receiving these treatments.

Limitations of the present study

CT image analysis and patient history reviews provided a feasible method to evaluate body composition and relationships to clinical parameters in pancreatic cancer patients. We identified associations between clinical outcomes and markers, not causality; however, these associations offer essential considerations to build future clinical studies in nutrition and rehabilitative intervention. The present study also demonstrates the importance of using precise methods to measure body composition. CT image analysis presents a highly precise and reproducible method to evaluate changes in body composition. Although it was not possible to control for the timing of CT images or the timing of clinical measurements, body composition analysis was normalised in a representative manner of the disease trajectory. Also, the small sample size of the present study influenced our ability to identify specific nutritional supplements that may attenuate muscle loss and to further examine the influence of nutrition on body composition. The small sample size and the unknown timing of each surgery during the disease time trajectory limited the interpretation of the surgery’s potential influence on muscle and adipose tissue. However, we were still able to demonstrate relationships between body composition change and a number of clinical parameters. The description of changes in body composition in pancreatic cancer and the interrelationships between body composition, clinical markers and survival is fundamental to developing future successful interventions that aim to counter muscle and fat loss in cancer cachexia.

Conclusions

In conclusion, patients with pancreatic cancer lost significant amounts of muscle and adipose tissue during the course of the disease trajectory; however, the rate at which patients lost VAT and skeletal muscle was a more important indicator of survival than the total amount of tissue loss. The presence of clinical biomarkers associated with cachexia at cancer diagnosis, such as anaemia and diabetes, may exacerbate muscle and adipose tissue loss. Loss of skeletal muscle may be attenuated by dietary supplementation and may prolong survival; however, the type, quantity and timing of dietary supplementation required future investigation.
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