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Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence of a graph decomposition of the Kneser Graph $K G_{n, 2}$ and of the Generalized Kneser Graph $G K G_{n, 3,1}$ into paths of length three.

## 1 Introduction

An $H$-decomposition of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is a pair $(V, B)$, where $B$ is a collection of edge-disjoint subgraphs of $G$, each isomorphic to $H$, whose edges partition $E(G)$. There is much in the literature concerning decompositions of various graphs. The subgraphs used to partition the edges of $G$ are, for example, cycles [ $1,8,12$ ] and paths [14], and $G$ is most commonly a complete multipartite graph. More recently, stemming from statistical designs, $G$ has been chosen to be the graph formed from a complete multipartite graph with multiplicity $\lambda_{2}$ by adding a copy of $\lambda_{1} K_{n}$ to each part of size $n$ and $H$ is a 3-cycle, a 4-cycle, or a Hamilton cycle [2,5,6].

In this paper, we consider path decompositions in the case where $G$, the graph being decomposed, is a Kneser Graph or a Generalized Kneser Graph. The Kneser Graph $K G_{n, k}$ is the graph whose vertices are the $k$-element subsets of some set of $n$ elements, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if their intersection is empty. The Generalized Kneser Graph, $G K G_{n, k, r}$ is the graph whose vertices are the $k$-element subsets of some set of $n$ elements, in which two vertices are adjacent if and only if they intersect in precisely $r$ elements. The graph-decomposition problem of finding necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $P_{3}$-decompositions of $K G_{n, 2}$ and $G K G_{n, 3,1}$ is completely solved in Theorems 1 and 2 respectively, where $P_{i}$ denotes a path of length $i$. An explicit construction is provided to find the relevant decompositions.

It is worth noting that Kneser graphs have attracted much interest in the years since Kneser first described them in 1955 [9]. For instance, Kneser Graphs are known to contain a Hamiltonian cycle if $n \geq 3 k$ [4]. The current conjecture is that all Kneser Graphs are Hamiltonian if $n \geq 2 k+1$, with the exception of $K G_{5,2}$, which is the Petersen Graph. It has been shown computationally that all connected Kneser graphs with $n \leq 27$ except for the Petersen Graph are Hamiltonian [13]. Also, much interest has centered on solving the conjecture by Kneser that $\chi\left(K G_{n, k}\right)=n-2 k+2$ whenever

[^0]$n \geq 2 k[3,7,9-11]$, where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of $G\left(K G_{n, k}\right.$ has no edges if $n<2 k$ ).

## 2 Building Blocks

Let $T_{k}(V)$ be the set of $k$-element subsets of the set $V$. Let $P_{3}=(a, b, c, d)$ denote the path of length three with edge set $\{\{a, b\},\{b, c\},\{c, d\}\}$.

The following lemmas will be useful in the constructions to come.
Lemma 1 There exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of each of the following graphs:
(i) $K_{2,3}$;
(ii) $K_{3,3}$;
(iii) $K_{n, 3 k}$ for any $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$;
(iv) $H_{4}=K_{3,3}-F$ with bipartition $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{6} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}$ of $V\left(K_{3,3}\right)$, and where $E(F)=$ $\left\{\{i, i+3\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\} ;$
(v) $H_{5}=H_{4} \cup G^{\prime}$, where $G^{\prime}=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{9} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{3},\{\{3,6\},\{4,7\},\{5,8\}\}\right)$;
(vi) $H_{6}$, the bipartite graph with bipartition $\left\{T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right), \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right\}$ of $V\left(H_{6}\right)$ and $E\left(H_{6}\right)=$ $\left\{\{a, b\} \mid b \notin a, a \in T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right), b \in \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right\} ;$
(vii) $K G_{5,2}$ (the Petersen Graph);
(viii) $H_{8}$, the bipartite graph with bipartition $\left\{T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right), \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right\}$ of $V\left(H_{8}\right)$ and $E\left(H_{8}\right)=$ $\left\{\{a, b\} \mid b \notin a, a \in T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right), b \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right\}$.

Proof (i) Define $K_{2,3}$ with bipartition $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{5} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}$ of the vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$. Then

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5},\{(0,2,1,3),(3,0,4,1)\}\right)
$$

is the required decomposition.
(ii) Define $K_{3,3}$ with bipartition $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{6} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\}$ of the vertex set $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$. Then

$$
\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6},\{(3,0,5,2),(1,3,2,4),(0,4,1,5)\}\right)
$$

is the required decomposition.
(iii) Since $n \geq 2$, form a partition $P$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ into sets of size 2 and 3, and a partition $Q$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{n+3 k} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ into sets of size 3. For each $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, let $(p \cup q$, $B_{p, q}$ ) be a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $K_{|p|, 3}$ with bipartition $\{p, q\}$ of the vertex set. Then $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n+3 k}, \cup_{p \in P, q \in Q} B_{p, q}\right)$ is the required $P_{3}$-decomposition of $K_{n, 3 k}$.
(iv) With bipartition $\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{6} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{3}\right\},\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6},\{(0,4,2,3),(0,5,1,3)\}\right)$ is the required decomposition with $F=\{\{0,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,5\}\}$.
(v) $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{9},\{(6,3,1,5),(7,4,2,3),(8,5,0,4)\}\right)$ is the required decomposition.
(vi)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(V\left(H_{6}\right),\{(0,\{2,3\}, 1,\{0,2\}),(1,\{0,3\}\right. & , 2,\{1,3\}) \\
& (2,\{0,1\}, 3,\{0,2\}),(3,\{1,2\}, 0,\{1,3\}))
\end{aligned}
$$

is the required decomposition.
(vii) Let $V\left(K G_{5,2}\right)=T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$. Then

$$
\left(T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right),\left\{(\{i, i+1\},\{i+2, i+3\},\{i+1, i+4\},\{i, i+3\}) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{5}\right\}\right.
$$

reducing the sums modulo 5 is the required decomposition.
(viii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(V\left(H_{8}\right),\{(1,\{0,2\}, 3,\{0,1\}),(2,\{0,3\}, 4,\{0,2\}),\right. \\
& \quad(2,\{0,4\}, 1,\{0,3\}),(0,\{1,2\}, 3,\{0,4\}), \\
& (0,\{1,3\}, 4,\{1,2\}),(3,\{1,4\}, 2,\{1,3\}), \\
& *(4,\{2,3\}, 0,\{1,4\}),(3,\{2,4\}, 1,\{2,3\}), \\
& (1,\{3,4\}, 0,\{2,4\}),(4,\{0,1\}, 2,\{3,4\}))
\end{aligned}
$$

is the required decomposition.
A graph $G$ is said to have an Euler tour if there exists a closed walk in $G$ that contains each edge of $G$ exactly once.

The following is well known.
Lemma 2 A connected simple graph $G$ has an Euler tour if and only if the degree of every vertex in $G$ is even.

From this, we can easily obtain the following result.
Lemma 3 If $G$ is a connected bipartite simple graph in which the number of edges is divisible by three and all vertices have even degree, then $G$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition.

Proof By Lemma 2, let $P=\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{e}\right)$ be an Euler tour of $G$. Since $G$ is bipartite, each set of three consecutive edges of $P$ induce a $P_{3}$. Therefore, since $e=|E(G)|$ is divisible by three, $\left(V(G),\left\{\left(v_{3 i}, v_{3 i+1}, v_{3 i+2}, v_{3 i+3}\right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{e / 3}\right\}\right)$ is a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $G$.

Lemma 4 There exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of each of the following graphs:
(i) $\operatorname{GKG}_{5,3,1}$ (the Petersen Graph), and
(ii) $G K G_{6,3,1}$.

Proof (i) $G K G_{5,3,1}=K G_{5,2}$ as can be seen by taking the complement of each vertex. The result follows from Lemma 1(vii).
(ii) Partition the vertices of $G K G_{6,3,1}$ into the following two types:

- Type 1: $T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$, and
- Type 2: $T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{6}\right) \backslash T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)$.

Let $G_{1}$ be the subgraph induced by the Type 1 vertices, $G_{2}$ be the subgraph induced by the Type 2 vertices, and $G_{3}$ be the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges of the form $\{x, y\}$ where $x$ is a Type 1 vertex and $y$ is a Type 2 vertex. $G_{1}$ is clearly a $G K G_{5,3,1}$ and has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by (i). $G_{2}$ is isomorphic to $K G_{5,2}$ (all vertices share the element 5, so two are adjacent only if their other two elements are disjoint) and has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(vii). $G_{3}$ is a bipartite graph that is 6-regular, so $\left|E\left(G_{3}\right)\right|$ is a multiple of three. To see that $G_{3}$ is connected, for each Type 1 vertex, $\{a, b, c\}$ in $G_{3}$ we display a path to each vertex of Type 2 as follows (where $a, b$, $c, d$ and $e$ are the distinct elements of $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right):(\{a, b, c\},\{a, d, 5\},\{b, c, d\},\{a, b, 5\})$, $(\{a, b, c\},\{a, d, 5\},\{a, b, e\},\{d, e, 5\})$, and $(\{a, b, c\},\{a, d, 5\})$. These account for
all pairs of nonadjacent vertices in $G_{3}$, so $G_{3}$ is connected. Therefore, $G_{3}$ is a connected even regular bipartite graph with a multiple of three edges, so by Lemma 3, it also has a $P_{3}$-decomposition. The union of these three decompositions forms a $P_{3}$ decomposition of $G K G_{6,3,1}$.

## 3 A $P_{3}$-Decomposition of $K G_{n, 2}$

Theorem $1 \quad K G_{n, 2}$ is $P_{3}$-decomposable if and only if $n \neq 4$.
Proof If $n \in\{1,2,3\}$, then $K G_{n, 2}$ has no edges, so the result is vacuously true. Since $K G_{4,2}$ is a 1 -factor on six vertices, it is clearly not $P_{3}$-decomposable. $K G_{5,2}$ is decomposable by Lemma 1(vii).

The remaining cases are proved by induction on $n$. So now assume that $K G_{w, 2}$ is $P_{3}$-decomposable for all $w \leq n$ for some $n \geq 5$. It is shown that $G=K G_{n+1,2}$ is $P_{3}$-decomposable. Let $\epsilon \in\{0,1,2\}$ such that $\epsilon \equiv n(\bmod 3)$. Let $\left(T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right), B\right)$ be a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $K G_{n, 2}$.

The subgraph of $K G_{n+1,2}$ induced by vertices in $T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\right) \backslash T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ clearly has no edges, since they all share the element $n$. What remains to be shown is that the subgraph induced by the edges connecting vertices in $T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ to vertices in $T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}\right) \backslash T_{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition.

Partition $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ into $t=(n-\epsilon) / 3$ sets: $S_{i}=\{3 i, 3 i+1,3 i+2\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$ and $S_{t-1}=\{i \mid n-3-\epsilon \leq i \leq n-1\}$. It is convenient to partition the old vertices, $T\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$, into the following two types:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{i}=\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x, y \in S_{i}, x \neq y\right\} \quad \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t} \\
V_{i, j}=\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in S_{i}, y \in S_{j}\right\} \quad \text { for } 0 \leq i<j<t
\end{gathered}
$$

Further, partition the new vertices into $t$ sets:

$$
S_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{\{x, n\} \mid x \in S_{i}\right\} \quad \text { for } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t} .
$$

All of the edges not involving vertices with elements in $S_{t-1}$ are handled first. Of these edges, the edges that require special attention are those joining two vertices in $\left\{\{v, s\} \mid v \in V_{i}, s \in S_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$, these edges induce a matching on six vertices, so they can't be decomposed into three paths in isolation. To decompose these edges, they are combined with edges joining two vertices in $\left\{\{v, s\} \mid v \in V_{0, i}, s \in S_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1} \backslash\{0\}$ to form 3-paths as described in the next two paragraphs, with $i=1$ being an even more special case.

First, consider the bipartite subgraph $G_{0}$ of $K G_{n+1,2}$ induced by the edges joining the vertices in $V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup V_{0,1}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime} \cup S_{1}^{\prime}$. Partition these edges as follows. The edges joining the vertices of $V_{1} \cup\left\{\{0, x\} \mid x \in S_{1}\right\}$ to $S_{1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{5}$, so by Lemma 1(v), there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of this subgraph. The edges joining the vertices of $V_{0} \cup\left\{\{x, 3\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ to $S_{0}^{\prime}$ also form a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{5}$, so by Lemma $1(\mathrm{v})$, there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of this subgraph as well. Now, for each $k \in\{1,2\}$ consider the edges joining the vertices $\{\{k, x\} \mid x \in$ $\left.S_{1}\right\}$ to the vertices in $S_{1}^{\prime}$. These edges induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, so by Lemma 1(iv), there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of this subgraph. Also, for each $k \in$
$\{4,5\}$ the edges joining the vertices $\left\{\{x, k\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, so by Lemma 1(iv), there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of this subgraph. The union of these sets of 3-paths produces a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V\left(G_{0}\right), B_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ of most of $G_{0}$. The edges connecting $V_{1}$ to $S_{0}^{\prime}$ and connecting $V_{0}$ to $S_{1}^{\prime}$ occur in paths in $B_{1,0}^{\prime}$ and $B_{0,1}^{\prime}$, respectively as defined below.

Now, for each $i \in\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{t-1} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}$, consider the bipartite subgraph $G_{i}$ of $K G_{n+1,2}$ induced by the edges joining the vertices in $V_{i} \cup V_{0, i}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime} \cup S_{i}^{\prime}$. The edges in $G_{i}$ connecting the vertices of $V_{i} \cup\left\{\{0, x\} \mid x \in S_{i}\right\}$ to $S_{i}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{5}$, thus it has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(v). Now, for each $k \in\{1,2\}$, the edges joining the vertices in $\left\{\{k, x\} \mid x \in S_{i}\right\}$ to the vertices in $S_{i}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, so there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition of the subgraph by Lemma 1(iv). Further, for each $k \in S_{i}$, the edges connecting the vertices of $\left\{\{x, k\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ to the vertices of $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$ which therefore has a decomposition by Lemma 1(iv). The union of these decompositions produce a $P_{3}$-decomposition, $\left(V\left(G_{i}\right), B_{i}^{\prime}\right)$, of most of $G_{i}$ for each $i \in\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{t} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}$. The remaining edges in $G_{i}$, namely those connecting $V_{i}$ to $S_{0}^{\prime}$, are in paths in $B_{i, 0}^{\prime}$ as defined below.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1} \backslash\{i\}$, the bipartite subgraph of $G$ induced by the edges joining vertices in $V_{i}$ to vertices in $S_{j}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, so by Lemma 1(ii) there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V_{i} \cup S_{j}^{\prime}, B_{i, j}^{\prime}\right)$ of this subgraph.

For $1<j<t-1$, the edges connecting vertices of $V_{0,1}$ to vertices of $S_{j}^{\prime}$ induce a $K_{9,3}$, and thus this graph has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V_{0,1} \cup S_{j}^{\prime}, B_{0,1, j}\right)$ by Lemma 1(iii).

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1} \backslash \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1} \backslash\{0, i\}$, the edges connecting vertices of $V_{0, i}$ with the vertices of $S_{j}^{\prime}$ induce a copy of $K_{9,3}$ so this graph has a $P_{3}$ decomposition, $\left(V_{0, i} \cup S_{j}^{\prime}, B_{0, i, j}\right)$, by Lemma 1(iii). For $0<i<j<t-1$ and $0 \leq k<t-1$, consider the bipartite subgraph of $G$ induced by edges joining vertices in $V_{i, j}$ to vertices in $S_{k}^{\prime}$. This subgraph of $G$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition as follows:
(a) if $k \notin\{i, j\}$, then the subgraph is isomorphic to $K_{9,3}$, so it has a $P_{3}$-decomposition ( $V_{i, j} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, j, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iii);
(b) if $k=i$, then for each $y \in S_{j}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in S_{i}\right\}$ and $S_{k=i}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition ( $V_{i, j} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, j, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iv);
(c) if $k=j$, then for each $x \in S_{i}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid y \in S_{j}\right\}$ and $S_{k=j}^{\prime}$ form a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V_{i, j} \cup\right.$ $S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, j, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iv).
The only edges left to consider are all the edges which are incident with a vertex in $S_{t-1}^{\prime} \cup V_{t-1} \cup V_{i, t-1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$. The handling of thse edges depends on the value of $\epsilon$.

First, consider the bipartite subgraph $G_{t-1}$ of $K G_{n+1,2}$ induced by the edges joining the vertices in $V_{t-1} \cup V_{0, t-1}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime} \cup S_{t-1}^{\prime}$. We consider each value of $\epsilon$ in turn.

For $\epsilon=0$, the edges in $G_{t-1}$ connecting the vertices of $V_{t-1} \cup\left\{\{0, x\} \mid x \in S_{t-1}\right\}$ to $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{5}$, thus it has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma $1(\mathrm{v})$. Now, for each $k \in\{1,2\}$, the edges joining the vertices in $\{\{k, x\} \mid$ $\left.x \in S_{t-1}\right\}$ to the vertices in $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, so there exists a
$P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(iv). Further, for each $k \in S_{t-1}$, the edges connecting the vertices of $\left\{\{x, k\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ to the vertices of $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$ which therefore has a decomposition by Lemma 1(iv). Lastly, the edges joining the vertices in $v_{t-1}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, and so has a $P_{3}$-decomposition be Lemma 1(ii). The union of these decompositions produce a $P_{3}$-decomposition, $\left(V\left(G_{t-1}\right), B_{t-1}^{\prime}\right)$, of $G_{t-1}$.

For $\epsilon=1$ or 2, the edges connecting vertices in $V_{t-1}$ to $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a graph isomorphic to $H_{6}$ or $H_{8}$ respectively, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(vi) ((viii) respectively). Regarding the edges connecting vertices in $V_{0, t-1}$ to $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$, for each $y \in S_{t-1}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ and $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$ if $\epsilon=1$ and $K_{3,4}$ if $\epsilon=2$, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(iii) in both cases. For each $k \in S_{t-1}$ the edges connecting the vertices in $\left\{\{x, k\} \mid x \in S_{0}\right\}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, so there exists a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 1(iv). Lastly, the edges joining the vertices in $v_{t-1}$ to the vertices in $S_{0}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3+\epsilon, 3}$, and so has a $P_{3}$-decomposition be Lemma 1(iii). The union of these decompositions produce a $P_{3}$-decomposition, $\left(V\left(G_{t-1}\right), B_{t-1}^{\prime}\right)$, of $G_{t-1}$.

The rest of the edges are easier to decompose.
For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t-1}$, the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges joining the vertices of $V_{i}$ to the vertices of $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a graph isomorphic $K_{3,3+\epsilon}$, so it has a $P_{3-}$ decomposition ( $V_{i} \cup S_{t-1}^{\prime}, B_{i, t-1}$ ) by Lemma 1(iii).

For $0 \leq i<j<t-1$, the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges joining the vertices of $V_{i, j}$ to the vertices of $S_{t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a graph isomorphic to $K_{9,3+\epsilon}$, so it has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V_{i, j} \cup S_{t-1}^{\prime}, B_{i, j, t-1}\right)$ by Lemma 1(iii).

Finally, for $0<i<t-1$ and $0 \leq k<t$, consider the bipartite subgraph of $G$ induced by edges joining vertices in $V_{i, t-1}$ to vertices in $S_{k}^{\prime}$. This subgraph of $G$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition as follows.
(a) If $k \notin\{i, t-1\}$, then the subgraph is isomorphic to $K_{3(3+\varepsilon), 3}$, so it has a $P_{3}$ decomposition $\left(V_{i, t-1} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, t-1, k}\right)$ by Lemma 1(iii).
(b) If $k=i$, then for each $y \in S_{t-1}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in S_{i}\right\}$ and $S_{k=i}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition ( $V_{i, t-1} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, t-1, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iv).
(c) If $k=t-1$, then we have the following cases:

- Case $\epsilon=0$ : For each $x \in S_{i}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid y \in S_{j}\right\}$ and $S_{k=j}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $H_{4}$, which has a $P_{3}$-decomposition ( $V_{i, j} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, j, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iv).
- Case $\epsilon \stackrel{\text { 1: For each } y \in S_{t-1} \text { the edges connecting the vertices }\{\{x, y\}||c| c| c \mid}{ }$ $\left.x \in S_{i}\right\}$ and $S_{k=t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3,3}$, which has a $P_{3}$ decomposition ( $V_{i, t-1} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, t-1, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(ii).
- Case $\epsilon=2$ : For each $y \in S_{t-1}$ the edges connecting the vertices $\{\{x, y\} \mid$ $\left.x \in S_{i}\right\}$ and $S_{k=t-1}^{\prime}$ induce a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{4,3}$, which has a $P_{3}$ decomposition ( $V_{i, t-1} \cup S_{k}^{\prime}, B_{i, t-1, k}$ ) by Lemma 1(iii).
This accounts for all new edges.

Let $B_{1}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{t}} B_{i}^{\prime}, B_{2}=\bigcup_{0 \leq i<j<t} B_{i, j}^{\prime}$, and $B_{3}=\bigcup_{0 \leq i<j<t, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{t}} B_{i, j, k}^{\prime}$. The required $P_{3}$-decomposition of $G$ is given by $\left(V(G), B \cup B_{1} \cup B_{2} \cup B_{3}\right)$.

## 4 A $P_{3}$-Decomposition of $G K G_{n, 3,1}$

Before stating and proving the main result for $G K G_{n, 3,1}$, a few definitions and a technical lemma are presented.

A digraph is an ordered quadruple $D=(V, E, t, h)$ where $V$ is a set of vertices, $E$ is a set of ordered pairs of vertices (each element of which is called an arc or directed edge), and $t, h: E \rightarrow V$ are functions defined by $t((u, v))=u$ and $h((u, v))=v$ for each $\operatorname{arc}(u, v) \in E(t(e)$ and $h(e)$ are called the tail and head of arc $e$, respectively). A complete digraph is a digraph in which $E=V \times V$.

A directed 2-factor of a digraph $D$ is a spanning subdigraph $F$ in which every vertex is the head of exactly one arc and the tail of exactly one arc of $F$.

Let $D=(V, E, t, h)$ be a digraph, let $C$ be a set of colors, and for each $e \in E$, let $C_{e} \subseteq C$. A $\left(C_{1}, \ldots, C_{e}\right)$-coloring of $D$ is a function $c: E \rightarrow C$ such that if $e \in E$ then $c(e) \in C_{e}$ (this is known as a list arc-coloring). A list arc-coloring is said to be proper if no two adjacent arcs receive the same color. In the following lemma, the vertex set is $T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$, so we can refer to the intersection of two vertices (it is the intersection of two 3 -element sets).

Lemma 5 Let $D=\left(T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right), E, t, h\right)$ be a complete digraph. Let $C=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ be a set of colors. For each $e \in E$, let $C_{e}=t(e) \cap h(e)$ (so possibly $C_{e}=\varnothing$ ). There exists a proper list arc-colored directed 2-factor of $D$.

Proof Let $D, C$, and $C_{e}$ be defined as stated in the lemma. Form a directed 2factor, $F$, of $D$ as follows.

First, form a partition, $P$, of the vertex set $T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ so that two vertices $\{a, b, c\}$ and $\{x, y, z\}$ are in the same element of $P$ if and only if $\{x, y, z\}=\{a+i, b+i, c+i\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ with the sums reduced modulo $n$. If $n$ is not a multiple of three, then $P$ contains $l=\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{6}$ sets, each containing $n$ elements. If $n$ is a multiple of three, say $n=3 k$, then $P$ contains $l=\left(\binom{3 k}{3}-k\right) / 3 k=3\binom{k}{2}$ sets of size $n$ and one set of size $k$. In either case, let the elements of $P$ of size $n$ be $\left\{E_{0}, E_{1}, \ldots, E_{l-1}\right\}$, and if $n$ is a multiple of three then let $E_{l}=\left\{\{i, i+k, i+2 k\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{k}\right\}$ be the single set of size $k$.

For $0 \leq i<l$, among the vertices in $E_{i}$, let $e_{i}=\left\{0, a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ with $a_{i}<b_{i}$ be one that contains both zero and as small a nonzero element of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ as possible (two such vertices might exist, in which case either can be $e_{i}$ ). Let $d_{i}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(a_{i}, n\right)$. For $0 \leq j<d_{i}$, and for $0 \leq r<\frac{n}{d_{i}}$, define the arc $e_{i, r, j}=\left(\left\{r a_{i}+j,(r+1) a_{i}+j, r a_{i}+b_{i}+j\right\},\left\{(r+1) a_{i}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.j,(r+2) a_{i}+j,(r+1) a_{i}+b_{i}+j\right\}\right)$ in $D$. Then for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{d_{i}}$, the subgraph $S_{i, j}$ of $D$ induced by $\left\{e_{i, r, j} \left\lvert\, 0 \leq r<\frac{n}{d_{i}}\right.\right\}$ is a directed cycle. Note that the both the tail and head of each arc $e_{i, r, j}$ contains the element $(r+1) a_{i}+j$; so let $c\left(e_{r, j}\right)=(r+1) a_{i}+j$. Clearly this coloring is proper since consecutive arc colors differ by $a_{i}(\bmod n)$, where clearly $a_{i}<n$. If $n$ is not a multiple of three, then $F=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{l}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{d_{i}}} S_{i, j}$ is a directed 2-factor that is properly list arc-colored as required.

If $n$ is a multiple of three, then $F$ is a properly list arc-colored directed 2-factor that includes all of the vertices in $D$ except for those in $E_{l}$. We now insert the $k$ vertices in $E_{l}$ into an already created directed cycle in $F$ and then give a proper list arc-coloring to the modified cycle. Recall that $\left.E_{l}=\{i, k+i, 2 k+i\} \mid 0 \leq i<k\right\}$. Consider the colored directed cycle, $C^{\prime}$, in $F$ containing the vertex $\{0,1,1+k\}$. Then $C^{\prime}=\left(v_{0}^{\prime}, v_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{\prime}\right)$ where for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}, v_{j}^{\prime}=\{0+j, 1+j, 1+k+j\}$ and where the $\operatorname{arc}\left(v_{j}^{\prime}, v_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)$ is colored $j+1$. For $0 \leq j \leq k$, replace the $\operatorname{arc}(\{0+j, 1+j, 1+k+j\},\{1+j, 2+$ $j, 2+k+j\})$ colored $i+j$ in $F$ with the $\operatorname{arcs}(\{0+j, 1+j, 1+k+j\},\{1+j, 1+k+j, 1+2 k+j\})$ colored $1+k+j$ and $(\{1+j, 1+k+j, 1+2 k+j\},\{1+j, 2+j, 2+k+j\})$ colored $1+j$. The resulting cycle is still properly list edge-colored since the only potential conflict is at the vertex $\{0,1,1+k\}$ which previously was incident with arcs colored 0 and 1 and now is incident with arcs colored 0 and $1+k$.

We are now ready to prove our second main result.
Theorem $2 G=G K G_{n, 3,1}$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition for all $n>0$.
Proof For $n \in\{1,2,3,4\}, G$ has no edges, so the result is vacuously true. For $n=5$, $G$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 4(i). For $n=6, G$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition by Lemma 4(ii).

The remaining cases are proved by induction on $n$. So now assume that $G K G_{w, 3,1}$ is $P_{3}$-decomposable for all $w \leq n$ for some $n \geq 6$. It is shown that $H=G K G_{n+2,3,1}$ is $P_{3}$ decomposable. Let $\left(T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right), B_{0}\right)$ be a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $G=G K G_{n, 3,1}$. Partition the vertices of $H$ as follows:
(i) $\quad V_{0}=T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right)$ (the vertices of $\left.G\right)$,
(ii) $V_{1}=\left\{\{a, b, n\} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$,
(iii) $V_{2}=\left\{\{a, b, n+1\} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$, and
(iv) $V_{3}=\left\{\{a, n, n+1\} \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$.

Consider the following subgraphs of $H$ :
(i) $\quad H_{0}$ is the subgraph induced by the vertices of $V_{0}$;
(ii) $H_{1}$ is the subgraph induced by the vertices of $V_{1} \cup V_{3}$;
(iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the subgraph induced by the vertices of $V_{2} \cup V_{3}$;
(iv) $H_{3}$ is the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in V_{0}, y \in V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right\}$;
(v) $H_{4}$ is the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in V_{1}, y \in V_{2}\right\}$;
(vi) $H_{5}$ is the bipartite subgraph induced by the edges $\left\{\{x, y\} \mid x \in V_{0}, y \in V_{3}\right\}$.

These six subgraphs clearly partition the edges of $H$, so combining $P_{3}$-decompositions of each will create a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $H$ itself.

Since $H_{0}=G$, it has a decomposition $\left(T_{3}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right), B_{0}\right)$ by assumption.
Next, notice that in $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, all vertices share the element $x=n$ or $n+1$ respectively; so any two vertices, say $\{a, b, x\}$ and $\{c, d, x\}$, are adjacent if and only if $\{a, b\} \cap\{c, d\}=\varnothing$. So $H_{1}$ is clearly isomorphic to $K G_{n+1,2}$ with vertex set $\{v \backslash\{n\} \mid v \in$ $\left.V\left(H_{1}\right)\right\}$ and $H_{2}$ is isomorphic to $K G_{n+1,2}$ with vertex set $\left\{v \backslash\{n+1\} \mid v \in V\left(H_{2}\right)\right\}$. Therefore, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ have $P_{3}$-decompositions $\left(V\left(H_{1}\right), B_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V\left(H_{2}\right), B_{2}\right)$ respectively by Theorem 1 .

Next, consider the bipartite subgraph $H_{3}$. If $v \in V_{0}$, then $d_{H_{3}}(v)=6\binom{n-3}{2}$, and if $v \in V_{1} \cup V_{2}$, then $d_{H_{3}}(v)=2\binom{n-2}{2}$, both of which are even. Also, $\left|E\left(H_{3}\right)\right|=$ $6\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-3}{2}$ which is clearly a multiple of three. Finally, to show $H_{3}$ is connected, for each $\{s, t, u\} \in V_{0}$ we display a path to each vertex in $V_{1} \cup V_{2}$ as follows (where $a, b$, $s, t$, and $u$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $\left.x \in\{n, n+1\}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\{s, t, u\},\{a, s, x\},\{b, s, u\},\{a, b, x\}) \\
& \quad(\{s, t, u\},\{a, s, x\},\{a, b, t\},\{s, t, x\}), \text { and }(\{s, t, u\},\{a, s, x\})
\end{aligned}
$$

These account for all pairs of nonadjacent vertices in $H_{3}$, so $H_{3}$ is easily seen to be connected. Therefore, $H_{3}$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V\left(H_{3}\right), B_{3}\right)$ by Lemma 3. We also use Lemma 3 to find a $P_{3}$-decomposition of $H_{4}$ as the following shows. $H_{4}$ is a $2\binom{n-2}{2}$-regular bipartite graph, so all vertices have even degree. Also, $\left|E\left(H_{4}\right)\right|=$ $2\binom{n}{2}\binom{n-2}{2}$ which is a multiple of three. To see this, note $\left|E\left(H_{4}\right)\right|$ is the product of four consecutive integers (one of which must be a multiple of three) divided by two. Finally, to show that $H_{4}$ is connected, for each vertex $\{a, b, n\} \in V_{1}$ we display a path to each vertex in $V_{2}$ as follows (where $a, b, s$, and $t$ are distinct elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\{a, b, n\},\{a, t, n+1\},\{a, s, n\},\{a, b, n+1\}) \\
& \quad(\{a, b, n\},\{b, s, n+1\},\{a, s, n\},\{s, t, n+1\}), \text { and }(\{a, b, n\},\{a, c, n+1\})
\end{aligned}
$$

These account for all pairs of nonadjacent vertices in $H_{4}$, so $H_{4}$ is easily seen to be connected. Therefore, $H_{4}$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V\left(H_{4}\right), B_{4}\right)$ by Lemma 3. Finally, consider $H_{5}$. Using Lemma 5, let $F$ be a properly list arc-colored 2-factor of the complete digraph with vertex set $V_{0}$, with the set of colors $C=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, and with lists of colors $\left(C_{0}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{|E|-1}\right)$ defined by $C_{e}=t(e) \cap h(e)$ for each $e \in E$. Assume $F$ has components $\left\{f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{m-1}\right\}$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}$, consider the directed cycle $f_{i}$ of length $l$ with $E\left(f_{i}\right)=\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{l-1}\right\}$ where $h\left(e_{k}\right)=t\left(e_{k+1}\right)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{l}$ with additions done modulo $l$. Form the following 3-paths in $H_{5}$ :

$$
T_{i}=\left\{\left(t\left(e_{j}\right),\left\{c\left(e_{j}\right), n, n+1\right\}, h\left(e_{j}\right),\left\{h\left(e_{j}\right) \backslash\left\{c\left(e_{j}\right), c\left(e_{j+1}\right)\right\}, n, n+1\right\}\right) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{l}\right\}
$$

with subscript additions done modulo $l$. The edges in $T_{i}$ exist in $H_{5}$ since $C_{e}$ is a list of the shared elements of $t(e)$ and $h(e) . H_{5}$ has a $P_{3}$-decomposition $\left(V\left(H_{5}\right), B_{5}\right)$ where $B_{5}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m}} T_{i}$. To see that each edge in $H_{5}$ is in exactly one path in $B_{5}$, consider the edge $e=(\{a, b, c\},\{a, n, n+1\})$ in $H_{5}$. The vertex $\{a, b, c\}$ is in exactly one component, $f_{i}$, of $F$. Consider the two arcs, $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ in $f_{i}$ such that $h\left(e_{1}\right)=\{a, b, c\}$ and $t\left(e_{2}\right)=\{a, b, c\}$. There are three possibilities.
(i) If $c\left(e_{1}\right)=a$, then $e$ is in $\left(t\left(e_{1}\right),\{a, n, n+1\},\{a, b, c\},\left\{\{b, c\} \backslash c\left(e_{2}\right), n, n+1\right\}\right)$.
(ii) If $c\left(e_{2}\right)=a$, then let $e_{3}$ be the arc in $f_{i}$ with $t\left(e_{3}\right)=h\left(e_{2}\right)$. Then $e$ is in $\left(\{a, b, c\},\{a, n, n+1\}, h\left(e_{2}\right),\left\{h\left(e_{2}\right) \backslash\left\{a, c\left(e_{3}\right)\right\}, n, n+1\right\}\right)$.
(iii) If $a \notin\left\{c\left(e_{1}\right), c\left(e_{2}\right)\right\}$, the $e$ is in $\left(t\left(e_{1}\right),\left\{c\left(e_{1}\right), n, n+1\right\},\{a, b, c\},\{a, n, n+1\}\right)$.

Since $F$ is a properly list arc-colored 2 -factor, exactly one of the previous three cases holds. Thus every edge of $H_{5}$ is in exactly one path in $B_{5}$.

Let $B=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{6}} B_{i}$. Then $(V(H), B)$ is the desired $P_{3}$-decomposition.
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