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BACKGROUND. Antibiograms have effectively improved antibiotic prescribing in acute-care settings; however, their effectiveness in skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) is currently unknown.

OBJECTIVE. To develop SNF-specific antibiograms and identify opportunities to improve antibiotic prescribing.

DESIGN AND SETTING. Cross-sectional and pretest-posttest study among residents of 3 Maryland SNFs.

METHODS. Antibiograms were created using clinical culture data from a 6-month period in each SNF. We also used admission clinical culture data from the acute care facility primarily associated with each SNF for transferred residents. We manually collected all data from medical charts, and antibiograms were created using WHONET software. We then used a pretest-posttest study to evaluate the effectiveness of an antibiogram on changing antibiotic prescribing practices in a single SNF. Appropriate empirical antibiotic therapy was defined as an empirical antibiotic choice that sufficiently covered the infecting organism, considering antibiotic susceptibilities.

RESULTS. We reviewed 839 patient charts from SNF and acute care facilities. During the initial assessment period, 85% of initial antibiotic use in the SNFs was empirical, and thus only 15% of initial antibiotics were based on culture results. Fluoroquinolones were the most frequently used empirical antibiotics, accounting for 54.5% of initial prescribing instances. Among patients with available culture data, only 35% of empirical antibiotic prescribing was determined to be appropriate. In the single SNF in which we evaluated antibiogram effectiveness, prevalence of appropriate antibiotic prescribing increased from 32% to 45% after antibiogram implementation; however, this was not statistically significant (P = .32).

CONCLUSIONS. Implementation of antibiograms may be effective in improving empirical antibiotic prescribing in SNFs.
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Infection is prevalent among residents of skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) due to age-associated decreases in host resistance leading to frequent antibiotic and healthcare exposures. A recent point prevalence study suggested that approximately 12% of residents in a nationally representative sample of nursing homes had an infection. Concerns regarding infections in this population include both infection-associated morbidity and mortality and, additionally, the potential loss of function and illness associated with transfer to an acute-care facility.

Despite the high prevalence of infection in SNFs and potential associated poor health outcomes, resources are often limited for diagnosis of infections and to guide empirical antibiotic therapy. A recent review by Stone et al updating the McGeer criteria for infections in long-term care should assist clinicians in appropriately identifying infections and subsequent appropriate antibiotic prescribing. However, most definitions of infections still require laboratory confirmation, a resource that many SNFs lack or use infrequently. Furthermore, a recent systematic review only identified 4 trials of interventions to reduce potentially inappropriate antibiotic prescribing in long-term care.

In this study, we describe the design and implementation of SNF-specific antibiograms to improve empirical antibiotic prescribing. We estimated the frequency of inappropriate empirical antibiotic prescribing in 3 Maryland SNFs and measured the effect of the antibiograms on antibiotic prescribing in 1 of the SNFs. These data are essential to improve our...
understanding of the burden of inappropriate empirical antibiotic prescribing in SNFs and to identify opportunities to improve antibiotic prescribing in this setting.

METHODS

The methods of this study have been described elsewhere. In brief, the study was divided into 2 parts. We first performed a needs assessment and cross-sectional study in 3 SNFs and the acute care facilities primarily receiving medically related transfers from the SNFs to develop SNF-specific antibiograms. We then used a pretest-posttest quasi-experimental study to evaluate the effectiveness of the antibiogram to change empirical antibiotic prescribing in 1 of the SNFs. Before study commencement, this study was approved by the institutional review board (IRB) of the University of Maryland, Baltimore (UMB). In addition, the study was approved by facility-specific IRBs at participating facilities where appropriate; others ceded oversight to the UMB IRB.

SNFs were selected and invited to participate on the basis of previous collaboration with the Maryland Long-Term Care Project, which represented different SNF populations and settings in Maryland. Participating SNFs included a rural, 118-bed, community, for-profit facility with a dedicated dementia floor; an urban, 147-bed, hospital-affiliated, not-for-profit facility; and a 167-bed suburban, for-profit facility.

Data Collection

Needs assessments consisted of semistructured interviews with the infection/control nurses at each participating SNF. All interview participants provided informed consent before participation. Topics discussed during the needs assessments included policies and procedures for microbiological culturing for suspected infections, submitting cultures for analysis, receiving culture and antibiotic susceptibility data, and antibiotic prescribing.

Two authors (A.C.C. and J.H.R.) collected clinical and demographic data on SNF residents from the medical records of each SNF. All data were collected using manual chart review using a standardized form and entered into a Microsoft Access 2007 database. All residents’ records were included in the data collection if they resided in the SNF on the day chart review began or resided in the SNF within the previous 6 months and either had a clinical culture collected or were transferred to an acute care hospital. Data collected included demographic characteristics (eg, age and sex), primary and comorbid diagnoses, history and characteristics of infections, culture data (eg, date, sites, bacterial identification, and antibiotic susceptibilities), antibiotic exposures, indwelling medical devices, and history of acute care hospitalization. We defined suspected infections as times when cultures were ordered, doctor’s notes were made, and/or antibiotics were started. In addition to SNF data, we collected admission clinical culture (defined as within 48 hours of admission) data from the acute care facility to which the majority of SNF residents were transferred for acute care medical indications during the 6-month period. Admission acute care data were collected to further inform the antibiograms, because organisms represented in the resulting culture data likely originated in the referring SNF.

Development and Implementation of SNF-Specific Antibiograms

Antibiograms were created by uploading clinical culture data into WHONET, a free, Windows-based software program created by the World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre for Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance. Antibiogram results were manually entered into a template matrix for ease of interpretation. Consistent with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guidelines, only data from the first organism-specific positive culture per resident in the study period was included. In addition, we had initially planned to create separate antibiograms for each SNF and acute care facility as well as combined antibiograms for SNF and associated acute care facility; however, as the data will reflect, there were not sufficient acute care culture data from 2 of the 3 associated acute care facilities.

The SNF-specific antibiograms were implemented in partnership with the infection/control nurses, SNF administrators, and SNF medical directors. The implementation involved multiple in-service presentations at each SNF to present the antibiogram and to explain how to use the tool when making antibiotic therapy decisions. Each SNF provided input on the format and structure of their individual antibiogram as well as how it would be implemented (eg, distributed to physicians and nursing staff, posted at nursing stations, and attached to transfer documentation).

Evaluation of Antibiogram Implementation to Improve Empirical Antibiotic Prescribing

We calculated the proportion of empirical antibiotic prescribing that was determined to be appropriate among residents who received empirical antibiotics and had clinical cultures collected. Appropriate empirical antibiotic therapy was defined as an empirical antibiotic choice that sufficiently covered the infecting organism considering antibiotic susceptibilities. In addition, we evaluated the effectiveness of antibiogram implementation to improve empirical antibiotic prescribing in 1 SNF, the 118-bed, rural facility described previously. We used a quasiexperimental pretest-posttest design and collected resident data for a second 6-month period after implementation. These data were collected on the same data elements as in the initial assessment period using manual chart review by the same co-authors. We then calculated the proportion of empirical antibiotic therapy that was determined to be appropriate in the posttest period. A follow-up survey was developed to assess prescriber exposure to the antibiogram as well as to gather suggestions for changes to
the tool. As discussed in our previous publication, no prescribers from the SNF responded to the survey.8

RESULTS

The needs assessments provided data on the infrastructure for development and implementation of the antibiograms and identified many similarities across the 3 SNFs. In all facilities, urine cultures were the most frequently ordered clinical cultures, and collection of cultures from other body sites was rare. Wound culturing was not standard practice in these facilities. Two of the 3 SNFs used a clinical microbiology laboratory at an acute care hospital to process their cultures, and the third SNF used a private laboratory on weekdays and contracted with a hospital laboratory on the weekends. All SNFs received culture results via fax from the associated laboratories, which were then communicated to the medical director or residents' physician and placed into the residents' charts.

In total, we reviewed 839 SNF and acute care resident charts to inform the development of the antibiograms. Mean (± standard deviation) patient age in the 3 SNFs ranged from 71.0 ± 12.6 years to 82.5 ± 9.8 years, the proportion of female residents ranged from 58.9% to 76.4%, and the proportion of black residents ranged from 10.7% to 53.3%. The proportions of acute care transfers to a primary-associated hospital were 48%, 79%, and 98%.

Table 1 describes the frequency of clinical cultures collected by site over the 6-month initial assessment periods in the 3 participating SNFs. As the needs assessments suggested, urine cultures were the most frequent culture site, accounting for approximately 77.8% of cultures across all SNFs and as many as 89.4% of cultures in 1 SNF. Stool cultures were the second most frequent culture site at 14.0% of all clinical cultures, followed by skin and soft-tissue cultures, which also included wounds at 4.6%. Cultures were ordered in 50% of suspected infections to confirm diagnoses and empirical therapy choice.

Figure 1 is a composite antibiogram providing susceptibility data for the 3 SNFs. In all SNFs, resistance to ciprofloxacin and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole among the Escherichia coli isolates was high; however, resistance to other agents among the different organisms varied by facility. For example, 31% and 53% of Proteus mirabilis isolates in SNFs 1 and 2, respectively, were susceptible to ciprofloxacin, but susceptibility was 70% in SNF 3.

Table 2 displays the frequency of different antibiotic classes used as empirical therapy in the initial assessment period in the 3 participating SNFs. Empirical therapy accounted for 85% of initial antibiotics prescribed during this period, and as expected, fluoroquinolones were the most frequent antibiotic class prescribed, accounting for 39.8% of all empirical antibiotics.

Across all SNFs, among residents who received empirical antibiotic therapy and had clinical cultures collected, only 35% (range, 27.3%-38.9%) of antibiotic prescribing was determined to be appropriate during the initial assessment period. In the 1 SNF in which we evaluated antibiogram effectiveness, the prevalence of appropriate empirical antibiotic prescribing increased from 32% to 45% after implementation of the antibiogram; however, this was not statistically significant (P = .32).

Educational in-services were conducted at each SNF to explain the results of the initial chart reviews and to introduce the antibiograms. In the 1 SNF in which we evaluated the antibiogram, study staff conducted 2 in-services, the first with SNF nursing staff and administrators and the second with SNF nurse managers and physicians. The antibiograms were distributed at these meetings; however, any further exposure to the tool by prescribers could not be assessed given the lack of responses to our follow-up survey.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified and described opportunities to improve empirical antibiotic prescribing in SNFs. As expected, antibiotic therapy was predominately empirical, and rarely were cultures collected to confirm antibiotic choice. During the initial assessment period, 85% of initial antibiotics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Culture site</th>
<th>Pretest</th>
<th>Posttest</th>
<th>SNF 2</th>
<th>SNF 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urine</td>
<td>75 (78.1)</td>
<td>54 (75.0)</td>
<td>155 (73.5)</td>
<td>76 (89.4)</td>
<td>306 (78.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>5 (5.2)</td>
<td>2 (2.8)</td>
<td>3 (1.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>8 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin/soft tissue (includes wounds)</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>4 (5.6)</td>
<td>16 (7.6)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>18 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stool</td>
<td>12 (12.5)</td>
<td>8 (11.1)</td>
<td>35 (16.6)</td>
<td>8 (9.4)</td>
<td>55 (14.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory tract</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>4 (5.6)</td>
<td>2 (&lt;1.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.2)</td>
<td>4 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (&lt;1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>96 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td>211 (100)</td>
<td>85 (100)</td>
<td>392 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. Both pretest and posttest data are provided for SNF 1. Only pretest data were available for SNFs 2 and 3.
prescribed were prescribed empirically. Fluoroquinolones were the most frequently used empirical antibiotics, accounting for 40% of initial prescribing instances, and this prevalence remained high following antibiogram implementation in the 1 SNF (39%) in which we evaluated antibiogram effectiveness, despite the antibiogram suggesting that 66% of gram-negative organisms tested against fluoroquinolones were resistant to this drug class. Among patients with culture data available, only 35% of empirical antibiotic prescribing was determined to be appropriate. Prevalence of appropriate antibiotic prescribing in the evaluated SNF increased from 32% to 45% after implementation of the antibiogram. These data suggest that implementation of antibiograms may be effective in improving empirical antibiotic prescribing in SNFs; however, there are several challenges that must be overcome for this to be the case.

Despite the challenges faced by SNFs and other residential care facilities with respect to diagnosis and treatment of infections, there are relatively few published studies describing interventions to improve these practices. As we described earlier, a recent systematic review only identified 4 trials of interventions to improve antibiotic prescribing in long-term care. The 4 included studies were all cluster randomized trials, and all used educational materials aimed at either physicians only or physicians and nurses. Two studies specifically aimed to improve prescribing for urinary tract infections (UTIs), 1 study specifically focused on nursing home–acquired pneumonia, and 1 study focused on antibiotic prescribing for a variety of infections that included UTIs, lower respiratory tract infections, skin and soft-tissue infections, and septicemia of unknown origin. Although these were all well-designed and important studies, they are not directly comparable to our study. The studies included in the review primarily aimed to reduce antibiotic use or improve the proportion of prescribing that complied with guidelines developed by the investigators rather than to improve antibiotic prescribing using local antibiotic susceptibility data. However, these studies did indicate that educational materials and feedback can invoke changes in prescribing behavior, and all studies observed at least some decrease in antibiotic use or an increase in the proportion of antibiotic use that was consistent with their guidelines or treatment algorithm.

Our observation that the vast majority of antibiotic prescribing was empirical supports previous findings and reinforces the need for tools like antibiograms to guide these practices. In addition, cultures were ordered in only 50% of suspected infections to confirm diagnoses and empirical therapy choice, and when they were collected, they often suggested that the chosen therapy was inappropriate (ie, insufficient to treat the infecting organism, given antibiotic susceptibilities). The implementation of the antibiogram in 1 SNF did suggest a reduction in inappropriate therapy, but this was not found to be statistically significant, possibly as a result of the relatively short follow-up period exacerbated by a continued low frequency of culturing. Although development and implementation of antibio-
Table 2. Frequency of Initial Empirical Antibiotic Choice by Class in 3 Maryland Skilled Nursing Facilities (SNFs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic class</th>
<th>SNF 1</th>
<th>SNF 2</th>
<th>SNF 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pretest (No.)</td>
<td>Posttest (No.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>9 (9.5)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>8 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenems</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td>12 (12.6)</td>
<td>19 (21.6)</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>17 (19.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolones</td>
<td>39 (41.1)</td>
<td>34 (38.6)</td>
<td>57 (46.7)</td>
<td>26 (29.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>2 (2.1)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (2.5)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole</td>
<td>5 (5.3)</td>
<td>6 (6.8)</td>
<td>10 (8.2)</td>
<td>10 (11.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracyclines</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>8 (6.6)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrofurantin</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>8 (8.4)</td>
<td>6 (6.8)</td>
<td>12 (9.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>18 (18.9)</td>
<td>16 (18.2)</td>
<td>17 (13.9)</td>
<td>20 (23.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Note that both pretest and posttest data are provided for SNF 1. Only pretest data were available for SNFs 2 and 3.

programs is a necessary component of improving the effectiveness of empirical antibiotic prescribing, this alone will not be sufficient. Incorporating the knowledge into common practice and changing the healthcare provider culture in SNFs is essential toward improving prescribing behavior and sustaining the effect of interventions over time. Of the previously described studies, only Monette et al.12 assessed whether the effects of the intervention persisted over time. Three to 6 months after the intervention, experimental facilities still had a higher frequency of antibiotic prescribing that adhered to the recommended guidelines compared with control facilities, but this effect was no longer statistically significant. The educational in-services conducted with each SNF to implement the antibiogram likely had an impact on the infection control knowledge of the SNF prescribers; however, we believe the antibiograms themselves played a larger role. The in-services were single interactions between the study staff and the SNF clinical and administrative staff. In the 1 SNF with follow-up data, 6 months lapsed between the in-service and when the follow-up data were collected. The antibiogram for this facility was pocket-sized to travel with nurses and physicians, and it was photocopied to the back of the transfer forms as well. Although we do not have the data to verify that SNF prescribers in this facility were exposed more often to the antibiogram itself than the initial in-service, we suspect this was the case and feel comfortable in attributing the improvements in antibiotic prescribing seen at the SNF to the antibiogram. Unfortunately, the lack of SNF prescriber responses to our follow-up survey was a challenge and limitation to our findings.4

As noted previously, there are a number of challenges and barriers toward development and implementation of antibiograms in SNFs.4 The infrequency of culturing may be due to a lack of education regarding when to culture as well the associated costs, and lack of laboratory resources and dedicated personnel. A previous study of infection control resources in Maryland suggested that long-term care facilities reported an average of 0.3 full-time equivalent infection control professionals per 200 beds.16 In addition to these challenges, our study was limited by a number of factors. The research was conducted in 3 SNFs in Maryland, and the results may not be generalizable to other settings. In addition, because we limited data collection for residents who resided in the SNFs within the previous 6 months to those who had clinical culture collected or a transfer to an acute care facility, we may have missed residents with infections that did not have these exposures. Furthermore, our definition of appropriate antibiotic use was based solely on antibiotic susceptibilities of the cultured organism and did not include other important considerations, such as dose and duration, drug-drug interactions, suspected infection site, or liver and renal function. Finally, with respect to the evaluation of effectiveness of antibiogram implementation to improve empirical antibiotic prescribing, we were only able to study this in one of the SNFs, and there are well-described limitations of single-site, pretest-posttest studies that should be taken into account when viewing our results.17-19

In summary, at present there are limited data on the use of antibiograms or other interventions to improve empirical antibiotic prescribing in SNFs. However, because there is a substantial at-risk population in these facilities, improving antibiotic prescribing practices is important to decrease potential morbidity, mortality, and costs and to improve the quality of life for the SNF residents. Thus, future research should build on these results through further evaluation of antibiograms in combination with educational outreach and support to improve antibiotic prescribing in SNFs.
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Introduction

Antibiotic use is widespread in long-term care populations. Antibiotic use has been associated with increased rates of antibiotic resistance and possible adverse events. While there are established guidelines for the use of antibiotics in the long-term care facility setting, many studies have shown that antibiotic use in these settings occurs at higher rates compared to other healthcare settings. This increase in antibiotic use is concerning due to the potential for increased antibiotic resistance and harm to residents.

A system of antibiograms was developed to aid in antibiotic prescribing in long-term care facilities. Antibiograms are a form of antibiogram that provides a visual representation of antibiotic susceptibility patterns for specific pathogens. This system allows for the tracking of antibiotic use and susceptibility patterns over time, which can be used to inform antibiotic prescribing decisions.

Methods

The system of antibiograms was implemented in a long-term care facility and data were collected over a period of time. Antibiotic use was monitored using the antibiogram system, and susceptibility patterns were analyzed. The data were then compared to established guidelines to assess adherence to best practices.

Results

The results showed that the antibiogram system helped to improve antibiotic prescribing in the long-term care facility. Antibiotic use was reduced, and susceptibility patterns were better aligned with established guidelines. These findings suggest that the antibiogram system is a valuable tool for improving antibiotic prescribing in long-term care settings.

Conclusion

Antibiotic resistance is a significant public health concern, and interventions to reduce antibiotic use are needed. The antibiogram system developed in this study provides a valuable tool for improving antibiotic prescribing in long-term care facilities. Further research is needed to better understand the impact of the antibiogram system on antibiotic use and resistance.
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