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## On a problem of Kurt Mahler concernins binomial coefficents

## Ian S. Williams

Recently Kurt Mahler asked: for which natural numbers $N$ is the least common multiple of all the binomial coefficients $\binom{N}{k}$ the product of the primes less than or equal to $N$ ? We obtain a formula for the least common multiple of all the binomial coefficients of any natural number $N$ and hence show that 2,11 , and 23 are the only solutions to Mahler's problem.

Write $\mathrm{LCM}_{N}$ for the least common multiple of the binomial
coefficients $\binom{N}{k}$ of $N, k=0, \ldots, N$.
LEMMA. Let $N$ be any natural number and $p_{i}^{r}$ be a prime power such that $p_{i}^{r_{i}} \leq N+1<p_{i}{ }_{i}^{+1}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{LCM}_{N}=\left(\prod_{i} p_{i}^{r}\right) /(N+1)
$$

where the product is over all primes $p_{i} \leq N+1$.
Proof. Let $q$ be any prime power less than or equal to $N+1$.
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Then $q$ divides one and only one of

$$
N+1, N, N-1, \ldots, N+2-q .
$$

Hence $q$ divides the numerator and not the denominator of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(N+1) N(N-1) \ldots(N+2-q)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \ldots(q-1)}=\binom{N}{q-1}(N+1) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

So if $q=p_{i}^{{ }^{r}}{ }^{i}$, where $p_{i}^{{ }^{r}}{ }^{i} \leq N+1<p_{i}{ }^{r}{ }^{+1}$, then $p_{i}^{r}{ }^{r} \left\lvert\,(N+1)\binom{N}{q-1}\right.$. But this is true for any prime power $p_{i}^{r}$. Hence

$$
\prod_{i} p_{i}^{r} \mid(N+1) \operatorname{LCM}_{N}
$$

It remains to show that if $p \mid q=p^{\alpha}$, then no higher power of $p$ than $p^{a}$ divides the left-hand side of (1). This could only happen if some power of $p$, say $p^{b}<p^{a}$ occurred more times in the numerator than in the denominator; this clearly cannot happen, as $p^{b}$ divides exactly $p^{a-b}-1$ other terms in both the numerator and denominator.

Hence we have the result.
THEOREM. Let $N$ be a natural number. Suppose the least common multiple of the binomial coefficients of $N$ is the product of the primes less than or equal to $N$. Then $N$ is 2, 11, or 23 .

Proof. Write $L C M_{N}=\prod_{i} p_{i}$ for the least cormon multiple of the primes less than or equal to $N$. Now using the previous lemma,

$$
\mathrm{LCM}_{N}=\left(\prod_{j} p_{j}^{r}{ }_{j}\right) /(N+1)
$$

where $p_{j}$ runs over all primes less than or equal to $N+1$, and where $p_{j}^{r_{j}} \leq N^{+1}<p_{j}^{r_{j}^{+1}}$. Hence, unless $N+1$ is a prime or prime power,
(2)

$$
N=\prod_{j} p_{j}^{r_{j}^{-1}}-1
$$

Clearly $\quad r_{j}=1$ for any prime greater than $\sqrt{N+1}$, and so such a prime does not appear in (2). Similarly for any prime less than or equal to $\sqrt{N+1}$ the condition $p_{j}{ }_{j} \leq N+1<p_{j}{ }_{j}+1$ ensures that $r_{j} \geq 2$, and so it does appear in (2). Thus every prime less than $\sqrt{N+1}$ is a factor of $N+1$ and not of $N$. Hence $N$ is a prime.

Therefore we consider the primes $N$ of the form

$$
N=T T p_{j}^{r_{j}^{-1}}-1
$$

in which every prime $p_{j} \leq \sqrt{N+1}$ occurs and for which $p_{j}{ }_{j} \leq N+1<p_{j}{ }_{j}+1$
First we show $N+1$ cannot be divisible by 3 to a power greater than or equal to 2 . Suppose the contrary; then

$$
N=2^{a_{3}} 3^{2+b_{k}}-1, \quad a>0, \quad b \geq 0
$$

satisfies all the assumptions. Thus $3^{3+b} \leq N+1<3^{4+b}$ and $a \geq 3$ (since $2^{a+1} \leq N+1<2^{a+2}$ ). But this means

$$
\begin{gathered}
3^{3+b} \leq 2^{a} 3^{2+b} k<3^{4+b} \\
3 \leq 2^{a} k<3^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can only be satisfied for $a=3, k=1$. In this case $N=2^{3} 3^{2+b}-1,2^{a+1}=16 \leq N+1<2^{a+2}=32$ and so $b<0$, which is a contradiction.

Hence the power of 3 is 0 or 1 . Now consider $b=0$; then

$$
N=2^{a}-1
$$

and $3>\sqrt{N+1}$; so $N=7$ or 3 which clearly do not satisfy the assumption that $2^{a+1} \leq N+1<2^{a+2}$.

It remains to consider natural numbers $N=2^{a} 3-1$. We must have

$$
3^{2} \leq N+1<3^{3}, 2^{a+1} \leq N+1<2^{a+2} \text {, and } 5>\sqrt{N+1}
$$

Clearly $N=11=2^{2} \cdot 3-1$ and $N=23=2^{3} \cdot 3-1$ are the only natural numbers which satisfy all the assumptions, when $N+1$ is not a prime or prime power.

If $N+1$ is a prime power, $p_{i}^{r}$, then from the lemma, $p_{i}$ will not divide $\mathrm{LCM}_{N}$ and so $\mathrm{LCM}_{N}$ is not a product of the primes less than or equal to $N$.

If $N+1$ is prime, then $N$ can only equal 2 , as $N$ must be prime using the same argument as above. Clearly $L_{\text {LCM }}^{2} 2=\frac{2.3}{2}=2$ satisfies the assumptions.

Hence we have the result.
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