

GRADED π -RINGS

D. D. ANDERSON AND J. MATIJEVIC

1. Introduction. All rings considered will be commutative with identity.

By a *graded ring* we will mean a ring graded by the non-negative integers.

A ring R is called a π -ring if every principal ideal of R is a product of prime ideals. A π -ring without divisors of zero is called a π -domain. A graded ring (domain) is called a *graded π -ring (-domain)* if every homogeneous principal ideal is a product of homogeneous prime ideals. A ring R is called a *general ZPI-ring* if every ideal is a product of primes. A graded ring is called a *graded general ZPI-ring* if every homogeneous ideal is a product of homogeneous prime ideals.

In Section 2 we review the known results about (ungraded) π -rings and general ZPI-rings. Eight characterizations of π -domains are given, several of which are new. The characterization to be used in Section 3 is that a domain D is a π -domain if and only if D is locally a UFD (D_M is a UFD for every maximal ideal M of D) and D is a Krull domain.

In Section 3 we investigate graded π -rings. We show that a graded π -ring is a finite direct product of special principal ideal rings, graded π -domains and a special type of graded π -ring which is not a π -ring. We show that a graded π -domain is actually a π -domain. We also show that a graded general ZPI-ring is a general ZPI-ring.

The authors wish to thank the referee for several helpful suggestions.

Section 2. The ungraded case. Mori has completely characterized the structure of π -rings in a series of four papers [12]–[15]. We state this characterization as Theorem 1, the proof of which may also be found in [7].

THEOREM 1. *A ring R is a π -ring if and only if R is a finite direct product of π -domains and special principal ideal rings.*

Thus the study of π -rings is essentially reduced to the study of π -domains. Next we give eight characterizations of π -domains.

THEOREM 2. *For a domain D the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1) D is a π -domain, (2) every principal ideal is a product of invertible prime ideals, (3) every invertible ideal is a product of invertible prime ideals, (4) every nonzero prime ideal contains an invertible prime ideal, (5) D is locally a UFD and the minimal primes are finitely generated, (6) D is locally a UFD and a Krull domain, (7) D is a Krull domain with the minimal primes being invertible, (8) $D(X)$ is a UFD.

Received April 27, 1976 and in revised form July 26, 1978.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Any factor of a principal ideal is invertible. (2) \Rightarrow (4): Let P be a nonzero prime ideal and let $0 \neq x \in P$. Then $(x) = P_1 \dots P_n$ a product of invertible prime ideals. Since P is prime, some $P_i \subset P$ and P_i is invertible. (4) \Rightarrow (3): The proof then is similar to the proof of Theorem 5 [8] but using “generalized” multiplicatively closed sets. (Also see Theorem 4.6 [2]). As (3) \Rightarrow (1) is trivial, we see that (1)–(4) are equivalent. (1) \Rightarrow (5): A localization of a π -domain is a π -domain and in a quasi-local domain, invertible ideals are principal. (5) \Rightarrow (1): Since D is locally a UFD, every nonzero prime contains a minimal prime P , which is by hypothesis finitely generated. Since P is finitely generated and locally principal, P is invertible. That (1) implies (6) is clear. (6) \Rightarrow (1): Let $0 \neq x \in D$ be a nonunit. We show that xD is a product of prime ideals. Since D is a Krull domain, $xD = P_1^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_s^{(n_s)}$ where P_1, \dots, P_s are the rank one primes containing x . We show that $xD = P_1^{n_1} \dots P_s^{n_s}$ locally. Let M be a fixed maximal ideal of D . If $P_i \not\subseteq M$, then $P_{iM}^{(n_i)} = D_M = P_{iM}^{n_i}$. If $P_i \subseteq M$, then P_{iM} is a rank one prime in the UFD D_M and hence is principal. Thus $P_{iM}^{n_i}$ is primary and hence $P_{iM}^{n_i} = P_{iM}^{(n_i)}$. Since the P_{iM} ’s are principal,

$$\begin{aligned} xD_M &= P_{1M}^{(n_1)} \cap \dots \cap P_{sM}^{(n_s)} = P_{1M}^{n_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{sM}^{n_s} \\ &= P_{1M}^{n_1} \dots P_{sM}^{n_s} = (P_1^{n_1} \dots P_s^{n_s})_M. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (6) \Rightarrow (1). It is clear that (1)–(6) \Rightarrow (7) and that (7) \Rightarrow (6). If D is a π -domain, then $D[X]$ is also a π -domain as is easily seen from the equivalence of (1) and (6). Thus $D(X) = D[X]_S$ is a π -domain where $S = \{f \in D[X] \mid A_f = D\}$ and A_f is the content of f . Since every invertible ideal in $D(X)$ is principal (Theorem 2 [4]), $D(X)$ is a UFD. Hence (1) \Rightarrow (8). Conversely, suppose that $D(X)$ is a UFD. By Proposition 6.10 [6], D is a Krull domain and every rank one prime ideal of D is invertible. Hence D is a π -domain.

Theorem 2 supports our philosophy that a π -domain is just a UFD where invertible ideals have taken the place of principal ideals. Thus π -domains are related to UFD’s in a manner similar to the way that Dedekind domains are related to PID’S. One question of interest is: Given a π -domain D , does there exist a UFD D' such that D and D' have isomorphic lattices of ideals? (See [1] and [3] for a discussion of this question.)

The equivalence of (1), (5), and (7) appears as Theorem 46.7 [7, page 573].

The following theorem characterizes general ZPI-rings. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is due to Mori [16] and the equivalence of (1) and (3) to Levitz [9], [10]. Also see [7].

THEOREM 3. *For a ring R the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) R is a general ZPI-ring, (2) every ideal of R generated by two elements is a product of prime ideals, (3) R is a finite direct product of Dedekind domains and special principal ideal rings.

Section 3. The graded case. In this section we consider graded π -rings and graded \rightarrow general ZPI-rings of the form $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$. Our characterization of graded π -rings will be given by a number of lemmas. Our first lemma follows directly from Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ is a graded π -ring. Then R_0 is a π -ring. Moreover, R is a finite direct product of graded π -rings each of which has for its zero component a π -domain or a special principal ideal ring.*

The case where R_0 is a special principal ideal ring is easily handled.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ is a graded π -ring where R_0 is a special principal ideal ring. Then $\mathbf{0} = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{0} \neq pR_0$ be the unique prime ideal of R_0 and suppose that $p^n = \mathbf{0}$. Let $a \in R_1$, then aR is a product of homogeneous prime ideals. Since the zero degree part of any homogeneous prime ideal must be pR_0 , we see that $R_1 = pR_1$. Hence $R_1 = p^n R_1 = \mathbf{0}$. By induction $R_m = \mathbf{0}$ for $m > 0$.

Thus we are reduced to the case where R_0 is a π -domain.

LEMMA 3. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \dots$ be a graded π -ring. Then any rank zero prime P in R is a ‘‘homogeneous’’ multiplication ideal (i.e., $A \subseteq P$ with A homogeneous implies $A = BP$ for some homogeneous ideal B of R .) Furthermore, $P \cap R_0$ is a multiplication ideal of R_0 .*

Proof. It is well-known that a rank zero prime in a graded ring is homogeneous. Let $A \subseteq P$ be a homogeneous ideal and let $A = (x_\alpha)$ where x_α is homogeneous. Then $x_\alpha R = P_{\alpha_1} \dots P_{\alpha_t}$ is a product of homogeneous prime ideals. Now $\text{rank } P = \mathbf{0}$ implies some $P_{\alpha_i} = P$ so that $x_\alpha R = PB_\alpha$ for some homogeneous ideal B_α . Hence $A = (x_\alpha) = \sum PB_\alpha = P(\sum B_\alpha)$. It is easily seen that $P \cap R_0$ is a multiplication ideal in R_0 .

LEMMA 4. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded π -ring where R_0 is a field. Then R is a domain or $R \approx R_0[X]/(X^n)$ for some $n > 1$ where X is an indeterminate over R_0 assigned positive degree.*

Proof. Suppose that R is not a domain. Now $M = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of R . We show that $\text{rank } M = \mathbf{0}$. Now since $(\mathbf{0})$ is a finite product of (homogeneous) primes, R has only a finite number of minimal primes P_1, \dots, P_n , each of which is homogeneous. Assume that $P_i \not\subseteq M$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We set $A = P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ and $\bar{R} = R/A$. It is easy to see that $Z(\bar{R}) = P_1/A \cup \dots \cup P_n/A$ (here $Z(\bar{R})$ denotes the zero-divisors of \bar{R} .) By Prop. 8 [5, p. 161] there exists a homogeneous element $m \in M - (P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_n)$ and $\bar{m} = m + A$ is a regular element of \bar{R} . Let $(m) = Q_1 \dots Q_t$ be a prime factorization of (m) into a product of homogeneous prime ideals. Then $(\bar{m}) = \bar{Q}_1 \dots \bar{Q}_t$ is a prime factorization of (\bar{m}) in

\bar{R} . Since \bar{m} is regular, the ideal \bar{Q}_1 is invertible and \bar{Q}_1 properly contains some \bar{P}_i . Therefore $\bar{P}_i = \bar{P}_i \bar{Q}_1$ and hence $\bar{P}_i = \bar{P}_i \bar{M}$. Suppose that $\bar{P}_i \neq 0$. Then there exists a nonzero homogeneous element $y \in \bar{P}_i$. By Lemma 3, $(y) = B\bar{P}_i$ for some homogeneous ideal B . Hence $(y) = B\bar{P}_i = B(\bar{P}_i \bar{M}) = (B\bar{P}_i) \bar{M} = (y) \bar{M}$. Thus $\bar{R} = \bar{M} + (\bar{0}:y)$. But since y is a nonzero homogeneous element, $(\bar{0}:y)$ is a proper homogeneous ideal and hence $(\bar{0}:y) \subseteq \bar{M}$, the unique maximal homogeneous ideal of \bar{R} . Thus $\bar{P}_i = \bar{0}$. Hence $P_i = A$ so R has a unique prime P of rank zero. Thus R/P is a graded π -domain, in fact since $(R/P)_0 = R_0$ is a field, R/P is a graded UFD and hence a UFD (Theorem 5). Choose a homogeneous non-zero prime element $q \notin P$ of R/P . If $(q) = Q_1 \dots Q_t$ is a homogeneous prime factorization of (q) in R , then $(\bar{q}) = \bar{Q}_1 \dots \bar{Q}_t$ is the prime factorization of (\bar{q}) in R/P . Consequently $t = 1$ and (q) is a homogeneous prime ideal of R with $P \subsetneq (q) \subseteq M$. Hence $P = P(q)$ and so $P = PM$. As before, this implies that $P = 0$. This contradiction shows that M is the unique minimal prime ideal of R and hence the unique homogeneous prime ideal of R . We show that M is principal. Let $M = (x_\alpha)$ where x_α is homogeneous. By Lemma 3, $(x_\alpha) = MB_\alpha$ where B_α is some homogeneous ideal. Hence $M = \sum (x_\alpha) = \sum MB_\alpha = M(\sum B_\alpha)$. If $\sum B_\alpha = R$, then some $B_{\alpha_0} = R$ so $M = (x_{\alpha_0})$ is principal. Otherwise $M = M^2$ and the argument used above shows that $M = 0$. Let X be an indeterminate over R_0 assigned the degree of x_{α_0} . Then the graded homomorphism $f: R_0[X] \rightarrow R$ given by $X \rightarrow x_{\alpha_0}$ is clearly onto. Since M is the unique homogeneous prime of R , there exists an $n > 0$ such that $M^n = 0$, but $M^{n-1} \neq 0$. Thus $\ker f = (X^n)$ so $R \approx R_0[X]/(X^n)$.

LEMMA 5. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded π -ring where (R_0, M_0) is a quasi-local domain but not a field. Then R is either a domain or R_0 is a DVR and $R \approx R_0[X]/A$ where A is a homogeneous ideal with $\sqrt{A} = XM_0[X]$.*

Proof. First suppose that $\dim R_0 > 1$. Then R_0 is a quasi-local UFD with an infinite number of principal primes. Assume that R is not a domain, so that R has a finite number of minimal primes P_1, \dots, P_n . By Lemma 3, $P_i \cap R_0$ is a multiplication ideal, so each $P_i \cap R_0$ is either 0 or a principal prime. Thus we can choose a homogeneous element in $M_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$, but not in P_1, \dots, P_n . Proceeding as in Lemma 4, we get that R must be a domain. Thus we may suppose that $\dim R_0 = 1$, so that R_0 must be a DVR. Since R_0 is a domain, $Q = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is a prime ideal. We show that $\text{rank } Q = 0$. Let $S = R_0 - \{0\}$, then $R_S = R_{0_S} \oplus R_{1_S} \oplus \dots$ is a graded π -ring with R_{0_S} a field. Hence by Lemma 4, R_S contains a unique minimal prime, and hence R must contain a unique minimal prime P with $P \cap R_0 = 0$. Let $M_0 = pR_0$. Now pR is a product of homogeneous primes and hence itself must be prime. Now pR must be minimal. For if $P' \subsetneq pR$ is a prime, then either $P' \cap R_0 = 0$ so $pR \supseteq P' \supseteq P$ or $P' \cap R_0 = pR_0$ so $P' \supseteq pR$. If $pR \supset P$, then P would be the unique minimal prime of R . Passing to R/P we see that this would imply that $P = (0)$ and thus R would be a domain. Thus R has exactly two minimal primes: pR and P . As in Lemma 4, we see that P is principal. Suppose that

$Q \not\subseteq P$. Then by Proposition 8 [5, page 161], there exists a homogeneous element $m \in pR_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$, but not in pR or P . Proceeding as in Lemma 4, we see that $R/pR \cap P$ must have a unique minimal prime. This contradiction shows that $Q = P$. Thus $P = Q = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is principal. The result now follows as in Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded π -ring where R_0 is a domain but not a field. Then either R is a domain or $R \approx R_0[X]/A$ where A is a homogeneous ideal of $R_0[X]$ with $\sqrt{A} = XM_1 \dots M_n[X]$ where M_1, \dots, M_n are invertible maximal ideals of R_0 .*

Proof. Assume that R is not a domain. Let $S = R_0 - \{0\}$, then R_S is a graded π -ring with R_{0_S} a field, so that R_S is a domain or is isomorphic to $R_0[X]/(X^n)$ and hence contains a unique minimal prime. Hence R contains a unique minimal (necessarily homogeneous) prime P with $P \cap R_0 = 0$. Let M_0 be a maximal ideal of R_0 and put $S(M_0) = R_0 - M_0$. Then $R_{S(M_0)}$ is a graded π -ring so $R_{S(M_0)}$ is a domain or $P_{S(M_0)} = (R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots)_{S(M_0)}$. In the latter case $P = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ (for both are prime ideals of R). Suppose that $P \neq R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$. Then we may assume that $R_{S(M_0)}$ is a domain for every maximal ideal M_0 of R_0 . Thus $P_{S(M_0)} = 0_{S(M_0)}$ for every maximal ideal M_0 of R_0 , so that $P_M = 0_M$ for every homogeneous maximal ideal of R . Hence $P = 0$ and R is a domain. This contradiction shows that $P = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is the unique minimal prime ideal of R contracting to 0 in R_0 .

Suppose that P, P_1, \dots, P_n are the minimal prime ideals of R ($n > 0$ since R is not a domain). Then $P_i' = P_i \cap R_0 \neq 0$ is a multiplication ideal in the domain R_0 . Thus P_i' is invertible [7, page 77]. Let M be a maximal ideal of R_0 containing P_i' and put $S = R_0 - M$. Then P_{iS} and P_S are distinct minimal primes in R_S . By Lemma 5, R_{0_S} must be a DVR and hence we see that each P_i' is also a maximal ideal in R_0 . Also, $P_i'R$ and P_i are homogeneous ideals that are equally locally at the maximal homogeneous ideals of R . Thus $P_i'R = P_i$. We next show that $P = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is principal. Let M be a maximal homogeneous ideal containing P . Let $M_0 = M \cap R_0$ and $S = R_0 - M_0$. If $P_i \subseteq M$ for some i , then R_S contains two minimal prime ideals. By Lemma 5, $M = P_i' \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$. If $P_i \not\subseteq M$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, then P_S is the unique minimal prime ideal of R_S and hence R_S is a domain. Then $P_M = 0_M$. Thus $P_M = 0_M$ for almost all maximal homogeneous ideals M of R . An easy modification of Theorem 2 [3] shows that P is principal. Thus $R \approx R_0[X]/A$ where A is a homogeneous ideal of $R_0[X]$. Since $\sqrt{0} = P \cap P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_n$ in R , we have $\sqrt{A} = (X) \cap P_1'[X] \cap \dots \cap P_n'[X] = XP_1' \dots P_n'[X]$ in $R_0[X]$.

LEMMA 7. *Let R_0 be a π -domain that is not a field. Suppose that A is a homogeneous ideal of $R_0[X]$ with $\sqrt{A} = XM_1 \dots M_n[X]$ where $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is a (possibly empty) set of invertible maximal ideals of R_0 . Then $R = R_0[X]/A$ is a graded π -ring if and only if $A = X^s M_1^{s_1} \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]B$ where s, s_1, \dots, s_n are*

positive integers, B is a (possibly vacuous) product of $M_i[X] + (X)$ -primary ideals and $s = 1$ unless $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is the set of all maximal ideals of R . R is a π -ring if and only if $A = (X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $A = X^s M^{s_1} \dots M^{s_n}[X]B$. Then the ideals $\bar{X}R, M_1R, \dots, M_nR$ are prime ideals in R . If N is another invertible prime ideal in R_0 , then $N[X]$ and $M_1^{s_1} \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]B$ are comaximal. Thus

$$N[X] + M_1^{s_1} \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]B = R[X] \quad \text{so}$$

$$XN[X] + XM_1^{s_1} \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]B = (X).$$

Since in this case $s = 1$, $N[X] + A = N[X] + (X)$ so NR is also a prime ideal in R . Since every homogeneous element of R has the form $r\bar{X}^m$ where $r \in R_0$ and $\bar{X} = X + A$, R is a graded π -ring.

Conversely, suppose that R is a graded π -ring. Now A has a homogeneous primary decomposition with minimal primes $(X), M_1[X], \dots, M_n[X]$. Since each of these primes is invertible, the primary ideals belonging to these minimal primes are prime powers. From Lemma 5 we see that $M_i[X] + (X), i = 1, \dots, n$ are the only possible embedded prime ideals. Thus

$$A = (X)^s \cap M_1^{s_1}[X] \cap \dots \cap M_n^{s_n}[X] \cap Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$$

where Q_i is either $M_i[X] + (X)$ -primary or $R_0[X]$. Since $(X)^s, M_1^{s_1}[X], \dots, M_n^{s_n}[X]$ are invertible primary ideals, we have

$$(X)^s \cap M_1^{s_1}[X] \cap \dots \cap M_n^{s_n}[X] = (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X].$$

Hence

$$A = (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X] \cap Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$$

$$= (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X] (Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n : (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]).$$

But

$$(Q_1 \cap \dots \cap Q_n : (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X])$$

$$= \bigcap_{i=1}^n (Q_i : (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]) \quad \text{and}$$

$$Q_i' = Q_i : (X)^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]$$

is either $M_i[X] + (X)$ -primary or $R_0[X]$. Since Q_1', \dots, Q_n' are comaximal, $Q_1' \cap \dots \cap Q_n' = Q_1' \dots Q_n'$. Suppose that M is a maximal ideal of R_0 other than M_1, \dots, M_n . Then $R_{(R_0-M)} = R_{0M}[X]/(X)^s R_{0M}$ is a graded π -ring. By Lemma 5 this is not possible unless $R_{(R_0-M)}$ is a domain, that is, $s = 1$.

Clearly if $A = (X)$, $R = R_0[X]/A$ is a π -domain. If $A \neq (X)$, then R is not a domain. Since R is indecomposable, R cannot be a π -ring.

Thus we have established

THEOREM 4. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded π -ring. Then R is a finite direct product of graded π -domains and special graded π -rings of the following types:*

(1) special principal ideal rings (ungraded), (2) $k[X]/(X^n)$, k a field, X an indeterminate assigned positive degree, (3) $D[X]/A$ where D is a π -domain, X is an indeterminate over D assigned positive degree and A is a homogeneous ideal of $D[X]$ with

$$A = X^s M_1^{s_1}[X] \dots M_n^{s_n}[X]B$$

where s, \dots, s_n are positive integers, $\{M_1, \dots, M_n\}$ is a (possibly empty) set of invertible maximal ideals of D and B is a (possibly vacuous) product of $M_i[X] + (X)$ -primary ideals. If M_1, \dots, M_n are not all the invertible prime ideals of D , then $s = 1$.

We are now reduced to the case where $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ is a graded π -domain.

THEOREM 5. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$. If R is a graded UFD, then R is a UFD. If R is a graded π -domain where R_0 is quasi-local, then R is a graded UFD and hence a UFD.*

Proof. We may assume that $R \neq R_0$. Let S be the set of homogeneous non-zero elements of R . Now S is a multiplicatively closed set in R generated by the non-zero homogeneous principal primes. By Lemma 1.2 [11], R_S is isomorphic to $K[u, u^{-1}]$ where K is a field and u is transcendental over K . Thus R_S is a UFD. By Nagata's Lemma to show that R is a UFD it is sufficient to show that R satisfies ACC on principal ideals. Let $(f_1) \subseteq (f_2) \subseteq (f_3) \subseteq \dots$ be an ascending chain of principal ideals in R . Surely R satisfies ACC on principal homogeneous ideals. It is easily verified that $R[X]$ satisfies ACC on homogeneous principal ideals when X is an indeterminate assigned degree 1. We homogenize the chain of principal ideals to $R[X]$ and then de-homogenize them back into R (for the process of homogenization see [11] or [17, p. 179]). Thus $(f_1)^h \subseteq (f_2)^h \subseteq (f_3)^h \subseteq \dots$ is an ascending chain of homogeneous principal ideals in $R[X]$. Hence the chain becomes stable, say $(f_n)^h = (f_{n+1})^h = \dots$. De-homogenizing the chain we get that $(f_n)^{ha} = (f_{n+1})^{ha} = \dots$ in R . But since for any ideal I in R , $I^{ha} = I$, we have $(f_n) = (f_{n+1}) = \dots$. Thus R satisfies the ascending chain condition on principal ideals. We remark that this same proof also applies to Z -graded UFD's.

Suppose that R is a graded π -domain where R_0 is quasi-local. Then every homogeneous invertible ideal of R is principal. Hence R is a graded UFD and hence a UFD.

THEOREM 6. *A graded π -domain $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ is a π -domain.*

Proof. Let M be a maximal ideal of R and let $M_0 = M \cap R_0$. Then $R_{(R_0 - M_0)}$ is a π -domain with $R_{(R_0 - M_0)}$ quasi-local. By Theorem 5, $R_{(R_0 - M_0)}$ is a UFD and hence R_M is a UFD. Thus R is locally a UFD. We show that R is a Krull domain. Since R is locally a UFD, R_P is a DVR for every rank one prime P in R and $R = \bigcap R_P$ where the intersection runs over all rank one primes of

R . Let $0 \neq x \in R$ be a nonunit. We must show that x is contained in only finitely many rank one primes of R . If x is homogeneous, the result is clear, so suppose that x is not homogeneous. Since a homogeneous component of x can be contained in only finitely many rank one homogeneous prime ideals, x can be contained in only finitely many rank one homogeneous prime ideals of R . Now any rank one non-homogeneous prime ideal Q containing x must satisfy $Q \cap R_0 = 0$ (since $\text{rank } Q = 1$, Q^* , the prime ideal generated by the homogeneous elements of Q , must be 0). Putting $S = R_0 - \{0\}$, $R_S = R_{0_S} \oplus T_{1_S} \oplus \dots$ is a graded π -domain with R_{0_S} a field, so R_S is a graded UFD and hence a UFD. Thus xR_S is contained in only finitely many rank one primes and hence the same is true of xR .

THEOREM 7. *Let $R = R_0 \oplus R_1 \oplus \dots$ be a graded ring in which every ideal generated by two homogeneous elements is a product of homogeneous prime ideals. Then R is a general ZPI ring. Further, R is a finite direct product of the following types of (graded) general ZPI rings: (1) R_0 a special principal ideal ring and $0 = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$, (2) R_0 a Dedekind domain and $0 = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$, (3) R_0 a field (a) $0 = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$, (b) $R \approx R_0[X]$, (c) $R \approx R_0[X]/(X^n)$.*

Proof. It is easily seen that in R_0 every ideal generated by two elements is a product of prime ideals. Hence R_0 is a general ZPI-ring and hence by Theorem 3 is a finite direct product of special principal ideal rings and Dedekind domains. Thus we see that R is a finite direct product of graded rings where the zero coordinate is either a special principal ideal ring or a Dedekind domain. If R_0 is a special principal ideal ring, then $0 = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ by Lemma 2. Thus we may assume that R_0 is a field or a Dedekind domain. If R_0 is a field, but R is not a domain, then $R \approx R_0[X]/(X^n)$ by Lemma 4. So suppose that R is a domain and $0 \neq R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$. By Lemma 4.8 [2], we see that $R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$ is a principal prime ideal and hence $R \approx R_0[X]$. We are reduced to the case where R_0 is a Dedekind domain. It is easily seen that the rings occurring in case (3) of Theorem 4 do not satisfy the hypothesis of the Theorem. Thus R must be a domain. By Theorem 4.9 [2] we see that every homogeneous non-zero prime ideal in R is maximal. Thus since $0 \subseteq R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots \subsetneq M \oplus R_1 \oplus R_2 \dots$ for any maximal ideal M of R_0 , we must have $0 = R_1 \oplus R_2 \oplus \dots$.

REFERENCES

1. D. D. Anderson, *A remark on the lattice of ideals of a Prüfer domain*, Pacific J. Math. 57 (1975), 323–324.
2. ——— *Abstract commutative ideal theory without chain condition*, Algebra Universalis 6 (1976), 131–145.
3. ——— *Multiplication ideals, multiplication rings, and the ring $R(X)$* , Can. J. Math. 28 (1976), 760–768.
4. ——— *Some remarks on the ring $R(X)$* , Comment. Math. Univ. St. Pauli 26 (1977), 137–140.
5. N. Bourbaki, *Commutative algebra* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1972).
6. R. Fossum, *The divisor class group of a Krull domain* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973).
7. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory* (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1972).

8. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative rings* (Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1969).
9. K. Levitz, *A characterization of general ZPI-rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. *32* (1972), 376–380.
10. ——— *A characterization of general ZPI-rings II*, Pacific J. Math. *42* (1972), 147–151.
11. J. Matijevic, *Three local conditions on a graded ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. *205* (1975), 275–284.
12. S. Mori, *Über die produktzerlegung der hauptideale I*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. (A), *8* (1938), 7–13.
13. ——— *Über die produktzerlegung der hauptideale II*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. (A), *9* (1939), 145–155.
14. ——— *Über die produktzerlegung der hauptideale III*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. (A), *10* (1940), 85–94.
15. ——— *Über die produktzerlegung der hauptideale IV*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. (A), *11* (1941), 7–14.
16. ——— *Allgemeine Z.P.I.-ringe*, J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. (A), *10* (1941), 117–136.
17. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. II (Van Nostrand, New York, 1960).

The University of Iowa,
Iowa City, Iowa;
University of Southern California,
Los Angeles, California