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## 1

The purpose of this note is to show that the standard form of a general Lorentz transformation without special rotation [1], [2], [3] can be derived from simple algebraic hypotheses.

Let Greek indices go from 1 to 4 and Latin indices from 1 to 3 . Summation convention over repeated indices in a product is used throughout and the velocity of light $c$ is taken to be unity.

A Lorentz transformation in a four dimensional space-time is defined by the relations

$$
x_{\mu}^{\prime}=a_{\mu \nu} x_{\nu}, \quad x_{\mu}=a_{\nu \mu} x_{\nu^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mu \lambda} a_{\mu \nu}=a_{\lambda \mu} a_{\nu \mu}=\delta_{\lambda \nu}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\delta_{\lambda \nu}$ being the Kronecker delta tensor.

$$
2
$$

Let
(2)

$$
u_{i}=\frac{x_{i}}{x_{4}} \text { when } x_{i}^{\prime}=0, \quad \text { and }
$$

$$
u_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{x_{i}^{\prime}}{x_{4}^{\prime}} \quad \text { when } \quad x_{i}=0
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{a_{i 4}}{a_{44}}, \quad u_{i}=\frac{a_{4 i}}{a_{44}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Lorentz transformation without spatial rotation is defined by the condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\prime}=-u_{i}, \quad \text { or } \quad a_{i 4}=-a_{4 i} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us write

$$
a_{i 4}=i \beta v_{i}, \quad a_{44}=\beta
$$

where $i=\sqrt{ }-1$ and $v_{i}$ are all real in the usual interpretation of the coordinates $x_{\mu}$ in a Minkowski space. If $\lambda=\nu=4$ in (1), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{2}=\left(1-v^{2}\right)^{-1}, \quad v^{2}=v_{i} v_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} a_{i k}+a_{4 j} a_{4 k}=\delta_{j k}=a_{i j} a_{i k}-\beta^{2} v_{j} v_{k} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i 4} a_{i j}+a_{44} a_{4 j}=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} v_{i}=\beta v_{j} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} a_{i k}-a_{i j} a_{l k} v_{i} v_{l}=\delta_{j k}=a_{i j} a_{l k} \rho_{i l} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

say, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i l}=p_{l i}=\delta_{i l}-v_{i} v_{l} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing the coefficients in (9) by their transposed (this is justified in view of (1)), multiplying both sides by $v_{j}$ and using the symmetry of $p_{i j}$,

$$
a_{j i} v_{j} p_{i l} a_{k l}=v_{k}=a_{k l} p_{i l} v_{i}
$$

Also, from (10),

$$
p_{i l} v_{i}=v_{l}-v^{2} v_{l}=v_{l} \beta^{-2}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta v_{k}=a_{k l} v_{l} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparison of (8) and (11) shows that the skewsymmetric part $a_{i j}$ of $a_{i j}$, satisfies the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} v_{j}=0 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $a_{i j}$ may be written in the form

$$
a_{i j}=\alpha\left\{\begin{array}{rcr}
0 & v_{3} & -v_{2}  \tag{13}\\
-v_{3} & 0 & v_{1} \\
v_{2} & -v_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right\}=\alpha s_{i j}, \text { say }
$$

where $\alpha$ is a parameter which may depend on $v_{i}$. Let us assume that the symmetric part $a_{i j}$ of $a_{i j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\underline{i j}}=\delta_{i j}+q v_{i} v_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ may again depend on $v_{i}$. Hence, from (8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{\beta-1}{v^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the most general Lorentz transformation of the type required is

$$
a_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+q v_{i} v_{j}+\alpha s_{i j}
$$

By (1) we now have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2} s_{i j} s_{i k}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this cannot be satisfied by an arbitrary real vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{v}=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}\right) \text { unless } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the transformation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}=\delta_{i j}+\frac{\beta-1}{v^{2}} v_{i} v_{j}, \quad a_{i 4}=-a_{4 i}=i \beta v_{i}, \quad a_{44}=\beta \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as required (ref. 1).

## 3

Consider the transformation (18) followed by an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation without spacial rotation. The latter is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i j}=\delta_{i j}, \quad b_{i 4}=-b_{4 i}=i u_{i}, \quad b_{44}=1 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of the combined transformation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mu \nu}=b_{\mu \lambda} a_{\lambda \nu} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{4 j} & =b_{4 i} a_{i j}+b_{44} a_{4 j} \\
& =-i u_{i}\left(\delta_{i j}+\frac{v_{i}-v_{j}}{v^{2}}(\beta-1)\right)-i \beta v_{j}  \tag{21}\\
& =-i \beta\left(u_{j}+v_{j}\right)+i \frac{\beta-1}{v^{2}}\left(v_{i} v_{i} u_{j}-u_{i} v_{i} v_{j}\right) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{44}=\beta\left(1+u_{j} v_{j}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the condition for the absence of spatial rotation is still

$$
c_{j 4}=-c_{4 j},
$$

it follows that successive transformations of the above type induce a rotation of the space axes of the original coordinate system. This rotation is of the amount (from (21))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta-1}{v^{2}} \frac{v_{i} v_{i} u_{j}-u_{i} v_{i} j_{j}}{1+u_{i} v_{j}}=\frac{\beta-1}{\beta v^{2}} \frac{\underline{v}_{\wedge}\left(\underline{u}_{\wedge} \underline{v}\right)}{1+\underline{u} \cdot \underline{v}} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For infinitesimal $\underline{u}=d \underline{u}$, this gives the precession operator

$$
-\frac{\beta-1}{\beta v^{2}} \underline{v}_{\wedge} d u
$$

or the well known Thomas precession

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\underline{v}_{\wedge} \underline{\dot{v}}}{2}, \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $v$ is such smaller than the speed of light.
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## Summary

An elementary, purely algebraic derivation of the most general Lorentz transformations without spacial rotation and of the Thomas precession is given.
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