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#### Abstract

In this paper we present a new proof of the equivalence of the analytic and the geometric characterization of the class of functions convex in the negative or positive direction of the imaginary axis.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, let $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ denote the open disk in the plane, and let $\mathbb{T}=\partial \mathbb{D}$. For each $k>0$, let

$$
\mathbb{O}_{k}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}: \frac{|1-z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}<k\right\}
$$

denote the disk in $\mathbb{D}$ called an oricycle, such that the boundary circle $\partial \mathbb{O}_{k}$ is tangent at $z=1$ to the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$. The Julia Lemma ([4]; see also [1, page 56]) which is recalled below is the basis for our considerations.

Lemma 1.1 (Julia). Let $\omega$ be an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\omega(z)|<1$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Assume that there exists a sequence $\left(z_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, of points in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=1$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(z_{n}\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\left|\omega\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}=\alpha<\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\frac{|1-\omega(z)|^{2}}{1-|\omega(z)|^{2}} \leq \alpha \frac{|1-z|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

and hence, for every $k>0, \omega\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{O}_{\alpha k}$.
REMARK 1.1. Since

$$
\frac{1-|\omega(z)|}{1-|z|} \geq \frac{1-|\omega(0)|}{1+|\omega(0)|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

for every function $\omega$ analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $|\omega(z)|<1$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, the constant $\alpha$ defined in (1.1) is positive (see [1, page 43]).

## 2. Convexity in the negative direction of the imaginary axis

2.1. For $w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in[0,2 \pi)$, let $l[w, \theta]=\left\{w+t e^{i \theta}: t \in[0,+\infty)\right\}$. For $A, B \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
A \pm B=\{u \pm v \in \mathbb{C}: u \in A \wedge v \in B\}, \quad A+w=A+\{w\}
$$

2.2. Let us start with the following definition.

DEFINITION 2.1. A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}, \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, is called convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis if and only if the half-line $l[w, 3 \pi / 2]$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ for every $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$. The set of all such domains will be denoted by $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$.

Obviously, $\Omega \in \mathscr{Z}^{-}$if and only if the half-line $l[w, \pi / 2]$ is contained in $\Omega$ for every $w \in \Omega$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$denote the class of all analytic and univalent functions $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is in $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$. Functions in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$will be called convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis.
2.3. Now we introduce, for an arbitrary domain in $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$, a special selected nullchain $\left(C_{n}\right)$.

## Construction of a prime end for the domain convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis

Let us recall that a crosscut $C$ of a domain $G \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is an open Jordan arc in $G$ such that $\bar{C}=C \cup\{a, b\}$, where $a, b \in \partial G$. Let $\Omega \in \mathscr{Z}^{-}$be an arbitrary domain.

1. Assume first that $\Omega$ is neither a vertical strip nor a half-plane with the boundary straight line parallel to the imaginary axis. Then there exists $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ such that the vertical half-line $l\left[w_{0}, \pi / 2\right] \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$ starting from $w_{0}$ is contained in $\Omega$. For each $t \in(0, \infty)$, let us denote $C(t)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-w_{0}\right|=t\right\}$. It is clear that $\Omega \cap C(t) \neq \emptyset$ for every $t \in(0, \infty)$. By Proposition 2.13 in [6, page 28], for each $t \in(0, \infty)$ there are countably many crosscuts $C_{k}(t) \subset C(t), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of $\Omega$ each of which is an arc of the circle $C(t)$. By $\Omega_{0}(t) \subset \Omega$ we denote the component of $\Omega \backslash C(t)$ containing the half-line $l\left[w_{0}+i t, \pi / 2\right] \backslash\left\{w_{0}+i t\right\}$ and by $Q(t) \in \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} C_{k}(t)$ we denote the crosscut containing the point $w_{0}+i t$. So $Q(t) \subset \partial \Omega_{0}(t)$. Let now $\left(t_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a strictly increasing sequence of points in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$ and let ( $Q\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) be the corresponding sequence of crosscuts of $\Omega$. It is easy to observe that
(1) $\overline{Q\left(t_{n}\right)} \cap \overline{Q\left(t_{n+1}\right)}=\emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(2) $\Omega_{0}\left(t_{n+1}\right) \subset \Omega_{0}\left(t_{n}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
(3) $\operatorname{diam}^{*} Q\left(t_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where diam" $^{*} B$ means the spherical diameter of the set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$.
Therefore $\left(C_{n}\right)=\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ forms a null chain of $\Omega$ (see [6, page 29]). Notice also that the null chain $\left(C_{n}\right)$ is independent of the choice of the sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$.

The equivalence class of the null chain $\left(C_{n}\right)$ defines the prime end denoted by $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$. We can also show that infinity is a unique principal point of the prime end $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$.
2. (a) Let $\Omega$ be a vertical strip of width $d>0$. Let $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ be an arbitrary point. For each $t \in(d, \infty)$, set $C(t)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-w_{0}\right|=t\right\}$. It is clear that $\Omega \cap C(t) \neq \emptyset$ for every $t \in(d, \infty)$. Observe that $\Omega(t)$ is a sum of two disjoint circular arcs, denoted by $Q^{+}(t)$ and $Q^{-}(t)$. Let $Q^{+}(t)$ be the circular arc which lies above $Q^{-}(t)$. Precisely, $Q^{+}(t)$ cuts the boundary straight lines of $\Omega$ at two points $w_{1}(t)$ and $w_{2}(t)$, and together with two half-lines $l\left[w_{1}(t), \pi / 2\right]$ and $l\left[w_{2}(t), \pi / 2\right]$ is a boundary of a domain denoted by $\Omega^{+}(t)$. Moreover, $\Omega^{+}(t) \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega^{+}(t) \cap \operatorname{Int} C(t)=\emptyset$.

Let now $\left(t_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a strictly increasing sequence of points in $(d, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$, and let $\left(Q^{+}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ be the corresponding sequence of crosscuts of $\Omega$. It is easy to observe that the conditions (1)-(3) listed in Part 1 of this construction are fulfilled. Therefore $\left(C_{n}^{+}\right)=\left(Q^{+}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ forms a null chain of $\Omega$. The null chain $\left(C_{n}^{+}\right)$is independent of the choice of the sequence $\left(t_{n}\right)$.

The equivalence class of the null chain ( $C_{n}^{+}$) defines the prime end denoted by $p_{\infty}^{+}(\Omega)$. We can also say that infinity is a unique principal point of the prime end $p_{\infty}^{+}(\Omega)$

In a similar way the sequence $\left(Q^{-}\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ is a null chain which represents the second prime end $p_{\infty}^{-}(\Omega)$, different than $p_{\infty}^{+}(\Omega)$.

For the next considerations, the prime end $p_{\infty}^{+}(\Omega)$ will be denoted by $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$.
(b) Let now $\Omega$ be a half-plane with the boundary straight line parallel to the imaginary axis. Let $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ be an arbitrary point. For each $t \in(0, \infty)$, let
$C(t)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-w_{0}\right|=t\right\}$. It is clear that $Q(t)=\Omega \cap C(t)$ is a half-circle for every $t>0$. Repeating considerations similar to those above we see that the sequence $\left(C_{n}\right)=\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$, for an arbitrary strictly increasing sequence $\left(t_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, of points in $(0, \infty)$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} t_{n}=\infty$, forms a null chain of $\Omega$ which represents a prime end denoted by $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$.

In this way we construct for every domain $\Omega$ in $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$, in a unique way, a prime end $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Let $f$ be a conformal mapping $\mathbb{D}$ onto $\Omega$, this is, let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$. By the prime end theorem there exists a bijective mapping $\widehat{f}$ of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$ onto the set of all prime ends of $\Omega([6$, page 30$])$. Hence there is a unique $\zeta_{\infty} \in \mathbb{J}$ such that $p_{\infty}(\Omega)=\widehat{f}\left(\zeta_{\infty}\right)$. We can also show that infinity is a unique principal point of the prime end $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$.

## 3. An analytic characterization of the class of function convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis

3.1. In the proof of the main theorem, which analytically characterizes the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$, we will need the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. Let $\left(a_{n}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence such that $a_{n}>0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a convergent subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$. Moreover $0 \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_{k}}=a \leq 1$.

Proof. Suppose that only finitely many elements of the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ lie in the interval ( 0,1 ]. Then $a_{n}>1$ for sufficiently large $n$, which contradicts (3.1). This means that infinitely many elements of the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ lie in the interval $(0,1]$. Taking a convergent subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ completes the proof.
3.2. Now we will prove the theorem which says that every function $f$ in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$, with $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}(1)$, preserves convexity in the negative direction of the imaginary axis on every oricycle $\mathbb{O}_{k}$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f$ be an analytic and univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$. Then $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$ and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f(1)}$, if and only if $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{Z}^{-}$for every $k>0$.

Proof. 1. Assume that $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $\zeta_{\infty}=1$ corresponds to the prime end $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))$. For each $t \in(0, \infty)$, let us define the function

$$
\omega_{t}(z)=f^{-1}(f(z)+i t), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} .
$$

Since $f(\mathbb{D})$ is a domain convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis, $f(z)+$ it $\in f(\mathbb{D})$ for every $t \in(0, \infty)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence, from the univalence of $f$, it follows that the function $\omega_{t}$ is well defined for each $t \in(0, \infty)$.

For every domain $\Omega \in \mathscr{Z}^{-}$, we select two points $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ and $w_{1} \in \Omega$, in the following way. If $\Omega$ is not a vertical strip or a half-plane with the boundary straight line parallel to the imaginary axis, then there exists $w_{0}$ in $\partial \Omega$ such that the half-line $l\left[w_{0}, \pi / 2\right] \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\}$ lies in $\Omega$. Let $w_{1} \in \Omega$ be an arbitrary point lying on this half-line.

In the case when $\Omega$ is a vertical strip or a half-plane with the boundary straight line parallel to the imaginary axis, let $w_{1} \in \Omega$ be an arbitrary point and $w_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ be such that $\operatorname{Im} w_{1}=\operatorname{Im} w_{0}$.

Assume now that for the domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ the points $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ are chosen as above. Of course $l\left[w_{1}, \pi / 2\right]$ lies in $f(\mathbb{D})$. Let us fix $t \in(0, \infty)$ and let us consider the sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)=\left(w_{1}+i t_{n}\right)$ of points in $l\left[w_{1}, \pi / 2\right]$ and the corresponding sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)=\left(f^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)$ of points in $\mathbb{D}$, where $t_{n}=(n-1) t, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

With the same notation as in the construction of a prime end for the domain in the class $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$, let $C\left(t_{n}\right)=\left\{w \in \mathbb{C}:\left|w-w_{0}\right|=\left|w_{n}-w_{0}\right|\right\}$ and let $Q\left(t_{n}\right) \subset C\left(t_{n}\right)$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote the crosscut of $f(\mathbb{D})$ containing the point $w_{n}$. From the method of choosing $w_{0}$ and $w_{1}$ we see that the conditions (1)-(3) are satisfied and ( $Q\left(t_{n}\right)$ ) is a null-chain representing the prime end $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))$. By the prime end theorem $\left(f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ is a null-chain in $\mathbb{D}$ that separates the origin from $\zeta_{\infty}=1$ for large $n$. Since $z_{n}=f^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right) \in f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{diam} f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=1$. Observe that $\omega_{t}\left(z_{n}\right)=f^{-1}\left(w_{n}+i t\right)=z_{n+1}$. Let now

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1-\left|\omega_{t}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Hence

$$
a_{n}=\frac{1-\left|\omega_{t}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}=\frac{1-\left|z_{n+1}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{1-\left|z_{2}\right|}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|} \frac{1-\left|z_{3}\right|}{1-\left|z_{2}\right|} \cdots \frac{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n-1}\right|} \frac{1-\left|z_{n+1}\right|}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|}\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\left|z_{n+1}\right|}{1-\left|z_{1}\right|}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.1 there exists a convergent subsequence $\left(a_{n_{k}}\right), k \in \mathbb{N}$, of the sequence ( $a_{n}$ ) such that $0 \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_{k}}=\alpha(t) \leq 1$. Hence we conclude that there exists a convergent subsequence $\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)$ of the sequence $\left(z_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-\left|\omega_{t}\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)\right|}{1-\left|z_{n_{k}}\right|}=\alpha(t) \leq 1
$$

for every fixed $t \in(0, \infty)$. In fact, in view of Remark 1.1, $\alpha(t)>0$ for every $t \in(0, \infty)$.

In this way, for each $t \in(0, \infty)$, the function $\omega_{t}$ satisfies the assumptions of the Julia Lemma. Hence, and by the fact that $\alpha(t) \leq 1$ for every $t \in(0, \infty)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{t}\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{O}_{\alpha(t) k} \subset \mathbb{O}_{k} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $k>0$.
Fixing now $k>0$ we see from (3.2) that $f^{-1}\left(f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)+i t\right) \subset \mathbb{O}_{k}$, so $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)+i t \subset$ $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)$ for every $t \in(0, \infty)$. Therefore, $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{Z}$ - for every $k>0$.
2. Let us now assume that $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{X}^{-}$for every $k>0$. Since $\infty \in \partial f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)$ for every $k>0$ and $f(\mathbb{D})=\bigcup_{k>0} f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right), \infty \in \partial f(\mathbb{D})$ and $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in the negative direction of the imaginary axis. Observe also that there exists a prime end $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))$ which corresponds to some point $\zeta_{\infty} \in \mathbb{T}$. We need to show that $\zeta_{\infty}=1$. To this end, let $k>0$ be fixed and suppose that $\zeta_{\infty} \neq 1$. Let $\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$, be an arbitrary sequence of crosscuts of $f(\mathbb{D})$ which represents the prime end $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))$ corresponding in a unique way to a point $\zeta_{\infty} \in \mathbb{T}$, that is, $\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)$ is a null-chain of $f(\mathbb{D})$. By the prime end theorem $\left(f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right)\right)$ is a null-chain that separate in $\mathbb{D}$ the points 0 from $\zeta_{\infty}$ for large $n$. Since $\zeta_{\infty} \neq 1$ and $\operatorname{diam} f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}\left(Q\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \cap \mathbb{O}_{k}=\emptyset \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $n$.
On the other hand, $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)$ is in $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$, which implies that $Q\left(t_{n}\right) \cap f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \neq \emptyset$ for large $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This contradicts (3.3) and shows that $\zeta_{\infty}=1$ and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f(1)}$. The proof of the theorem is finished.

Using Theorem 3.1 we are able to find an analytic characterization of functions in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$.

THEOREM 3.2. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}(1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{(1-z)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f(1)}$. By Theorem 3.1 the domain $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)$ for every $k>0$, is in the class $\mathscr{Z}^{-}$. This means geometrically that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k} \ni z \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} f(z) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is monotonic on the analytic arc $\gamma_{k}=\partial \mathbb{O}_{k} \backslash\{1\}$ for every $k>0$. We will use the following parametrization of $\gamma_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{k}: z=z(\theta)=\frac{1+k e^{i \theta}}{1+k}, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence in place of (3.5) we consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0,2 \pi) \ni \theta \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} f(z(\theta)) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1-z(\theta))^{2} & =\frac{k^{2}}{(1+k)^{2}}\left(1-e^{i \theta}\right)^{2}=-\frac{4 k \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)}{(k+1) i}\left(\frac{k}{k+1} e^{i \theta} i\right) \\
& =\frac{4 k \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)}{k+1} z^{\prime}(\theta) i=2 \operatorname{Re}\{1-z(\theta)\} z^{\prime}(\theta) i, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the fact that the arc $\gamma_{k}$ is positively oriented, the same is true of the arc $f\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$, since $f$ is a conformal mapping. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d \theta} \operatorname{Re} f(z(\theta)) & =\operatorname{Re}\left\{z^{\prime}(\theta) f^{\prime}(z(\theta))\right\}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{k+1}{4 k \sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)} \operatorname{Re}\left\{-i(1-z(\theta))^{2} f^{\prime}(z(\theta))\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \operatorname{Re}\{1-z(\theta)\}} \operatorname{Im}\left\{(1-z(\theta))^{2} f^{\prime}(z(\theta))\right\} \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

for $\theta \in(0,2 \pi)$, so (3.4) holds.
Now we will prove the converse theorem.
THEOREM 3.3. If $f$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{(1-z)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f(1)}$.

Proof. Let $f$ be analytic in $\mathbb{D}$ and satisfy (3.9).

1. If there exists a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that the equality in (3.9) holds, then by the maximum principle for harmonic functions the equality in (3.9) holds in the whole disk $\mathbb{D}$. This implies that there exists a real number $a \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ so that $(1-z)^{2} f^{\prime}(z) \equiv a, z \in \mathbb{D}$. This is satisfied only for the function

$$
f(z)=f_{0}(z)=b+\frac{a}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

where $b \in \mathbb{C}$. In this case we conclude at once that $f_{0}(\mathbb{D})$ is a half-plane with a straight line as the boundary parallel to the imaginary axis. Hence $f_{0} \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and, as is immediately apparent, $p_{\infty}\left(f_{0}(\mathbb{D})\right)=\widehat{f_{0}}(1)$. Observe also that in this case the function defined in (3.7) is constant on every arc $\gamma_{k}, k>0$, that is, every disk $\mathbb{O}_{k}$
is mapped onto the half-plane with a boundary straight line parallel to the imaginary axis.
2. Assume now that in (3.9) strong inequality holds. Since $f$ satisfying (3.9) is close-to-convex with respect to the convex function

$$
h(z)=\frac{-i z}{1-z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}
$$

$f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ ([5]).
Let us now consider again the function (3.7) defined on the analytic arcs $\gamma_{k}$ : $\partial \mathbb{O}_{k} \backslash\{1\}$ for each $k>0$, parametrized by (3.6). Repeating again the calculations (3.8) we see that the condition (3.9) implies

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta} \operatorname{Re} f(z(\theta))>0, \quad \theta \in(0,2 \pi)
$$

This means that every positively oriented arc $\gamma_{k}$ which is mapped by the function $f$ satisfying (3.9) onto the positively oriented arc $f\left(\gamma_{k}\right), k>0$, is the boundary of the domain $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right)$ convex in the direction of the negative imaginary half-axis. By Theorem 3.1, $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f(1)}$ which completes the proof of the theorem.

The following theorems are immediate consequences of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 by applying them to the function $f(z)=g\left(e^{-i \mu} z\right), z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $g \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$ and $p_{\infty}(g(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{g}(1)$.

THEOREM 3.4. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}\left(e^{i \mu}\right), \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{i \mu}\left(1-e^{-i \mu} z\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \geq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 3.5. If $f$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and (3.10) is true for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}\left(e^{i \mu}\right)$.

## 4. Convexity in the positive direction of the imaginary axis

The results presented in Section 3 can be applied at once to the functions called convex in the positive direction of the imaginary axis.

DEFINITION 4.1. A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}, \Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$, will be called convex in the positive direction of the imaginary axis if and only if the half-line $l[w, \pi / 2]$ is contained in $\mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ for every $w \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Omega$ or equivalently if the half-line $l[w, 3 \pi / 2]$ is contained in $\Omega$ for every $w \in \Omega$. The set of all such domains will be denoted by $\mathscr{Z}^{+}$.

DEFINITION 4.2. Let $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$denote the class of all analytic and univalent functions $f$ in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $f(\mathbb{D})$ is in $\mathscr{P}^{+}$. Functions in the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$will be called convex in the positive direction of the imaginary axis.

We can repeat exactly the construction as in Section 2 and find the prime end $p_{\infty}(\Omega)$ for every $\Omega \in \mathscr{Z}^{+}$.

Finally, in view of at Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 we can formulate the following theorems.

THEOREM 4.1. Let $f$ be an analytic and univalent function in $\mathbb{D}$. Then $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$ and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}(1)$, if and only if $f\left(\mathbb{O}_{k}\right) \in \mathscr{Z}^{+}$for every $k>0$.

THEOREM 4.2. If $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f( }\left(e^{i \mu}\right), \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left\{e^{i \mu}\left(1-e^{-i \mu} z\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z)\right\} \leq 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 4.3. If $f$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{D}$ and (4.1) is true for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in \mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$and $p_{\infty}(f(\mathbb{D}))=\widehat{f}\left(e^{i \mu}\right)$.

## 5. Remarks

The class of functions convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, denoted by $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$, was introduced by Robertson [7]. A function $f$, analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$, belongs to $\mathscr{C V}$ if and only if the domain $f(\mathbb{D})$ is convex in the direction of the imaginary axis, that is, $\left[w_{1}, w_{2}\right] \subset f(\mathbb{D})$ for every $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in $f(\mathbb{D})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} w_{1}=\operatorname{Re} w_{2}$. Robertson proposed an analytic condition to characterize the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$ and proved it under some additional assumptions on functions in $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$ connected with the regularity on the unit circle. In the papers [3] and [8] it was shown that the Robertson condition is correct for the whole class $\mathscr{C} V$.

In fact, the classes $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{+}$and $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}^{-}$are the subclasses of the class $\mathscr{C} \mathscr{V}$ distinguished by Hengartner and Schober [3], where also the analytic conditions (3.4) and (4.1) with $\mu=0$ were demonstrated.

We use the name convex in the negative or positive direction of the imaginary axis following Ciozda [2], where she studied the so-called class $L_{0}$ of functions convex in the direction of the negative real half-axis. To be precise, a function $f$ analytic and univalent in $\mathbb{D}$ is convex in the direction of the negative real half-axis if and only if for every $w \in f(\mathbb{D})$ the half-line $l[w, 0]$ is contained in $f(\mathbb{D})$.
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