Lupin kernel fibre foods improve bowel function and beneficially modify some putative faecal risk factors for colon cancer in men
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Consumption of some dietary fibres may benefit bowel health; however, the effect of Australian sweet lupin (Lupinus angustifolius) kernel fibre (LKFibre) is unknown. The present study examined the effect of a high-fibre diet containing LKFibre on bowel function and faecal putative risk factors for colon cancer compared to a control diet without LKFibre. Thirty-eight free-living, healthy men consumed an LKFibre and a control diet for 1 month each in a single-blind, randomized, crossover study. Depending on subject energy intake, the LKFibre diet was designed to provide 17–30 g/d fibre (in experimental foods) above that of the control diet. Bowel function self-perception, frequency of defecation, transit time, faecal output, pH and moisture, faecal levels of SCFA and ammonia, and faecal bacterial β-glucuronidase activity were assessed. In comparison to the control diet, the LKFibre diet increased frequency of defecation by 0.13 events/d (P = 0.047), increased faecal output by 21% (P = 0.020) and increased faecal moisture content by 1.6% units (P = 0.027), whilst decreasing transit time by 17% (P = 0.012) and decreasing faecal pH by 0.26 units (P < 0.001). Faecal butyrate concentration was increased by 16% (P = 0.006), butyrate output was increased by 40% (P = 0.002) and β-glucuronidase activity was lowered by 1.4 μmol/h per g wet faeces compared to the control diet (P < 0.001). Addition of LKFibre to the diet incorporated into food products improved some markers of healthy bowel function and colon cancer risk in men.

Lupin: Dietary fibre: Legume: Bowel function: Faeces: SCFA

Observations that populations consuming high-fibre diets had lower death rates from colon cancer led Burkitt to hypothesise that increased dietary fibre intake leads to a reduced risk of colon cancer (Burkitt, 1969). Some recent epidemiological studies have supported this hypothesis (Bingham et al. 2003; Peters et al. 2003), while others have not (Fuchs et al. 1999; Pietinen et al. 1999; Flood et al. 2002). Inconsistencies in study findings may be partly a result of the heterogeneity of the chemical nature and physicochemical properties of dietary fibre. Dietary fibres from different plant sources often demonstrate unique physicochemical properties (Lupton, 2000) that are influential in determining the effect of the dietary fibre on the chemical and physiological events in the colon that impact on colon cancer risk.

Depending on the plant source, dietary fibre may result in increased faecal bulk (Burkitt et al. 1972; Cummings et al. 1992), increased faecal moisture content (Wrick et al. 1983) and reduced intestinal transit time (Burkitt et al. 1972; Wrick et al. 1983). These changes to bowel function are typical of insoluble fibre sources such as outer bran layers of cereals (Bach Knudsen et al. 1997) and are considered to reduce colon cancer risk since they decrease exposure of colonocytes to potential carcinogens that may be present in the bolus (Cummings et al. 1992). Soluble fibres, for example pectin, are generally considered to have less effect on faecal bulking and transit time than insoluble fibres (Bach Knudsen et al. 1997) because they are more readily lost in the colon through fermentation by resident bacteria. The fermentation process, however, produces SCFA (Cummings & MacFarlane, 1991), of which butyrate has been linked to reduction in colon cancer risk. Butyrate is the preferred source of energy for colonocytes (Cummings & Branch, 1986) and is able to reduce risk of malignant changes through regulation of colonocyte differentiation (Hague et al. 1995; Cummings & MacFarlane, 1997). Bacterial fermentation of dietary fibre may also increase utilization of ammonia by bacteria (Weber et al. 1987). Ammonia has been implicated in tumour promotion, increased cell proliferation, and alterations to cell morphology and DNA synthesis (Visek, 1978; Lin & Visek, 1991). The combination of elevated SCFA and lowered ammonia production due to consumption of some forms of dietary fibre can lead to lowered colonic pH (Hague et al. 1995). Lowering colonic pH may be protective against colon cancer since increasing colonic pH promotes carcinogen formation from bacterial degraded bile acids and cholesterol (Thornton, 1981).

The chemical nature of substrates available for fermentation can influence the profile of bacterial species present in the colon. Consequently, dietary fibres from different sources may differentially modify bacterial profiles and the levels of species-specific catabolic enzymes and potentially carcinogenic bacterial metabolites in the colon (Cook & Sellin, 2003).
Lupin fibre and colon cancer risk factors

1998). There is some evidence that lupin kernel fibre (LKFi-
bre) may beneficially modify colonic microflora populations
(Smith et al. 2005). The bacterial enzyme, β-glucuronidase, is
considered deleterious to colon cancer risk since this
enzyme hydrolysates glucuronic acid conjugates manufactured
in the liver from toxic metabolites. De-conjugation in the
colon releases the potentially carcinogenic parent compounds
and thus may increase the likelihood of tumour initiation (Gill
& Rowland, 2002).

LKFiibre is a novel food ingredient containing both soluble
and insoluble fractions (Hall et al. 2005). It is extracted from
the kernel of Australian sweet lupin (Lupinus angustifolius), a
legume grown in large quantities in Australia and considered
to be underutilized as a human food source (Petterson,
1998). Currently, it is being used mainly as an animal feed.
The dietary fibre content of Australian sweet lupin kernels is
higher than that of most other legumes, making up approxi-
mately 40 % of the kernel weight (Johnson & Gray, 1993; Pet-
terson, 1998; Guillon & Champ, 2002). LKFibre has shown
potential for the manufacture of palatable fibre-enriched pro-
ducts such as baked goods and pasta (Clark & Johnson, 2002).

No human studies investigating the effect of LKFibre on
bowel function or faecal putative risk factors for colon
cancer have been reported. The aim of the current study was
to compare the effect of a high-fibre diet incorporating LKFi-
bre with a lower-fibre diet without LKFibre on bowel function
and faecal putative risk factors for colon cancer in healthy
men.

Methods

Participants

As part of a larger study also investigating the effect of LKFibre
on blood lipids, glucose and insulin (Hall et al. 2005), forty-four healthy male subjects were recruited through news-
paper articles, radio announcements, posted notices and direct
personal communication in Melbourne, Australia. After giving
written informed consent, volunteers were screened for suit-
ability using a health questionnaire. Exclusion criteria were
cigarette smoking; an allergy to any food ingredients used in
the study or to legumes such as soya and peanuts; a history
of gastrointestinal problems, CVD or diabetes; and use of
medications known to affect lipid and carbohydrate metab-
olism. Thirty-eight subjects completed the study with a
mean age of 41 (SEM 2; range 24–64) years and mean BMI
of 26.7 (SEM 0.5; range 20.9–33.5) kg/m².

Of the six subjects who did not complete the study, two dis-
continued after 1 week of the LKFibre diet due to feelings of
abdominal bloating, and the remainder left at various stages of
the study due to personal reasons. The study was conducted
according to the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, as revised in
2000. Deakin University Ethics Committee granted approval
for the study.

Study design

A single-blind, randomized, crossover, dietary intervention
design in free-living subjects was used in the present study.
It consisted of two semi-controlled diets equal in total
energy to each subject’s habitual diet, one a high-fibre diet
including seven LKFibre-containing experimental foods, and
the other a lower-fibre control diet of otherwise equivalent
nutritional profile but with the seven placebo foods containing
no LKFibre. Subjects were block randomized, in groups of
four, to one of the diets (LKFiibre or control) for a period of
28 d, then returned to their habitual diet for a washout
period of a minimum of 28 d before undertaking the alternate
28 d test diet. An equal number of subjects (n 19) completed
each diet order.

A 4d weighed food record was completed prior to the inter-
vention phase of the study and again during the second week
of each intervention. Subjects completed questionnaires assess-
ing their self-perception of bowel function on day 18 of each
intervention at which time effects due to the diets should be
well established. On the mornings of days 23, 24 and 25 of
each intervention, subjects swallowed twenty radio-opaque
rings (of a different shape on each day) (TRANSCAP; Nine-
wells Hospital and Medical School, Dundee, UK) and
recorded the time of swallowing. All faeces passed during
days 24, 25 and 26 of each intervention were collected by
the subject into containers that were initially stored in insu-
lated boxes containing solid carbon dioxide (‘dry-ice’). Sub-
jects recorded the time and date of each defecation event. Col-
lected faecal samples were transported to Deakin University
each day by the researchers, weighed and then stored at
−20°C. Faecal samples remained frozen throughout and
were received at the laboratory between 1 and 25 h after defe-
cation. Mouth to anus transit time was calculated from the
number and types of markers detected by a single X-ray of
the first stool passed on day 26 (Birkett et al. 1997).

Experimental foods

The LKFibre (Table 1) was manufactured by Food Science
Australia (Werribee, Victoria, Australia). The LKFibre and
control experimental foods (bread, muffin, chocolate brownie,
chocolate milk drink, toasted muesli, pasta and instant mashed
potato) were manufactured by George Weston Foods (Enfield,
New South Wales, Australia). The LKFibre incorporation
rates in the experimental foods have been described elsewhere
(Hall et al. 2005). The nutrient composition of the experimen-
tal foods was directly analysed by standard procedures of the

Table 1. Compositional data of lupin kernel fibre
ingredient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy (kJ/100 g)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Available carbohydate (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dietary fibre (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble dietary fibre (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble dietary fibre (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (g/100 g)§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‡ Calculated using Atwater factors and assuming dietary fibre
energy is equivalent to 8 kJ/g.
§ Analysis based on the methods of the Association of Official

|
Dietary intervention

The dietary intervention has been described in detail elsewhere (Hall et al. 2005). In brief LKFibre and control diets equivalent in macronutrient composition, with the exception of dietary fibre, were prescribed to each subject to match their habitual energy intake as determined by a 4 d weighed food record. To help ensure dietary compliance, the dietary fibre level in each subject’s prescribed diet was stratified based on the total prescribed energy. The LKFibre experimental foods or their respective controls were incorporated into 3 d rotating menus (Hall et al. 2005). An example of 1 d of this menu is given in Table 2. Detailed written instructions on dietary protocol as well as counselling on a weekly basis by a nutritionist were provided to help maximize compliance. Subjects were instructed to avoid legumes, high-fat foods and known lipid-modifying foods such as spreads containing phy-tosterol and fermented foods such as yoghurt.

Dietary assessment

Prior to commencement of the study, each participant was provided with a set of electronic scales, measuring cups and a graduated measuring jug; then trained by researchers in the accurate measurement of the weight or volume of food and drink. Participants were also provided with a food diary that contained detailed instructions on how to record, in as much detail as possible, every item that they ate or drank, how the item was prepared and its accurately measured weight or volume. Subjects completed a 4 d weighed food record (that included one weekend day) of their habitual diet prior to commencement of the interventions and during the second week of each intervention. After completion, researchers discussed each food record with the participant, reviewed the information and discussed any unclear or missing information with the participant in order to minimize inaccuracies commonly associated with weighed food records. Weighed food records were analysed using FoodWorks version 3.01, build 472 (Xyris Software, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia), which incorporates the AusNut database (All Foods, Revision 14). The database was supplemented with the direct analysis of the experimental foods and manufacturers’ information for foods not found on the database.

Dietary assessment

Prior to commencement of the study, each participant was provided with a set of electronic scales, measuring cups and a graduated measuring jug; then trained by researchers in the accurate measurement of the weight or volume of food and drink. Participants were also provided with a food diary that contained detailed instructions on how to record, in as much detail as possible, every item that they ate or drank, how the item was prepared and its accurately measured weight or volume. Subjects completed a 4 d weighed food record (that included one weekend day) of their habitual diet prior to commencement of the interventions and during the second week of each intervention. After completion, researchers discussed each food record with the participant, reviewed the information and discussed any unclear or missing information with the participant in order to minimize inaccuracies commonly associated with weighed food records. Weighed food records were analysed using FoodWorks version 3.01, build 472 (Xyris Software, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia), which incorporates the AusNut database (All Foods, Revision 14). The database was supplemented with the direct analysis of the experimental foods and manufacturers’ information for foods not found on the database.

**Table 2. An example of 1 d of the 3 d repeating menu for a 12 MJ/d diet**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Breakfast</strong></td>
<td>200 ml orange juice 60 g control or LKFibre muesli‡ 2 x 30 g slices control or LKFibre bread‡ 10 g polysaturated margarine‡ 20 g jam 2 x 30 g slices control or LKFibre bread‡ 10 g polysaturated margarine‡ 30 g cheese 10 g lettuce 30 g tomato 20 g cucumber 57 g control or LKFibre chocolate drink powder†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
<td>200 g lean meat 5 ml vegetable oil† 35 g control or LKFibre instant potato powder‡ 150 g mixed vegetables 200 g canned fruit 100 g ice cream 2 x 80 g control or LKFibre chocolate brownies‡ 500 ml low fat milk Ad libitum tea, coffee Sugar in beverages§ 375 ml soft drink 2 standard drinks of alcohol 30 g condiments 100 ml cordial base‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dinner</strong></td>
<td>200 g lentil‡ 5 ml vegetable oil† 35 g control or LKFibre instant potato powder‡ 150 g mixed vegetables 200 g canned fruit 100 g ice cream 2 x 80 g control or LKFibre chocolate brownies‡ 500 ml low fat milk Ad libitum tea, coffee Sugar in beverages§ 375 ml soft drink 2 standard drinks of alcohol 30 g condiments 100 ml cordial base‖</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Snacks and daily allowances</strong></td>
<td>2 standard drinks of alcohol 200 ml fruit juice 150 g bread 500 ml milk 2 x 10 g slices control or LKFibre bread† 30 g cheese 10 g margarine‡ 375 ml soft drink 2 standard drinks of alcohol 30 g condiments 100 ml cordial base‖</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LKFibre, lupin kernel fibre. ‡ Supplied by researchers. § Sugar allowance was prescribed at habitual intake levels and kept constant on both interventions. ‖ LKFibre diet only.

Bowel function questionnaires

Subjects were interviewed weekly throughout the study period to obtain a subjective assessment of bowel function and well-being, and were asked to record any unusual gastrointestinal events. On day 18 of each intervention period, the following subjective assessments of bowel function as experienced over the previous few days were assessed by self-administered questionnaires: (1) stool form by visual assessment using the Bristol Stool Form Scale (type 1 = ‘separate hard lumps, like nuts (hard to pass)’ through to type 7 = ‘watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid’) (O’Donnell et al. 1990); (2) ease of defecation (15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘extremely difficult’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘extremely easy’); (3) frequency of defecation (15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far less often than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much more often than usual’); (4) level of flatulence (15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far less than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much better than usual’); (5) general bowel health (15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far worse than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much better than usual’). Responses to the Bristol Stool Form Scale were enumerated as the number corresponding to the selected stool type. For the line scales, subjects were asked to mark a position anywhere along the scales that matched their perception. The ratings were then converted to a numerical score based on distance in centimetres (to one decimal place) from the far left anchor of the scale.

Faecal analysis

The frozen 3 d faecal collections were pooled per subject then thawed rapidly in a warm water bath. After manual homogenization, pH was immediately determined in three different sites using a protein-resistant pH glass electrode (Orion Research Incorporated, Boston, MA, USA). For measurement of SCFA, 1·5 g pooled faeces was immediately diluted 1:3 with 0·9 % saline and stored at −20°C until analysis. Moisture content of pooled faeces was determined by weight loss on drying overnight to a constant weight in a rotary vacuum evaporator (Hetovac model VR-1/120/240; Heto Laboratory Equipment –High Technology of Scandinavia, Birkerød, Denmark). Representative sub-samples of the remaining pooled faeces were immediately frozen at −20°C until required for determination of ammonia and bacterial enzyme activity.
To measure SCFA, the previously prepared faecal saline suspensions were rapidly thawed in warm water and dispersed by vortexing with glass beads. After centrifugation at 1200 g for 10 minutes at 4°C, 200 µL supernatant was mixed with 20 µL orthophosphoric acid (1:5 solution in distilled water; to precipitate contaminating material) and 20 µL methylvaleric acid (32 mM, internal standard). Samples were vortexed and then centrifuged at 1200 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was then used for analysis. A 1 µL sample of the final supernatant was analysed by GC with flame ionization detection (Phillips et al. 1995) using a Varian Model 3700 gas chromatogram (Varian Associates, Palo Alto, CA, USA) with a nucol fused silica capillary column (30 m × 0.25 mm internal diameter, 0.25 mm thickness; Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA).

For determination of ammonia, 0.5 g thawed pooled sample was immediately diluted 1:20 with 3% TCA, vortexed with glass beads, then centrifuged at 500 g for 10 minutes at 4°C (Lin & Visek, 1991). The resulting supernatant was filtered, diluted 1:2 with distilled water (Birkett et al. 1996) and used to determine faecal ammonia concentration using the Berthelot's indophenol colour reaction (Di Giorgio, 1974).

Faecal β-glucuronidase activity was determined using the deconjugated phenolphthalein glucuronic acid colour reaction based on the procedure of McIntosh et al. (2003), in which the β-glucuronidase enzyme present in the faecal sample deconjugates phenolphthalein from the phenolphthalein-glucuronic acid conjugate reagent. Thus, the amount of phenolphthalein released is representative of the enzyme activity. Pooled thawed faeces (3 g) were immediately homogenized (IKA T25 Basic Ultra-turrax; IKA Works Asia SDN BHD, Selangor, Malaysia) in 7 ml PBS, pH 7.0, then centrifuged at 10 000 g for 15 minutes at 4°C. Following this, 100 µL of the resulting supernatant was added to an equal volume of phenolphthalein-glucuronic acid conjugate reagent in 0.8 ml PBS and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes (Jenab et al. 1999) for enzymatic activity to occur. Enzyme activity was terminated by the addition of alkaline glycine solution and water to a volume of 6 ml. Phenolphthalein colour was developed for 10 minutes and the absorbance was measured at 540 nm. β-Glucuronidase activity was expressed as µmol phenolphthalein released per g wet weight per h as determined from a phenolphthalein standard curve.

Statistical analysis

The normality of the study data was evaluated using Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests. A paired samples t test or a two-related sample non-parametric test (Wilcoxon signed ranks test) for non-normal data was used to compare the effect of dietary intervention on each variable using SPSS software, version 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). In all analyses, P < 0.050 was considered significant. Data are expressed as means and their standard errors.

Results

Compliance, food consumption and body weight

During weekly counselling sessions, subjects who completed the study did not report any major concerns regarding either dietary regime. The self-reported dietary intakes during the pre-experimental, LKFibre and control diets have been published previously elsewhere (Hall et al. 2005). In brief, the LKFibre and control diets were generally well-balanced for energy and macronutrients, with the exception of dietary fibre levels (by design), which were on average 22.2 g/d higher (P < 0.001) on the LKFibre than on the control diet. In addition, a slightly but significantly lower (29% of energy, P = 0.001) mean available carbohydrate level and a slightly but significantly higher (0.8% of energy, P = 0.021) mean protein level was recorded during the LKFibre diet. No differences in body weight (P > 0.05) were seen between the two diets.

Perceptive ratings of bowel function

Data on subjects’ perceptive ratings of bowel function are shown in Table 3. Perceptions of frequency and ease of defecation did not differ significantly between the LKFibre and the control diets. A significantly higher perception of flatulence level on the LKFibre diet than the control diet was observed (P < 0.001), but the perceptions of bowel health on the two diets were not significantly different. Appearance of stools, as self-evaluated by the Bristol Stool Form Scale, did not significantly differ between the two diets.

Frequency of defecation, transit time, faecal output, pH and moisture

Frequency of defecation, transit time, faecal output, pH and moisture data are shown in Table 4. A small but significant increase (0.13 events/d, P = 0.047) in defecation events was observed during the LKFibre diet compared to the control diet. Faecal output (g/d) was significantly higher (21%, P = 0.020) during the LKFibre diet, representing an increase of 1.6 g faeces/g additional dietary fibre contributed by LKFibre. Mouth to anus transit time (h) was significantly shorter (17%, P = 0.012) during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet. Faecal pH was significantly lower (0.26 units, P < 0.001) and faecal moisture content significantly higher (1.6 units, P = 0.027) during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet.

Table 3. Effect of lupin kernel fibre (LKFibre) diet on perceptive ratings of bowel function (n = 38)‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LKFibre</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
<td>Mean ± SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of defecation§</td>
<td>7.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of defecation¶</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8.0 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence level¶¶</td>
<td>9.9*** ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bristol stool Form Scale§§</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value was significantly different from that of the control group: ***P < 0.001 (Wilcoxon signed ranks test).

‡ For details of diets and procedures, see p. 373.

§ 15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far less often than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much more often than usual’.

¶ 15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘extremely difficult’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘extremely easy’.

¶¶ 15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far less than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much more than usual’.

§§ 15 cm line scale: left-hand anchor = ‘far worse than usual’, mid-point anchor = ‘same as usual’, right-hand anchor = ‘much better than usual’.

†† = separate hard lumps, like nuts (hard to pass) through to 7 = ‘watery, no solid pieces, entirely liquid’.
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Differences in the SCFA levels of the faeces were seen between the LKFibre and the control diets (Table 5). A significantly higher concentration (22 %, P<0.001) and daily output (22 %, P<0.001) of acetate were found on the LKFibre diet compared to the control diet. A trend towards a higher concentration (21 %, P=0.091, Wilcoxon signed ranks test) and a significantly higher output (42 %, P=0.002) of propionate was observed during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet. A significantly higher butyrate concentration (16 %, P=0.006) and output (40 %, P=0.002) was observed during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet. A significantly higher valerate output (21 %, P=0.030) but no significant differ- ence in concentration was found for the LKFibre compared to the control diet. No significant effect of LKFibre was observed for the concentration or output of isobutyrate or isovalerate. Compared to the control diet, the LKFibre diet produced a significant increase in the molar ratio (% of total) of acetate (1·7 % units) and a significant decrease in the molar ratios of isobutyrate (0·4 % units, P<0.001), isovalerate (0·7 % units, P<0.001) and valerate (0·5 % units, P<0.001). No significant differences between the molar ratios of propionate or butyrate during the LKFibre and control diets were observed.

Ammonia concentration and β-glucuronidase activity in faeces

There was no significant difference between the ammonia concentration of the faeces collected during the LKFibre diet and the faeces collected during the control diet (n 38; 61·4 (SEM 4·6) compared with 62·9 (SEM 3·8) μmol/g wet faeces, respectively). During the LKFibre diet, faecal β-glucuronidase activity was significantly lower than during the control diet (n 38; 4·1 (SEM 0·5) compared with 5·5 (SEM 0·5) μmol/h per g wet faeces, respectively; P<0.001, paired samples t test).

SCFA in faeces

Differences in the SCFA levels of the faeces were seen between the LKFibre and the control diets (Table 5). A significantly higher concentration (μmol/g wet faeces; 19 %, P=0.001) and daily output (mmol/d; 44 %, P<0.001) of total SCFA and a significantly higher concentration (22 %, P<0.001) and daily output (50 %, P<0.001) of acetate were found on the LKFibre diet compared to the control diet. A trend towards a higher concentration (21 %, P=0.091, Wilcoxon signed ranks test) and a significantly higher output (42 %, P=0.002) of propionate was observed during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet. A significantly higher butyrate concentration (16 %, P=0.006) and output (40 %, P=0.002) was observed during the LKFibre diet than during the control diet. A significantly higher valerate output (21 %, P=0.030) but no significant differ- ence in concentration was found for the LKFibre compared to the control diet. No significant effect of LKFibre was observed for the concentration or output of isobutyrate or isovalerate. Compared to the control diet, the LKFibre diet produced a significant increase in the molar ratio (% of total) of acetate (1·7 % units) and a significant decrease in the molar ratios of isobutyrate (0·4 % units, P<0.001), isovalerate (0·7 % units, P<0.001) and valerate (0·5 % units, P<0.001). No significant differences between the molar ratios of propionate or butyrate during the LKFibre and control diets were observed.

Table 4. Effect of lupin kernel fibre (LKFibre) diet on frequency of defe- cation, transit time, faecal output, pH and moisture (n 38)†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LKFibre</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of defection (events/d)</td>
<td>1·46†*</td>
<td>0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal output (g/d)</td>
<td>208†</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transit time (h)</td>
<td>36·9††</td>
<td>1·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal pH</td>
<td>6·34†††</td>
<td>0·07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faecal moisture content (%)</td>
<td>73·7†</td>
<td>0·87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value was significantly different from that of the control group: *P<0.05 (Wil- coxon signed ranks test).

Mean values were significantly different from that of the control group: †P<0.05 (paired samples t test).

Mean value was significantly different from that of the control group: ††P<0.001 (paired samples t test).

† For details of diets and procedures, see p. 373.

Table 5. Effect of lupin kernel fibre (LKFibre) diet on faecal SCFA (n 38)‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LKFibre</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total SCFA (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>128·7†††</td>
<td>5·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>28·3†††</td>
<td>2·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propionate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>78·9†††</td>
<td>3·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isobutyrate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>17·7†††</td>
<td>1·8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butyrate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>61·3* 0·8</td>
<td>59·6 0·9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isovalerate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>21·2 1·9</td>
<td>17·6 1·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerate (μmol/g wet faeces)</td>
<td>4·4*** 0·5</td>
<td>3·1 0·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>6·1 0·9</td>
<td>16·2 0·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>1·8 0·1</td>
<td>2·0 0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>0·34 0·02</td>
<td>0·32 0·03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>1·6††† 0·1</td>
<td>2·0 0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>21·6†††</td>
<td>1·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>4·9** 0·6</td>
<td>3·5 0·5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>16·7 0·6</td>
<td>16·5 0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>2·6 0·2</td>
<td>2·8 0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>0·46 0·03</td>
<td>0·45 0·04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>2·2*** 0·2</td>
<td>2·9 0·3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>2·6 0·2</td>
<td>2·7 0·2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>0·52† 0·05</td>
<td>0·43 0·04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mmol/d)</td>
<td>2·1*** 0·2</td>
<td>2·6 0·2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean value was significantly different from that of the control group (Wilcoxon signed ranks test): *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

Mean value was significantly different from that of the control group (paired samples t test): †P<0.05, ††P<0.01, †††P<0.001.

‡ For details of diets and procedures, see p. 373.

Discussion

The present study has demonstrated for the first time that the addition of LKFibre to the diet improves bowel function (frequency of defe- cation, faecal output, faecal moisture content, transit time) in healthy men. Similar beneficial changes to bowel function have been reported following the consumption of foods containing outer bran layers of cereal (Bach Knudsen et al. 1997). These beneficial effects of cereal bran have been attributed to the water-binding capacity of the fibre that remains unfermented in the colon (Bach Knudsen et al. 1992). We observed both an increase in the moisture content of the faeces and evidence of colonic fermentation (flatulence, SCFA production) in the present study. These observations suggest that the improved bowel function was the result of a combination of increased faecal biomass due to fibre fermentation and high water bind- ing capacity (Turnbull et al. 2005). We observed both an increase in the moisture content of the faeces and evidence of colonic fermentation (flatulence, SCFA production) in the present study. These observations suggest that the improved bowel function was the result of a combination of increased faecal biomass due to fibre fermentation and high water bind- ing capacity (Turnbull et al. 2005).
an increase in mean stool weight from below (172 g/d) to above (208 g/d) this low-risk cut-off value. Wheat bran is considered to be one of the most effective faecal bulking agents (Cummings, 1986) and can result in an increase in faecal weight of 4.9 g/g fibre (Cummings et al. 1996). The increase in faecal weight due to LKFibre addition in the present study was lower than that of wheat bran and more similar to that reported for resistant starches (Jenkins et al. 1998).

The present study provides evidence that subjects’ perceptive ratings of bowel function were not generally modified by the addition of LKFB to the diet, even at the high levels of LKFB used (the possible exception being the two subjects who dropped out of the study due to abdominal bloating). A higher level of flatulence was perceived on the LKFB diet. Nevertheless, in the subjects completing the study, it does not appear that this flatulence was perceived negatively since there was no difference in the perception of bowel health between the two diets.

A lower faecal pH was observed during the LKFB diet than during the control diet, a finding that suggests a reduced colon cancer risk (MacDonald et al. 1978; Thornton, 1981; Malhotra, 1982). The difference in pH between the LKFB and the control diets was of a similar magnitude to that previously observed for high-fibre wheat and rye diets compared to a low-fibre control diet (McIntosh et al. 2003). Interestingly, both the control and the LKFB diets resulted in a faecal pH that is considered to be low-risk (MacDonald et al. 1978; Malhotra, 1982), possibly as a result of the relatively high levels of fibre present even in the control diet; therefore the physiological value of the small drop in pH due to the LKFB that we observed is presently unknown.

The present study has provided the first evidence that the addition of LKFB to the diet modifies levels of faecal SCFA. The higher levels of faecal total SCFA during the LKFB diet appear to be due primarily to an increase in acetate levels. Similar results were reported after consumption of high levels of mixed vegetable fibre, which included pea fibre and soya polysaccharides (Fredstrom et al. 1994). The higher faecal butyrate concentration and daily output observed on the LKFB diet suggests a reduction in colon cancer risk (Cummings & Branch, 1986; Hague et al. 1995; Cummings & Macfarlane, 1997). Slow colonic fermentation has been associated with increased butyrate synthesis (Nordgaard et al. 1995; Silvester et al. 1995). LKFB, being a combination of soluble and insoluble fractions, may be fermented relatively slowly, thus accounting for the increased butyrate levels observed. The increased levels of faecal propionate we observed on addition of LKFB to the diet, though of less direct importance to bowel health, may help to explain the previously reported cholesterol-lowering effect of this fibre of potential benefit to cardiovascular health that was found in the same cohort of subjects (Halli et al. 2005). One way in which fermentable fibres beneficially modify blood cholesterol levels is through an effect of propionate on liver cholesterol metabolism (Demigne et al. 1995; Wolter et al. 1995, 1996). In the present study, valerate and the branch-chained SCFA isobutyrate and isovalerate made up less than 10% of the total SCFA in the faeces. The addition of LKFB to the diet did modify the levels of these minor SCFA, although the physiological relevance of these changes is currently unknown.

Consumption of LKFB did not change faecal ammonia concentrations in the present study. Similar outcomes have been reported previously in studies using other fermentable carbohydrate sources such as transgalacto-oligosaccharides (Alles et al. 1999), rye (McIntosh et al. 2003) and some types of resistant starches (Silvester et al. 1997).

We observed that β-glucuronidase activity during the LKFB diet was lower than such activity during the control diet. The present finding suggests the possibility of a reduced colon cancer risk (Gill & Rowland, 2002), but the relevance to bowel physiology of the small drop in activity we observed is presently unknown. The reduction in β-glucuronidase activity was similar to that reported in studies using wholegrain wheat (McIntosh et al. 2003) and rye (Grästen et al. 2000).

In conclusion, the present study has provided the first evidence that consumption of LKFB by healthy men can beneficially affect bowel function and faecal parameters related to colon cancer risk. The present findings support the argument that this novel dietary fibre ingredient has a role to play in the maintenance of a healthy bowel.
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