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If $R$ is an integral domain with maximum condition for principal right ideals-right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$-every nonzero non-unit in $R$ has irreducible factors, but is not necessarily a product of such factors. Using additional basic factors-called infinite primes in [1]results about unique factorization in principal right ideal domains have been obtained in [1], [2], and [5].

In this paper we will define generalized atoms, more exactly $\alpha-$ atoms, $\alpha$ an ordinal, for any integral domain $R$ with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. The 1 -atoms are just the irreducible elements. Every nonzero non-unit in $R$ can be written as a finite product of generalized atoms. If $R$ is a domain with modular factor lattice, i.e. $V(a)=\{b R: a \in b R\}$ is a modular lattice with respect to inclusion for every nonzero element $a$ in $R$, any two factorizations of a nonzero element into products of generalized atoms contain the same number of $\alpha$-atoms for a fixed ordinal $\alpha$, provided no $\beta$-atom with $\beta<\alpha$ precedes an $\alpha$-atom in these factorizations (Theorem 1). Sharper results are obtained in case $R$ is a weak Bezout domain or even a local weak Bezout domain.

Let $R$ be an integral domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. We write $R^{*}$ for the multiplicative semigroup of nonzero elements of $R$. We define for every ordinal $\alpha$ a subsemigroup $S_{\alpha}$ of $R^{*}$ as follows:
$S_{0}$ is the group of units of $R$.
$S_{\alpha}=\cup S_{\beta}, \beta<\alpha$, for $\alpha$ a limit ordinal.
If $\beta=\alpha-1$ exists we say an element $a$ in $R^{*}$ with $a$ not in $S_{\beta}$ is an $\alpha$-atom if $a R$ is maximal among the principal right ideals $b R$ with $b$ not in $S_{\beta}$. $S_{\alpha}$ is then defined as the subsemigroup of $R^{*}$ generated by $S_{\beta}$ and the set of $\alpha$-atoms.

Under the above assumption there exists an ordinal $\alpha_{0}$ minimal with the property that $R^{*}=S_{\alpha_{0}}$. We refer to $\alpha$-atoms for any $\alpha$ as generalized atoms. The next result follows immediately.

Lemma 1. Let $R$ be an integral domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. Then every nonzero non-unit $a$ in $R$ can be written as a product of generalized atoms.

Let $R$ be an integral domain with modular factor lattice and right $A C C_{1}$. It then follows that the intersection of any two principal right ideals $a R, b R$ of $R$ is again a principal right ideal: $a R \cap b R=v R$. Further, any two elements $a, b$ in $R$ with $a R \cap b R \neq 0$ have a greatest common left divisor $d$ with $a R \sqcup b R=d R$. We write $[a, b]=v=a b^{\prime}$ if $a R \cap b R=v R \neq 0, a^{-1}[a, b]$ for $b^{\prime}$ and $(a, b)=d$ if $a R \sqcup b R=d R$. We write $[a R, b R]$ for the sublattice $\{c R ; a R \leq c R \leq b R\}$ of $V(a)$ if $a R \leq b R$. We need the following definition.

Defintion. An element $a^{\prime}$ is related to an element $a$ through $d$ if there exists an element $b$ with $(a, b)=d$ and $b^{-1}[a, b]=a^{\prime}$.

In case $a R+b R=a R \sqcup b R=d R$ holds, it is clear that $a^{\prime}$ related to $a$ through $d$
implies that $a^{\prime}$ is similar to $a_{1}$ with $a=d a_{1}$. We recall that two elements $r, r^{\prime}$ in $R$ are called similar if $R / r R$ and $R / r^{\prime} R$ are isomorphic as $R$-modules (see [4] for details).

Lemma 2. Let $b, a=a_{1} a_{2}$ be nonzero elements in an integral domain $R$ with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$ and modular factor lattice. Then $b^{-1}\left[b, a_{1} a_{2}\right]=a_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime}$ where $a_{1}^{\prime}$ is related to $a_{1}$ through $d_{1}$ and $a_{2}^{\prime}$ is related to $a_{2}$ through $d_{2}$ with $d_{1}$ a left factor of $d=(b, a)$ and $d_{2}$ related to $d$ through $d_{3}$ with $d_{3} R=a_{1} R \sqcup d R$.

Proof. We have $\left[b, a_{1} a_{2}\right]=\left[b, a_{1}\right] r$ for some $r$ in $R$; $\left[a_{1}^{-1}\left[b, a_{1}\right], a_{2}\right]=a_{1}^{-1}\left[b, a_{1}\right] r$ follows. This leads to $r=c^{-1}\left[c, a_{2}\right]$ with $c=a_{1}^{-1}\left[b, a_{1}\right]$ and the desired equation with $a_{1}^{\prime}=b^{-1}\left[b, a_{1}\right]$ and $a_{2}^{\prime}=c^{-1}\left[c, a_{2}\right]$. We see that $\left(b, a_{1}\right)=d_{1}$ is a left factor of $d$. It remains to consider $c R \sqcup a_{2} R=d_{2} R$. This leads to $a_{1} d_{2} R=\left(b R \cap a_{1} R\right) \sqcup a R=a_{1} R \cap(a R \sqcup b R)=$ $a_{1} R \cap d R$, the claimed relation.

We observe that the lattices $V\left(d_{1}\right), V\left(d_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic to lattices $V\left(t_{1}\right), V\left(t_{2}\right)$ respectively where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are factors of $d$. The statement is obvious for $V\left(d_{1}\right)$, since we can take $t_{1}=d_{1}$. To see the second part assume $d=d_{3} d_{4}$ for some element $d_{4}$. It follows that the lattices

$$
V\left(d_{4}\right)=\left[d_{4} R, R\right] \cong\left[d R, d_{3} R\right] \cong\left[a_{1} d_{2} R, a_{1} R\right] \cong\left[d_{2} R, R\right]=V\left(d_{2}\right)
$$

are isomorphic, since $V\left(a_{1} d_{2}\right)$ is modular and $d_{3} R=a_{1} R \sqcup d R, a_{1} d_{2} R=a_{1} R \cap d R$ holds.
Corollary 1. Let $r=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}=b_{1} \ldots b_{m}$ be two factorizations of an element $r$. Then either $a_{1}$ is a left factor of $b_{1}$ or there exists an index $j(2 \leq j \leq n)$ and an element $c$ in $R$ such that

$$
r=a_{1} b_{1}^{\prime} \ldots b_{j-1}^{\prime} c b_{j+1} \ldots b_{m}
$$

and $a_{1}^{\prime} c=b_{j}$. The element $a_{1}^{\prime}$ is related to $a_{1}$ through $d_{0}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime}$ is related to $b_{i}$ through $d_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, j-1$. The lattice $V\left(d_{i}\right)$ is isomorphic to $V\left(t_{i}\right)$ for $i=0, \ldots, j-1$ where $t_{i}$ is a factor of $d$ defined as $d=\left(a_{1}, b_{1} \ldots b_{j-1}\right)$ with $d R \supset a_{1} R$.

For a proof it is only necessary to choose $j$ such that $a_{1}$ is not a left factor of $b_{1} \ldots b_{j-1}$, but a left factor of $b_{1} \ldots b_{j}$ which then will equal to $\left[a_{1}, b_{1} \ldots b_{j-1}\right] c$ for some $c$ in $R$, defining the $c$ above. The rest follows from Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Let $R$ be an integral domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$ and modular factor lattice. Assume $R=S_{\alpha_{0}}$ and that $\beta$ is an ordinal with $0 \leq \beta<\alpha_{0}, \gamma=\beta+1$. Then
(i) $a b$ is in $S_{\beta}$ if and only if $a, b$ are in $S_{\beta}$ for elements $a, b$ in $R$.
(ii) If $x$ is a $\gamma$-atom, $x=d y$ for $d$ in $S_{\beta}, y$ in $R$ then $y$ is a $\gamma$-atom.
(iii) If $a=n_{1} x_{1} n_{2} x_{2} \ldots n_{k} x_{k} n_{k+1}=m_{1} y_{1} m_{2} y_{2} \ldots m_{t} y_{t} r$ is an element in $S_{\gamma}$ with $x_{i}, y_{j}$ $\gamma$-atoms and $n_{i}, m_{j}$ elements in $S_{\beta}$ for all $i, j$, and $r$ is in $R$ then $t \leq k$.
Proof. We prove these statements simultaneously by transfinite induction on $\beta$. Let $\beta=0$. Then (i) is obvious, (ii) is true since $d$ will be a unit and $y=d^{-1} x$ is a 1 -atom if and only if $x$ is. Finally, (iii) follows from the Jordan-Hölder Theorem for modular lattices.

We now assume that the statements are true for all $\alpha<\beta, \beta$ a non-limit ordinal. (The arguments in case $\beta$ is a limit ordinal are only slightly different and will be omitted).

To prove ( $i$ ) let $r$ be an element in $R$, not contained in $S_{\beta}$. Given any natural number $q$, there exist $\beta$-atoms $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}$ with $r=x_{1} \ldots x_{q} r_{q}$ for some element $r_{q}$ in $R$. This together with (iii) applied to $S_{\beta}$ proves (i).
(ii) Let $x$ be a $\gamma$-atom, $d$ in $S_{\beta}, x=d y$. The element $y$ is not contained in $S_{\beta}, y=z r$ for some $\gamma$-atom $z$ with some $r$ in $R$ and $x=d z r$ follows. Using (i) we know that $d z$ is not in $S_{\beta}$, and since $x$ is a $\gamma$-atom, we conclude that $r$ must be a unit in $R$ and $y$ is a $\gamma$-atom.
(iii) We observe that $x^{\prime}$ is a $\gamma$-atom if $x^{\prime}$ is related to a $\gamma$-atom $x$ through $d$ with $d R \supset x R$. This is obvious if we apply (ii) with $x=d y$ to obtain that $y$ is a $\gamma$-atom and recall that $V\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ and $V(y)$ are isomorphic lattices. Similarly, if $a^{\prime}$ is related to $a$ through $d$ with $a$ in $S_{\beta}$ it follows that $a^{\prime}$ is in $S_{\beta}$.

If we apply Corollary 1 to the two factorizations

$$
a=n_{1} x_{1} n_{2} \ldots n_{k} x_{k} n_{k+1}=m_{1} y_{1} \ldots m_{l} y_{t} r
$$

we see that we can assume that $n_{1}=1$. A second application of this Corollary shows that either $x_{1}$ is a left factor of $m_{1}$ or, in case $m_{1}$ equals 1 , of $y_{1}$, or

$$
a=x_{1} n_{2} \ldots n_{k} x_{k} n_{k+1}=x_{1} m_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} \ldots m_{j}^{\prime} c_{1} m_{j+1} y_{j+1} \ldots r
$$

for some $j \leq t$, or $a=x_{1} m_{1}^{\prime} y_{1}^{\prime} \ldots m_{i}^{\prime} y_{i}^{\prime} c_{2}$. The elements $m_{i}^{\prime}$ are still in $S_{\beta}$, the $y_{i}^{\prime}$ are still $\gamma$-atoms, $x_{1}^{\prime} c_{1}=y_{j}$ implies $c_{1}$ is a unit in $R$ and $x_{1}^{\prime} c_{2}=r$ holds in the second case for some element $c_{2}$ in $R$.

The statement (iii) follows by induction on $k$ after cancelling $x_{1}$.
Corollary 2. Let $x$ be an $\alpha$-atom, y a $\beta$-atom with $\alpha<\beta$ in a ring $R$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 3. Then $x y=y^{\prime}$ or $x y=y^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ for a $\beta$-atom $y^{\prime}$ and an $\alpha$-atom $x^{\prime}$.

Proof. We have $x y=\bar{y} r$ for some $\beta$-atom $\bar{y}$ and an element $r$ in $R$. If $\bar{y}=x y_{1}$ for some element $y_{1}$ in $R$ we obtain that $y_{1}$ is a $\beta$-atom, $y=y_{1} r$ and $r$ must be a unit; $x y=\bar{y} r=y^{\prime}$ with $y^{\prime}$ a $\beta$-atom. If $\bar{y}$ is not contained in $x R$ we have $x R \cap \bar{y} R=x y_{1} R=\bar{y} x_{1} R$ for elements $y_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ in $R$. This leads to $x y_{1} c=\bar{y} x_{1} c=x y=\bar{y} r$ for some element $c$ in $R$. Since $y_{1}$ is a $\beta$-atom, $c$ must be a unit in $R$. The element $x_{1}$ is an $\alpha$-atom and $x y=y^{\prime} x^{\prime}$ follows with $y^{\prime}=\bar{y}, x^{\prime}=x_{1} c$.

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be an integral domain with modular factor lattice and right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. Then every nonzero non-unit $a$ in $R$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \ldots x_{n_{1}}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \ldots x_{n_{2}}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \ldots x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \ldots x_{n_{k}}^{\left(\alpha_{k}\right)} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $x_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ are $\alpha_{j}$-atoms in $R$ with $\alpha_{1}>\alpha_{2}>\ldots>\alpha_{k}$. If

$$
a=y_{1}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} \ldots y_{m_{1}}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} y_{1}^{\left(\beta_{2}\right)} \ldots y_{m_{2}}^{\left(\beta_{2}\right)} \ldots y_{1}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} \ldots y_{m_{1}}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)}
$$

is another such factorization with $\beta_{j}$-atoms $y_{i}^{\left(\beta_{j}\right)}$ and $\beta_{1}>\beta_{2}>\ldots>\beta_{1}$ then we have $t=k$, $n_{i}=m_{i}, \alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and there exist units $\varepsilon_{i}(i=0, \ldots, k)$ with $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{k}=1$ and

$$
\varepsilon_{i-1}^{-1} y_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \ldots y_{n_{1}}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \varepsilon_{i}=x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \ldots x_{m_{i}}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, k$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and Corollary 2 that a factorization (*) exists for every nonzero non-unit $a$ in $R$. The ordinal $\alpha_{1}$ is determined by $a$ as the ordinal $\alpha$ minimal with the property that $a$ is contained in $S_{\alpha}$. We obtain $\alpha_{1}=\beta_{1}$ and $n_{1}=m_{1}$ using (iii) in Lemma 3. That $x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \ldots x_{n}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}=y_{1}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} \ldots y_{n}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} \varepsilon_{1}$ for some unit $\varepsilon_{1}$ in $R$ follows from a repeated application of Corollary 1 . We have to observe that a $\beta$-atom cannot have a factor which is related to an $\alpha$-atom through $d$ for $d$ in $S_{\gamma}$ and $\gamma<\alpha, \beta<\alpha$. An easy induction finishes the proof of the theorem.

The above results can be applied to weak Bezout domains with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. We recall that an integral domain in which the sum and the intersection of any two principal right ideals is principal whenever the intersection is nonzero is called a weak Bezout domain. We make the following definition. Let $R$ be a weak Bezout domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. Two $\beta$-atoms $x$ and $y$ are called linked if there exist $\beta$-atoms $x=z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}=y$ in $R$ such that either $z_{i}$ is similar to $z_{i+1}$ or that $z_{i}=d_{i} z_{i+1}$ or that $d_{i} z_{i}=z_{i+1}$ for $d_{i}$ in $S_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha<\beta$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$. With this notation we formulate the following addition to Theorem 1.

Corollary. Let $R$ be a weak Bezout domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$. Let $a=x_{1} \ldots x_{n}=$ $y_{1} \ldots y_{n}$ be two factorizations of an element $a$ in $R$ into $\beta$-atoms $x_{i}, y_{j}$ respectively. Then there exists a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $x_{i}$ and $y_{\sigma(i)}$ are linked for $i=1, \ldots, n$.

The best possible result is obtained for local weak Bezout domains. Here we say a ring $R$ is local if the non-units form an ideal in $R$.

Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a local weak Bezout domain with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$.
(i) Let $a=x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} x_{2}^{\left(\alpha_{2}\right)} \ldots x_{n}^{\left(\alpha_{n}\right)}=y_{1}^{\left(\beta_{1}\right)} \ldots y_{m}^{\left(\beta_{m}\right)}$ be two factorizations of an element $a$ in $R$ into $\alpha_{i}$-atoms $x_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}$ and $\beta_{j}$-atoms $y_{j}^{\left(\beta_{i}\right)}$, respectively, with $\alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \ldots \geq \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1} \geq \beta_{2} \geq$ $\ldots \geq \beta_{m}$. Then $n=m, \alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}$ and there exist units $\varepsilon_{i}(i=0, \ldots, n)$ with $1=\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon_{n}$ and $x_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)}=\varepsilon_{i=1}^{-1} y_{i}^{\left(\alpha_{i}\right)} \varepsilon_{i}$.
(ii) Let $x$ be an $\alpha$-atom, y a $\beta$-atom in $R$ with $\alpha<\beta$. Then $x y$ is a $\beta$-atom $y^{\prime}$ in $R$.

Proof. (i) We know that $n=m$ and $\alpha_{i}=\beta_{i}$ from Theorem 1. Assume $x$ and $y$ are $\alpha$ atoms with $x R \cap y R \neq 0$. It follows that $x R+y R=d R$ for some $d$ in $R$, and $x_{1} R+y_{1} R=$ $R$ where $x_{1}, y_{1}$ are defined through $x=d x_{1}, y=d y_{1}$. If $d R \neq x R$ and $d R \neq y R$ we see that $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ are still $\alpha$-atoms and a contradiction would be reached. This leaves us with $x R=y R=d R$. This comment applied to $x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}$ and $y_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}$ leads to $x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}=y_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)} \varepsilon_{1}$ for some unit $\varepsilon_{1}$ in $R$. Cancellation of $x_{1}^{\left(\alpha_{1}\right)}$ and induction after $n$ ends the proof of (i). To prove (ii) let $x$ be an $\alpha$-atom, $y$ a $\beta$-atom with $\alpha<\beta$. We have $x y=\bar{y} r$ for some $\beta$-atom $\bar{y}$ in $R$ (Corollary 2) and as in the argument above $x R+\bar{y} R=x R$ must follow since $R$ is a local weak Bezout domain. This implies that only the first case of the two cases treated in the proof of Corollary 2 can happen and proves the result.

We conclude with a few examples.
(1) The first example shows that there exist integral domains $R$ with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$ such that $V(a)=\{b R ; b R \geq a R\}$ is a lattice for every $a \neq 0$ in $R$, but $R$ does not have modular factor lattice. Let $K$ be any commutative field, $K[t]_{(t)}=A$ the localization of the polynomial ring $K[t]$ on the prime ideal ( $t$ ). There exists a monomorphism $\sigma$ from $A$ into
$A$ which maps $t$ to $t^{2}$ and fixes the elements in $K$. Finally let $R_{1}=A[[x, \sigma]]$ be the skew power series ring in one variable $x$ with the elements $\sum_{0}^{\infty} a_{i} x^{i}, a_{i}$ in $A$, and $x a=\sigma(a) . x$. We know from [3] that $R_{1}$ is an integral domain with right and left $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$, the intersection of any two principal right ideals is again a principal right ideal and the numbers of irreducible factors in a factorization of $a$ is bounded by some constant dependent on $a$. This implies that $V(a)$ is a lattice, but this lattice is not modular in general as can be seen for $a=x t$ for example.
(2) One can choose the field $K$ in the first example so that there exists a monomorphism $\tau$ from $A$ into $K$. Let $R_{2}$ be the free power series ring $R_{2}=A\{\{X, \tau\}$ in a set on non-commuting variables $X=\left\{x_{i}\right\}, i \in I$, such that $A$ is not in the center, but that $a . x_{i}=x_{i} \tau(a)$ determines the multiplication. $R_{2}$ is a local Bezout domain with right ACC $_{1}$ and $R_{2}^{*}=S_{2}$.
(3) The last example shows that the uniqueness statement in Theorem 1 can be correct for elements in $S_{\alpha}$ in an integral domain $R$ with right $\mathrm{ACC}_{1}$, but wrong for elements in $S_{\beta}$ with $\beta>\alpha$. We use the local ring $A$ with its monomorphism $\tau$ from Example 2. Let $T$ be the skew power series ring $A[[x, \tau]]$ in one variable over $A$ with elements $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^{i} a_{i}, a_{i} \in A$ and $a x=x \tau(a)$. Let $R_{3}$ be the subring of $T$ consisting of all those elements for which the coefficient $a_{1}$ of $x$ is zero. The 1 -atoms are of the form $t \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon$ a unit in $R_{3}$ and every element in $S_{1}$ can be factored uniquely up to units. But $x^{2}$ and $x^{3}$ are both 2-atoms and $x^{6}=\left(x^{2}\right)^{3}=\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}$.
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