## A COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM FOR RINGS AND GROUPS

BY W. K. NICHOLSON<sup>1</sup> AND ADIL YAQUB

ABSTRACT. The following theorem is proved: Suppose R is a ring with identity which satisfies the identities  $x^ky^k = y^kx^k$  and  $x^\ell y^\ell = y^\ell x^\ell$ , where k and  $\ell$  are positive relatively prime integers. Then R is commutative. This theorem also holds for a group G. Furthermore, examples are given which show that neither R nor G need be commutative if either of the above identities is dropped. The proof of the commutativity of R uses the fact that G is commutative, where G is taken to be the group  $R^*$  of units in R.

1. Groups. Throughout this section, G will denote a multiplicative group and, for x, y in G, we write

$$[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$$

to denote the commutator of x and y. The commutator subgroup and center of a group G will be denoted by G' and Z respectively. In preparation for the proof of the main theorem, we first note the following easily verified facts.

LEMMA 1. Let x and y be elements of a group G. If [x, y] commutes with x then

$$[x^n, y] = [x, y]^n$$

holds for all positive integers n.

LEMMA 2. If G is a group and G = AB where A and B are normal, abelian subgroups, then  $G' \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq Z$ .

The commutativity theorem for groups is the following:

THEOREM 1. Let G be a group such that, for all x, y in G

$$x^k y^k = y^k x^k$$
 and  $x^\ell y^\ell = y^\ell x^\ell$ ,

where k and  $\ell$  are fixed non-zero relatively prime integers. Then G is abelian.

**Proof.** Given an integer *m*, let  $A_m$  denote the (normal) subgroup of *G* generated by  $\{x^m \mid x \in G\}$ . Our hypotheses imply that both  $A_k$  and  $A_{\ell}$  are

Received by the editors September 16, 1978.

AMS 1970 Subject classification. Primary 16A70, 20F10; Secondary 16A38.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>(1)</sup> The research of this author was partially supported by NRC Grant A8075

abelian. Moreover the fact that k and  $\ell$  are relatively prime shows that  $G = A_k A_{\ell}$ . Thus  $G' \subseteq Z$  by Lemma 2. Combining this with Lemma 1, we have that

$$1 = [x^{k}, y^{k}] = [x, y^{k}]^{k} = [x, y]^{k^{2}}$$

for all x, y in G. Similarly  $[x, y]^{\ell^2} = 1$ . Since  $k^2$  and  $\ell^2$  are relatively prime, this implies [x, y] = 1, so G is abelian as required.

We remark that the result fails if one of the hypotheses is dropped as any non-abelian group of finite exponent shows.

2. **Rings.** Throughout this section, R will denote an associative ring with identity 1 and, for x, y in R, we now write

$$[x, y] = xy - yx$$

to denote the (additive) commutator of x and y. The following known result [1; p. 221] is the ring-theoretic analogue of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 3. If x, y are elements in a ring R such that [x, y] commutes with x, then

$$[x^{n}, y] = nx^{n-1}[x, y]$$

holds for all positive integers n.

There is no analogue in a general ring of the technique of cancelling elements in a group. However, the following lemma allows enough cancellation for our purposes.

LEMMA 4. Let R be a ring and let  $f: R \to R$  be a function such that f(x+1) = f(x) holds for all  $x \in R$ . If for some positive integer n,  $x^n f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , then necessarily f(x) = 0 for all x.

**Proof.** Clearly  $(x+1)^n f(x) = 0$  for all x so, multiplying on the left by  $x^{n-1}$  and expanding by the binomial theorem yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^{k+n-1} f(x) = 0.$$

Since  $x^n f(x) = 0$  the sum reduces to  $x^{n-1} f(x) = 0$ . The process continues until xf(x) = 0 whence f(x) = (x+1)f(x) = 0.

In our application of this lemma, f(x) will usually be of the form f(x) = [x, y]z where y and z do not depend upon x.

We shall now prove the following ring-theoretic version of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let R be an associative ring with identity 1, and suppose that for all x, y in R,

 $x^k y^k = y^k x^k$ , and  $x^\ell y^\ell = y^\ell x^\ell$ ,

where k and  $\ell$  are fixed relatively prime positive integers. Then R is commutative.

**Proof.** The argument will be broken into a series of partial results. Throughout the proof, J, Z,  $R^*$  will denote respectively the Jacobson radical, the center, and the group of units of R.

Claim 1.  $R^*$  is abelian and R/J is commutative.

**Proof.** By Theorem 1,  $R^*$  is abelian. Observe that the hypotheses are inherited by subrings and by homomorphic images of R. Also, note that no  $n \times n$  complete matrix ring over a division ring can satisfy our hypotheses if n > 1, since these imply that all the idempotents are in the center. It follows from Jacobson's Density Theorem [1; p. 33] that a primitive ring which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2 must be a division ring and hence is commutative, by Theorem 1. Since R/J is a subdirect sum of primitive rings, Claim 1 follows.

Claim 2. J is a commutative ring and  $J^2 \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $a \in J$ ,  $b \in J$ . Then 1+a and 1+b are units in R, and hence commute, by Claim 1. Thus ab = ba and J is commutative. Now, let  $y \in R$ . Then, for all a, b in J,

$$(ab)y = a(by) = (by)a = b(ya) = (ya)b = y(ab).$$

Hence  $J^2 \subseteq Z$ , and Claim 2 is proved.

Now, since k and  $\ell$  are relatively prime, assume  $rk - s\ell = 1$  where r and s are positive integers. If  $n = s\ell$  then rk = n + 1 and we have

$$x^{n}y^{n} = y^{n}x^{n}, \quad x^{n+1}y^{n+1} = y^{n+1}x^{n+1}$$

for all x, y in R. We may assume n > 1.

Claim 3.  $n[a, y^n] = 0 = (n+1)[a, y^{n+1}]$  for all  $a \in J, y \in R$ .

**Proof.**  $[a, y^n] \in J$  by Claim 1 and so commutes with u = 1 + a by Claim 2. Hence  $nu^{n-1}[u, y^n] = [u^n, y^n] = 0$  by Lemma 3 and so  $0 = n[1+a, y^n] = n[a, y^n]$ . The same argument works for n+1 so Claim 3 is established.

Claim 4.  $[a, y^{n+1}] = 0$  for all  $a \in J$ ,  $y \in R$ .

**Proof.** Since  $J^2 \subseteq Z$  by Claim 2, the only terms in the expansion of  $(y+a)^{n+1}$  which do not commute with  $y^{n+1}$  are those involving *a* exactly once. Hence

(\*) 
$$0 = [(y+a)^{n+1}, y^{n+1}] = [y^n a + y^{n-1} a y + \dots + y a y^{n-1} + a y^n, y^{n+1}].$$

Now  $nay^n = ny^n a$  by Claim 3 and hence

$$n(y^{n}a + y^{n-1}ay + \dots + ay^{n})y^{n+1} = n(y^{2n}ay + \dots + y^{n+1}ay^{n}) + nay^{2n+1},$$
  
$$ny^{n+1}(y^{n}a + y^{n-1}ay + \dots + ay^{n}) = ny^{2n+1}a + n(y^{2n}ay + \dots + y^{n+1}ay^{n}).$$

Since these are equal by (\*) we obtain (using  $n[a, y^n] = 0$ )

$$0 = n(ay^{2n+1} - y^{2n+1}a) = ny^{2n}[a, y].$$

Hence n[a, y]=0 by Lemma 4. But  $(n+1)[a, y^{n+1}]=0$  by Claim 3 so

$$0 = n[a, y^{n+1}] + [a, y^{n+1}] = [a, y^{n+1}].$$

This proves Claim 4.

Claim 5.  $J \subseteq Z$ .

**Proof.** As in the proof of Claim 4 we obtain, for  $a \in J$ ,  $y \in R$ :

$$(**) \quad 0 = [(y+a)^n, y^n] = [y^{n-1}a + y^{n-2}ay + \dots + yay^{n-2} + ay^{n-1}, y^n].$$

We have  $ay^{n+1} = y^{n+1}a$  by Claim 4 so

$$(y^{n-1}a + y^{n-2}ay + \dots + yay^{n-2} + ay^{n-1})y^{n}$$
  
=  $y^{n-1}ay^{n} + (y^{2n-1}a + y^{2n-2}ay + \dots + y^{n+1}ay^{n-2})$   
 $y^{n}(y^{n-1}a + y^{n-2}ay + \dots + yay^{n-2} + ay^{n-1})$   
=  $(y^{2n-1}a + y^{2n-2}ay + \dots + y^{n+1}ay^{n-2}) + y^{n}ay^{n-1}.$ 

Since these expressions are equal by (\*\*), it follows that  $y^{n-1}ay^n = y^nay^{n-1}$ . Multiply by y on left and right and use Claim 4 to obtain  $y^{2n+1}a = ay^{2n+1}$ . On the other hand, a commutes with  $y^{2n+2}$ , again by Claim 4. Combining these facts we obtain

$$0 = ay^{2n+2} - y^{2n+2}a = y^{2n+1}[a, y]$$

for all  $y \in R$ ,  $a \in J$ . Hence [a, y] = 0 by Lemma 4 and it follows that  $J \subseteq Z$ . This proves Claim 5.

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 2. Choose x, y in R. Since all commutators lie in Z by Claims 1 and 5, we have  $0 = [x^n, y^n] = nx^{n-1}[x, y^n]$  by Lemma 3. Thus  $n[x, y^n] = 0$  by Lemma 4, and so  $0 = n^2y^{n-1}[x, y]$ , again by Lemma 3. A final application of Lemma 4 yields  $n^2[x, y] = 0$ . Similarly  $(n+1)^2[x, y] = 0$ , so [x, y] = 0.

EXAMPLE. Given an integer k > 1, choose any prime p dividing k. Let  $R_k$  denote the ring of all  $3 \times 3$  upper-triangular matrices over GF(p) with equal entries on the main diagonal. Then  $R_k$  is non-commutative but  $x^k y^k = y^k x^k$  holds for all x, y in  $R_k$ . Thus Theorem 2 is not true if one of the hypotheses is dropped.

https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1979-055-9 Published online by Cambridge University Press

## COMMUTATIVITY THEOREM

Reference

1. N. Jacobson, Structure of rings, A.M.S. Colloq. Publ., 37 (1964).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS THE UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY CALGARY, ALBERTA T2N 1N4 and DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNA 93106

1979]

3