

Restoration of former wetlands in the Netherlands; effect on the balance between CO₂ sink and CH₄ source

R. van den Bos¹

¹ Department of GeoEnvironmental Sciences, Faculty of Earth and Life Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands, e-mail: bosr@geo.vu.nl

Manuscript received: November 2002; accepted: May 2003



Abstract

Drained coastal peatlands are a source of greenhouse gas (GHG) through abundant CO₂ release caused by aerobic peat degradation. Published rates of CO₂ fixation and CH₄ release for natural peatlands suggest that areas of peat formation are a (small) net source of GHG emission because the radiative effect of emitted CH₄ exceeds the CO₂ uptake by the vegetation. It is shown here that wetland restoration of reclaimed peat areas in the western Netherlands leads to a reduction of GHG emission because the expected increase in anaerobically generated CH₄ release is much smaller than the decrease in aerobically produced CO₂.

Keywords: carbon balance, global warming potential, Holocene, mineralization, peat, water table

Introduction

Plans exist in the Netherlands to reconvert agricultural peatlands into wetland-nature areas for the purpose of ecological improvement and water storage. In the context of recent discussions on compensating human induced emission of greenhouse gases (GHG)¹ and on carbon storage in the terrestrial biosphere (e.g. forests, peatlands), it is relevant to know if implementation of these plans is effective in carbon storage through fixation in peat.

Large quantities of CO₂ were extracted from the atmosphere during Holocene peat formation. Worldwide, peatlands nowadays store a substantial amount of the global terrestrial carbon pool, although regional and global estimates vary widely. According to several studies summarized by Botch et al. (1995), peatlands worldwide cover an area of 230–500 Mha stor-

ing 110–455 Gt carbon of a total soil carbon pool of about 1395 Gt (Post et al., 1982). For comparison, the current (1999) atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 367 ppmv (IPCC, 2001) is equivalent to about 780 Gt carbon.

Paleorecords show that the atmospheric concentration of CH₄, which is about 23 times as effective a GHG as CO₂ (IPCC, 2001), clearly increased during the late Holocene (Fig. 1). According to Blunier et al. (1995), part of this increase is caused by the expansion of peatlands. Wetlands, which include these peatlands, are presently world's largest natural source of atmospheric CH₄.

In the Netherlands, extensive peat areas developed during the Holocene in the north-eastern part of the country (bogs) and in almost the entire western lowland area (fens and bogs). Part of the carbon stored in these peatlands has already disappeared as a result of

¹ Atmospheric emission of 1 Gt carbon (e.g. CO₂) would result in an increase of the atmospheric concentration of about 0.47 ppm.




Figure 1. Changes in the atmospheric CH₄ concentration (ppb) during the Holocene. Based on GRIP and GISP2 data (Chappellaz et al., 1997; Blunier et al., 1995; Brook et al., 1996).

man's intervention (mainly digging, and oxidation due to drainage), and nowadays approximately 450,000 ha of the Netherlands consists of peatland, of which 160,000 ha is found in the western Netherlands.

If high water tables are restored, former agricultural peatlands in the western Netherlands can become a carbon sink as CO₂ will be extracted from the atmosphere by the peat forming vegetation. It is likely, however, that the emission of CH₄ will increase. This increase is dependent on the degree of inundation, since CH₄ can be oxidized before emission if the water table is (slightly) below the surface, and on the presence of other oxidants (IPCC, 2000). Results from gas-flux measurements in the slightly drained wetland-nature area Guisveld (Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted) show that CH₄ emission increases with higher water tables, while CO₂ emission decreases. The process-based simulation model PEATLAND (Van Huissteden & Van den Bos, submitted) also shows that higher water tables in agricultural peatland areas lead to a decrease in CO₂ emission (reduced decomposition rates), but also to a marked increase in CH₄ emission. The model does not include

carbon uptake by the (peat forming) vegetation, but it is expected that the restoration of former wetlands in the Netherlands will effectively reduce GHG emissions because the combined effect of reduced CO₂ emission and increased CO₂ uptake by the vegetation is likely to exceed the effect of the predicted increase in CH₄ emission (all fluxes expressed in CO₂-equivalents).

The overall balance between carbon fixation and release in restored wetland peat areas remains uncertain, because present-day rates of carbon uptake and CH₄ emission in natural (non-drained) peatlands and rates of CO₂ release from shallow-drained peatlands are poorly quantified. The objective of this paper is to review published quantitative data on CO₂ uptake and CH₄ release in non-drained peatlands and assess if restoration of former wetlands in the Netherlands will effectively reduce GHG emissions.

Approach

Present-day emissions from drained peatlands in the western Netherlands were obtained from field-flux measurements (Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, sub-

Table 1. Carbon uptake of various types of peatlands

Type of peatland	CO ₂ uptake rate (t C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	GHG balance ¹ rate (t CO ₂ -eq. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	References ²
global peatlands	0.1 – 0.35	0.4 – 1.3	(GACGC, 1998)
peatlands in boreal / temperate regions	0.17 – 0.29	0.6 – 1.1	(GACGC, 1998)
peatlands in Alaska, Canada, Finland, U.S.S.R.	0.2	0.7	(Armentano & Menges, 1986)
peatlands in Europe (western, central, eastern) and in U.S. (midwest, northeast)	0.48	1.8	(Armentano & Menges, 1986)
natural Finnish peatlands	0.08 – 0.35	0.3 – 1.3	(Nykänen et al., 1995; Vasander, 1996)
fens in Thompson, Canada	0.1 – 1.8	0.4 – 6.6	(Trumbore et al., 1999)
western boreal Canada	0.14 – 0.35	0.5 – 1.3	(Kuhry & Vitt, 1996)
northern Europe	0.35 – 0.44	1.3 – 1.6	(Gorham, 1991)

¹ Rate Carbon balance–Rate GHG balance: 1 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ = 3.67 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

² Some values are based on several other sources compiled by the named references.

mitted) and from the literature. Rates of CO₂ fixation and CH₄ release in natural (non-drained) peatlands, as reported in the literature, are used to assess the effect on the carbon and GHG balance of raising the water tables in former agricultural peatland areas. These rates are recalculated into comparable units (Tables 1 and 2) and discussed in terms of accuracy and applicability for the western Netherlands. This approach gives, ultimately, the most likely answer to the question whether restoration of former wetlands in the Netherlands is an effective instrument to reduce GHG emissions.

Results and discussion

CO₂ release from drained peatlands

Most peatlands in the western Netherlands are currently being drained and act as a relatively strong source of CO₂. Closed chamber-flux measurements, performed at three selected areas within the coastal peatlands of the western Netherlands (Ransdorp, Kamerik and Guisveld) over the period November 1998 to August 2000, show clear seasonal trends in CO₂ emission (Fig. 2a; for details see Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted). Between-area variation

in monthly CO₂ flux was small (mean standard deviation = 150 mg CO₂ m⁻² hr⁻¹), even though peat composition, water tables and management differed considerably. Of the measured CO₂ emission 57–67 % was attributed to microbial degradation of peat. The annual CO₂ emission caused by peat degradation, as calculated by Van den Bos & Van de Plassche (submitted), amounts to 7.9–11.2 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or 29–41 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹.

Relatively large CH₄ fluxes (>1 mg m⁻² hr⁻¹) were produced only at those Guisveld sites with year-round high water tables (<25 cm below surface). Like CO₂, these fluxes also show clear seasonal trends, with the largest fluxes at high temperatures in summer (Fig. 2b). An average CH₄ emission rate of 0.06 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or 1.8 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) was calculated for the Guisveld high water-table sites (Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted).

Carbon accumulation in natural peatlands

Carbon-accumulation rates compiled by different authors for various types of peatland are listed in Table 1. Rates are expressed both in tons CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and in tons CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The table shows that most values found in the literature are below




Figure 2. a) Average CO₂ emission due to peat degradation (t CO₂ ha⁻¹ month⁻¹) over the three study areas (Ransdorp, Kamerik and Guisveld). Of the total measured CO₂ emission 57–67% is attributed to microbial degradation of peat (for this figure 62 % is used); b) CH₄ emission from the Guisveld high water-table sites (t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ month⁻¹). Error bars indicate the standard deviation. For more details see Van den Bos & Van de Plassche (submitted).

0.5 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or <1.8 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), except the rates calculated by Trumbore et al. (1999). These authors compared three methods to calculate carbon-accumulation rates in four different environments (collapse bog, poor fen, intermediate fen, and rich fen) within the large Thompson peatland complex (Canada). They found clear differences in carbon uptake between the sites (with rich fen having the lowest values and poor fen the highest).

Since net primary production of the vegetation was similar for all fen sites, differences in accumulation rates were attributed to differences in overall decomposition rates. Method 1 (measurement of above ground net primary production and soil respiration) and method 2 (radiocarbon) gave a range of 0.1–1.8 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, but method 3 (direct net ecosystem production measurements) yielded a range of 0.03–0.65 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Trumbore et al. (1999) did not give a clear explanation for the large difference in results between the three methods, although some advantages and disadvantages of each method were discussed. One of the disadvantages of methods 1 and 3 is the fact that these are based on only a single year of measurements. Since none of the other accumulation rates in Table 1 exceeds 1.0 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, the results from Trumbore's methods 1 and 2 are questioned here. Inspection of Trumbore's calculations reveals that some important components are estimates based on published data. For the radiocarbon method (method 2) only a 40 year record was used. Kuhry & Vitt (1996), on the other hand, used a 9000 year record and found carbon-accumulation rates of 0.14–0.35 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Based on several published long-term accumulation rates (0.2–0.8 mm yr⁻¹), Gorham (1991) suggests that an overall accumulation rate in boreal and subarctic peatlands of 0.5 mm yr⁻¹ seems both conservative and reasonable. This rate equals 0.29 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or 1.1 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (using a carbon content for wet peat of 58 kg C m⁻³; Gorham, 1991).

Initial rates of carbon storage in newly forming wetlands can be high (up to 1.0 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; or 3.7 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), but slow down over time as peat accumulates and decomposition losses begin to offset additions (IPCC, 2000; Vasander, 1996). Hydrology, nutrient status, and vegetation are inextricably linked. Most peatland soils in the western Netherlands have been drained and used for agricultural purposes, and extensive application of fertilizer has resulted in a high soil fertility. Furthermore, deposition rates of atmospheric nitrogen in the Netherlands are generally high (up to 100 kg N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Consequently, when water levels in these former agricultural wetlands are raised, this large nutrient avail-

ability, in combination with internal eutrophication in the peat soil (caused by decomposition), will result in relatively large above-ground biomass production and in species poverty. A species-rich fen, on the other hand, is more desirable in view of ecological improvement, but requires a more nutrient poor substrate; moreover, it is generally less productive (Koerselman, 1989).

Another aspect of carbon accumulation in newly formed peatlands is the influence of man on the vegetation. Not only the type of vegetation, but also the amount of carbon in the system can be affected by man. Carbon accumulation in living reed vegetation, for example, amounts to 6.8 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Goosen et al., 1996). By annually harvesting of the above-ground biomass the total carbon and GHG balances can thus (temporarily) be influenced. However, this paper focusses only on more natural (peat growing) systems and on restoration of former wetlands in which the vegetation is not actively managed. Forests, for example, are likely to be far more effective in carbon accumulation when actively managed (more carbon can be extracted from the atmosphere and be stored for a longer period). The vegetation in peat growing systems extracts CO₂ from the atmosphere and yearly adds a certain amount of carbon into the soil (peat). The above-ground vegetation (and thus the amount of carbon stored) changes substantially in the first years after restoration due to species changes, thereafter a new equilibrium sets in and the amount of carbon stored in the above-ground vegetation changes only slowly as succession continues.

CH₄ emission from natural peatlands

Published CH₄ emission rates from various types of natural and shallow drained wetland areas are listed in Table 2, expressed both in tons CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ and in tons CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The range of CH₄ emission found in the literature is large: <0.01–0.57 t CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or <0.1–17.5 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Shannon & White (1994) found clear differences in CH₄ emission between two bog areas in Michigan (USA). They measured very large annual CH₄ fluxes (0.5–0.57 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) at three sites in Buck Hollow, characterized by water tables at or above the peat surface for major portions of the year and high (summer) soil temperatures (20–25 °C). Four measurement sites at an other Michigan bog area, Big Cassandra Bog, showed much lower annual fluxes (0.0015–0.35 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) over a period of three years, mainly as a result of lower water tables. Highly dynamic water tables and differences in plant communities at the Big Cassandra Bog sites, on the other hand, resulted in

Table 2. CH₄ emission rates from various types of natural and shallow drained wetland areas

Type of peatland	CH ₄ emission rate (t C ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	GHG balance rate (t CO ₂ -eq. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Reference ²
global natural wetlands	0.05 – 0.21	1.5 – 6.4	(GACGC, 1998)
natural wetlands in boreal / temperate regions	0.08 – 0.15	2.5 – 4.6	(GACGC, 1998)]
all northern peatlands	0.04	1.3	(Gorham, 1995)
nature reserve area Guisveld, Netherlands	0.06	1.8	(Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted)
fens (Nieuwkoopse plassen), Netherlands	0.06 – 0.15	1.8 – 4.6	(Van den Pol-van Dasselaar, 1998)
virgin fen, Finland	0.15 – 0.26	4.6 – 8.0	(Martikainen et al., 1995; Nykänen, et al., 1995)
bogs and fens Minnesota, (North America), mean values	0.16 – 0.24	4.9 – 7.4	(Dise et al., 1993)
temperate bogs, Michigan (North America), range	< 0.01 – 0.57	0.1 – 17.5	(Shannon & White, 1994)
northern Hudson Bay Lowland, Canada	0.06	1.8	(Roulet et al., 1994)

¹ Rate Carbon balance–Rate GHG balance: 1 t CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ = (1.33 * 23) = 30.67 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹; 23 is global warming potential of CH₄ for 100 years.

² Some values are based on several other sources compiled by the named references.

large inter-annual and spatial variability in fluxes. Thus, both high water tables (in combination with high temperatures) and plant communities exert a primary influence on the CH₄ flux. The presence of CH₄-transporting plants (like vascular sedges) and the amount and quality of organic carbon substrates provided by the vegetation strongly determine how much CH₄ can be emitted from peatlands (Shannon & White, 1994).

Van den Pol-Van Dasselaar (1998) measured CH₄ emission rates of 0.06 - 0.15 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in the western Netherlands (wetland-nature area Nieuwkoopse Plassen) and concluded that an average CH₄ emission from grassland on peat soil of 0.1 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or 3.1 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) is reasonable. Gas-flux measurements in the wetland-nature area Guisveld resulted in an average CH₄ emission rate of 0.06 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Fig. 2b) (Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted). Since the latter area is shallow drained and therefore not an actively growing peatland, it is likely that CH₄ emission rates will exceed 0.06 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or 1.8 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) when the water tables are raised to or above the surface. Although a wide range of CH₄ emission rates are reported in the literature (Table 2), values presented here (especially those for the western Netherlands) show that CH₄ emission rates of >0.1 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or 3.1 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) are likely to occur sometime after these agricultural peatland areas in the western Netherlands have been reconverted into undrained wetland-nature areas.

Balance between CO₂ sink and CH₄ source in natural peatlands

In calculating the balance between CO₂ uptake and

CH₄ release, CH₄ is taken as a 23 times stronger GHG than CO₂. Lashof & Ahuja (1990) questioned if it was justified to use the global warming potential (GWP) as a multiplying factor because it did not fully take into account the much shorter residence time of CH₄ in the atmosphere (14.4 yr) compared to that of CO₂ (230 yr). Nowadays, however, this difference in atmospheric lifetime is accounted for by calculating the GWP's over different time horizons (IPCC, 2001). For gases with short atmospheric lifetimes, their effects are greatest when measured over a short time span. As the time span lengthens, their total effect diminishes. Thus, the estimated direct GWP of any particular gas is highest for time periods shorter than its atmospheric lifetime and lower for periods that are longer. For CH₄ the GWP diminishes from 62 for a period of 20 years, to 23 for a period of 100 years, to 7 for a period of 500 years (IPCC, 2001).

On the basis of the above discussion of rates of CO₂ fixation and CH₄ release in natural peatlands, it is concluded that restoring former natural peatlands (presently grasslands) can result in a net increase in GHG emission since long-term carbon-accumulation rates (i.e. peat formation) are generally below 1.8 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or <0.5 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and CH₄ emission from anaerobic degradation of organic matter is likely to be >3.1 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or >0.1 t CH₄-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹).

Balance between CO₂ sink and CH₄ source in inundated, formerly drained peatlands

It is likely, however, that the restoration of drained peatlands into wetlands in the western Netherlands will effectively reduce GHG emissions, due to the fact that most peatlands are presently being drained and

act as a relatively strong source of CO₂. The largest effect of inundating drained peatlands in the Netherlands will be the inhibition of the oxidation of organic matter and therefore a reduction of the CO₂ emission. When the CO₂ emission caused by peat degradation (7.9–11.2 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or 29–41 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), as calculated by Van den Bos & Van de Plassche (submitted), is completely reduced due to inundation, the expected increase in CH₄ emission is relatively small and a net reduction in GHG emission will occur. Note, however, that peat oxidation can be effectively suppressed by inundation only when peat forming conditions are restored, because shallow drained peatlands can emit equal amounts of GHG as deeper drained peatlands (Van den Bos & Van de Plassche, submitted).

Conclusions

In newly formed wetlands, rates of carbon storage can be high (up to 1.0 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹), but rates slow down over time (probably to values <0.5 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or <1.8 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) as peat accumulates and decomposition losses begin to offset additions. CH₄ emission rates in peatlands are dependent on many environmental variables (e.g. temperature, presence of oxidants) and vary widely. When water tables are at or above the surface, however, a CH₄ emission >0.1 t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (or 3.1 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ using a GWP of 23 for a period of 100 years) is likely to occur. Based on these rates of CO₂ fixation and CH₄ release, new peatlands can thus become a (small) net source of GHG emission. However, when the present situation of peat drainage in the western Netherlands is taken into account, the large CO₂ emission by aerobic degradation (7.9–11.2 t CO₂-C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or 29–41 t CO₂-equivalents ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) will be suppressed by inundation. The conclusion is therefore, that the restoration of former wetlands in the Netherlands will effectively reduce GHG emissions, because the inhibition of present-day peat oxidation, and the resulting decrease in CO₂ emission, will largely exceed the increase in CH₄ emission (expressed in terms CO₂-equivalent radiative forcing).

Acknowledgements

I thank Pier Vellinga and Orson van de Plassche for comments on a draft of this paper.

References

Armentano, T.V. & Menges, E.S., 1986. Patterns of change in the carbon balance of organic soil wetlands of the temperate zone.

- Journal of Ecology 74: 755–774.
- Blunier, T., Chappellaz, J., Schwander, J., Stauffer, B. & Raynaud, D., 1995. Variations in atmospheric methane concentration during the Holocene Epoch. *Nature* 374: 46–49.
- Botch, M.S., Kobak, K.I., Vinson, T.S. & Kolchunia, T.P., 1995. Carbon pools and accumulation in peatlands of the former Soviet Union. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 9: 37–46.
- Brook, E.J., Sowers, T. & Orchardo J., 1996. Rapid variations in atmospheric methane concentration during the past 110,000 years. *Science* 273: 1087–1091.
- Chappellaz, J., Blunier, T., Kints, S., Dällenbach, A., Barnola, J.-M., Schwander, J., Raynaud, D. & Stauffer, B., 1997. Changes in the atmospheric CH₄ gradient between Greenland and Antarctica during the Holocene. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102: 15987–15999.
- Dise, N.B., Gorham, E. & Verry, E.S., 1993. Environmental factors controlling methane emissions from peatlands in northern Minnesota. *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres* 98: 10583–10594.
- GACGC, 1998. The accounting of biological sinks and sources under the Kyoto Protocol - a step forwards or backwards for global environmental protection? German Advisory Council on Global Change (GACGC)/ Wissenschaftliche Beirat der Bundesregierung Globale Umweltveränderungen (WBGU) (Bremerhaven): 75 pp.
- Goosen, H., Ruijgrok, E.C.M., Mager, S., Rozema, J., Hoosbeek, M.R., Van Breemen, N., Aiking, H. & Vellinga, P., 1996. Natuurontwikkeling en de mogelijkheden voor koolstofopslag: Achtergrondrapport. Instituut voor Milieuvraagstukken (Amsterdam): 60 pp.
- Gorham, E., 1991. Northern peatlands role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. *Ecological Applications* 1: 182–195.
- Gorham, E., 1995. The biogeochemistry of Northern peatlands and its possible responses to Global Warming. In: Woodwell, G.M. & Mackenzie, F.T. (Eds): Biotic feedbacks in the global climatic system; Will the warming feed the warming? Oxford University Press (New York): 169–187.
- IPCC, 2000. Land Use, Land-Use Change, and Forestry. A Special Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N.H., Verardo, D.J. & Dokken, D.J. (Eds). Cambridge University Press (Cambridge): 375 pp.
- IPCC, 2001. Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Houghton, J.T., Ding, Y., Griggs, D.J., Noguer, M., Van der Linden, P.J. & Xiaosu, D. (eds). Cambridge University Press (Cambridge): 944 pp.
- Koerselman, W., 1989. Hydrology and nutrient budgets of fens in an agricultural landscape. University of Utrecht, Krips Repro (Meppel): 164 pp.
- Kuhry, P. & Vitt, D.H., 1996. Fossil carbon/nitrogen ratios as a measure of peat decomposition. *Ecology* 77: 271–275.
- Lashof, D.A. and Ahuja, D.R., 1990. Relative contributions of greenhouse gas emissions to global warming. *Nature* 344: 529–531.
- Martikainen, P.J., Nykänen, H., Alm, J. & Silvola, J., 1995. Change in fluxes of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide due to forest drainage of mire sites of different trophic. *Plant and Soil* 169: 571–577.
- Nykänen, H., Alm, J., Lang, K., Silvola, J. & Martikainen, P.J., 1995. Emissions of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ from a virgin fen and a fen drained for grassland in Finland. *Journal of Biogeography* 22: 351–357.

- Post, W.M., Emanuel, W.R., Zinke, P.J. & Stangenberger, A.G., 1982. Soil carbon pools and world life zones. *Nature* 298: 156-159.
- Roulet, N.T., Jano, A., Kelly, C.A., Klinger, L.F., Moore, T.R., Protz, R., Ritter, J.A. & Rouse, W.R., 1994. Role of the Hudson-Bay Lowland as a source of atmospheric methane. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 99: 1439-1454.
- Shannon, R.D. & White, J.R., 1994. A Three-year study of controls on methane emissions from two Michigan peatlands. *Biogeochemistry* 27: 35-60.
- Trumbore, S.E., Bubier, J.L., Harden, J.W. & Crill, P.M., 1999. Carbon cycling in boreal wetlands: A comparison of three approaches. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 104: 27673-27682.
- Van den Bos, R. & Van de Plassche, O., submitted to *Biogeochemistry*. Variables influencing present-day emission of methane and carbon dioxide from coastal peatlands in the western Netherlands.
- Van den Pol-Van Dasselaar, A., 1998. Methane emission from grasslands. Wageningen Agriculture University (Wageningen): 179 pp.
- Van Huissteden, J. & Van den Bos, R., submitted to *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. Modeling the effect of water-table management on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from peat soil.
- Vasander, H., 1996. Peatlands in Finland. Finnish Peatland Society (Helsinki): 168 pp.