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Abstract

Sufficient conditions for the controllability of nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential systems with implicit derivative are established. The results are a generalisation of the previous results, through the notions of condensing map and measure of noncompactness of a set.

1. Introduction

Compartmental models are frequently used in theoretical epidemiology, physiology, and population dynamics to describe the evolution of systems which can be divided into separate compartments, marking the pathways of material flow between compartments and the possible outflow into the inflow from the environment of the system. Generally, the time required for the material flow between compartments cannot be neglected. A model for such system can be visualised as one in which compartments are connected by pipes which material passes through in definite time. Because of the time lags caused by pipes, the model equations for such systems are differential equations with deviating arguments, as opposed to the classical case where model equations are ordinary differential equations. For more details, we refer the reader to [1, 17, 21].

A concrete example is the radiocardiogram, where the two compartments correspond to the left and right ventricles of the heart, and the pipes between them represent the pulmonary and systematic circulation. Pipes coming out from and returning into the same compartment may represent shunts and the coronary circulation [see 16]. A more simplified equation representing this model is a nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential equation as in [18]. The aim of this paper is to study the controllability problem for such systems.
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However Dacka [12] introduced a new fixed-point method of analysis to study the controllability of nonlinear systems with implicit derivative based on the measure of noncompactness of a set and Darbo’s theorem. This method has been extended to a larger class of dynamical systems by Balachandran [4, 5]. Anichini et al. [3] studied the problem through the notions of condensing map and measure of noncompactness of a set. They used the fixed-point theorem due to Sadovskii. In this paper, we shall study the controllability of nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential systems with implicit derivative, by suitably adopting the technique of Anichini et al. [3]. The results generalise those results obtained by Balachandran [6] where the nonlinear function \( f \) is independent of \( \dot{x} \).

2. Mathematical preliminaries

We first summarise some facts concerning condensing maps; for definitions and results about the measure of noncompactness and related topics, the reader can refer to the paper of Dacka [12].

Let \( X \) be a subset of a Banach space. An operator \( T : X \rightarrow X \) is called condensing if, for any bounded subset \( E \) in \( X \) with \( \mu(E) \neq 0 \), we have \( \mu(T(E)) < \mu(E) \), where \( \mu(E) \) denotes the measure of noncompactness of the set \( E \).

We observe that, as a consequence of the properties of \( \mu \), if an operator \( T \) is the sum of a compact operator and condensing operator, then \( T \) itself is a condensing operator. Further, if the operator \( P : X \rightarrow X \) satisfies the condition \( |Px - Py| \leq k|x - y| \) for \( x, y \in X \), with \( 0 \leq k < 1 \), then the operator \( P \) is a \( \mu \)-contractive operator with constant \( k \): that is, \( \mu(T(E)) \leq k\mu(E) \) for any bounded set \( E \) in \( X \). In this case, \( P \) has a fixed-point property (Sadovskii [24]). However, the condition \( |Px - Py| < |x - y| \) for \( (x, y) \in X \) is insufficient to ensure that \( P \) is a condensing map or that \( P \) will admit a fixed point (Browder [8]). The fixed-point property holds in the condensing case (Sadovskii [24]).

Let \( C_n(I) \) denote the space of continuous \( R^n \)-valued functions on the interval \( I \).
For $x \in C_n(I)$ and $h > 0$, let

$$\theta(x, h) = \sup \{|x(t) - x(s)| : t, s \in I \text{ with } |t - s| \leq h\},$$

and write $\theta(E, h) = \sup_{x \in E} \theta(x, h)$, so that $\theta(E, \cdot)$ is the modulus of continuity of a bounded set $E$; and let $\Omega$ be the set of functions $\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ that are right continuous and nondecreasing such that $\omega(r) < r$, for $r > 0$. Let $I = [t_0, t_1]$.

**Lemma 1.** [24] Let $X \subset C_n(I)$ and let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be functions defined on $[0, t_1 - t_0]$ such that $\lim_{s \to 0} \beta(s) = \lim_{s \to 0} \gamma(s) = 0$. If a mapping $T : X \to C_n(I)$ is given such that it maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and is such that

$$\theta(T(x), h) < \omega(\theta(x, \beta(h))) + \gamma(h) \quad \text{for all } h \in [0, t_1 - t_0] \text{ and } x \in X$$

with $\omega \in \Omega$, then $T$ is a condensing mapping.

**Lemma 2.** [3, 24] Let $X \subset C_n(J)$, let $J = [0,1]$, and let $S \subset X$ be a bounded closed convex set. Let $H : J \times S \to X$ be a continuous operator such that, for any $\alpha \in J$, the map $H(\alpha, \cdot) : S \to X$ is condensing. If $x \neq H(\alpha, x)$ for any $\alpha \in J$ and any $x \in \partial S$ (the boundary of $S$), then $H(1, \cdot)$ has a fixed point. Finally it is possible to show that, for any bounded and equicontinuous set $E$ in $C_n^1(J)$, the following relation holds:

$$\mu_{C_n}(E) = \mu_1(E) = \mu(DE) = \mu_{C_n}(DE),$$

where $DE = \{x : x \in E\}$.

### 3. Main result

Consider the nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential system

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left[x(t) - \int_0^t C(t-s)x(s)ds - g(t)\right] = Ax(t) + \int_0^t G(t-s)x(s)ds + B(t)u(t) + f(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), u(t)), \quad (1)$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $C(t)$ is an $n \times n$ continuously differentiable matrix valued function, $G(t)$ is an $n \times n$ continuous matrix, and $B(t)$ is a continuous $n \times m$ matrix valued function, $A$ a constant $n \times n$ matrix and $f$ and $g$ are respectively continuous and continuously differentiable vector functions. Here the control functions are continuous.
The solution of the system (1) can be written as [27]:

\[
x(t) = Z(t) [x(0) - g(0)] + g(t) + \int_0^t Z(t - s) \dot{g}(s) ds
\]

\[
+ \int_0^t Z(t - s) [B(s)u(s) + f(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s), u(s)))] ds,
\]

where \(Z(t)\) is an \(n \times n\) continuously differentiable matrix satisfying

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ Z(t) - \int_0^t C(t - s) Z(s) ds \right] = AZ(t) + \int_0^t G(t - s) Z(s) ds
\]

with \(Z(0) = \text{identity matrix} \).

We say that system (1) is controllable if, for every \(x(0), x_1 \in \mathbb{R}^n\), there exists a control function \(u\) defined on \(I = [0, t_1]\) such that the solution of (1) satisfies \(x(t_1) = x_1\). Define the controllability matrix

\[
W(0, t_1) = \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1 - s) B(s) B^*(s) Z^*(t_1 - s) ds,
\]

where the star denotes the matrix transpose.

**THEOREM.** Suppose that the continuity condition on the matrices \(G, B, f\) and the continuous differentiability of \(C, g\) are satisfied for the system with the following additional conditions:

(i) \(\lim_{|t| \to \infty} \sup_{|x|^2, |y|^2} |f(t, x, y, u)| = 0;\)

(ii) there exists a continuous nondecreasing function \(\omega : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+\), with \(\omega(r) < r\), such that

\(|f(t, x, y, u) - f(t, x, z, u)| < \omega(|y - z|) \quad \text{for all } (t, x, y, u) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^m;\)

(iii) the symmetric matrix \(W(0, t_1)\) is nonsingular for some \(t_1 > 0\).

Then the system (1) is controllable on \(I\).

**PROOF.** Define the nonlinear transformation

\[
T : C_m(I) \times C_1^n(I) \to C_m(I) \times C_1^n(I)
\]

by

\[
T(u, x)(t) = (T_1(u, x)(t), T_2(u, x)(t)),
\]
where the pair of operators $T_1$ and $T_2$ is defined by

$$T_1(u, x)(t) = B^*(t)Z^*(t_1 - t)W^{-1}(0, t_1) \times \left[ x_1 - Z(t_1)(x(0) - g(0)) - g(t_1) - \int_0^{t_1} \dot{Z}(t_1 - s)g(s)ds 
- \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1 - s)f(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s), u(s))ds \right],$$

$$T_2(u, x)(t) = Z(t)(x(0) - g(0)) + g(t_1) + \int_0^{t_1} \dot{Z}(t - s)g(s)ds
+ \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1 - s)[B(s)T_1(u, x)(s)
+ f(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s), T_1(u, x)(s)))]ds.$$

Since all the functions involved in the definition of the operator $T$ are continuous, $T$ is continuous. Let

$$\eta^0 = (u^0, x^0) \in C_m(I) \times C_n^1(I),$$

$$\eta = (u, x) \in [C_m(I) \times C_n^1(I)] \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$$

and consider the equation $\eta^0 = \eta - \alpha T(\eta)$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. This equation can be written equivalently as

$$u = u^0 + \alpha T_1(u, x),$$

$$x = x^0 + \alpha T_2(u, x).$$

From condition (i), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R > 0$ such that if $|x| > R$ then $|f(t, x, y, u)| < \varepsilon|x|$. Then (3) gives

$$|u| \leq |u^0| + k_1 + a_1a_2a_3\varepsilon t_1|x|$$

and from (4), by applying Gronwall's inequality, we have

$$|x| \leq \left[ |x^0| + a_2|x(0) - g(0)| + a_5 + a_4a_5t_1
+ (k_1 + a_1a_2^2a_3\varepsilon t_1|x|) a_1a_2t_1 \right] \exp(a_2\varepsilon t_1),$$

where

$$a_1 = \sup |B(t)|, \quad a_2 = \sup |Z(t)|, \quad a_3 = |W^{-1}(0, t_1)|,$$

$$a_4 = \sup |\dot{Z}(t)|, \quad a_5 = \sup |g(t)|$$

and

$$k_1 = a_1a_2a_3 \left[ |x_1| + a_2|x(0) - g(0)| + a_5 + a_4a_5t_1 \right].$$
Also put \( a_6 = \sup |\dot{g}(t)| \).

Note that
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \left[ T_2(u, x)(t) - \int_0^t C(t - s)T_2(u, x)(s)ds - g(t) \right] = AT_2(u, x)(t) + \int_0^t G(t - s)T_2(u, x)(s)ds \\
+ B(t)T_1(u, x)(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t), r, x(t), T_1(u, x)(t)).
\]

By applying Gronwall’s inequality, we have
\[
|T_2(u, x)| \leq \left[ a_1|T_1(u, x)|t_1 + \varepsilon t_1|x| + a_5 \right] \exp(A_0),
\]
where
\[
A_0 = \int_0^t \left| A + C(t - s) + \int_0^s G(\eta - s)d\eta \right| ds.
\]
Taking the derivative with respect to \( t \), we obtain from (4)
\[
\dot{x} = \frac{dx^0}{dt} + \alpha \frac{d}{dt} (T_2(u, x)(t))
\]
and using Leibnitz’s rule that gives
\[
|\dot{x}| \leq |\dot{x}^0| + a|T_2(u, x)| + a_1|T_1(u, x)| + \varepsilon |x| + a_6,
\]
where
\[
a = |A| + \sup |G(t)| \cdot t_1 + |C(0)| + \sup \left| \dot{C}(t) \right| \cdot t_1.
\]
Thus from (7), we have
\[
|\dot{x}| \leq |\dot{x}^0| + k_2 + |x| \left[ a_1^2a_2^2a_3\varepsilon t_1(at_1 \exp(A_0) + 1) + a\varepsilon t_1 \exp(A_0) + \varepsilon \right],
\]
where
\[
k_2 = k_1[a_1(at_1 \exp(A_0) + 1)] + aa_5 \exp(A_0) + a_6.
\]
From (5), (6) and (8) we have respectively
\[
|u| - a_1a_2^2a_3\varepsilon t_1|x| \leq |u^0| + k_1,
\]
\[
|x| \left[ \exp(-a_2 \varepsilon t_1) - a_1^2a_2^2a_3^2\varepsilon t_1^2 \right] \leq k_3 + |x^0|,
\]
where
\[
k_3 = a_2|x(0) - g(0)| + a_5 + a_4a_5t_1 + k_1a_1a_2t_1
\]
and
\[
|\dot{x} - |x| \left[ a_1^2a_2^2a_3\varepsilon t_1(at_1 \exp(A_0) + 1) + a\varepsilon t_1 \exp(A_0) + \varepsilon \right] \leq k_2 + |\dot{x}^0|.
\]
Taking the sum of all the above quantities, we obtain
\[ |u| - k|x| + |\dot{x}| \leq |u^0| + |x^0| + |\dot{x}^0| + k_1 + k_2 + k_3, \]

where
\[ k = a_1a_2^2a_3\varepsilon t_1[1 + a_1a_2t_1 + a_1(at_1\exp(A_0) + 1)] + \varepsilon + a\varepsilon t_1\exp(A_0) - \exp(-a_2\varepsilon t_1). \]

Then, for suitable positive constants \( p, q \) and \( r \), we can write
\[ |u| - \left[ \varepsilon p - \exp(-\varepsilon q) \right]|x| + |\dot{x}| \leq |u^0| + |x^0| + |\dot{x}^0| + r. \]

So we divide by \(|u| + |x| + |\dot{x}|\) and, from the arbitrariness of \( \varepsilon \), we get the existence of a ball \( S \) in \( C_m(I) \times C^1_m(I) \) sufficiently large such that
\[ |\eta - \alpha T(\eta)| > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \eta = (u, x) \in \partial S. \]

We want to show that \( T \) is a condensing map. To this aim, we note that \( T_1 : C_m(I) \to C_m(I) \) is a compact operator and then, if \( E \) is a bounded set, \( \mu(T_1(E)) = 0 \). Then it will be enough to show that \( T_2 \) is a condensing operator. For that, let us consider the modulus of continuity of \( DT_2(u, x)(.) \). Now, for \( t, s \in I \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
|DT_2(u, x)(t) - DT_2(u, x)(s)| &\leq |AT_2(u, x)(t) - AT_2(u, x)(s)| \\
&+ \left| \int_0^t G(t - \sigma)T_2(u, x)(\sigma)d\sigma - \int_0^s G(s - \sigma)T_2(u, x)(\sigma)d\sigma \right| \\
&+ |B(t)T_1(u, x)(t) - B(s)T_1(u, x)(s)| \\
&+ |f(t, x(t), \dot{x}(t), T_1(u, x)(t)) - f(s, x(s), \dot{x}(s), T_1(u, x)(s))| \\
&+ |C(0)T_2(u, x)(t) - C(0)T_2(u, x)(s)| \\
&+ \left| \int_0^t \hat{C}(t - \sigma)T_2(u, x)(\sigma)d\sigma - \int_0^s \hat{C}(s - \sigma)T_2(u, x)(\sigma)d\sigma \right| \\
&+ |\dot{g}(t) - \dot{g}(s)|. 
\end{align*}
\]

For the first and last three terms of the right side of (9) we may give the upper estimate as \( \beta_0(|t - s|) \); and the fourth term by \( \omega(|\dot{x}(t) - \dot{x}(s)|) + \beta_1(|t - s|) \), with \( \lim_{h \to 0} \beta_1(h) = 0 \). Hence
\[ \theta(DT_2(u, x), h) \leq \omega(\theta(DE, h)) + \beta(h), \]

where \( \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \). Therefore, by Lemma 1, we get \( \theta_0(DT_2(E)) < \theta_0(DE) \). Hence, from
\[ 2\mu_1(T_2(E)) = 2\mu(DT_2(E)) = \theta_0(DT_2(E)) < \theta_0(DE) = 2\mu(DE) = 2\mu_1(E) \]
it follows that $\mu_1(T_2(E)) < \mu_1(E)$. Then the existence of the operator $T$ follows from Lemma 2; that is, there exist functions $u \in C_m(I)$ and $x \in C^n_1(I)$ such that $T(u, x) = (u, x)$ that is

$$u(t) = T_1(u, x)(t), \quad x(t) = T_2(u, x)(t).$$

These functions are the required solutions. Further, it is easy to verify that the function $x(\cdot)$ given above of the system (1) satisfies $x(t_1) = x_1$ for every $x(0) \in R^n$. Hence the system (1) is controllable.

**REMARK 1.** If we assume that the nonlinear function in (1) also satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to the state variable, then we can obtain the unique response determined by any control.

**REMARK 2.** The result of Theorem 1 still holds if we replace (i) by

$$|f(t, x, \dot{x}, u)| \leq \alpha(t)|x| + \beta(t),$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are continuous functions.

**EXAMPLE.** In the nonlinear neutral Volterra integrodifferential system (1), take

$$C(t-s) = e^{-(t-s)}, \quad g(t) = e^{-t}, \quad A = 1, \quad G(t-s) = -e^{-(t-s)},$$

$$B(t) = e^{-2t} \quad \text{and} \quad f = \frac{\log x}{\sqrt{1 + u^2}} + \arctan g\dot{x}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $Z(t) = 2e^t - 1$ satisfies (2) and

$$W(0, t_1) = \int_0^{t_1} Z(t_1 - s)B(s)B^*(s)Z^*(t_1 - s)ds$$

$$= \int_0^{t_1} e^{-4s} \left[4e^{2(t_1-s)} - 4e^{(t_1-s)} + 1 \right] ds$$

$$= \frac{2}{3} e^{2t_1} - \frac{4}{5} e^{t_1} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{7}{60} e^{-4t_1} > 0$$

for some $t_1 > 0$.

Furthermore

$$|f(t, x, y, u) - f(t, x, z, u)| = |\arctan y - \arctan z|$$

$$< \arctan |y - z| \quad \text{if} \quad y \neq z$$

and

$$\lim_{|x| \to \infty} \frac{|f(t, x, y, u)|}{|x|} = 0,$$

so the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied. Hence the system is controllable.
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