

The Summer Meeting of the Nutrition Society, hosted by the Irish Section, was held at the University of Ulster, Coleraine on 16–19 July 2007

Symposium on ‘Diet and cancer’

Obesity and cancer

Tobias Pischon*, Ute Nöthlings and Heiner Boeing

Department of Epidemiology, German Institute of Human Nutrition Potsdam-Rehbruecke, 14558 Nuthetal, Germany

The prevalence of obesity, defined as a BMI of $\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$, has increased substantially over previous decades to about 20% in industrialized countries, and a further increase is expected in the future. Epidemiological studies have shown that obesity is a risk factor for: post-menopausal breast cancer; cancers of the endometrium, colon and kidney; malignant adenomas of the oesophagus. Obese subjects have an approximately 1.5–3.5-fold increased risk of developing these cancers compared with normal-weight subjects, and it has been estimated that between 15 and 45% of these cancers can be attributed to overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m^2) and obesity in Europe. More recent studies suggest that obesity may also increase the risk of other types of cancer, including pancreatic, hepatic and gallbladder cancer. The underlying mechanisms for the increased cancer risk as a result of obesity are unclear and may vary by cancer site and also depend on the distribution of body fat. Thus, abdominal obesity as defined by waist circumference or waist:hip ratio has been shown to be more strongly related to certain cancer types than obesity as defined by BMI. Possible mechanisms that relate obesity to cancer risk include insulin resistance and resultant chronic hyperinsulinaemia, increased production of insulin-like growth factors or increased bioavailability of steroid hormones. Recent research also suggests that adipose tissue-derived hormones and cytokines (adipokines), such as leptin, adiponectin and inflammatory markers, may reflect mechanisms linked to tumourigenesis.

Obesity: Cancer risk: Cancer site: Body fat distribution

The prevalence of obesity has increased substantially over previous decades in most industrialized countries, and a further increase is expected in the future⁽¹⁾. According to estimates by the International Association for the Study of Obesity provided in April 2007 approximately 40–50% of men and 25–35% of women in the EU were overweight (defined as a BMI between 25.0 and 29.9 kg/m^2), and an additional 15–25% of men and 15–25% of women were obese (BMI $\geq 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$)⁽²⁾. Similarly, in 2004 approximately 34.1% of the US population were overweight and about 32.2% were obese⁽³⁾. Obesity is a risk factor for several chronic diseases, most notably hypertension, type 2 diabetes, dyslipidaemia and CHD. Accumulating evidence suggests that obesity is also a risk factor for certain types of cancer. Based on a systematic review of the literature,

an expert panel convened by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as part of the WHO concluded in 2002–3 that sufficient evidence exists for a link between obesity and increased risk of colon cancer, post-menopausal breast cancer, endometrial cancer, renal cell cancer and adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus^(4,5). Subsequently, the findings have been published of additional studies that have examined the relationship between excess body fat and cancer risk more extensively. These studies include those that have examined the association between body shape as well as weight gain and cancer risk, and also studies using biomarkers to better define the obesity phenotype that is relevant for cancer risk. Based on the International Agency for Research on Cancer review^(4,5) and on subsequent relevant studies published in the field the

Abbreviations: EPIC, European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition; HRT, hormone-replacement therapy; IGF, insulin-like growth factor; IGFBP, IGF-binding proteins; RR, relative risk.

***Corresponding author:** Professor Tobias Pischon, fax +49 33200 88 721, email pischon@dife.de

present article provides an overview of the association between excess body fat and risk of cancer and of the potential underlying pathophysiology.

Definition and assessment of obesity

The definition of obesity is based on BMI, which is body weight (kg):height² (m²)⁽⁶⁾. BMI is highly correlated with fat mass and morbidity and mortality, and therefore reflects obesity-related disease risk in a wide range of populations. However, there are some important limitations. First, for the same BMI older adults tend to have a higher body fat composition, and therefore risk assessment using BMI is less accurate in these individuals (>65 years of age)⁽⁷⁾. Second, current BMI cut-off points for overweight and obesity are suggested to be too high for Asian populations⁽⁸⁾. Third, and probably most important, the BMI does not assess body fat distribution. It is well-known that abdominal (central, visceral, android) obesity, which is usually observed in men, is associated with a higher morbidity than the gluteofemoral (peripheral, gynoid) obesity typically observed in women⁽⁶⁾. Body fat distribution can most easily be assessed by measurement of the waist and hip circumferences. Current guidelines suggest a waist circumference of 102 cm in men and 88 cm in women, or a waist:hip ratio of 0.95 in men and 0.80 in women, as being the cut-off points for abdominal obesity that are purportedly associated with an increased risk of morbidity⁽⁶⁾. Waist circumference shows a close correlation with the amount of visceral adipose tissue, and the latter has been shown to be metabolically more active and to secrete far greater amounts of cytokines and hormones compared with subcutaneous adipose tissue^(9–11). Further, a higher influx of portal fatty acids, cytokines and hormones into the liver from omental adipose tissue may specifically distort hepatic metabolism, including abnormal lipoprotein synthesis, hepatic insulin resistance and increased gluconeogenesis^(12,13). Recent large studies have indicated that measurement of waist circumference or waist:hip ratio may be a better disease risk predictor than BMI^(14,15), and intensive research is still ongoing as to which variable(s) are better predictors of disease risk.

Several different diagnostic tools are available to assess body fat composition, such as measurement of (subcutaneous) skinfold by means of a caliper or ultrasound, bio-electrical impedance analysis, densitometry or imaging procedures (computerized tomography, NMR); however, most of these procedures are not readily available in clinical practice, and do not add substantial information for risk assessment in an individual beyond that of BMI and waist circumference⁽¹⁶⁾.

Obesity and cancers of the colon and rectum

For 2006 it was estimated that 217 400 men and 195 400 women were newly diagnosed with colo-rectal cancer within Europe, accounting for 12.8 and 13.1% of the total cancer incidence in men and women respectively⁽¹⁷⁾. In the same year 107 600 men and 99 900 women died of colo-rectal cancer, accounting for 11.3 and 13.3% of all cancer

deaths in men and women respectively⁽¹⁷⁾. A possible association between obesity and risk of colo-rectal cancer has been examined in many epidemiological studies^(18–47) and the International Agency for Research on Cancer and WHO have concluded in their 2002–3 report that there is sufficient evidence that overweight and obesity increases the risk of colo-rectal cancer⁽⁵⁾. However, although in most studies body weight and BMI have been found to be positively related to risk of colon cancer in men, weaker or no associations have been reported for women^(18–47). Further, among the studies that have examined associations with rectal cancer, most have found no association with body weight or BMI^(19–22,29,34,44,47). The reasons for the apparent discrepancy in the association between body weight and colon cancer risk between men and women have long been unclear, and it has been suggested that one potential reason is that men and women have different body compositions. Fat makes up a lower percentage of the body mass of men (approximately 20%) than of women (approximately 30%). The relationship between body weight and fat distribution also differs between men and women. Higher body weight is more closely related to abdominal obesity than gluteofemoral obesity in men and more closely related to gluteofemoral obesity than to abdominal obesity in women. Furthermore, abdominal obesity has been shown to be more strongly associated with metabolic abnormalities than gluteofemoral obesity^(48,49). Hence, assuming that it is primarily visceral adipose tissue and not non-visceral adipose tissue that is involved in tumourigenic processes, body weight and BMI may not accurately reflect the colon cancer risk that is associated with abdominal fat accumulation, at least in women. This hypothesis has recently been supported by findings from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) that have indicated that abdominal obesity (as defined by waist circumference or waist:hip ratio) is an equally-strong risk factor for colon cancer in men and women, whereas body weight and BMI are associated with colon cancer risk in men but not in women (Fig. 1)⁽⁵⁰⁾. Thus, men and women in the highest gender-specific quintile of waist:hip ratio compared with the lowest quintile were found to have a 50% higher risk of developing colon cancer over a mean follow-up period of 6 years⁽⁵⁰⁾. Further support for the hypothesis that abdominal obesity rather than total obesity is an equally-strong risk factor for colon cancer in men and women comes from the observation within EPIC that plasma levels of C-peptide (a marker of pancreatic insulin secretion that is tightly correlated with visceral fat accumulation) have been shown to be similarly strongly related to risk of colon cancer in men and women⁽⁵¹⁾. By contrast, within EPIC neither the anthropometric measures nor plasma C-peptide levels were significantly related to rectal cancer^(50,51), suggesting that neither total obesity nor abdominal obesity substantially influences the risk of this type of cancer.

The pathophysiology underlying the association between abdominal obesity and increased colon cancer risk is unclear. Some authors have suggested that components of the metabolic syndrome, particularly insulin resistance and subsequent hyperinsulinaemia, may be the underlying link, which may reflect the growth-promoting effects of




Fig. 1. Relative risk of colon cancer according to quintiles of BMI in men (A) and women (B), and relative risk of colon cancer according to quintiles of waist:hip ratio in men (C) and women (D) during a mean follow-up of 6.1 years of 368 277 participants from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition⁽⁵⁰⁾. Relative risks for BMI were adjusted for age, study centre, smoking status, education, alcohol intake, physical activity, fibre intake and consumption of red and processed meat, fish and shellfish and fruit and vegetables. Relative risks for waist:hip ratio were additionally adjusted for height. Values are means and 95% CI represented by vertical bars. For BMI $P = 0.006$ and $P = 0.40$ for trend in men and women respectively and for waist:hip ratio $P = 0.006$ and $P = 0.002$ for trend in men and women respectively.

insulin^(52–54). These speculations are also supported by studies that have found that subjects with type 2 diabetes are at increased risk of colon cancer^(55,56) and by studies that have found positive associations between plasma

insulin and C-peptide levels and risk of colon cancer (see earlier discussion)^(53,57,58). Insulin is known to have growth effects as well as metabolic effects, and data from a variety of sources suggest that insulin is functionally involved in

colo-rectal carcinogenesis^(59,60). Hyperinsulinaemia is also related to increased levels of bioavailable insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, which is known to have cancer-promoting effects^(61–64). Insulin interacts with the IGF-1 axis by reducing the synthesis of IGF-1-binding proteins (IGFBP), therefore increasing the bioavailability of IGF-1⁽⁶⁵⁾. Experimental and observational studies suggest that IGF-1 may be involved in the development of colo-rectal cancer^(53,58,63,66–69). More recent data suggest that adipose tissue-derived cytokines and hormones, collectively termed adipokines, may also be involved in tumourigenesis, including leptin, which stimulates growth of colonic epithelial cells^(61–63,70–72), and adiponectin, which has antiangiogenic and antitumour activities^(73–75). However, the exact role of these adipokines in the risk of colon cancer remains to be defined.

Obesity and breast cancer

According to recent estimates 429 900 cases of breast cancer were diagnosed in Europe in 2006, making breast cancer not only the most frequent type of cancer in women (28.9% of all female incident cancers) but also the most frequent type of cancer in the whole European population⁽¹⁷⁾. Similarly, with 131 900 deaths in 2006, breast cancer is the most frequent cause of cancer death among women in Europe (17.6% of all female cancer deaths)⁽¹⁷⁾. The association between indicators of body size and risk of breast cancer has been examined in numerous studies⁽⁴⁾. Taken together, these studies have provided complex results. In general, BMI and body weight have been found to be positively related to risk of breast cancer among post-menopausal women, whereas inverse associations have been found among premenopausal women. Further, among post-menopausal women the association between BMI and risk of breast cancer has been found to be stronger among women who do not use hormone-replacement therapy (HRT) compared with women who do use hormones. For example, in the EPIC study the relative risk (RR) of breast cancer among post-menopausal women in the highest quintile of BMI compared with the lowest quintile was found to be 1.36 (95% CI 1.06, 1.75) among non-HRT users, whereas no significant association was found among HRT users (Fig. 2)⁽⁷⁶⁾. Among premenopausal women, those in the highest quintile of BMI compared with the lower quintile had a 18% lower risk of breast cancer, although this difference was not significant⁽⁷⁶⁾. Earlier studies, the Nurses' Health Study⁽⁷⁷⁾, the Women's Health Initiative⁽⁷⁸⁾ and the Pooling Project⁽⁷⁹⁾, have shown similar results. Adult weight gain has generally been associated with greater risk of post-menopausal breast cancer than BMI at a younger age. For example, in the EPIC study post-menopausal women who did not use HRT and had gained >20 kg during adulthood (between age 20 years and approximately age 60 years) were found to have a 52% increased risk of developing post-menopausal breast cancer compared with those with stable weight during adulthood⁽⁸⁰⁾. As with general obesity, abdominal adiposity (as measured by waist circumference) has also been found to be positively associated

with risk of post-menopausal breast cancer, with stronger relationships among non-HRT users than among HRT users^(4,81). However, with the exception of the Nurses' Health Study⁽⁸²⁾ most studies have found waist circumference not to be significantly related to post-menopausal breast cancer after adjustment for BMI, indicating that fat distribution is not related to post-menopausal breast cancer beyond adiposity per se⁽⁸¹⁾. Among premenopausal women, waist circumference has generally not been found to be related to risk of breast cancer^(4,81). Interestingly, however, some studies have found positive associations between waist circumference and premenopausal breast cancer after adjustment for BMI^(76,81,82). It is currently unclear whether this outcome reflects a true biological finding or whether it is simply a statistical artifact resulting from the high collinearity between waist circumference and BMI.

The mechanisms that underlie the association between obesity and breast cancer risk are not completely understood but several hypotheses have been proposed, including alterations in sex hormones, growth factors and cytokines. The adipose tissue expresses sex steroid-metabolizing enzymes that promote the formation of oestrogens from androgenic precursors. After menopause, when ovarian oestrogen production is suspended, the adipose tissue becomes the major source of endogenous oestradiol^(83,84). Obese post-menopausal women have higher conversion rates of sex hormones compared with non-obese post-menopausal women. Further, obesity-related hyperinsulinaemia inhibits hepatic secretion of sex hormone-binding globulin. Both effects result in an increase in bioavailable oestradiol and testosterone in obese post-menopausal women, which through binding to oestrogen and androgen receptors may increase cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis⁽⁶⁶⁾. Plasma levels of free oestradiol and testosterone are positively related to breast cancer incidence in post-menopausal women⁽⁸⁵⁾, and it has been shown that the association between obesity and breast cancer risk in post-menopausal women can largely be explained by increased levels of oestrogens, particularly bioavailable oestradiol^(86,87). Among premenopausal women obesity is associated with a higher frequency of anovulatory cycles and with lower levels of circulating sex steroid hormones, which may be among the reasons for the observation of an inverse relationship between BMI and premenopausal breast cancer in some studies⁽⁸⁸⁾. Obesity is also related to reduced levels of IGFBP-1 and -2⁽⁶⁶⁾ and consequently increased bioavailability of IGF-1. Insulin and IGF-1 may again both increase cell proliferation and inhibit apoptosis^(59–64). However, the epidemiological evidence of a relationship between plasma levels of IGF-1 and its binding proteins and risk of breast cancer has been inconsistent^(67,89–94). More recent studies suggest that adipose tissue-derived hormones, including adiponectin and leptin, may also be directly involved in breast cancer development^(95–100).

Obesity and endometrial cancer

With an estimated 149 300 newly-diagnosed cases of uterine cancer in Europe in 2006, this type of cancer




Fig. 2. Relative risk of breast cancer according to quintiles of BMI in premenopausal women (A), in post-menopausal women who did not use hormone-replacement therapy

accounted for 10.0% of cancer incidence in women⁽¹⁷⁾. Within the same year 46 600 women died of uterine cancer, thereby accounting for 6.2% of cancer deaths in women⁽¹⁷⁾. Adult obesity is associated with a 2- to 3-fold increased risk of endometrial cancer, and about 40% of endometrial cancer incidence has been estimated to be attributable to excess body weight⁽¹⁰¹⁾. In 2002–3 the International Agency for Research on Cancer expert panel judged the evidence for this association in twenty-five case-control and cohort studies as being sufficient⁽⁴⁾. However, in this evaluation it remained unclear whether the association between body weight and risk of endometrial cancer was linear, or whether it was restricted to overweight or obese women⁽⁴⁾. Some of the inconsistencies across studies may have been attributable to the use of weight or BMI to classify obesity, which has been shown to be an imperfect measure of adiposity (see earlier discussion). The inconsistencies could also be a result of variations in body fat distribution between the different study populations or of potential differences in the underlying biological mechanisms between premenopausal women v. post-menopausal women. In obese women before the menopause it is probably primarily the lack of progesterone (because of ovarian androgen production and continuous anovulation) that may increase the risk of endometrial cancer, whereas after the menopause excess weight may continue to increase risk primarily through elevated plasma levels of bioavailable oestrogens in the absence of ovarian progesterone synthesis (see later)⁽⁶⁵⁾. Adult weight gain, potentially a more important indicator of long-term energy balance, has been shown to be associated with increases in risk for endometrial cancer in a dose-dependent manner^(102–106). Some evidence that fat distribution may be important for endometrial cancer has emerged from studies that have looked at other measures of adiposity, including waist:hip ratio, waist:thigh ratio, subscapular skinfold and subscapular:thigh skinfold ratio. An association for waist:hip ratio independent of BMI has been shown in five case-control studies^(104,107–110), whereas another five studies, including two cohort studies^(111,112), have not shown such an independent association^(103,111–114). Subscapular skinfold measures have been shown to better predict endometrial cancer risk than waist:hip ratio and independent of BMI in two case-control studies^(103,113).

The associations between measures of obesity and risk of endometrial cancer have also been investigated in the EPIC Study that included 223 008 women⁽¹¹⁵⁾. In that analysis 567 cases of endometrial cancer were identified over a mean follow-up of 6.4 years⁽¹¹⁵⁾. Compared with normal-weight women, obese and morbidly-obese women

(BMI ≥ 40 kg/m²) were found to have a significantly increased RR of 1.78 (95% CI 1.41, 2.26) and 3.02 (95% CI 1.66, 5.52) of developing endometrial cancer respectively. In contrast, overweight women were not found to be at increased risk (RR 1.11 (95% CI 0.91, 1.36)), although the trend across BMI categories was found to be highly significant ($P < 0.0001$ for trend). These findings support the possibility of a threshold effect of BMI on endometrial cancer risk. Waist circumference, hip circumference and waist:hip ratio were all found to be positively and significantly associated with endometrial cancer risk. However, after additional adjustment for BMI, only the RR for waist circumference ≥ 88 cm compared with < 80 cm remained significant (1.50 (95% CI 1.10, 2.04); $P = 0.02$ for trend), indicating that abdominal body fat may aetio-logically be more relevant than gluteofemoral body fat⁽¹¹⁵⁾. Data on weight change during adulthood were available for a subcohort of 264 cases and 106 272 non-cases. An elevated RR of 1.75 (95% CI 1.11, 2.77) was calculated for women who had gained ≥ 20 kg between age 20 years and the time of enrolment into the study (approximately age 50 years) compared with women who had stable weight (± 3 kg) during this time period⁽¹¹⁵⁾. A risk increase of 13% was estimated for a gain in weight of 5 kg⁽¹¹⁵⁾. The associations between weight, BMI and hip circumference and endometrial cancer were found to be stronger for post-menopausal women than for premenopausal women, while for the association between waist circumference and waist:hip ratio and endometrial cancer somewhat greater risks were found for premenopausal women than for post-menopausal women. However, these differences were not found to be significant ($P \geq 0.10$ for all interactions). In contrast, evidence for an interaction between adiposity and HRT use on endometrial cancer risk was observed, such that among 'never-users' of HRT weight, BMI and waist and hip circumferences were significantly associated with risk of endometrial cancer, whereas no significant associations were observed among 'ever-users' of HRT. No interaction was observed between measures of obesity and use of oral contraceptives on risk of endometrial cancer, although the associations were slightly stronger in 'never-users' than 'ever-users'.

Alterations in endogenous hormone metabolism may provide the main links between obesity and endometrial cancer risk⁽⁶⁵⁾. The 'unopposed estrogen' hypothesis proposes that endometrial cancer may develop as a result of the mitogenic effects of oestrogens when these are insufficiently counterbalanced by progesterone. Hence, endometrial cancer risk is supposed to be increased in women who have high plasma levels of bioavailable oestrogens

(HRT; B), and in post-menopausal women who reported use of HRT (C) during a mean follow-up of 4.7 years of 176 886 women from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition⁽⁷⁶⁾. Relative risks for BMI were adjusted for age, study centre, smoking status, education, alcohol intake, parity, age at first pregnancy and age at menarche. Relative risks for premenopausal women were additionally adjusted for use of oral contraceptives. Values are means and 95% CI represented by vertical bars. For premenopausal women $P = 0.19$ for trend, for post-menopausal non-HRT users $P = 0.002$ for trend and for post-menopausal HRT users $P = 0.07$ for trend.

and/or low progesterone levels. It was proposed that elevated oestrogens and low progesterone promotes the development and growth of endometrial tumours largely through the increase in IGF-1 bioactivity within endometrial tissue, resulting from oestrogen-induced IGF-1 synthesis and reductions in IGFBP-1 because of lack of progesterone⁽⁶⁵⁾. Further risk factors for endometrial cancer related to endogenous hormone metabolism are low plasma sex hormone-binding globulin, elevated plasma androgens and elevated insulin levels⁽⁶⁵⁾. Excess weight has been linked to most of these hormonal changes⁽⁶⁵⁾. Obesity is generally associated with insulin resistance, leading to elevated plasma insulin levels, which affect the IGF-I-IGFBP system (see earlier discussion). For example, prediagnostic levels of C-peptide have been shown to be associated with increased endometrial cancer risk (RR for the highest quintile compared with the lowest quintile 4.76 (95% CI 1.91, 11.8))⁽¹¹⁶⁾. Furthermore, excess weight leads to a decrease in plasma sex hormone-binding globulin, a rise in oestrogens and a rise in specific androgens⁽⁶⁵⁾. In a multicentre prospective study in post-menopausal women circulating oestrogens and androgens were found to be positively associated with endometrial cancer risk, and an inverse association was reported for sex hormone-binding globulin⁽¹¹⁷⁾.

Obesity and renal cell cancer

The incidence of kidney cancer is increasing worldwide⁽¹¹⁸⁾. In the EU it was estimated that in 2006 kidney cancer accounted for 3.1% of total cancer incidence in men and for 2.3% of total cancer incidence in women, while it was the cause of 2.5% of deaths from cancer in men and of 2.0% of deaths from cancer in women⁽¹⁷⁾. In absolute numbers, 39 400 men and 24 000 women were newly diagnosed with kidney cancer in the EU in 2006, and 16 200 men and 10 200 women died because of this disease⁽¹⁷⁾. Renal cell carcinoma is the major type (80–90%) of kidney cancer, whereas renal pelvis cancer is a rare type of cancer, originating from the transitional cell epithelium within the kidney, that resembles ureter and bladder cancer⁽¹¹⁹⁾. US studies suggest that the increase in kidney cancer incidence can only partly be explained by improved detection of asymptomatic tumours⁽¹²⁰⁾. Thus, more detailed analyses have revealed that incidence rates for renal cell carcinoma have increased largely independent of tumour stage, whereas incidence rates for renal pelvis cancer have not increased⁽¹²⁰⁾. The reasons for the observed increased incidence rates of renal cell carcinoma are unclear but may include the rising prevalence of obesity. The relationship between BMI, body weight and risk of renal cell carcinoma has been examined in several studies^(121–152). Most of these studies have established obesity as a risk factor for renal cell cancer, and the WHO report has concluded that there is sufficient evidence that obesity increases the risk of this type of cancer^(4,5). However, there are some uncertainties. For example, earlier reviews have suggested that the association between body weight, BMI and risk of renal cell carcinoma may be stronger in women than in men^(153,154), although a

subsequent meta-analysis has found that the relationship is equally strong in both genders⁽¹⁵⁵⁾. In contrast, within EPIC it was recently observed that a high BMI is a risk factor for renal cell cancer in women but not in men⁽¹⁵⁶⁾. Thus, during an average 6.0-year follow-up of 348 550 participants the RR of developing renal cell cancer in individuals with a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m² compared with those with a BMI of <25 kg/m² was found to be 1.68 (95% CI 1.03, 2.75) among women and 1.06 (95% CI 0.66, 1.70) among men. The reasons for these gender differences are unclear. The association between body fat distribution and risk of renal cell cancer has been examined in only a few studies, and results from these studies suggest that fat distribution does not predict renal cell cancer risk beyond adiposity in general^(4,156).

The mechanisms that link overweight and obesity with renal cell carcinoma are only poorly understood. One popular hypothesis is that obesity may increase risk of renal cell carcinoma by affecting plasma levels of bioavailable IGF-1^(66,150). Nevertheless, although IGF-1 is known to have cancer-promoting activities and has been shown to be related to other types of cancer^(53,66), the hypothesis that IGF-1 is related to risk of renal cell carcinoma has not been tested in human studies. Obesity is also related to an increased risk of hypertension and diabetes, both of which are risk factors for renal cell cancer^(119,150,157). However, limited lines of evidence suggest that obesity increases risk of renal cell cancer even independently of blood pressure levels⁽¹⁵⁰⁾. Experimental and observational data suggest that obesity-related biomarkers may also be involved in tumourigenesis and tumour progression^(73,74,97,99,100,158–161); however, little is known about the relationship between these biomarkers and risk of renal cell carcinoma. Very recently, lower adiponectin levels were observed in individuals with renal cell cancer when compared with healthy controls⁽¹⁶²⁾. Interestingly, this association remained significant when differences in BMI between individuals were taken into account but became non-significant when accounting for waist:hip ratio. Clearly, prospective studies are needed to examine the role of obesity biomarkers in the development of renal cell cancer.

Obesity and oesophageal cancer

It was estimated that 34 300 men and 10 700 women were newly diagnosed with oesophageal cancer in Europe in 2006 (2.0% of total cancer incidence in men and 0.7% of total cancer incidence in women)⁽¹⁷⁾. Within the same year, approximately 29 300 men and 9200 women died from oesophageal cancer (3.1% of cancer deaths in men and 1.2% of cancer deaths in women)⁽¹⁷⁾. Strikingly, the occurrence for this type of cancer is 3-fold higher in men than in women. The major histological types of oesophageal cancer are squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma. Squamous cell carcinoma is the predominant type of oesophageal cancer and has been clearly linked to smoking tobacco and drinking alcohol⁽¹⁶³⁾. In industrialized countries the incidence of oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma has remained relatively constant or even

declined during previous decades^(4,164). Oesophageal squamous cell carcinomas predominantly occur in the upper and middle part of the oesophagus, while oesophageal adenocarcinomas most frequently occur in the lower part of the oesophagus. However, it is often difficult to differentiate whether an adenocarcinoma originated in the distal oesophagus or in the gastro-oesophageal junction and gastric cardia⁽¹⁶⁵⁾. The problem of proper organ assignment of the clinically-apparent cancer may have influenced the epidemiological findings and may also have contributed to the idea that oesophageal adenocarcinomas share time trends and common risk factors with adenocarcinomas of the gastric cardia⁽¹⁶⁶⁾. Further, in contrast to squamous cell carcinomas, the incidence of oesophageal adenocarcinomas has been increasing in Western societies during previous decades⁽¹⁶⁷⁾. This rise in incidence has partly been attributed to the rise in the prevalence of obesity. In fact, one of the surprising results from the expert evaluation in 2002–3 was a consistent finding of an increased risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma with increasing BMI, although this finding was largely based on case-control studies⁽⁴⁵⁾. The link between obesity and risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma has recently been confirmed by a quantitative meta-analysis that included twelve case-control studies and two cohort studies⁽¹⁶⁸⁾. For overweight and obese subjects OR for oesophageal adenocarcinomas of 1.8 (95% CI 1.5, 2.2) and 2.4 (95% CI 1.9, 3.2) respectively among men and 1.5 (95% CI 1.1, 2.2) and 2.1 (95% CI 1.4, 3.2) respectively among women compared with normal-weight individuals were found in this analysis⁽¹⁶⁸⁾. Interestingly, analyses of prospective data from Norway that confirmed the link between obesity and oesophageal adenocarcinoma have found inverse associations between BMI and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma^(169,170). Given the strong effect of smoking on oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma and given the known association between smoking and lower BMI it is currently unclear whether the observed inverse association between BMI and oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma reflects a causal protective relationship or whether this association is rather a result of residual confounding because of incomplete adjustment for smoking status. In contrast, more is known about the potential factors causing the increase in oesophageal adenocarcinoma risk with increasing BMI. In a recent systematic review obesity was found to be related to gastroesophageal reflux as well as to oesophagitis in most of the included studies⁽¹⁷¹⁾. Gastroesophageal reflux itself is considered to be a major risk factor for oesophageal adenocarcinoma, both alone and in combination with obesity⁽¹⁷²⁾. Further, obesity is related to oesophagitis, and this association can only partly be explained by clinical apparent gastroesophageal reflux in obese individuals. For example, in a recent prospective study oesophagitis was found to be related to a 5-fold increased risk of adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus⁽¹⁷³⁾. Most adenocarcinomas of the oesophagus develop on the basis of Barrett's oesophagus, which is considered to be a premalignant condition characterized by replacement of squamous epithelium with columnar epithelium⁽¹⁷⁴⁾. The exact role that obesity plays within these processes remains to be defined.

Obesity and pancreatic cancer

Pancreatic cancer was estimated to account for 2.5% of incident cancers and 5.5% of cancer deaths in Europe in 2006⁽¹⁷⁾. The estimated 5-year survival rate of patients with pancreatic cancer is 5%⁽¹⁷⁵⁾. Its high case fatality rate renders pancreatic cancer a difficult cancer to study since case-control studies (prone to recall bias in the first place) largely have to rely on proxy interviews. Further, pancreatic cancer is often accompanied by severe tumour cachexia, leading to substantial weight loss even before diagnosis⁽¹⁷⁶⁾, which may bias any association between body weight and cancer risk when examined in case-control studies. On the other hand, prospective studies need to follow-up large numbers of individuals for longer time periods to accrue a sufficient number of incident pancreatic cancer cases in order to achieve appropriate power for meaningful analyses. In fact, early evidence for an association between obesity and pancreatic cancer risk from case-control studies is weak, and is now, in light of new evidence from prospective cohort studies, believed to have been biased because of high case fatality or reliance on proxy interviews⁽¹⁷⁷⁾. A considerable number of prospective studies have examined associations between measures of obesity and pancreatic cancer during the last years^(178–200), and a recent meta-analysis (based on results of twenty-one independent prospective studies involving a total of 3 495 981 individuals and 8062 incident pancreatic cancer cases over a mean follow-up of 13.5 years) has estimated a summary RR of pancreatic cancer per 5 kg/m² increase in BMI of 1.16 (95% CI 1.05, 1.28) for men and of 1.10 (1.02–1.19) for women⁽²⁰¹⁾.

A recent analysis from the EPIC study has reported a positive non-significant association between BMI and pancreatic cancer risk (RR 1.09 (95% CI 0.95, 1.24) per 5 kg/m²)⁽¹⁸²⁾. This study analysed data from 324 incident pancreatic cancer cases observed among 438 405 participants during a follow-up of 6 years⁽¹⁸²⁾. The evaluation of measured waist:hip ratio or waist circumference, however, revealed significantly positive associations; RR were 1.13 (95% CI 1.01, 1.26) for a 10 cm increase in waist circumference and 1.24 (95% CI 1.04, 1.48) for a 0.1 increase in waist:hip ratio. The RR estimates differed somewhat between males and females, but none of the interactions with gender were significant at the $P < 0.05$ level. Interestingly, when individuals were excluded who were diagnosed with pancreatic cancer during the first 2 years to reduce the possible effect of prediagnostic symptoms (including tumour cachexia) the associations between waist circumference and waist:hip ratio and risk of pancreatic cancer became even stronger. The positive significant association between waist circumference and pancreatic cancer has recently been confirmed in a combined analysis of cohort studies for the Asia-Pacific region (RR 1.08 (95% CI 1.02, 1.14) for a 2 cm increase in waist circumference)⁽²⁰²⁾. As in the EPIC Study⁽¹⁸²⁾, BMI was not found to be significantly associated with pancreatic cancer in this analysis⁽²⁰²⁾. Two further studies have found some evidence for a positive association with waist circumference in men, but not in women^(185,190). Self-reported central weight gain compared with peripheral weight gain

has also been associated with increased pancreatic cancer risk⁽¹⁸³⁾.

Accumulating evidence supports a role of factors related to hyperinsulinaemia and hyperglycaemia in the pathophysiology of pancreatic cancer. A recent meta-analysis of thirty-six studies has found that subjects with diabetes have a 1.82-fold increased risk for pancreatic cancer compared with individuals who do not have diabetes (95% CI 1.66, 1.89), thus supporting the hypothesis that diabetes mellitus is associated with elevated pancreatic cancer risk⁽²⁰³⁾. The notion that factors associated with abnormal glucose metabolism may promote the development of pancreatic carcinoma has further been supported by epidemiological studies showing positive associations between elevations in fasting serum glucose or post-load plasma glucose and risk of pancreatic cancer^(189,204). To date, only one study has investigated the association between pre-diagnostic serum insulin levels and risk of pancreatic cancer; it has shown an RR of 2.01 (95% CI 1.03, 3.93) for the highest quartile of insulin levels *v.* the lowest quartile⁽²⁰⁵⁾. A role of IGF in the pathophysiology of pancreatic cancer has also been hypothesized. However, a nested case-control study within four large cohort studies has reported no significant associations between pre-diagnostic plasma levels of IGF-1, IGF-2 and IGFBP-3 and pancreatic cancer risk⁽²⁰⁶⁾. Similarly, two smaller studies that have evaluated the associations between IGF-1 and IGFBP-3 and risk of pancreatic cancer have found no significant associations^(207,208). Since the insulin pathway also interacts with the IGF axis the latter might still play a role in the pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer, although the evidence from prospective epidemiological studies has not been assuring so far⁽²⁰⁹⁾.

Obesity and prostate cancer

Prostate cancer is the most common cancer diagnosed in men in Europe⁽¹⁷⁾. For 2006 it was estimated that 345 900 men were diagnosed with prostate cancer (20.3% of all male incident cancers)⁽¹⁷⁾. With 87 400 deaths in 2006, prostate cancer accounted for 9.2% of all male cancer deaths⁽¹⁷⁾. The incidence of prostate cancer strongly depends on age, as it is only rarely diagnosed among men younger than 50 years (<0.1% of all patients), whereas the majority of patients (85%) are older than 65 years⁽²¹⁰⁾. The cumulative risk of developing prostate cancer by age 85 years is estimated to be up to 20%⁽²¹⁰⁾. As a result of improvements in diagnostic procedures and increased screening in most countries, prostate cancer is currently usually diagnosed at the earlier stages (*i.e.* more organ-confined disease) than previously^(211–213). Epidemiological studies that have examined the association between obesity and risk of prostate cancer have provided conflicting results. With a few exceptions, most studies have failed to show overall significant associations between BMI and risk of prostate cancer⁽⁴⁾, although a recent meta-analysis has suggested a weak significant positive association, with an estimated increase in prostate cancer risk of 1.05 (95% CI 1.01, 1.08) per 5 kg/m²⁽²¹⁴⁾. However, some studies have suggested that when separated by stage of disease or by tumour grade obesity may be strongly related to a higher

risk of advanced-stage prostate cancer and of high-grade tumours but not, or even inversely, related to early-stage (*i.e.* localized) prostate cancer and to low-grade tumours. This finding is also supported by the meta-analysis, which has found stronger associations for advanced-stage prostate cancer (estimated RR of 1.12 (95% CI 1.01, 1.23) per increase in BMI of 5 kg/m²) compared with localized disease (RR 0.96 (95% CI 0.89, 1.03) per 5 kg/m²)⁽²¹⁴⁾. Results from more recent cohort studies have provided further support to this hypothesis^(215,216). For example, in the Prostate Cancer Prevention Trial men in the highest quartile of BMI were found to have a 1.29-fold increased risk (95% CI 1.01, 1.67; *P* = 0.04 for trend) for high-grade cancer but a 0.91-fold decreased risk (95% CI 0.69, 0.98; *P* = 0.03 for trend) for low-grade cancer⁽²¹⁵⁾. Similarly, in the Cancer Prevention Study II risk of non-metastatic low-grade prostate cancer was found to be decreased significantly with increasing BMI (*P* = 0.002 for trend), whereas the risk of non-metastatic high-grade prostate cancer was increased significantly with increasing BMI (*P* = 0.03 for trend)⁽²¹⁶⁾. The strongest associations have been found in studies that have examined the association between BMI and metastatic or fatal prostate cancer. For example, in the previously mentioned Cancer Prevention Study II men with a BMI of ≥ 30 kg/m² were found to have a 1.54-fold increase in risk (95% CI 1.06, 2.23) of developing metastatic or fatal prostate cancer compared with men with a BMI of <25 kg/m²⁽²¹⁶⁾. Age may be an additional factor that modifies the association between obesity and prostate cancer. Thus, in the Health Professionals Follow-up Study BMI was found to be significantly inversely related to prostate cancer in men aged <60 years, whereas no such association was observed in men aged >60 years (*P* < 0.0001 for interaction)⁽²¹⁷⁾. The association between waist circumference or waist:hip ratio and risk of prostate cancer has been examined in only a very few studies^(214,217–221), with most studies finding no significant association. Clearly, further studies are needed to examine in more detail the association between body fat distribution and risk of prostate cancer.

It is unclear why obesity is related to lower risk of early-stage low-grade prostate cancer but to a higher risk of late-stage high-grade disease; however, several hypotheses have been put forward, including both biological and non-biological mechanisms^(222,223). Thus, it is known that obese men have increased serum oestradiol levels but decreased testosterone levels compared with non-obese men. Androgens are required for the growth, maturation and differentiation of the prostate gland^(224,225). It has thus been suggested that testosterone may promote prostate tumour development but may also help maintain prostate tumour differentiation⁽²²⁶⁾, which may explain why obese individuals with low testosterone levels have a higher risk of developing undifferentiated tumours^(222,223). Other mechanisms that may link obesity with prostate cancer may include high insulin levels, high bioavailable IGF-1 levels, high leptin levels or low adiponectin levels, although most prospective studies on this topic have provided inconsistent results^(67,227–236). Also, these latter hormonal mechanisms may not easily explain the difference in the association between obesity and low-grade early-stage disease

compared with its association with high-grade late-stage disease. Alternatively, or additionally, the fact that obese individuals have a higher risk of high-grade late-stage prostate cancer but a lower risk of low-grade early-stage disease may also be explained by delayed detection and diagnosis of prostate cancer in obese individuals^(222,223). Proposed reasons for difficulties in prostate cancer detection in obese individuals include lower prostate-specific antigen levels and larger prostate sizes in obese men when compared with non-obese men, as well as the fact that a digital rectal examination may be more difficult to perform in obese men^(222,223).

Obesity and other types of cancer

Obesity has also been linked to other types of cancer, although overall the amount of data available is limited and does not allow definite conclusions. Particular interest has recently been devoted to gallbladder and liver cancer. In industrialized countries these two cancers are relatively rare when compared with other types; therefore, gallbladder and liver cancer probably have not received much attention in large-scale prospective studies in the past. The relationship between obesity and risk of gallbladder cancer has recently been investigated in a meta-analysis⁽²³⁷⁾ that included eight cohort studies and three case-control studies with a total of 3288 cases. Compared with individuals of normal weight the RR of gallbladder cancer was found to be increased 1.15-fold in those who were overweight (95% CI 1.01, 1.30), and 1.66-fold in those who were obese (95% CI 1.47, 1.88). For individuals with obesity the RR was stronger for women (1.88 (95% CI 1.66, 2.13)) than for men (1.35 (95% CI 1.09, 1.68)). The mechanisms by which obesity may affect gallbladder cancer risk are unclear as yet. However, gallstone formation is a major risk factor for this disease and obesity is one of the factors that increases gallstone formation⁽²³⁸⁾. Cholecystectomy is often the treatment of choice for gallstone formation, and it was shown that the risk of cholecystectomy increases with higher BMI and also independently with waist circumference and waist:hip ratio⁽²³⁹⁾. Obesity as well as type 2 diabetes are also likely to be risk factors for hepatocellular cancer, the most frequent subtype of liver cancer⁽²⁴⁰⁾. The main pathway by which obesity probably increases risk probably relates to the association between obesity and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease⁽²⁴¹⁾. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease is increasingly frequently seen in Western societies. It is linked to insulin resistance, oxidative stress and obesity, and it can progress to steatohepatitis and to cirrhosis. Most cases of hepatocellular cancer seen in the USA and Europe are likely to have a background of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis with cirrhosis⁽²⁴⁰⁾.

Obesity has also been linked to increased mortality from non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, multiple myeloma and leukaemia, as well as from cancers of the cervix and ovaries⁽¹⁸⁰⁾. However, only a few studies have examined the association between overweight and obesity and incidence of these malignancies, and most of these studies have been restricted to case-control designs or have included only small numbers of cases. A recent systematic review, based

on twenty-eight eligible studies, has found overweight to be associated with a 1.2-fold increased risk (95% CI 1.0, 1.3) of ovarian cancer and obesity to be related to a 1.3-fold increased risk (95% CI 1.1, 1.5) of ovarian cancer⁽²⁴²⁾. Another systematic review, based on sixteen eligible studies, has found a significantly increased RR of non-Hodgkin's lymphomas for overweight (1.07 (95% CI 1.01, 1.14)) and obese (1.20 (95% CI 1.07, 1.34)) individuals⁽²⁴³⁾. A case-control study performed in the USA has found obesity to be related to a 2.1-fold risk (95% CI 1.1, 3.8) of adenocarcinomas of the cervix but not related to squamous cell carcinomas of the cervix (RR 1.6 (95% CI 0.84, 2.9))⁽²⁴⁴⁾. A study from Norway has found obesity to be related to risk of lymphohaematopoietic malignancies, including Hodgkin's lymphoma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, acute and chronic lymphatic leukaemia and acute and chronic myeloid leukaemia⁽²⁴⁵⁾. Clearly, more prospective studies are needed to investigate the association between obesity and these malignant tumour sites in more detail. Furthermore, the association between body fat distribution variables, including waist circumference and waist:hip ratio, and risk of these malignancies needs to be investigated.

Conclusions

Among the different cancer sites there is currently sufficient evidence for obesity to increase risk of colon cancer, post-menopausal breast cancer, endometrial cancer, renal cell cancer and adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus^(4,5). The more recently published studies suggest that obesity may also increase risk of other cancer types, including pancreatic cancer, advanced-stage prostate cancer, gallbladder cancer and liver cancer. Among the many dietary factors proposed to be related to cancer incidence, obesity as a sensitive marker of a distorted energy balance is thus among the few factors for which there is sufficient evidence of a relationship with increased cancer risk⁽⁵⁾. However, many questions remain. For example, it is currently unclear whether the presumed effect of obesity differs between cancer sites. Studies suggest that the association between obesity and cancer incidence may be stronger for certain types of cancer, including for example endometrial cancer, than for other types of cancer. However, most published studies have focused on one type of cancer, which makes it difficult to compare the strength of the associations between different cancer sites. Furthermore, as noted earlier, men and women differ in their body composition, yet many studies do not present gender-specific results, or they include either men or women; thus rendering it difficult to evaluate whether the associations between obesity and cancer incidence differ between genders. Further complexity is added by the fact that BMI is used in most studies to assess the extent of adiposity. As mentioned earlier, BMI does not take body fat distribution into account and several recent studies have now shown that for certain cancer types, including colon cancer, variables of body fat distribution may be more important, or add further information, for the prediction of cancer. It is also unclear whether the association between body fat and

cancer incidence is a linear relationship or whether thresholds exist; for example, some studies have suggested that obesity but not overweight is related to the incidence of certain types of cancer⁽⁴⁾. Future studies should therefore systematically examine the shape of the association between the different body size variables (BMI, waist circumference, waist:hip ratio) and risk of the different types of cancer. Furthermore, the underlying mechanisms that link obesity with cancer risk are unclear for most types of cancer. These mechanisms need to be examined in more detail in experimental studies, and supported by observational data that relate relevant biomarkers to cancer risk in human subjects. Such data may also help to further define the obesity phenotype that is relevant for cancer development.

For now, as opposed to other diet-related cancer risk factors, the recommendation to maintain a healthy weight seems to be most promising to support cancer prevention for some cancer sites⁽²⁴⁶⁾. Elucidation of the shape of the associations, nevertheless, is essential for public health recommendations on how to reduce an individual's cancer risk. Further, measurement of waist circumference or waist:hip ratio should be included in current guidelines to maintain a healthful lifestyle for disease prevention.

References

- Seidell JC (2000) Obesity, insulin resistance and diabetes – a worldwide epidemic. *Br J Nutr* **83**, Suppl. 1, S5–S8.
- International Association for the Study of Obesity (2007) Adult overweight and obesity in the European Union (EU25). http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/europeandatable_000.pdf
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Curtin LR, McDowell MA, Tabak CJ & Flegal KM (2006) Prevalence of overweight and obesity in the United States, 1999–2004. *JAMA* **295**, 1549–1555.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer Working Group on the Evaluation of Cancer-Preventive Strategies (2002) *Weight Control and Physical Activity*. Lyon, France: IARC Press.
- Joint World Health Organization/Food and Agriculture Organization Expert Consultation (2003) *Diet, Nutrition and the Prevention of Chronic Diseases*. WHO Technical Report Series no. 916. Geneva: WHO.
- Expert Panel on the Identification Evaluation and Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Adults (1998) Executive summary of the clinical guidelines on the identification, evaluation, and treatment of overweight and obesity in adults. *Arch Intern Med* **158**, 1855–1867.
- Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Spiegelman D, Colditz GA & Willett WC (1995) Body size and fat distribution as predictors of coronary heart disease among middle-aged and older US men. *Am J Epidemiol* **141**, 1117–1127.
- Choo V (2002) WHO reassesses appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations. *Lancet* **360**, 235.
- Pouliot MC, Despres JP, Lemieux S, Moorjani S, Bouchard C, Tremblay A, Nadeau A & Lupien PJ (1994) Waist circumference and abdominal sagittal diameter: best simple anthropometric indexes of abdominal visceral adipose tissue accumulation and related cardiovascular risk in men and women. *Am J Cardiol* **73**, 460–468.
- Wajchenberg BL (2000) Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue: their relation to the metabolic syndrome. *Endocr Rev* **21**, 697–738.
- Berg AH & Scherer PE (2005) Adipose tissue, inflammation, and cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res* **96**, 939–949.
- Eckel RH, Grundy SM & Zimmet PZ (2005) The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* **365**, 1415–1428.
- Haslam DW & James WP (2005) Obesity. *Lancet* **366**, 1197–1209.
- Wang Y, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, Willett WC & Hu FB (2005) Comparison of abdominal adiposity and overall obesity in predicting risk of type 2 diabetes among men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **81**, 555–563.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Bautista L, Franzosi MG, Commerford P *et al.* (2005) Obesity and the risk of myocardial infarction in 27,000 participants from 52 countries: a case-control study. *Lancet* **366**, 1640–1649.
- Heymsfield SB, Allison DB, Wang Z, Baumgartner RN & Ross R (1998) Evaluation of total and regional body composition. In *Handbook of Obesity*, pp. 41–77 [G Bray, C Bouchard and W James, editors]. New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Ferlay J, Autier P, Boniol M, Heanue M, Colombet M & Boyle P (2007) Estimates of the cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 2006. *Ann Oncol* **18**, 581–592.
- Graham S, Marshall J, Haughey B, Mittelman A, Swanson M, Zielezny M, Byers T, Wilkinson G & West D (1988) Dietary epidemiology of cancer of the colon in western New York. *Am J Epidemiol* **128**, 490–503.
- Gerhardsson de Verdier M, Hagman U, Steineck G, Rieger A & Norell SE (1990) Diet, body mass and colorectal cancer: a case-referent study in Stockholm. *Int J Cancer* **46**, 832–838.
- Kune GA, Kune S & Watson LF (1990) Body weight and physical activity as predictors of colorectal cancer risk. *Nutr Cancer* **13**, 9–17.
- Dietz AT, Newcomb PA, Marcus PM & Storer BE (1995) The association of body size and large bowel cancer risk in Wisconsin (United States) women. *Cancer Causes Control* **6**, 30–36.
- Le Marchand L, Wilkens LR, Kolonel LN, Hankin JH & Lyu LC (1997) Associations of sedentary lifestyle, obesity, smoking, alcohol use, and diabetes with the risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* **57**, 4787–4794.
- Caan BJ, Coates AO, Slaterry ML, Potter JD, Quesenberry CP Jr & Edwards SM (1998) Body size and the risk of colon cancer in a large case-control study. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **22**, 178–184.
- Russo A, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C, Dal Maso L, Montella M, Conti E, Giacosa A, Falcini F & Negri E (1998) Body size and colorectal-cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* **78**, 161–165.
- Slaterry ML, Potter J, Caan B, Edwards S, Coates A, Ma KN & Berry TD (1997) Energy balance and colon cancer – beyond physical activity. *Cancer Res* **57**, 75–80.
- West DW, Slaterry ML, Robison LM, Schuman KL, Ford MH, Mahoney AW, Lyon JL & Sorensen AW (1989) Dietary intake and colon cancer: sex- and anatomic site-specific associations. *Am J Epidemiol* **130**, 883–894.
- Lee IM & Paffenbarger RS Jr (1992) Quetelet's index and risk of colon cancer in college alumni. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **84**, 1326–1331.
- Bostick RM, Potter JD, Kushi LH, Sellers TA, Steinmetz KA, McKenzie DR, Gapstur SM & Folsom AR (1994) Sugar, meat, and fat intake, and non-dietary risk factors for colon cancer incidence in Iowa women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **5**, 38–52.

29. Chyou PH, Nomura AM & Stemmermann GN (1996) A prospective study of colon and rectal cancer among Hawaii Japanese men. *Ann Epidemiol* **6**, 276–282.
30. Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ & Willett WC (1995) Physical activity, obesity, and risk for colon cancer and adenoma in men. *Ann Intern Med* **122**, 327–334.
31. Martinez ME, Giovannucci E, Spiegelman D, Hunter DJ, Willett WC & Colditz GA (1997) Leisure-time physical activity, body size, and colon cancer in women. Nurses' Health Study Research Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **89**, 948–955.
32. Ford ES (1999) Body mass index and colon cancer in a national sample of adult US men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* **150**, 390–398.
33. Murphy TK, Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Kahn HS & Thun MJ (2000) Body mass index and colon cancer mortality in a large prospective study. *Am J Epidemiol* **152**, 847–854.
34. Le Marchand L, Wilkens LR & Mi MP (1992) Obesity in youth and middle age and risk of colorectal cancer in men. *Cancer Causes Control* **3**, 349–354.
35. Lin J, Zhang SM, Cook NR, Rexrode KM, Lee IM & Buring JE (2004) Body mass index and risk of colorectal cancer in women (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **15**, 581–589.
36. MacInnis RJ, English DR, Hopper JL, Haydon AM, Gertig DM & Giles GG (2004) Body size and composition and colon cancer risk in men. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **13**, 553–559.
37. Slattey ML, Ballard-Barbash R, Edwards S, Caan BJ & Potter JD (2003) Body mass index and colon cancer: an evaluation of the modifying effects of estrogen (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **14**, 75–84.
38. Moore LL, Bradlee ML, Singer MR, Splansky GL, Proctor MH, Ellison RC & Kregar BE (2004) BMI and waist circumference as predictors of lifetime colon cancer risk in Framingham Study adults. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **28**, 559–567.
39. Terry P, Giovannucci E, Bergkvist L, Holmberg L & Wolk A (2001) Body weight and colorectal cancer risk in a cohort of Swedish women: relation varies by age and cancer site. *Br J Cancer* **85**, 346–349.
40. Terry PD, Miller AB & Rohan TE (2002) Obesity and colorectal cancer risk in women. *Gut* **51**, 191–194.
41. Garfinkel L (1985) Overweight and cancer. *Ann Intern Med* **103**, 1034–1036.
42. Must A, Jacques PF, Dallal GE, Bajema CJ & Dietz WH (1992) Long-term morbidity and mortality of overweight adolescents. A follow-up of the Harvard Growth Study of 1922 to 1935. *N Engl J Med* **327**, 1350–1355.
43. Nomura A, Heilbrun LK & Stemmermann GN (1985) Body mass index as a predictor of cancer in men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **74**, 319–323.
44. Phillips RL & Snowdon DA (1985) Dietary relationships with fatal colorectal cancer among Seventh-Day Adventists. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **74**, 307–317.
45. Thun MJ, Calle EE, Namboodiri MM, Flanders WD, Coates RJ, Byers T, Boffetta P, Garfinkel L & Heath CW Jr (1992) Risk factors for fatal colon cancer in a large prospective study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **84**, 1491–1500.
46. Wu AH, Paganini-Hill A, Ross RK & Henderson BE (1987) Alcohol, physical activity and other risk factors for colorectal cancer: a prospective study. *Br J Cancer* **55**, 687–694.
47. Chute CG, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Baron JA, Rosner B & Speizer FE (1991) A prospective study of body mass, height, and smoking on the risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Cancer Causes Control* **2**, 117–124.
48. Krotkiewski M, Bjorntorp P, Sjostrom L & Smith U (1983) Impact of obesity on metabolism in men and women. Importance of regional adipose tissue distribution. *J Clin Invest* **72**, 1150–1162.
49. Lonnqvist F, Thorne A, Large V & Arner P (1997) Sex differences in visceral fat lipolysis and metabolic complications of obesity. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **17**, 1472–1480.
50. Pischon T, Lahmann PH, Boeing H *et al.* (2006) Body size and risk of colon and rectal cancer in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *J Natl Cancer Inst* **98**, 920–931.
51. Jenab M, Riboli E, Cleveland RJ *et al.* (2007) Serum C-peptide, IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-2 and risk of colon and rectal cancers in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Int J Cancer* **121**, 368–376.
52. Giovannucci E (2003) Diet, body weight, and colorectal cancer: a summary of the epidemiologic evidence. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)* **12**, 173–182.
53. Giovannucci E (2001) Insulin, insulin-like growth factors and colon cancer: a review of the evidence. *J Nutr* **131**, Suppl., 3109S–3120S.
54. McKeown-Eyssen G (1994) Epidemiology of colorectal cancer revisited: are serum triglycerides and/or plasma glucose associated with risk? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **3**, 687–695.
55. Hu FB, Manson JE, Liu S, Hunter D, Colditz GA, Michels KB, Speizer FE & Giovannucci E (1999) Prospective study of adult onset diabetes mellitus (type 2) and risk of colorectal cancer in women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **91**, 542–547.
56. Seow A, Yuan JM, Koh WP, Lee HP & Yu MC (2006) Diabetes mellitus and risk of colorectal cancer in the Singapore Chinese Health Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **98**, 135–138.
57. Ma J, Giovannucci E, Pollak M, Leavitt A, Tao Y, Gaziano JM & Stampfer MJ (2004) A prospective study of plasma C-peptide and colorectal cancer risk in men. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **96**, 546–553.
58. Wei EK, Ma J, Pollak MN, Rifai N, Fuchs CS, Hankinson SE & Giovannucci E (2006) C-peptide, insulin-like growth factor binding protein-1, glycosylated hemoglobin, and the risk of distal colorectal adenoma in women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 750–755.
59. Koenuma M, Yamori T & Tsuruo T (1989) Insulin and insulin-like growth factor 1 stimulate proliferation of metastatic variants of colon carcinoma 26. *Jpn J Cancer Res* **80**, 51–58.
60. Wu X, Fan Z, Masui H, Rosen N & Mendelsohn J (1995) Apoptosis induced by an anti-epidermal growth factor receptor monoclonal antibody in a human colorectal carcinoma cell line and its delay by insulin. *J Clin Invest* **95**, 1897–1905.
61. Aaronson SA (1991) Growth factors and cancer. *Science* **254**, 1146–1153.
62. Kaaks R & Lukanova A (2001) Energy balance and cancer: the role of insulin and insulin-like growth factor-I. *Proc Nutr Soc* **60**, 91–106.
63. Sandhu MS, Dunger DB & Giovannucci EL (2002) Insulin, insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I), IGF binding proteins, their biologic interactions, and colorectal cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **94**, 972–980.
64. Wu Y, Yakar S, Zhao L, Hennighausen L & LeRoith D (2002) Circulating insulin-like growth factor-I levels regulate colon cancer growth and metastasis. *Cancer Res* **62**, 1030–1035.
65. Kaaks R, Lukanova A & Kurzer MS (2002) Obesity, endogenous hormones, and endometrial cancer risk: a

- synthetic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **11**, 1531–1543.
66. Calle EE & Kaaks R (2004) Overweight, obesity and cancer: epidemiological evidence and proposed mechanisms. *Nat Rev Cancer* **4**, 579–591.
 67. Renehan AG, Zwahlen M, Minder C, O'Dwyer ST, Shalet SM & Egger M (2004) Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I, IGF binding protein-3, and cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Lancet* **363**, 1346–1353.
 68. Ma J, Pollak MN, Giovannucci E, Chan JM, Tao Y, Hennekens CH & Stampfer MJ (1999) Prospective study of colorectal cancer risk in men and plasma levels of insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding protein-3. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **91**, 620–625.
 69. Gunter MJ & Leitzmann MF (2006) Obesity and colorectal cancer: epidemiology, mechanisms and candidate genes. *J Nutr Biochem* **17**, 145–156.
 70. Stattin P, Palmqvist R, Soderberg S, Biessy C, Ardnor B, Hallmans G, Kaaks R & Olsson T (2003) Plasma leptin and colorectal cancer risk: a prospective study in Northern Sweden. *Oncol Rep* **10**, 2015–2021.
 71. Stattin P, Lukanova A, Biessy C, Soderberg S, Palmqvist R, Kaaks R, Olsson T & Jellum E (2004) Obesity and colon cancer: does leptin provide a link? *Int J Cancer* **109**, 149–152.
 72. Tamakoshi K, Toyoshima H, Wakai K *et al.* (2005) Leptin is associated with an increased female colorectal cancer risk: a nested case-control study in Japan. *Oncology* **68**, 454–461.
 73. Brakenhielm E, Veitonmaki N, Cao R, Kihara S, Matsuzawa Y, Zhivotovsky B, Funahashi T & Cao Y (2004) Adiponectin-induced antiangiogenesis and antitumor activity involve caspase-mediated endothelial cell apoptosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**, 2476–2481.
 74. Wei EK, Giovannucci E, Fuchs CS, Willett WC & Mantzoros CS (2005) Low Plasma Adiponectin Levels and Risk of Colorectal Cancer in Men: A Prospective Study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **97**, 1688–1694.
 75. Lukanova A, Soderberg S, Kaaks R, Jellum E & Stattin P (2006) Serum adiponectin is not associated with risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 401–402.
 76. Lahmann PH, Hoffmann K, Allen N *et al.* (2004) Body size and breast cancer risk: Findings from the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* **111**, 762–771.
 77. Huang Z, Hankinson SE, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Rosner B, Speizer FE & Willett WC (1997) Dual effects of weight and weight gain on breast cancer risk. *JAMA* **278**, 1407–1411.
 78. Morimoto LM, White E, Chen Z *et al.* (2002) Obesity, body size, and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer: the Women's Health Initiative (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **13**, 741–751.
 79. van den Brandt PA, Spiegelman D, Yaun SS *et al.* (2000) Pooled analysis of prospective cohort studies on height, weight, and breast cancer risk. *Am J Epidemiol* **152**, 514–527.
 80. Lahmann PH, Schulz M, Hoffmann K *et al.* (2005) Long-term weight change and breast cancer risk: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC). *Br J Cancer* **93**, 582–589.
 81. Harvie M, Hooper L & Howell AH (2003) Central obesity and breast cancer risk: a systematic review. *Obes Rev* **4**, 157–173.
 82. Huang Z, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Hunter DJ, Manson JE, Rosner B, Speizer FE & Hankinson SE (1999) Waist circumference, waist:hip ratio, and risk of breast cancer in the Nurses' Health Study. *Am J Epidemiol* **150**, 1316–1324.
 83. Siiteri PK (1987) Adipose tissue as a source of hormones. *Am J Clin Nutr* **45**, Suppl., 277–282.
 84. Azziz R (1989) Reproductive endocrinologic alterations in female asymptomatic obesity. *Fertil Steril* **52**, 703–725.
 85. Kaaks R, Rinaldi S, Key TJ *et al.* (2005) Postmenopausal serum androgens, oestrogens and breast cancer risk: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **12**, 1071–1082.
 86. Key TJ, Appleby PN, Reeves GK *et al.* (2003) Body mass index, serum sex hormones, and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **95**, 1218–1226.
 87. Rinaldi S, Key TJ, Peeters PH *et al.* (2006) Anthropometric measures, endogenous sex steroids and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women: A study within the EPIC cohort. *Int J Cancer* **118**, 2832–2839.
 88. Potischman N, Swanson CA, Siiteri P & Hoover RN (1996) Reversal of relation between body mass and endogenous estrogen concentrations with menopausal status. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **88**, 756–758.
 89. Schernhammer ES, Holly JM, Pollak MN & Hankinson SE (2005) Circulating levels of insulin-like growth factors, their binding proteins, and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**, 699–704.
 90. Rinaldi S, Peeters PH, Berrino F *et al.* (2006) IGF-I, IGFBP-3 and breast cancer risk in women: The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Endocr Relat Cancer* **13**, 593–605.
 91. Schernhammer ES, Holly JM, Hunter DJ, Pollak MN & Hankinson SE (2006) Insulin-like growth factor-I, its binding proteins (IGFBP-1 and IGFBP-3), and growth hormone and breast cancer risk in The Nurses' Health Study II. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **13**, 583–592.
 92. Fletcher O, Gibson L, Johnson N, Altmann DR, Holly JM, Ashworth A, Peto J & dos Santos Silva I (2005) Polymorphisms and circulating levels in the insulin-like growth factor system and risk of breast cancer: a systematic review. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**, 2–19.
 93. Sugumar A, Liu YC, Xia Q, Koh YS & Matsuo K (2004) Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding protein 3 and the risk of premenopausal breast cancer: a meta-analysis of literature. *Int J Cancer* **111**, 293–297.
 94. Shi R, Yu H, McLarty J & Glass J (2004) IGF-I and breast cancer: a meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* **111**, 418–423.
 95. Tworoger SS, Eliassen AH, Kelesidis T, Colditz GA, Willett WC, Mantzoros CS & Hankinson SE (2007) Plasma adiponectin concentrations and risk of incident breast cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **92**, 1510–1516.
 96. Lorincz AM & Sukumar S (2006) Molecular links between obesity and breast cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer* **13**, 279–292.
 97. Mantzoros C, Petridou E, Dessypris N *et al.* (2004) Adiponectin and breast cancer risk. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **89**, 1102–1107.
 98. Petridou E, Papadiamantis Y, Markopoulos C, Spanos E, Dessypris N & Trichopoulos D (2004) Leptin and insulin growth factor I in relation to breast cancer (Greece). *Cancer Causes Control* **11**, 383–388.
 99. Rose DP, Kominou D & Stephenson GD (2004) Obesity, adipocytokines, and insulin resistance in breast cancer. *Obes Rev* **5**, 153–165.
 100. Miyoshi Y, Funahashi T, Kihara S, Taguchi T, Tamaki Y, Matsuzawa Y & Noguchi S (2003) Association of serum adiponectin levels with breast cancer risk. *Clin Cancer Res* **9**, 5699–5704.

101. Bergstrom A, Pisani P, Tenet V, Wolk A & Adami HO (2001) Overweight as an avoidable cause of cancer in Europe. *Int J Cancer* **91**, 421–430.
102. Le Marchand L, Wilkens LR & Mi MP (1991) Early-age body size, adult weight gain and endometrial cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* **48**, 807–811.
103. Shu XO, Brinton LA, Zheng W, Swanson CA, Hatch MC, Gao YT & Fraumeni JF Jr (1992) Relation of obesity and body fat distribution to endometrial cancer in Shanghai, China. *Cancer Res* **52**, 3865–3870.
104. Swanson CA, Potischman N, Wilbanks GD, Twiggs LB, Mortel R, Berman ML, Barrett RJ, Baumgartner RN & Brinton LA (1993) Relation of endometrial cancer risk to past and contemporary body size and body fat distribution. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **2**, 321–327.
105. Olson SH, Trevisan M, Marshall JR, Graham S, Zielezny M, Vena JE, Hellmann R & Freudenheim JL (1995) Body mass index, weight gain, and risk of endometrial cancer. *Nutr Cancer* **23**, 141–149.
106. Terry P, Baron JA, Weiderpass E, Yuen J, Lichtenstein P & Nyren O (1999) Lifestyle and endometrial cancer risk: a cohort study from the Swedish Twin Registry. *Int J Cancer* **82**, 38–42.
107. Elliott EA, Matanoski GM, Rosenshein NB, Grumbine FC & Diamond EL (1990) Body fat patterning in women with endometrial cancer. *Gynecol Oncol* **39**, 253–258.
108. Schapira DV, Kumar NB, Lyman GH, Cavanagh D, Roberts WS & LaPolla J (1991) Upper-body fat distribution and endometrial cancer risk. *JAMA* **266**, 1808–1811.
109. Xu WH, Matthews CE, Xiang YB, Zheng W, Ruan ZX, Cheng JR, Gao YT & Shu XO (2005) Effect of adiposity and fat distribution on endometrial cancer risk in Shanghai women. *Am J Epidemiol* **161**, 939–947.
110. Iemura A, Douchi T, Yamamoto S, Yoshimitsu N & Nagata Y (2000) Body fat distribution as a risk factor of endometrial cancer. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res* **26**, 421–425.
111. Folsom AR, Kaye SA, Potter JD & Prineas RJ (1989) Association of incident carcinoma of the endometrium with body weight and fat distribution in older women: early findings of the Iowa Women's Health Study. *Cancer Res* **49**, 6828–6831.
112. Lapidus L, Helgesson O, Merck C & Bjorntorp P (1988) Adipose tissue distribution and female carcinomas. A 12-year follow-up of participants in the population study of women in Gothenburg, Sweden. *Int J Obes* **12**, 361–368.
113. Austin H, Austin JM, Jr., Partridge EE, Hatch KD & Shingleton HM (1991) Endometrial cancer, obesity, and body fat distribution. *Cancer Res* **51**, 568–572.
114. Goodman MT, Hankin JH, Wilkens LR, Lyu LC, McDuffie K, Liu LQ & Kolonel LN (1997) Diet, body size, physical activity, and the risk of endometrial cancer. *Cancer Res* **57**, 5077–5085.
115. Friedenreich C, Cust A, Lahmann PH *et al.* (2007) Anthropometric factors and risk of endometrial cancer: the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Cancer Causes Control* **18**, 399–413.
116. Lukanova A, Zeleniuch-Jacquotte A, Lundin E *et al.* (2004) Prediagnostic levels of C-peptide, IGF-I, IGFBP -1, -2 and -3 and risk of endometrial cancer. *Int J Cancer* **108**, 262–268.
117. Lukanova A, Lundin E, Micheli A *et al.* (2004) Circulating levels of sex steroid hormones and risk of endometrial cancer in postmenopausal women. *Int J Cancer* **108**, 425–432.
118. Mathew A, Devesa SS, Fraumeni JF Jr & Chow WH (2002) Global increases in kidney cancer incidence, 1973–1992. *Eur J Cancer Prev* **11**, 171–178.
119. Vogelzang NJ & Stadler WM (1998) Kidney cancer. *Lancet* **352**, 1691–1696.
120. Chow WH, Devesa SS, Warren JL & Fraumeni JF Jr (1999) Rising incidence of renal cell cancer in the United States. *JAMA* **281**, 1628–1631.
121. McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS, Blot WJ, Schuman LM, Mehl ES & Fraumeni JF Jr (1984) A population-based case-control study of renal cell carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **72**, 275–284.
122. Yu MC, Mack TM, Hanisch R, Cicioni C & Henderson BE (1986) Cigarette smoking, obesity, diuretic use, and coffee consumption as risk factors for renal cell carcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **77**, 351–356.
123. Asal NR, Geyer JR, Risser DR, Lee ET, Kadamani S & Cherng N (1988) Risk factors in renal cell carcinoma. II. Medical history, occupation, multivariate analysis, and conclusions. *Cancer Detect Prev* **13**, 263–279.
124. Kadamani S, Asal NR & Nelson RY (1989) Occupational hydrocarbon exposure and risk of renal cell carcinoma. *Am J Ind Med* **15**, 131–141.
125. Maclure M & Willett W (1990) A case-control study of diet and risk of renal adenocarcinoma. *Epidemiology* **1**, 430–440.
126. Partanen T, Heikkila P, Hernberg S, Kauppinen T, Moneta G & Ojajarvi A (1991) Renal cell cancer and occupational exposure to chemical agents. *Scand J Work Environ Health* **17**, 231–239.
127. McCredie M & Stewart JH (1992) Risk factors for kidney cancer in New South Wales, Australia. II. Urologic disease, hypertension, obesity, and hormonal factors. *Cancer Causes Control* **3**, 323–331.
128. McLaughlin JK, Gao YT, Gao RN, Zheng W, Ji BT, Blot WJ & Fraumeni JF Jr (1992) Risk factors for renal-cell cancer in Shanghai, China. *Int J Cancer* **52**, 562–565.
129. Kreiger N, Marrett LD, Dodds L, Hilditch S & Darlington GA (1993) Risk factors for renal cell carcinoma: results of a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Causes Control* **4**, 101–110.
130. Mellemegaard A, Lindblad P, Schlehofer B *et al.* (1995) International renal-cell cancer study. III. Role of weight, height, physical activity, and use of amphetamines. *Int J Cancer* **60**, 350–354.
131. Chow WH, McLaughlin JK, Mandel JS, Wacholder S, Niwa S & Fraumeni JF Jr (1996) Obesity and risk of renal cell cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **5**, 17–21.
132. Yuan JM, Castela JE, Gago-Dominguez M, Ross RK & Yu MC (1998) Hypertension, obesity and their medications in relation to renal cell carcinoma. *Br J Cancer* **77**, 1508–1513.
133. Lindblad P, Wolk A, Bergstrom R, Persson I & Adami HO (1994) The role of obesity and weight fluctuations in the etiology of renal cell cancer: a population-based case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **3**, 631–639.
134. Mellemegaard A, Engholm G, McLaughlin JK & Olsen JH (1994) Risk factors for renal-cell carcinoma in Denmark. III. Role of weight, physical activity and reproductive factors. *Int J Cancer* **56**, 66–71.
135. Boeing H, Schlehofer B & Wahrendorf J (1997) Diet, obesity and risk for renal cell carcinoma: results from a case control-study in Germany. *Z Ernahrungswiss* **36**, 3–11.
136. Hiatt RA, Tolan K & Quesenberry CP Jr (1994) Renal cell carcinoma and thiazide use: a historical, case-control study (California, USA). *Cancer Causes Control* **5**, 319–325.
137. Wynder EL, Mabuchi K & Whitmore WF Jr (1974) Epidemiology of adenocarcinoma of the kidney. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **53**, 1619–1634.

138. Goodman MT, Morgenstern H & Wynder EL (1986) A case-control study of factors affecting the development of renal cell cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* **124**, 926–941.
139. Talamini R, Baron AE, Barra S, Bidoli E, La Vecchia C, Negri E, Serraino D & Franceschi S (1990) A case-control study of risk factor for renal cell cancer in northern Italy. *Cancer Causes Control* **1**, 125–131.
140. Benhamou S, Lenfant MH, Ory-Paoletti C & Flamant R (1993) Risk factors for renal-cell carcinoma in a French case-control study. *Int J Cancer* **55**, 32–36.
141. Muscat JE, Hoffmann D & Wynder EL (1995) The epidemiology of renal cell carcinoma. A second look. *Cancer* **75**, 2552–2557.
142. Lew EA & Garfinkel L (1979) Variations in mortality by weight among 750,000 men and women. *J Chronic Dis* **32**, 563–576.
143. Whittemore AS, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Anderson K & Lee JE (1984) Early precursors of urogenital cancers in former college men. *J Urol* **132**, 1256–1261.
144. Møllemaard A, Møller H, Olsen JH & Jensen OM (1991) Increased risk of renal cell carcinoma among obese women. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **83**, 1581–1582.
145. Finkle WD, McLaughlin JK, Rasgon SA, Yeoh HH & Low JE (1993) Increased risk of renal cell cancer among women using diuretics in the United States. *Cancer Causes Control* **4**, 555–558.
146. Gamble JF, Pearlman ED & Nicolich MJ (1996) A nested case-control study of kidney cancer among refinery/petrochemical workers. *Environ Health Perspect* **104**, 642–650.
147. Heath CW Jr, Lally CA, Calle EE, McLaughlin JK & Thun MJ (1997) Hypertension, diuretics, and antihypertensive medications as possible risk factors for renal cell cancer. *Am J Epidemiol* **145**, 607–613.
148. Prineas RJ, Folsom AR, Zhang ZM, Sellers TA & Potter J (1997) Nutrition and other risk factors for renal cell carcinoma in postmenopausal women. *Epidemiology* **8**, 31–36.
149. Nicodemus KK, Sweeney C & Folsom AR (2004) Evaluation of dietary, medical and lifestyle risk factors for incident kidney cancer in postmenopausal women. *Int J Cancer* **108**, 115–121.
150. Chow WH, Gridley G, Fraumeni JF Jr & Jarvholm B (2000) Obesity, hypertension, and the risk of kidney cancer in men. *N Engl J Med* **343**, 1305–1311.
151. van Dijk BA, Schouten LJ, Kiemeny LA, Goldbohm RA & van den Brandt PA (2004) Relation of height, body mass, energy intake, and physical activity to risk of renal cell carcinoma: results from the Netherlands cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol* **160**, 1159–1167.
152. Bjorge T, Tretli S & Engeland A (2004) Relation of height and body mass index to renal cell carcinoma in two million Norwegian men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* **160**, 1168–1176.
153. Wolk A, Lindblad P & Adami HO (1996) Nutrition and renal cell cancer. *Cancer Causes Control* **7**, 5–18.
154. McLaughlin JK & Lipworth L (2000) Epidemiologic aspects of renal cell cancer. *Semin Oncol* **27**, 115–123.
155. Bergstrom A, Hsieh CC, Lindblad P, Lu CM, Cook NR & Wolk A (2001) Obesity and renal cell cancer – a quantitative review. *Br J Cancer* **85**, 984–990.
156. Pischon T, Lahmann PH, Boeing H *et al.* (2006) Body size and risk of renal cell carcinoma in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC). *Int J Cancer* **118**, 728–738.
157. Lindblad P, Chow WH, Chan J, Bergstrom A, Wolk A, Gridley G, McLaughlin JK, Nyrén O & Adami HO (1999) The role of diabetes mellitus in the aetiology of renal cell cancer. *Diabetologia* **42**, 107–112.
158. Tessitore L, Vizio B, Jenkins O, De Stefano I, Ritossa C, Argiles JM, Benedetto C & Mussa A (2000) Leptin expression in colorectal and breast cancer patients. *Int J Mol Med* **5**, 421–426.
159. Tessitore L, Vizio B, Pesola D, Cecchini F, Mussa A, Argiles JM & Benedetto C (2004) Adipocyte expression and circulating levels of leptin increase in both gynaecological and breast cancer patients. *Int J Oncol* **24**, 1529–1535.
160. Dal Maso L, Augustin LS, Karalis A, Talamini R, Franceschi S, Trichopoulos D, Mantzoros CS & La Vecchia C (2004) Circulating adiponectin and endometrial cancer risk. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **89**, 1160–1163.
161. Petridou E, Mantzoros C, Dessypris N, Koukoulomatis P, Addy C, Voulgaris Z, Chrousos G & Trichopoulos D (2003) Plasma adiponectin concentrations in relation to endometrial cancer: a case-control study in Greece. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **88**, 993–997.
162. Spyridopoulos TN, Petridou ET, Skalkidou A, Dessypris N, Chrousos GP & Mantzoros CS (2007) Low adiponectin levels are associated with renal cell carcinoma: a case-control study. *Int J Cancer* **120**, 1573–1578.
163. Munoz N & Day NE (1996) Esophageal cancer. In *Cancer Epidemiology and Prevention*, 2nd ed., pp. 681–706 [D Schottenfeld and JF Fraumeni Jr, editors]. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
164. Brown LM & Devesa SS (2002) Epidemiologic trends in esophageal and gastric cancer in the United States. *Surg Oncol Clin N Am* **11**, 235–256.
165. Chandrasoma P, Wickramasinghe K, Ma Y & DeMeester T (2007) Adenocarcinomas of the distal esophagus and 'gastric cardia' are predominantly esophageal carcinomas. *Am J Surg Pathol* **31**, 569–575.
166. Souza RF & Spechler SJ (2005) Concepts in the prevention of adenocarcinoma of the distal esophagus and proximal stomach. *CA Cancer J Clin* **55**, 334–351.
167. Pera M, Manterola C, Vidal O & Grande L (2005) Epidemiology of esophageal adenocarcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* **92**, 151–159.
168. Kubo A & Corley DA (2006) Body mass index and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus or gastric cardia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 872–878.
169. Tretli S & Røksahm TE (1999) Height, weight and cancer of the oesophagus and stomach: a follow-up study in Norway. *Eur J Cancer Prev* **8**, 115–122.
170. Engeland A, Tretli S & Bjorge T (2004) Height and body mass index in relation to esophageal cancer; 23-year follow-up of two million Norwegian men and women. *Cancer Causes Control* **15**, 837–843.
171. Hampel H, Abraham NS & El-Serag HB (2005) Meta-analysis: obesity and the risk for gastroesophageal reflux disease and its complications. *Ann Intern Med* **143**, 199–211.
172. Mayne ST, Risch HA, Dubrow R *et al.* (2006) Carbonated soft drink consumption and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **98**, 72–75.
173. Lassen A, Hallas J & de Muckadell OB (2006) Esophagitis: incidence and risk of esophageal adenocarcinoma – a population-based cohort study. *Am J Gastroenterol* **101**, 1193–1199.
174. Flejou JF (2005) Barrett's oesophagus: from metaplasia to dysplasia and cancer. *Gut* **54**, Suppl. 1, i6–i12.
175. American Cancer Society (2007) *Cancer Facts and Figures*. Atlanta, GA: American Cancer Society.

176. Li D, Xie K, Wolff R & Abbruzzese JL (2004) Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet* **363**, 1049–1057.
177. Giovannucci E & Michaud D (2007) The role of obesity and related metabolic disturbances in cancers of the colon, prostate, and pancreas. *Gastroenterology* **132**, 2208–2225.
178. Samanic C, Gridley G, Chow WH, Lubin J, Hoover RN & Fraumeni JF Jr (2004) Obesity and cancer risk among white and black United States veterans. *Cancer Causes Control* **15**, 35–43.
179. Coughlin SS, Calle EE, Patel AV & Thun MJ (2000) Predictors of pancreatic cancer mortality among a large cohort of United States adults. *Cancer Causes Control* **11**, 915–923.
180. Calle EE, Rodriguez C, Walker-Thurmond K & Thun MJ (2003) Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N Engl J Med* **348**, 1625–1638.
181. Michaud DS, Giovannucci E, Willett WC, Colditz GA, Stampfer MJ & Fuchs CS (2001) Physical activity, obesity, height, and the risk of pancreatic cancer. *JAMA* **286**, 921–929.
182. Berrington de Gonzalez A, Spencer EA, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB *et al.* (2006) Anthropometry, physical activity, and the risk of pancreatic cancer in the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 879–885.
183. Patel AV, Rodriguez C, Bernstein L, Chao A, Thun MJ & Calle EE (2005) Obesity, recreational physical activity, and risk of pancreatic cancer in a large U.S. Cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**, 459–466.
184. Lee IM, Sesso HD, Oguma Y & Paffenbarger RS Jr (2003) Physical activity, body weight, and pancreatic cancer mortality. *Br J Cancer* **88**, 679–683.
185. Sinner PJ, Schmitz KH, Anderson KE & Folsom AR (2005) Lack of association of physical activity and obesity with incident pancreatic cancer in elderly women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **14**, 1571–1573.
186. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Pietinen P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J & Albanes D (2002) A prospective study of medical conditions, anthropometry, physical activity, and pancreatic cancer in male smokers (Finland). *Cancer Causes Control* **13**, 417–426.
187. Nilsen TI & Vatten LJ (2000) A prospective study of lifestyle factors and the risk of pancreatic cancer in Nord-Trøndelag, Norway. *Cancer Causes Control* **11**, 645–652.
188. Isaksson B, Jonsson F, Pedersen NL, Larsson J, Feychting M & Permert J (2002) Lifestyle factors and pancreatic cancer risk: a cohort study from the Swedish Twin Registry. *Int J Cancer* **98**, 480–482.
189. Gapstur SM, Gann PH, Lowe W, Liu K, Colangelo L & Dyer A (2000) Abnormal glucose metabolism and pancreatic cancer mortality. *JAMA* **283**, 2552–2558.
190. Larsson SC, Permert J, Hakansson N, Naslund I, Bergkvist L & Wolk A (2005) Overall obesity, abdominal adiposity, diabetes and cigarette smoking in relation to the risk of pancreatic cancer in two Swedish population-based cohorts. *Br J Cancer* **93**, 1310–1315.
191. Shibata A, Mack TM, Paganini-Hill A, Ross RK & Henderson BE (1994) A prospective study of pancreatic cancer in the elderly. *Int J Cancer* **58**, 46–49.
192. Friedman GD & van den Eeden SK (1993) Risk factors for pancreatic cancer: an exploratory study. *Int J Epidemiol* **22**, 30–37.
193. Kuriyama S, Tsubono Y, Hozawa A, Shimazu T, Suzuki Y, Koizumi Y, Suzuki Y, Ohmori K, Nishino Y & Tsuji I (2005) Obesity and risk of cancer in Japan. *Int J Cancer* **113**, 148–157.
194. Batty GD, Shipley MJ, Jarrett RJ, Breeze E, Marmot MG & Smith GD (2005) Obesity and overweight in relation to organ-specific cancer mortality in London (UK): findings from the original Whitehall study. *Int J Obes (Lond)* **29**, 1267–1274.
195. Oh SW, Yoon YS & Shin SA (2005) Effects of excess weight on cancer incidences depending on cancer sites and histologic findings among men: Korea National Health Insurance Corporation Study. *J Clin Oncol* **23**, 4742–4754.
196. Rapp K, Schroeder J, Klenk J, Stoeckl S, Ulmer H, Concin H, Diem G, Oberaigner W & Weiland SK (2005) Obesity and incidence of cancer: a large cohort study of over 145,000 adults in Austria. *Br J Cancer* **93**, 1062–1067.
197. Lukanova A, Bjor O, Kaaks R, Lenner P, Lindahl B, Hallmans G & Stattin P (2006) Body mass index and cancer: results from the Northern Sweden Health and Disease Cohort. *Int J Cancer* **118**, 458–466.
198. Nothlings U, Wilkens LR, Murphy SP, Hankin JH, Henderson BE & Kolonel LN (2007) Body mass index and physical activity as risk factors for pancreatic cancer: the Multiethnic Cohort Study. *Cancer Causes Control* **18**, 165–175.
199. Lin Y, Kikuchi S, Tamakoshi A, Yagyu K, Obata Y, Inaba Y, Kurosawa M, Kawamura T, Motohashi Y & Ishibashi T (2007) Obesity, physical activity and the risk of pancreatic cancer in a large Japanese cohort. *Int J Cancer* **120**, 2665–2671.
200. Luo J, Iwasaki M, Inoue M, Sasazuki S, Otani T, Ye W & Tsugane S (2007) Body mass index, physical activity and the risk of pancreatic cancer in relation to smoking status and history of diabetes: a large-scale population-based cohort study in Japan – The JPHC study. *Cancer Causes Control* **18**, 603–612.
201. Larsson SC, Orsini N & Wolk A (2007) Body mass index and pancreatic cancer risk: A meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Int J Cancer* **120**, 1993–1998.
202. Ansary-Moghaddam A, Huxley R, Barzi F, Lawes C, Ohkubo T, Fang X, Jee SH & Woodward M (2006) The effect of modifiable risk factors on pancreatic cancer mortality in populations of the Asia-Pacific region. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 2435–2440.
203. Huxley R, Ansary-Moghaddam A, Berrington de Gonzalez A, Barzi F & Woodward M (2005) Type-II diabetes and pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis of 36 studies. *Br J Cancer* **92**, 2076–2083.
204. Jee SH, Ohrr H, Sull JW, Yun JE, Ji M & Samet JM (2005) Fasting serum glucose level and cancer risk in Korean men and women. *JAMA* **293**, 194–202.
205. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Graubard BI, Chari S, Limburg P, Taylor PR, Virtamo J & Albanes D (2005) Insulin, glucose, insulin resistance, and pancreatic cancer in male smokers. *JAMA* **294**, 2872–2878.
206. Wolpin BM, Michaud DS, Giovannucci EL *et al.* (2007) Circulating insulin-like growth factor axis and the risk of pancreatic cancer in four prospective cohorts. *Br J Cancer* **97**, 98–104.
207. Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Limburg P, Pollak M, Taylor PR, Virtamo J & Albanes D (2004) Insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-1, IGF-binding protein-3, and pancreatic cancer in male smokers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **13**, 438–444.
208. Lin Y, Tamakoshi A, Kikuchi S *et al.* (2004) Serum insulin-like growth factor-I, insulin-like growth factor binding protein-3, and the risk of pancreatic cancer death. *Int J Cancer* **110**, 584–588.

209. Giovannucci E (2003) Nutrition, insulin, insulin-like growth factors and cancer. *Horm Metab Res* **35**, 694–704.
210. Gronberg H (2003) Prostate cancer epidemiology. *Lancet* **361**, 859–864.
211. Moul JW, Wu H, Sun L *et al.* (2002) Epidemiology of radical prostatectomy for localized prostate cancer in the era of prostate-specific antigen: an overview of the Department of Defense Center for Prostate Disease Research national database. *Surgery* **132**, 213–219.
212. Augustin H, Auprich M, Stummvoll P, Lipsky K, Pummer K & Petritsch P (2006) Shift of tumor features in patients with clinically localized prostate cancer undergoing radical prostatectomy since the beginning of the PSA era. *Wien Klin Wochenschr* **118**, 348–354.
213. Jang TL, Han M, Roehl KA, Hawkins SA & Catalona WJ (2006) More favorable tumor features and progression-free survival rates in a longitudinal prostate cancer screening study: PSA era and threshold-specific effects. *Urology* **67**, 343–348.
214. MacInnis RJ & English DR (2006) Body size and composition and prostate cancer risk: systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Cancer Causes Control* **17**, 989–1003.
215. Gong Z, Neuhauser ML, Goodman PJ *et al.* (2006) Obesity, diabetes, and risk of prostate cancer: results from the prostate cancer prevention trial. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 1977–1983.
216. Rodriguez C, Freedland SJ, Deka A, Jacobs EJ, McCullough ML, Patel AV, Thun MJ & Calle EE (2007) Body mass index, weight change, and risk of prostate cancer in the Cancer Prevention Study II Nutrition Cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **16**, 63–69.
217. Giovannucci E, Rimm EB, Liu Y, Leitzmann M, Wu K, Stampfer MJ & Willett WC (2003) Body mass index and risk of prostate cancer in U.S. health professionals. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **95**, 1240–1244.
218. Dal Maso L, Zucchetto A, La Vecchia C *et al.* (2004) Prostate cancer and body size at different ages: an Italian multicentre case-control study. *Br J Cancer* **90**, 2176–2180.
219. Friedenreich CM, McGregor SE, Courneya KS, Angyalfi SJ & Elliott FG (2004) Case-control study of anthropometric measures and prostate cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* **110**, 278–283.
220. Lee IM, Sesso HD & Paffenbarger RS Jr (2001) A prospective cohort study of physical activity and body size in relation to prostate cancer risk (United States). *Cancer Causes Control* **12**, 187–193.
221. Hsing AW, Deng J, Sesterhenn IA, Mostofi FK, Stanczyk FZ, Benichou J, Xie T & Gao YT (2000) Body size and prostate cancer: a population-based case-control study in China. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **9**, 1335–1341.
222. Freedland SJ, Giovannucci E & Platz EA (2006) Are findings from studies of obesity and prostate cancer really in conflict? *Cancer Causes Control* **17**, 5–9.
223. Freedland SJ & Platz EA (2007) Obesity and prostate cancer: making sense out of apparently conflicting data. *Epidemiol Rev* **29**, 88–97.
224. Marker PC, Donjacour AA, Dahiya R & Cunha GR (2003) Hormonal, cellular, and molecular control of prostatic development. *Dev Biol* **253**, 165–174.
225. Parnes HL, Thompson IM & Ford LG (2005) Prevention of hormone-related cancers: prostate cancer. *J Clin Oncol* **23**, 368–377.
226. So AI, Hurtado-Coll A & Gleave ME (2003) Androgens and prostate cancer. *World J Urol* **21**, 325–337.
227. Shanefelt T, Husein R, Bubley G & Mantzoros CS (2000) Hormonal predictors of prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Oncol* **18**, 847–853.
228. Shi R, Berkel HJ & Yu H (2001) Insulin-like growth factor-I and prostate cancer: a meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* **85**, 991–996.
229. Chan JM, Stampfer MJ, Giovannucci E, Gann PH, Ma J, Wilkinson P, Hennekens CH & Pollak M (1998) Plasma insulin-like growth factor-I and prostate cancer risk: a prospective study. *Science* **279**, 563–566.
230. Chan JM, Stampfer MJ, Ma J, Gann P, Gaziano JM, Pollak M & Giovannucci E (2002) Insulin-like growth factor-I (IGF-I) and IGF binding protein-3 as predictors of advanced-stage prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **94**, 1099–1106.
231. Wolk A, Mantzoros CS, Andersson SO, Bergstrom R, Signorello LB, Lagiou P, Adami HO & Trichopoulos D (1998) Insulin-like growth factor I and prostate cancer risk: a population-based, case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **90**, 911–915.
232. Stattin P, Bylund A, Rinaldi S, Biessy C, Dechaud H, Stenman UH, Egevad L, Riboli E, Hallmans G & Kaaks R (2000) Plasma insulin-like growth factor-I, insulin-like growth factor-binding proteins, and prostate cancer risk: a prospective study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **92**, 1910–1917.
233. Allen NE, Key TJ, Appleby PN *et al.* (2007) Serum insulin-like growth factor (IGF)-I and IGF-binding protein-3 concentrations and prostate cancer risk: results from the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **16**, 1121–1127.
234. Stattin P, Soderberg S, Hallmans G, Bylund A, Kaaks R, Stenman UH, Bergh A & Olsson T (2001) Leptin is associated with increased prostate cancer risk: a nested case-referent study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* **86**, 1341–1345.
235. Stattin P, Kaaks R, Johansson R, Gislefoss R, Soderberg S, Alfthan H, Stenman UH, Jellum E & Olsson T (2003) Plasma leptin is not associated with prostate cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **12**, 474–475.
236. Baillargeon J, Platz EA, Rose DP *et al.* (2006) Obesity, adipokines, and prostate cancer in a prospective population-based study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **15**, 1331–1335.
237. Larsson SC & Wolk A (2007) Obesity and the risk of gallbladder cancer: a meta-analysis. *Br J Cancer* **96**, 1457–1461.
238. Randi G, Franceschi S & La Vecchia C (2006) Gallbladder cancer worldwide: geographical distribution and risk factors. *Int J Cancer* **118**, 1591–1602.
239. Tsai CJ, Leitzmann MF, Willett WC & Giovannucci EL (2006) Central adiposity, regional fat distribution, and the risk of cholecystectomy in women. *Gut* **55**, 708–714.
240. Caldwell SH, Crespo DM, Kang HS & Al-Osaimi AM (2004) Obesity and hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gastroenterology* **127**, Suppl. 1, S97–S103.
241. Clark JM (2006) The epidemiology of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adults. *J Clin Gastroenterol* **40**, Suppl. 1, S5–S10.
242. Olsen CM, Green AC, Whiteman DC, Sadeghi S, Kolahdooz F & Webb PM (2007) Obesity and the risk of epithelial ovarian cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer* **43**, 690–709.
243. Larsson SC & Wolk A (2007) Obesity and risk of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: A meta-analysis. *Int J Cancer* **121**, 1564–1570.
244. Lacey JV Jr, Swanson CA, Brinton LA *et al.* (2003) Obesity as a potential risk factor for adenocarcinomas and

- squamous cell carcinomas of the uterine cervix. *Cancer* **98**, 814–821.
245. Engeland A, Tretli S, Hansen S & Bjorge T (2007) Height and body mass index and risk of lymphohematopoietic malignancies in two million Norwegian men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* **165**, 44–52.
246. Byers T, Nestle M, McTiernan A, Doyle C, Currie-Williams A, Gansler T & Thun MJ (2002) American Cancer Society guidelines on nutrition and physical activity for cancer prevention: Reducing the risk of cancer with healthy food choices and physical activity. *CA Cancer J Clin* **52**, 92–119.