

TWO FURTHER RAMANUJAN PAIRS

MICHAEL D. HIRSCHHORN

(Received 7 January 1980)

Communicated by A. J. van der Poorten

Abstract

In a recent article, George E. Andrews considers a generalization of the Rogers–Ramanujan identities involving a pair of infinite sequences of positive integers, which he calls a ‘Ramanujan pair’. He lists the known Ramanujan pairs and conjectures that there are no more. The object of this note is to establish the existence of two further Ramanujan pairs.

1980 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.)*: 5 A 17, 5 A 19.

1

George E. Andrews (1979), p. 88, makes the following definition: A ‘Ramanujan pair’ is a pair of infinite sequences of positive integers $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ with the property that

$$(1.1) \quad \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^{a_n})^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{q^{b_1 + \dots + b_n}}{(q)_n}$$

(where, as usual, $(q)_n$ denotes $(1 - q)(1 - q^2)\dots(1 - q^n)$). He conjectures that the only Ramanujan pairs are those he lists, namely

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{m, m + 1, m + 2, m + 3, \dots\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{m, m, m, m, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{m, m + 1, m + 2, \dots, 2m - 1, 2m + 1, 2m + 3, \dots\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{m, m + 1, m + 2, m + 3, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

© Copyright Australian Mathematical Society 1980

Copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for scholarly purposes as permitted under the Copyright Act, no part of this JOURNAL may be reproduced by any process without written permission from the Treasurer of the Australian Mathematical Society.

which arise from results of Euler, as well as

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{1, 4, 6, 9, \dots\} \\ &= \{m > 0 : m \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{5}\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{1, 3, 5, 7, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{2, 3, 7, 8, \dots\} \\ &= \{m > 0 : m \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{5}\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{2, 4, 6, 8, \dots\}, \end{aligned}$$

which correspond to the Rogers–Ramanujan identities.

Ramanujan had conjectured that $a_n = b_n = p_n$, the n 'th prime, provided a Ramanujan pair, but this is false (loc. cit.).

However, Andrews has overlooked two further Ramanujan pairs, and they are

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 15, \dots\} \\ &= \{m > 0 : m \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 7 \pmod{16}\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{1, 3, 3, 5, 5, 7, 7, \dots\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \{a_n\} &= \{2, 3, 4, 5, 11, 12, 13, 14, \dots\} \\ &= \{m > 0 : m \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5 \pmod{16}\}, \\ \{b_n\} &= \{2, 2, 4, 4, 6, 6, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

These correspond respectively to the identities

$$(1.8) \quad \prod_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \equiv \pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 7 \pmod{16}}} (1 - q^m)^{-1} = \\ = 1 + \frac{q^1}{(q)_1} + \frac{q^{1+3}}{(q)_2} + \frac{q^{1+3+3}}{(q)_3} + \frac{q^{1+3+3+5}}{(q)_4} + \dots$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \prod_{\substack{m>0 \\ m \equiv \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5 \pmod{16}}} (1 - q^m)^{-1} = \\ = 1 + \frac{q^2}{(q)_1} + \frac{q^{2+2}}{(q)_2} + \frac{q^{2+2+4}}{(q)_3} + \frac{q^{2+2+4+4}}{(q)_4} + \dots$$

Identities (1.8) and (1.9) have not, as far as I am aware, previously been stated explicitly, but they are implicit in Hirschhorn (1979), Theorems 3 and 4. (Theorems 1 and 2 of that paper do not, however, give rise to Ramanujan pairs.)

Section 2 is devoted to a proof of identity (1.8). The proof of (1.9) is similar, so is omitted.

Whether the list of Ramanujan pairs is yet complete remains an open question.

2

We require only the classical identities of Euler and Jacobi, namely

$$(2.1) \quad (-a; q)_{\infty} = \sum_0^{\infty} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(r^2-r)} a^r}{(q)_r}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad (-a^{-1}q; q^2)_{\infty} (-aq; q^2)_{\infty} (q^2; q^2)_{\infty} = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} a^r q^{r^2}.$$

Both (2.1) and (2.2) follow easily from

$$(2.3) \quad (-a^{-1}q; q^2)_i (-aq; q^2)_j = \sum_{-i}^j a^r q^{r^2} \left[\begin{matrix} i+j \\ i+r \end{matrix} \right]_{(q^2)},$$

proved in Hirschhorn (1976). (2.3) is ascribed there to P. A. MacMahon, but I have since been informed by Richard Askey that it is much older.

Consider the sum

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + \frac{q^1}{(q)_1} + \frac{q^{1+3}}{(q)_2} + \frac{q^{1+3+3}}{(q)_3} + \frac{q^{1+3+3+5}}{(q)_4} + \dots \\ & = \sum_{r \geq 0} \left(\frac{q^{2r^2+2r}}{(q)_{2r}} + \frac{q^{2r^2+4r+1}}{(q)_{2r+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This collapses to

$$\sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{2r^2+2r}(1-q^{2r+1}) + q^{2r^2+4r+1}}{(q)_{2r+1}} = \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{2r^2+2r}}{(q)_{2r+1}},$$

which can be written as, essentially, the difference between two series summable via (2.1):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{2r^2+2r}}{(q)_{2r+1}} &= \sum_{r \text{ odd}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}(r^2-1)}}{(q)_r} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}r^2}}{(q)_r} - \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^r \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}r^2}}{(q)_r} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \{ (-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_{\infty} - (q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_{\infty} \}. \end{aligned}$$

If we now extract and re-insert the product $(q^2; q^2)_x$, we obtain two products which can be expanded via (2.2):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_x - (q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q)_x\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q^2; q^2)_x^{-1}\{(-q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^2)_x (-q^{3/2}; q^2)_x (q^2; q^2)_x \\ & \quad - (q^{\frac{1}{2}}; q^2)_x (q^{3/2}; q^2)_x (q^2; q^2)_x\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}q^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q^2; q^2)_x^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{-x}^x q^{r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r} - \sum_{-x}^x (-1)^r q^{r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The two sums coalesce to give, again via (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} & (q^2; q^2)_x^{-1} \cdot \sum_{r \text{ odd}} q^{r^2 - \frac{1}{2}r - \frac{1}{2}} \\ &= (q^2; q^2)_x^{-1} \sum_{-x}^x q^{4r^2 + 3r} \\ &= (q^2; q^2)_x^{-1} (-q; q^8)_x (-q^7; q^8)_x (q^8; q^8)_x. \end{aligned}$$

Using the device $(-q^a; q^b)_x = (q^a; q^b)_x^{-1} (q^{2a}; q^{2b})_x$, we find easily that the product

$$(q^2; q^2)_x^{-1} (-q; q^8)_x (-q^7; q^8)_x (q^8; q^8)_x = \prod_{\substack{m=1 \\ m \equiv \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \pmod{16}}} (1 - q^m)^{-1}$$

which completes the proof of (1.8).

References

G. E. Andrews (1979), 'An incredible formula of Ramanujan', *Austral. Math. Soc. Gaz.* **6**, 80–89.
 M. D. Hirschhorn (1976), 'Simple proofs of identities of MacMahon and Jacobi', *Discrete Math.* **16**, 161–162.
 M. D. Hirschhorn (1979), 'Some partition theorems of the Rogers–Ramanujan type', *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A*, **27**, 33–37.

School of Mathematics
 University of New South Wales
 P.O. Box 1, Kensington, N.S.W. 2033
 Australia