

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS AND AN ANALOG OF THE PALEY-WIENER THEOREM FOR LINEAR SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS

KENNETH D. JOHNSON

§ 1. Introduction

Let G be a noncompact linear semisimple Lie group. Fix $G = KAN$ an Iwasawa decomposition of G . That is, K is a maximal compact subgroup of G , A is a vector subgroup with AdA consisting of semisimple transformations and A normalizes N , a simply connected nilpotent subgroup of G . Let M' denote the normalizer of A in K , M the centralizer of A in K , and $W = M'/M$ the restricted Weyl group of G . Fix θ a Cartan involution of G which leaves every element of K fixed and set $\bar{N} = \theta N$. We denote the Lie algebras of G, K, A, N, \bar{N} , and M respectively by $\mathfrak{G}, \mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{N}, \bar{\mathfrak{N}}$, and \mathfrak{M} respectively.

For $g \in G$ set $g = K(g) \exp H(g) n(g)$ where $K(g) \in K$, $H(g) \in \mathfrak{A}$, and $n(g) \in N$ and $\exp|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is an isomorphism from \mathfrak{A} to A with inverse \log . Recall that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ is called a root if $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda} = \{X \in \mathfrak{G} : [H, X] = \lambda(H)X \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{A}\} \neq \{0\}$ and λ is a positive root if $\mathfrak{G}_{\lambda} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}$. Let P denote the set of all positive roots and let L be the semilattice of all elements of \mathfrak{A}^* of the form $\sum_{\lambda \in P} c_{\lambda} \lambda$ and c_{λ} is a nonnegative integer.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and let K act on V via the double representation τ . That is, for $v \in V$ and $k_1, k_2 \in K$

$$\tau(k_1, k_2): v \longrightarrow \tau(k_1) \cdot v \cdot \tau(k_2)^{-1}.$$

Consider the C^{∞} functions $f: G \rightarrow V$ for which $f(k_1 g k_2) = \tau(k_1) f(g) \tau(k_2)$ ($k_1, k_2 \in K$). We denote these functions by $C^{\infty}(G, \tau)$ and we denote the C^{∞} -functions with compact support by $C_c^{\infty}(G, \tau)$ and the Schwartz functions in $C^{\infty}(G, \tau)$ by $\mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$.

Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ and for $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_{\mathcal{C}}^*$ $m \in M$ set

Received June 16, 1975.

$$g_f(\nu)(m) = \int_A da \int_N f(man) e^{(\rho - i\nu)(\log a)} dn$$

where for $H \in \mathfrak{A}$ $\rho(H) = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr } adH|_{\mathfrak{R}}$ and for $\omega \in \hat{M}$, set

$$\psi_f(\omega : \nu) = \int_M \chi_\omega(m') g_f(\nu)(m') dm' .$$

Now $\psi_f(\omega : \nu) \in V^M$ where $V^M = \{v \in V : \tau(m)v = v\tau(m) \text{ for all } m \in M\}$ and in fact $\psi_f(\omega : \nu) \in V^M(\omega)$ where $V^M(\omega) = E_\omega(V^M)$ and

$$E_\omega(v) = d_\omega \int_M \overline{\chi_\omega(m)} \tau(m) v dm .$$

In general for $A \in V^M$ we define the Eisenstein integral of Harish-Chandra by setting

$$E(A : \nu : x) = \int_K \tau(K(xk)) \circ A \circ \tau(k)^{-1} e^{(i\nu - \rho)(H(xk))} dk .$$

Remark. Our notation for the Eisenstein integral differs slightly from Harish-Chandra's Eisenstein integral only in that we shall have no need to specify the parabolic subgroup $P = MAN$ which defines the integral.

Part of the Plancherel formula of Harish-Chandra [6], [7] tells us that for $f \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ there is a function $f_A \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ where

$$f_A(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{A}^*} E(\psi_f(\omega : \nu) : \nu : x) \mu(\omega : \nu) d\nu$$

and $F = f - f_A \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ with

$$\int_N F(gn) dn \equiv 0$$

where N is the unipotent radical of $P = MAN$. Moreover, the function $\mu : \hat{M} \times \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\nu \rightarrow \mu(\omega : \nu)$ is meromorphic on $\mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{C}^*(\omega \in \hat{M})$;
- 2) $\nu \rightarrow \mu(\omega : \nu)$ is analytic and ≥ 0 on $\mathfrak{A}^*(\omega \in \hat{M})$; and,
- 3) For $s \in W$ $\mu(s\omega : s\nu) = \mu(\omega : \nu)$.

In the following we will say that a function $F \in \mathcal{C}(G, \tau)$ is a quasi-cusp form if

$$\int_N F(gn) dn \equiv 0 .$$

We denote the space of quasi-cusp forms by $\mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$.

The main result of this paper (Theorem 3.1) gives a weak analog of the classical Paley-Wiener theorem in characterizing the support of a function $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ in terms of growth conditions on the “Fourier-Laplace transform” $\psi_f(\omega : \nu)$.

We first state some results concerning some estimates which we shall need in the proof of the Paley-Wiener theorem.

In Section 3 we prove our result which contains a rather ambiguous residue function which we treat somewhat further in Section 4. In Section 5 we apply our results to the study of some partial differential operators on G .

§ 2. Some estimates.

Let V be as in section one, let $A \in V^M$ and consider the Eisenstein integral $E(A : \nu : x)$. Let $\mathfrak{X}^+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{X} : \lambda(H) > 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in P\}$ and set $A^+ = \exp \mathfrak{X}^+$. Harish-Chandra in Warner [16] has given a useful expansion of $E(A : \nu : a)$ for $a \in A^+$ which we now describe.

For $a \in A^+$ and $s \in W$ there exist functions $c : W \times \mathfrak{X}_c^* \rightarrow \text{End } V^M$ and $\Phi_s : A \times \mathfrak{X}_c^* \rightarrow \text{End } V^M$ such that $E(A : \nu : a) = \sum_{s \in W} \Phi_s(a : \nu)(c(s : \nu)(A))$. Furthermore, we have that

$$\Phi_s(a : \nu) = \sum_{\mu \in L} \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho)e^{(is\nu - \rho - \mu)(\log a)}$$

where for $\mu \in L$ $\nu \rightarrow \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho)$ is a rational function with image in $\text{End}(V^M)$. Here $\Gamma_0 = I$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ there is an $H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}$ such that $\lambda(H) = B(H, H_\lambda)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ where B is the Killing form of \mathfrak{G} . For $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}_c^*$ write $-i\nu = \xi + i\eta$ when $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. For $H_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ set $T(H_0) = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{X}_c^* : H_\xi \in H_0 + \mathfrak{X}^+\}$. The Γ_μ 's now satisfy the following

LEMMA 2.1 (Lemma 2.3 [13]). *Fix $H_0 \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $H_1 \in \mathfrak{X}^+$. Then there is a polynomial $p_{H_0}(\nu)$ and a polynomial $K(\nu) > 0$ depending on p_{H_0}, H_0 and H_1 such that*

$$\|p_{H_0}(\nu)\Gamma_\mu(i\nu - \rho)\| \leq Ke^{\mu(H_1)} .$$

For the proof of this lemma we refer to [13]. We now need some estimates on the functions $c(s : \nu)$.

We say that for $a \in A^+$ $a \rightarrow \infty$ if $\|\log a\| = B(\log a, \log a)^{1/2} \rightarrow \infty$ and

there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\lambda \in P$ $\lambda(\log a) \geq \varepsilon \|\log a\|$. Then from Harish-Chandra [6],[7] we have for $A \in V^M$ and $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ that

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} (e^{\rho(\log a)} E(A : \nu : a) - \sum_{s \in W} c(s : \nu)(A) e^{is\nu(\log a)}) = 0 .$$

Again from Harish-Chandra [6],[7] we have that the map $\nu \rightarrow c(s : \nu) \in \text{End}(V^M)$ is meromorphic and hence we see that if $\text{Re } i\nu(\log a) > 0$ for all $a \in A^+$

$$\log_{a \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho - i\nu)(\log a)} E(A : \nu : a) = c(1 : \nu)(A) .$$

Hence for $\text{Re } i\nu(\log a) > 0$ and all $a \in A^+$ we obtain

$$c(1 : \nu) = \int_{\bar{N}} A \circ \tau(K(\bar{n}))^{-1} e^{-(i\nu + \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} .$$

More generally we obtain that if $\text{Re } is\nu(\log a) > 0$ for all $a \in A^+$ and $s \in W$

$$\log_{a \rightarrow \infty} e^{(\rho - is\nu)(\log a)} E(A : \nu : a) = c(s : \nu)(A)$$

and in this case an elementary calculation yields

$$c(s : \nu)(A) = \tau(w) j_s^-(\nu) \circ A \circ j_s^+(\nu) \tau(w)^{-1} \quad (w \in s)$$

where

$$j_s^+(\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}_1} e^{-(i\nu + \rho)H(\bar{n})} \tau(K(\bar{n}))^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

and

$$j_s^-(\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}_2} e^{(i\nu - \rho)H(\bar{n})} \tau(K(\bar{n})) d\bar{n}$$

with $\bar{N}_1 = \{\bar{n} \in \bar{N} : w\bar{n}w^{-1} \in \bar{N}\}$ and $\bar{N}_2 = \{\bar{n} \in \bar{N} : w\bar{n}w^{-1} \in N\}$.

We wish to apply estimates of the form found in Lemma 3.1 of [13]. To do so we first need a product formula for the functions $j_s^+(\nu)$ and $j_s^-(\nu)$ which may be attributed to Gindikin and Karpelevic [4] and Schiffmann [15]. A more general product formula has been obtained by Harish-Chandra [7].

Let $P_s^+ = \{\alpha \in P : s^{-1}\alpha > 0\}$ and $P_s^- = \{\alpha \in P : s^{-1}\alpha < 0\}$. Then

$$\bar{\mathfrak{N}}_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in P_s^+} \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mathfrak{N}}_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in P_s^-} \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha}$$

and for $\alpha \in P$ where $\alpha/2 \in P$ let $\mathfrak{N}_\alpha = \mathfrak{G}_{-\alpha} + \mathfrak{G}_{-2\alpha}$. If $\alpha \in P_s^+$ set

$$j_{\alpha}^{+}(\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}_{\alpha}} e^{-(i\nu+\rho)(H(\bar{n}))} \tau(K(\bar{n}))^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

and if $\alpha \in P_s^{-}$ set

$$j_{\alpha}^{-}(\nu) = \int_{\bar{N}_{\alpha}} e^{(i\nu-\rho)H(\bar{n})} \tau(K(\bar{n})) d\bar{n} .$$

If $|P_s^{+}| = k$ and $|P_s^{-}| = \ell$ we may put an ordering on P_s^{+} where $P_s^{+} = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ on an ordering on P_s^{-} where $P_s^{-} = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell}\}$ where $\alpha_i \leq \alpha_{i+1}$ and $\lambda_i \leq \lambda_{i+1}$ such that $j_s^{+}(\nu) = j_{\alpha_k}^{+}(\nu) \cdots j_{\alpha_1}^{+}(\nu)$ and $j_s^{-}(\nu) = j_{\lambda_{\ell}}^{-}(\nu) \cdots j_{\lambda_1}^{-}(\nu)$. The proof of this fact follows immediately from Gindikin-Karpelevic [4] or more precisely from the proof of their main theorem. From Lemma 3.2 of [13] we have the following lemma

LEMMA 2.2. *Given $\delta > 0$ there is an $R > 0$ and an integer $N > 0$ such that if $|\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle| > R$ and $|\arg \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle + \pi/2| \geq \delta$ for $\alpha \in P_s^{+}$ the matrix entries of $j_{\alpha}^{+}(\nu)^{-1}$ are bounded in absolute value by $|\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle|^{-N}$. Hence there is an $R_1 > 0$ and an $N_1 > 0$ for which the matrix entries of $j_s^{+}(\nu)^{-1}$ are bounded in absolute value by $\pi_{\alpha \in P_s^{+}} |\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle|^{N_1}$ if $|\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle| > R$, and $|\arg \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle + \pi/2| \geq \delta$ for $\alpha \in P_s^{+}$. (Here $|\arg z| \leq \pi$.) Furthermore there is an $R' > 0$ and an integer $N' > 0$ for which the matrix entries of $j_s^{-}(\nu)^{-1}$ are bounded in absolute value by $\pi_{\alpha \in P_s^{-}} |\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle|^{N'}$ if $|\langle \nu, \alpha \rangle| > R'$ and $|\arg \langle \nu, \alpha \rangle - \pi/2| \geq \delta$ for $\alpha \in P_s^{-}$.*

Using the inner product on V^M we now compute the adjoint of $c(s: \nu)$ for $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$. Fixing $w \in s$ as before and letting $B \in \text{End } V^M$, we see that

$$c(s: \nu)^*(B) = (j_s^{-}(\nu))^* \tau(w)^{-1} B \cdot \tau(w) (j_s^{+}(\nu))^* .$$

Moreover, we see that $(j_s^{-}(\nu))^*$ is the limit of operators of the form

$$\int_{\bar{N}_2} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(\bar{n})} \tau(K(\bar{n}))^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow \nu$ ($\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$) and $(j_s^{+}(\nu))^*$ is the limit of operators of the form

$$\int_{\bar{N}_1} e^{(i\lambda-\rho)(H(\bar{n}))} \tau(K(\bar{n})) d\bar{n}$$

where $\lambda \rightarrow \nu$ ($\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$).

We now compute the adjoint of $c(s: \nu)$ for $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$. For $w \in s$ and $B \in V^M$ we see that

$$c(s : \nu)^*(B) = j_s^-(\nu)^* \circ \tau(w)^{-1} \circ B \circ \tau(w) \circ j_s^+(\nu)^* .$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}_c^*$ let

$$J_s^-(\lambda) = \int_{\bar{N}_2} e^{-(i\lambda + \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} \tau(K(\bar{n}))^{-1} d\bar{n}$$

and

$$J_s^+(\lambda) = \int_{\bar{N}_1} e^{(i\lambda - \rho)(H(\bar{n}))} \tau(K(\bar{n})) d\bar{n}$$

and denote their meromorphic continuations by the same symbols. Then $(j_s^-(\nu))^* = J_s^-(\nu)$ and $(j_s^+(\nu))^* = J_s^+(\nu)$. Letting $\tilde{C}(s : \lambda)(B) = J_s^-(\lambda)\tau(w)^{-1}B\tau(w) \times J_s^+(\lambda)$ we see that the function $\lambda \rightarrow \tilde{C}(s : \lambda)$ is defined meromorphically and for $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}^*$ $\tilde{C}(s : \nu) = c(s : \nu)^*$. It is a trivial fact to see that $J_s^-(\nu) = j_{\lambda_1}^+(\nu) \cdots j_{\lambda_\ell}^+(\nu)$ and $J_s^+(\nu) = j_{\alpha_1}^-(\nu) \cdots j_{\alpha_k}^-(\nu)$ where the α_i and λ_j are as before.

We conclude this section with the following observation. Suppose f is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C}^n and suppose that f satisfies the following estimate. There are constants C and $A > 0$ and an integer $N > n$ for which

$$|f(\bar{z})| \leq C(1 + \|\bar{z}\|)^{-N} e^{A\|\text{Im } \bar{z}\|}$$

where $\|\bar{z}\| = (\langle \bar{z}, \bar{z} \rangle)^{1/2}$ and for $\bar{z} = \bar{x} + i\bar{y}$ with $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $\text{Im } \bar{z} = \bar{y}$.

Suppose $m > 0$ is an integer and let $c_1, \dots, c_n, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. We assume that $\{\bar{z} : c_1 z_1 + \dots + c_n z_n - \lambda = 0\} \cap \mathbb{R}^n = \emptyset$. Let $g(\bar{z}) = (\bar{c} \cdot \bar{z} - \lambda)^{-m} f(\bar{z})$ where $\bar{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n)$. Then the following formula holds.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x_1 + iy, x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ & \quad - 2\pi i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{Res}_z \left(g(z, x_2, \dots, x_n), \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \frac{\lambda - c_2 x_2 - \dots - c_n x_n}{c_1} \right) dx_2 \cdots dx_n \end{aligned}$$

The above observation is useful since the singularities of the function $\nu \rightarrow \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho)$ ($\mu \in L$) and $\nu \rightarrow c(s : \nu)^{-1}$ have their singularities on hyperplanes and are meromorphic with polynomial growth.

§ 3. A Paley-Wiener theorem

We now describe our analog of the classical Paley-Wiener theorem.

We suppose first that $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ and $f(g) = 0$ for $\sigma(g) > A$ where if $g = k_1 a k_2$ with $k_1, k_2 \in K$ and $a \in A$ $\sigma(g) = (B(\log a, \log a))^{1/2}$ or we say $f \in C_A^\infty(G, \tau)$. Observe that the map $\nu \rightarrow \psi_f(\omega : \nu)$ is holomorphic and satisfies

(1) For $N > 0$ an integer there is a constant C_N such that

$$\|\psi_f(\omega : \nu)\| \leq C_N(1 + \|\nu\|)^{-N} e^{A\|\text{Im } \nu\|} .$$

(2) For $s \in W$ we have

$$c(s : \nu)(\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) = c(1 : s\nu)(\psi_f(s\omega : s\nu)) .$$

We now derive a third condition which is satisfied by the function $\nu \rightarrow \psi_f(\omega : \nu)$ for $\omega \subset \tau_{1M}$. We have that

$$f_A(g) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}^*} E(\psi_f(\omega : \nu) : \nu : g) \mu(\omega : \nu) d\nu .$$

Moreover, picking an $\eta \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ with $\|\eta\|$ small and with no $\nu \rightarrow \Gamma_\mu(is\nu + i\eta) - \rho$ ($\mu \in L$) having a singularity for any $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ we have

$$f_A(g) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}^*} E(\psi_f(\omega : \nu + i\eta) : \nu + i\eta : g) \mu(\omega : \nu + i\eta) d\nu$$

and by Lemma 2.1 we have for $a \in A^+$

$$f_A(a) = \sum_{s \in W} \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \sum_{\mu \in L} \int_{\mathfrak{X}^* + i\eta} \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho) c(s : \nu)(\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) \mu(\omega : \nu) e^{(is\nu - \rho - \mu)(\log a)} d\nu$$

The Maass-Selberg relations of Harish-Chandra [6], [7] state that

$$\|c(s : \nu)(\psi_f(\omega : \nu))\|^2 = \|\tilde{C}(s : \nu)(\psi_f(\omega : \nu))\|^2 = \mu(\omega : \nu)^{-1} d_\omega \|\psi_f(\omega : \nu)\|^2$$

for $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}^*$. Hence we have $\mu(\omega : \nu)^{-1} d_\omega = c(s : \nu) \tilde{C}(s : \nu)_{1_{\mathcal{V}M(\omega)}}$. Thus,

$$\mu(\omega : \nu) c(s : \nu)(\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) = d_\omega \tilde{C}(s : \nu)^{-1}(\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) .$$

For $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $s \in W$ consider the tube $T(s, H) = \{\nu \in \mathfrak{X}_c^* : -H_{\text{Im } s\nu} \in \mathfrak{X}^+ + H\}$. Then the following lemma now follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2

LEMMA 3.1. *Given $H_\eta \in \mathfrak{X}$ and $s \in W$ there are a finite number of hyperplanes F_1, \dots, F_r in \mathfrak{X}_c^* which intersect $T(s, H_\eta)$ and for which the functions $\nu \rightarrow \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho)$ ($\mu \in L$) and $\nu \rightarrow \tilde{C}(s : \nu)^{-1}$ are analytic on $T(s, H) \sim (F_1 \cup \dots \cup F_r)$. Furthermore, there is a $C > 0$ such that $\{\nu : -\langle \text{Im } \nu, \alpha \rangle > C$ for all $\alpha \in P\}$ $T(s, H) \cap F_i = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

Now setting for $s \in W$ and $a \in A^+$,

$$f_{A,s}(a) = \sum_{\mu \in \hat{M}} \sum_{\mu \in L} d_\omega \int_{\mathfrak{R}^* + i\gamma} \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho) \tilde{C}(s : \nu)^{-1} (\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) d\nu .$$

Using our remarks at the end of Section 2, we see that $f_{A,s}(a) = \text{Res}_s(f)(a) + f_{\varepsilon,s}(a)$ where $\text{Res}_s(f)(a)$ is a residue integral over the imaginary part of the hyperplanes F_1, \dots, F_r and

$$f_{\varepsilon,s}(a) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \sum_{\mu \in L} \int_{\text{Im } \nu = \lambda} \Gamma_\mu(is\nu - \rho) \tilde{C}(s : \nu)^{-1} (\psi_f(\omega : \nu)) e^{(is\nu - \rho - \mu)(\log a)} d\nu$$

with $-H_\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}^+$ and $\|\lambda\| > C$. By the standard method used in the classical Paley-Wiener theorem we see that $f_{\varepsilon,s}(a) = 0$ if $\sigma(a) > A$. Letting $\text{Res}(f) = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} \text{Res}_s(f)$ and $f_\varepsilon = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{w}} f_{\varepsilon,s}$ and using the Plancherel formula we now see that there is an $F \in \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ such that

$$(3) \quad f = f_\varepsilon + \text{Res}(f) + F$$

and $\text{Res} f(a) + F(a) = 0$ for $a \in A^+$ with $\sigma(a) > A$.

Now for $A > 0$ let $\mathcal{P}(A, \tau)$ be the space of all functions $F: \hat{M} \times \mathfrak{A}_\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow V$ such that $F(\omega : \nu) \equiv 0$ if $\omega \not\in \tau_{1M}$ and F satisfies the following conditions.

- I) $\nu_N(F) = \sup_{\omega, \nu} (1 + \|\nu\|)^N e^{-A|\text{Im } \nu|} \|F(\omega : \nu)\| < \infty$
- II) $c(s : \nu)(F(\omega : \nu)) = c(1 : s\nu)(F(s\omega : s\nu))$
- III) The function

$$f(g) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^*} E(F(\omega : \nu) : \nu : g) \mu(\omega : \nu) d\nu$$

differs from a function in $C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ by a function H in $\mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$. Moreover, for g regular $f(g) = \text{Res} f(g) + f_\varepsilon(g)$ with $f_\varepsilon(g) = 0$ for $V(g) > A$.

THEOREM 3.1. *A function $f \in C^\infty(G, \tau)$ is in $C_A^\infty(G, \tau) + \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ if and only if its Fourier-Laplace transform is in $\mathcal{P}(A, \tau)$.*

Proof. It is clear that if $f \in C_A^\infty(G, \tau) + \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ its Fourier-Laplace transform is in $\mathcal{P}(A, \tau)$.

Suppose $0 \neq F \in \mathcal{P}(A, \tau)$. By Theorem 3.1 of Arthur [1] we have that

$$f(g) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{R}^*} E(F(\omega : \nu) : \nu : g) \mu(\omega : \nu) d\nu \neq 0 .$$

By Lemma 2.2 of [13] we have that $f \notin \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$. By assumption there is an $H \in \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ for which $f-H \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$. However our arguments in obtaining 3) guarantee that $0 \neq f - H \in C_A^\infty(G, \tau)$. This completes our proof.

COROLLARY 1. *A function $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ is in $C_A^\infty(G, \tau)$ if and only if for every integer $N > 0$ there is a $C_N > 0$ such that*

$$\|\psi_f(\omega : \nu)\| \leq C_N(1 + \|\nu\|)^{-N} e^{A \|\text{Im } \nu\|}.$$

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$ be the union of all $\mathcal{P}(A, \tau)$. Then a function $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ is in $C_c^\infty(G, \tau) + \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ if and only if its Fourier-Laplace transform is in $\mathcal{P}(\tau)$.*

§ 4. The function Res f

We inject here a few remarks concerning the function Res f where $f \in C_A^\infty(G, \tau)$. Although we have strong reason to believe that Res f extends to a function in $\mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ and thus f_s extends to a function in $C_A^\infty(G, \tau)$ we can only establish this for some special cases which we describe in this section. We first give a more detailed description of Res f .

Let P denote the set of positive restricted roots and let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ be the simple restricted roots in P . Let $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\} = \Delta^\vee$ be dual to Δ (i.e. $2\langle \lambda_i, \alpha_j \rangle / \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$). For $F \subset \Delta$ let ${}^\circ F = \Delta \sim F$ and let $\backslash F \subset \Delta$ be dual to F and ${}^\circ \backslash F$ dual to ${}^\circ F$. Let $\mathfrak{X}(F)$ ($\mathfrak{X}({}^\circ F)$) be the linear span of $\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in F\}$ ($\{H_\alpha : \alpha \in {}^\circ F\}$) and set $A(F) = \exp \mathfrak{X}(F)$ ($A({}^\circ F) = \exp \mathfrak{X}({}^\circ F)$). Observe that if $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ $H = H_1 + H_2$ where $H_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(F)$ and $H_2 \in \mathfrak{X}({}^\circ F)$ and this decomposition is unique. Furthermore, if $H \in \mathfrak{X}^+$ $H = H_1 + H_2$ where $H_1 \in \mathfrak{X}(F)^+ = \{H \in \mathfrak{X}(F) : \alpha(H) > 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \in F\}$ and $H_2 = \sum c_i H_i$ where the sum is over ${}^\circ \backslash F$ and each $c_i > 0$. (It is easy to see that the converse holds only when $F = \Delta$ or $F = \emptyset$). Now for $a \in A^+$ we set $a = a_1 a_2$ where $H = \log a$ and $a_i = \exp H_i$ as above.

Continuing our integration process described at the end of Section 2 and allowing F to vary we see that the function Res f is a finite sum of functions of the form

$$\tilde{\eta}_\nu(a) = \tilde{\eta}_\nu(a_1, a_2) = \sum_{\mu \in L} \eta_{\nu-\mu}(a_1) e^{(i\nu-\rho-\mu)(\log a_2)}$$

where $\eta_{\nu-\mu}(a_1) \in \text{End}(V^M)$, $-H_{\text{Im } \nu} \in \mathfrak{X}^+$, L is the semilattice described in Section 2, the series converges absolutely for $a \in A^+$ and $\tilde{\eta}_\nu(a) = 0$ for $\sigma(a_1) > A$ as do all $\eta_{\nu-\mu}$'s.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of this expansion.

LEMMA 4.1. *If Res $f(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A^+$ with $\sigma(a) > C$ then Res $f = 0$.*

THEOREM 4.1. *If G has split rank one $\text{Res } f$ extends to a (quasi) cusp form. If G has only one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroup $\text{Res } f = 0$.*

Proof. The case where G has split rank one has been treated in [13] and the case where G has only one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroup follows from Lemma 4.1.

COROLLARY. *Suppose G has split rank one or has only one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroup. Then if $f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ $f = f_*$.*

§ 5. Applications to differential equations

Let $U(\mathfrak{G})$ be the complexified enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{G} and let $U(\mathfrak{G})^{\mathfrak{K}}$ be the centralizer of \mathfrak{K} in $U(\mathfrak{G})$. If $f \in C^\infty(G)$ and $X \in \mathfrak{G}$ set $Xf(g) = (d/dt)f(\exp -tXg)|_{t=0}$ and extend this action to all of $U(\mathfrak{G})$. Let $\mathcal{E}'(G)$ denote the distributions with compact support.

In [14] a sufficient condition for $D \in U(\mathfrak{G})^{\mathfrak{K}}$ to be injective as an operator $D: \mathcal{E}'(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(G)$ was established. In this section we prove the converse of this result. We first recall the definition of the principal series.

Let $\omega: M \rightarrow Gl(H)$ be an irreducible unitary representation of M and let $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}_c^*$. ω and ν define a representation $V_{\omega, \nu}$ of the group $MAN = B$ on H by setting $V_{\omega, \nu}(man) = e^{(\nu + \rho)(\log a)}\omega(m)$ ($m \in M, a \in A, n \in N$). Now let $H^{\omega, \nu}$ be the set of all measurable functions $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that:

- 1) $f(gp) = V_{\omega, \nu}(p)^{-1}f(g)$ ($g \in G, p \in B$); and,
- 2) $\int_K \|f(k)\|^2 dk = \|f\|^2 < \infty$.

Now $H^{\omega, \nu}$ becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$(u, v) = \int_K (u(k), v(k))dk$$

and left translation induces a representation $\pi_{\omega, \nu}$ of G on $H^{\omega, \nu}$ and we call the pairs $(\pi_{\omega, \nu}, H^{\omega, \nu})$ the principal series of G . Let $K^{\omega, \nu}$ denote the K -finite vectors of $H^{\omega, \nu}$. Observe that $\pi_{\omega, \nu}$ induces a representation of $U(\mathfrak{G})$ on $X^{\omega, \nu}$ and that as a K -module $X^{\omega, \nu}$ is isomorphic to the space $X(\omega) = \{u: K \rightarrow H: u \text{ is left } K\text{-finite and } u(km) = \omega(m)^{-1}u(k) \text{ for all } k \in K, m \in M\}$. We abuse notation and identify $X^{\omega, \nu}$ with $X(\omega)$.

We now restate Lemma 3.1 of [14]. (Injectivity criterion) Suppose

$D \in U(\mathbb{G})^*$. Suppose for no $\omega \in \hat{M}$ is there a finite dimensional subspace $U \subseteq X(\omega)$ such that $\pi_{\omega,\nu}(D): U \rightarrow U$ and $\det \pi_{\omega,\nu}(D)|_U = 0$ for all ν . Then $D: \mathcal{E}'(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(G)$ is injective.

Observe that $\pi_{\omega,\nu}$ defines a linear map

$$\pi_{\omega,\nu}: C_c^\infty(G, \tau) \longrightarrow L(H^{\omega,\nu}, V \otimes H^{\omega,\nu})$$

by setting

$$\pi_{\omega,\nu}(f)u = \int_G f(x)\pi_{\omega,\nu}(x)u dx \quad (f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau), u \in H^{\omega,\nu}).$$

If we set $\theta_{\omega,\nu}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^d (\pi_{\omega,\nu}(f)u_i, u_i)$ where $\{u_i: i \geq 1\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $H_{\omega,\nu}$ we obtain by a simple calculation that $\theta_{\omega,-\nu}(\ell(x)^{-1}f) = E(\psi_f(\omega: \nu): \nu: x)$ where $\ell(x)$ ($r(x)$) denotes left (right) translation by x . (Although the Eisenstein integral may be obtained from a distribution on G our treatment here is useful in the study of differential equations.)

We may now select u_1, \dots, u_d an orthonormal set of vectors in $H^{\omega,-\nu}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\omega,-\nu}(\ell(x)^{-1}Df) &= \theta_{\omega,-\nu}(r(x)Df) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d (\pi_{\omega,-\nu}(D)\pi_{\omega,-\nu}(r(x)f)u_i, u_i) \end{aligned}$$

where for $h \in C_c^\infty(G)$

$$(\pi_{\omega,-\nu}(h)u_i, u_i) = \int_G h(x)(\pi_{\omega,-\nu}(x)u_i, u_i)dx.$$

We now prove the converse of the injectivity criterion.

Suppose that $D \in U(\mathbb{G})^*$ and for $\omega_0 \in \hat{M}$ we have a finite dimensional K -invariant subspace $U \subseteq X(\omega_0)$ such that $\pi_{\omega_0,\nu}(D): U \rightarrow U$ and $\det \pi_{\omega_0,\nu}(D)|_U = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathfrak{X}_G^*$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\pi_{\omega_0,\nu}(D) \equiv 0$ on U . Let τ be the representation of K on U and let $V = \text{End } U$ and extend τ to a double representation of K on V .

Now let $F: \hat{M} \times \mathfrak{X}_G^* \rightarrow V^M$ be such that $F(\omega: \nu) = 0$ if $\omega \neq s\omega_0$ for some $s \in W$. Suppose also that F satisfies conditions I, II and III of Section 3. Set

$$f(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{X}^*} E(F(\omega: \nu): \nu: x)\mu(\omega: \nu)dy.$$

There is an $H \in \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau)$ such that $f + H \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$. Also a simple

calculation yields

$$Df(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \hat{M}} \int_{\mathfrak{A}^*} E(\pi_{\omega, -\nu}(D) \circ F(\omega : \nu) : \nu : x) u(\omega : \nu) d\nu$$

and thus $Df = 0$ and if $G = f + H$ we see that $DG \in \mathcal{C}_q(G, \tau) \cap C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ and by [14] $DG = 0$. Hence we have proved

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose $D \in U(\mathbb{G})^*$. $D : \mathcal{E}'(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(G)$ is injective if and only if for no $\omega \in \hat{M}$ is there a finite dimensional subspace $U \subset X(\omega)$ such that $\pi_{\omega, \nu}(D) : U \rightarrow U$ and $\det \pi_{\omega, \nu}(D)|_U = 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathfrak{A}_c^*$.*

For $r > 0$ let $V_r(0) = \{g \in G : \sigma(g) \leq r\}$

THEOREM 5.2 (P-convexity). *Suppose $D \in U(\mathbb{G})^*$ satisfies the injectivity criterion. Suppose $T \in \mathcal{E}'(G)$ and $\text{supp } DT \subseteq V_r(0)$. Then $\text{supp } T \subseteq V_r(0)$.*

Proof. By convoluting with functions in $C_c^\infty(G)$, we see that it suffices to prove this result for $T = f \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Furthermore, it suffices to assume that $f(x) = L(F(x))$ where $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$, $V = \text{End } U$, U is a K -finite space of functions on K , $L \in V^*$ and τ is the double representation induced on V by left translation on U .

By hypothesis for all $N > 0$ there is a C_N such that

$$|\psi_{DF}(\omega : \nu)| \leq C_N(1 + \|\nu\|)^{-N} e^{r \|\text{Im } \nu\|}$$

but as $\psi_{DF}(\omega : \nu) = \pi_{\omega, -\nu}(D)\psi_F(\omega : \nu)$ we have that $\psi_F(\omega : \nu)$ satisfies the same growth conditions. Thus, as $F \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)$ we have $\text{supp } F \subseteq V_r(0)$ and hence $\text{supp } f \subseteq V_r(0)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Arthur, Harmonic analysis of the Schwartz space on a reductive Lie group II, preprint.
- [2] L. Ehrenpreis and F. Mautner, Some properties of the Fourier transform on semi-simple Lie groups, I, *Ann. of Math.* **61** (1955), 406–439.
- [3] R. Gangolli, On the Plancherel formula and the Paley-Wiener theorem for spherical functions on semisimple Lie groups, *Ann. of Math.* **93** (1971), 150–165.
- [4] S. G. Gindikin and F. I. Karpelevic, Plancherel measure of Riemannian symmetric spaces of nonpositive curvature, *Sov. Math.* **3** (1962), 962–965.
- [5] Harish-Chandra, Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups II, *Acta. Math.* **116** (1966), 1–111.
- [6] —, On the theory of the Eisenstein integral, *Proc. Int. Conf. on Harm. Anal.*, Univ. of Maryland, 1971, lecture notes in Math. No. 266, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [7] —, Lectures at Institute for Advanced Study, Fall 1974.

- [8] S. Helgason, An analog of the Paley-Wiener theorem for the Fourier transform on certain symmetric spaces, *Math. Ann.* **165** (1966), 297–308.
- [9] —, A duality for symmetric spaces, with applications to group representations, *Advan. Math.* **5** (1970), 1–154.
- [10] —, The surjectivity of invariant differential operators on symmetric spaces I, *Ann. of Math.* **98** (1973), 451–479.
- [11] L. Hormander, *Linear partial differential operators*, Springer-Verlag, 1963.
- [12] K. Johnson, Functional analysis on $SU(1,1)$, *Advan. Math.* **14** (1974), 346–364.
- [13] —, Paley-Wiener theorems on groups of split rank one, to appear.
- [14] —, Partial differential equations on semisimple Lie groups, to appear.
- [15] G. Schiffmann, Integrales d'entrelacement et fonctions de Whittaker, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **99** (1971), 3–72.
- [16] G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, Springer-Verlag, 1972.

Department of Mathematics
Indiana University