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Introduction. By a tournament $T_{n}$ on $n$ vertices, we shall mean a directed graph on $n$ vertices for which every pair of distinct vertices form the endpoints of exactly one directed edge (e.g., see [5]). If $x$ and $y$ are vertices of $T_{n}$ we say that $x$ dominates $y$ if the edge between $x$ and $y$ is directed from $x$ to $y$. In 1962, K. Schütte [2] raised the following question: Given $k>0$, is there a tournament $T_{n(k)}$ such that for any set $S$ of $k$ vertices of $T_{n(k)}$ there is a vertex $y$ which dominates all $k$ elements of $S$. (Such a tournament will be said to have property $P_{k}$.)

In [3], P. Erdös showed by probabilistic arguments that for each $k$, such a $T_{n(k)}$ must exist. Thus, it is meaningful to define $f(k)$ to be the minimum value of $n(k)$ for which such a $T_{n(k)}$ exists. More precisely, Erdös showed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k) \leq k^{2} 2^{k}(\log 2+\varepsilon) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$ provided $k$ is sufficiently large. In the other direction Szekeres and Szekeres [6] established

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k) \geq(k+2) 2^{k-1}-1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this note, we give for each $k$ an explicit construction of a tournament $T_{n(k)}$ which has property $P_{k}$. Although the best bound we currently have on the value of $n(k)$ needed by our construction shows that $n(k)$ may be as large as $k^{2} 2^{2 k-2}$, in fact, for small values of $k$, our tournaments are minimal.

Construction of the tournament. Let $p$ be a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 and let $\{0,1, \ldots, p-1\}=V$ be the set of vertices of $T_{p}$. Define the edges of $T_{p}$ by directing an edge from $i$ to $j$ iff $i-j$ is a quadratic residue of $p$, i.e., iff $\binom{i-j}{p}=1$, where we use the familiar Legendre symbol (cf. [4]). Since $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ then $\binom{-1}{p}=-1$ so that any two distinct vertices are joined by exactly one edge and $T_{p}$ is a welldefined tournament.

Theorem. If $p>k^{2} 2^{2 k-2}$ then $T_{p}$ has property $P_{k}$.
Proof. It is easily seen that $T_{p}$ has property $P_{k}$ iff for all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k} \in V$,
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there exists an $x \in V$ such that $\binom{x-a_{i}}{p}=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Set $\chi(a)=\binom{a}{p}$ and let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$ denote a set of $k$ arbitrary fixed elements of $V$. Define $g(A)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A)=\sum_{\substack{x=0 \\ x \neq A}}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(x-a_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we can show $g(A)$ is always $>0$ then the theorem is proved; for, in this case, there is a choice $x=x_{0} \notin A$ such that $\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(x_{0}-a_{j}\right)\right]>0$ and, hence, $\chi\left(x_{0}-a_{j}\right)$ $\neq-1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Since $x_{0} \notin A$, then $x_{0}-a_{j} \neq 0$ and $\chi\left(x_{0}-a_{j}\right) \neq 0$. Thus, $\chi\left(x_{0}-a_{j}\right)$ $=1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and by the previous remark, we would be done.
We next show $g(A)>0$. Define $h(A)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(A)=\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(x-a_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A)=h(A)-\sum_{i=0}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\right] . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the inner terms in (4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
h(A)=\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} 1+\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \chi\left(x-a_{j}\right) & +\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_{1}<j_{2}} \chi\left(x-a_{j_{1}}\right) \chi\left(x-a_{j_{2}}\right)+\cdots \\
\cdots & +\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{s}} \chi\left(x-a_{j_{1}}\right) \ldots \chi\left(x-a_{j_{s}}\right)+\cdots  \tag{6}\\
\cdots & +\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{k}} \chi\left(x-a_{j_{1}}\right) \ldots \chi\left(x-a_{j_{k}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The first two terms of (6) are $p$ and 0 respectively. To estimate the remaining terms we rely on the following powerful result of D. A. Burgess [1]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \chi\left(x-a_{j_{1}}\right) \ldots \chi\left(x-a_{j_{s}}\right)\right| \leq(s-1) \sqrt{p} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a_{j_{1}}, \ldots, a_{j_{s}}$ distinct. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j_{1}<\cdots<j_{s}} \chi\left(p-a_{j_{1}}\right) \ldots \chi\left(x-a_{j_{s}}\right)\right| \leq\binom{ k}{s}(s-1) \sqrt{p} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
|h(A)-p| \leq \sqrt{\bar{p}} \sum_{s=2}^{k}\binom{k}{s}(s-1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A straightforward calculation shows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=2}^{k}\binom{k}{s}(s-1)=(k-2) 2^{k-1}+1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(A) \geq p-\left[(k-2) 2^{k-1}+1\right] \sqrt{p} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now consider the expression

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\right]=h(A)-g(A)
$$

which occurs in (5). If $h(A)-g(A) \neq 0$ then for some $i_{0}$ the product $\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(a_{i_{0}}-a_{j}\right)\right]$ is nonzero. Thus, for all $j, \chi\left(a_{i_{0}}-a_{j}\right) \neq-1$ so that for all $j \neq i_{0}, \chi\left(a_{i_{0}}-a_{j}\right)=1$. But this implies $\chi\left(a_{j}-a_{i_{0}}\right)=-1$ for all $j \neq i_{0}$ and consequently

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left[1+\chi\left(a_{i}-a_{j}\right)\right]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } i \neq i_{0}  \tag{12}\\ 2^{k-1} & \text { for } i=i_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, in any case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(A)-g(A) \leq 2^{k-1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(A) \geq p-\left[(k-2) 2^{k-1}+1\right] \sqrt{p}-2^{k-1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that for $p>k^{2} 2^{2 k-2}$, the right-hand side of (14) is $>0$. This proves the theorem.

Concluding remarks. The value $k^{2} 2^{2 k-2}$ is nearly the square of the nonconstructive upper bound (1) of Erdös. Specific constructions show that much smaller values $p$ suffice to endow $T_{p}$ with property $P_{k}$. For example, $T_{7}$ has property $P_{2}$ and $T_{19}$ has property $P_{3}$. In [6] it is shown that $f(2)=7$ and $f(3)=19$ so that these tournaments are minimal. Also, it is true that $T_{67}$ has property $P_{4}$. Since (2) gives $f(4) \geq 47$ it is possible that $T_{67}$ is also minimal.

If $q$ is an odd power of a prime congruent to 3 modulo 4 then $T_{q}$ can be defined with vertices as elements of $G F(q)$ and an edge directed from $i$ to $j$ iff $i-j$ is a square in $G F(q)$. It can be shown for example that $T_{27}$ has property $P_{3}$. However, no examples are known for which the number of vertices of a $T_{q}$ with property $P_{k}$ is smaller than a suitable $T_{p}$.
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