RESULTS:

No statistically significant differences were reported in the prioritization of each dimension, except for the equity (more important in Liguria and in Lombardy) and the economic financial dimensions (more relevant in Veneto and in Lombardy).

Notwithstanding the evaluators' different professional titles, job roles, center size, and various Regional contexts, they attributed similar scores to the HTA dimensions during the appraisal phase (even though conducted in different years, in 2015 and 2016). This finding demonstrates the robustness of both the evaluations and the final MCDA results: i) no statistically inter-regional significant differences emerged regarding Ranibizumab and Aflibercept (p-value > .05); ii) no statistically significant inter-regional differences emerged regarding Dexamethasone, except for the assessments in the clinical dimensions (p-value = .026), since in Lombardy Region the evaluation was carried out earlier in the technology's life-cycle.

CONCLUSIONS:

Dexamethasone was consistently attributed a higher total score, considering the final normalised weight derived from the MCDA approach (p-value =.001).

REFERENCES:

1. EUnetHTA, *The HTA Core Model*, Version 2.1, April 2015. Available online at:

http://meka.thl.fi/htacore/model/HTACoreModel2.1.pdf

2. Thokala P, Devlin N, Marsh K, et al. Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis for Health Care Decision Making — An Introduction: Report 1 of the ISPOR MCDA Emerging Good Practices Task Force. *Value Health*. 2016;19(1):1-13.

3. Thokala P, Duenas A. Multicriteria Decision Analysis for Health Technology Assessment. *Value Health*. 2012;15(8):1172-81.

.....

PP085 A Scoping Review Of Emergency Assessment And Referral Of Suspected Transient Ischemic Attack

AUTHORS:

Chelsey Hampton (chelsey.hampton@swansea.ac.uk), Bridie Evans, Khalid Ali, Jenna Bulger, Gary Ford, Chris Moore, Alison Porter, Alan Pryce, Tom Quinn, Anne Seagrove, Helen Snooks, Shirley Whitman, Nigel Rees, Matthew Jones

INTRODUCTION:

Patients who experience Transient Ischaemic Attack (TIA) should be assessed and treated in a specialist clinic to reduce risk of further TIA or stroke. But referrals are often delayed. We aimed to identify published studies describing pathways for emergency assessment and referral of patients with suspected TIA at first medical contact: primary care; ambulance services; and emergency department.

METHODS:

We conducted a scoping literature review. We searched four databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Web of Science, Scopus). We screened studies for eligibility. We extracted and analysed data to describe setting, assessment and referral processes reported in primary research on referral of suspected TIA patients directly to specialist outpatient services.

RESULTS:

We identified eight studies in nine papers from five countries: 1/9 randomized trial; 6/9 before-and-after designs; 2/9 descriptive account. Five pathways were used by family doctors and three by Emergency Department (ED) physicians. None were used by paramedics. Clinicians identified TIA patients using a checklist incorporating the ABCD2 tool to describe risk of further stroke, online decision support tool or clinical judgement. They referred to a specialist clinic, either directly or via a telephone helpline. Anti-platelet medication was often given, usually aspirin unless contraindicated. Some patients underwent neurological and blood tests before referral and discharge. Five studies reported reduced incident of stroke at 90 days, from 6–10 percent predicted rate to 1.2-2.1 percent actual rate. Between 44 percent and 83 percent of suspected TIA cases in these studies were directly referred to stroke clinics through the pathways.

CONCLUSIONS:

Research literature has focused on assessment and referral by family doctors and ED physicians to reduce hospitalization of TIA patients. No pathways for paramedic use were reported. Since many suspected TIA patients present to ambulance services, effective pre-hospital assessment and referral pathways are needed. We will use review results to develop a paramedic referral pathway to test in a feasibility trial.

PP086 Horizon Scanning In Multiple Sclerosis Decisions In Brazil

AUTHORS:

Andrea Brígida de Souza, Avila Vidal, Pollyanna Gomes (gomespollyanna@yahoo.com.br), Vania Canuto, Clarice Petramale

INTRODUCTION:

In Brazil, the pharmaceutical sector has requested an individual incorporation in the Brazilian public health system (SUS) for each new drug for multiple sclerosis that receives sanitary authorization for marketing. Horizon Scanning within Brazilian Ministry of Health has played a key role in the recommendations made by the National Committee for Health Technology Incorporation (CONITEC). Horizon Scanning seeks to predict which technologies have potential to impact health care in SUS, before their formal request. This study aims to present the impact of horizon scanning in two assessments made by CONITEC on drugs to treat Multiple Sclerosis.

METHODS:

Grey literature was searched to find new and emerging drugs for multiple sclerosis treatment. Regulatory agencies were also searched: European Medicines Agency (EMA), Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and Brazilian Regulation and Health Surveillance Agency (Anvisa). A pre-defined standardized form was used. Information extracted about each drug was identified as: drugs name, mechanism of action, indication, administration route, finished phases of clinical trial and registration in other countries.

RESULTS:

In 2014, horizon scanning identified seven drugs while CONITEC was assessing Fingolimod for multiple sclerosis. In this case, the drug's administration route was a differential, as only three new drugs identified were also orally administrated. Thus, Fingolimod received a positive recommendation for incorporation. In 2016, horizon scanning identified fourteen drugs while Teriflunomide was under assessment. At this moment, the orally administrated Fingolimod was already available and it was identified other eight new drugs with the same route. Therefore, the initial recommendation was against its incorporation.

CONCLUSIONS:

Horizon scanning has proved to be of major importance for assisting recommendation-making process of the committee. In the two cases presented, horizon scanning information could predict which technologies were being developed and could be registered in Brazil. These new technologies had influenced the recommendations made by CONITEC's members. As a result, a horizon scanning section in all CONITEC's reports became mandatory.