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**Abstract.**—The placoderm *Titanichthys* from the Late Devonian (Famennian) is based on incomplete and fragmentary specimens that have hindered understanding of its overall anatomy and phylogenetic relationships. A new, nearly complete, articulated specimen from the Upper Devonian Cleveland Shale provides new information about the previously undescribed rostral, postmarginal, postsuborbital, submarginal, posterior superognathal plates, and the nasal capsule. A revised diagnosis is provided for the genus. Three new diagnostic characters are identified, including a transversely elliptical rostral plate that does not contact adjacent plates, a reduced posterior superognathal, and a median dorsal plate that inserts into the posterior dorsal lateral plate. The first comprehensive phylogenetic analysis of *Titanichthys* indicates that the genus is a basal aspinothoracid arthrodirae closely related to the enigmatic taxa *Bungartius* and *Tafilalichthys.*

---

**Introduction**

A speciose assemblage of sharks and arthrodiates have been recovered from the Cleveland Shale (Famennian; a member of the Ohio Shale Formation) of Ohio beginning in the late nineteenth century (Carr, 1995). After *Dunkleosteus* (*Dinichthys*) terrelli Newberry, 1873, material identified as *Titanichthys* is the most common arthrodirae collected from the shale. The taxon is one of the oldest known placodermui, established by Newberry in 1885. Originally placed in the family Dinichthyidae (Newberry, 1885), it was moved to its own family, Titanichthyidae, by Dean (1901). Five species of *Titanichthys* are currently recognized from North America (*Titanichthys* agassizi Newberry, 1885, *Titanichthys* clarki Newberry, 1887, *Titanichthys* attenuatus Claypole, 1893, *Titanichthys* hussakofii Claypole, 1894, and *Titanichthys* rectus Wright in Claypole, 1893), with the latter three only being known from fragmentary remains. Two additional species—*Titanichthys* ternieri Lehman, 1956 and *Titanichthys* koziowskii Kulczycki, 1957—are known from Morocco and Poland, respectively.

Although large, thin cranial plates recovered from the Cleveland Shale are often attributed to the genus *Titanichthys,* the genus is currently poorly diagnosed and is in need of revision (Carr, 1995). A recently collected, almost complete, articulated specimen (CMNH 50319) of *Titanichthys,* as well as CMNH 8981, an isolated median dorsal plate, and CMNH 5068, two sclerotic plates associated with a *Titanichthys* inferognathal, provide important new information of the previously undescribed rostral, postmarginal, postsuborbital, submarginal, posterior superognathal plates, and the nasal capsule of this taxon. This new data also allows *Titanichthys* to be re-diagnosed and its phylogenetic relationships to other placodermi to be analyzed. Revisions of the named species are beyond the scope of this paper.

**Materials and methods**

A new specimen of *Titanichthys,* CMNH 50319, was excavated in 2007 from the banks of the Rocky River west of Cleveland, Ohio. The exact locality data is on file with the Cleveland Museum of Natural History to preserve the integrity of the site. CMNH 50319 is a mostly complete and articulated head shield and dorsal trunk shield held together by pyritization of the plates. CMNH 8981 and CMNH 5068 are isolated plates of *Titanichthys* recovered during the construction of route I-71 in the Cleveland area (1965 to 1966) and the exact locality is unknown. All the specimens came from the Cleveland Shale Member (Upper Devonian, Famennian) of the Ohio Shale Formation. The Cleveland Shale is interpreted as a relatively shallow foreland basin (Ettensohn, 1987) and is placed in the late *expansa-* early *praesulcata* conodont zones (Zagger, 1995).

**Repositories and institutional abbreviations.**—Figures and other specimens examined or compared in this study are deposited in the following institutions: American Museum of Natural History (AMNH), New York City, N.Y., USA; Cleveland Museum of Natural History (CMNH), Ohio, USA; Western Australian Museum (WAM), Western Australia, Australia.

**Phylogenetic analysis.**—The phylogenetic position of *Titanichthys* within the arthrodiates has never been rigorously tested. In his review of placodermi, Denison (1978) listed *Titanichthys* as a pachyosteomorph of uncertain relations, and it has been treated as such up to the present time.

A parsimony analysis of *Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki* (CMNH 50319) and 59 other arthrodiates using the 121 characters of Zhu et al. (2015) was performed with PAUP 4.0b10 beta (Swofford, 2002); however, because some taxa were excluded (see below),
only 118 characters were parsimony informative. Characters 1–85 were derived from Carr (1991), characters 86–98 were derived from Carr and Hlavin (2010), and characters 99–121 were taken from Zhu et al. (2015). Seven taxa scored by Zhu et al. (2015) were not included in our analysis because they were outside the clade of interest in this study and are poorly known. *Enoseodus* and *Walterosteus* were scored at the genus level by Rücklin et al. (2015), and this taxonomic level is retained here. Three pairs of congeneric species (*Camuropiscis* Dennis and Miles, 1979, *Incisoccus* Dennis and Miles, 1981, and *Torosteus* Gardiner and Miles, 1990) were combined to give a genus-level coding, with conflicting characters recoded as polymorphisms to avoid double-counting of character transformations shared by congeneric species pairs (Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan, 2009; Zhu et al., 2015). Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan (2009) examined the effects on tree topology of polymorphisms within coccosteomorphs using the Carr (1991) and the Carr and Hlavin (2010) matrices, plus additional taxa. Their study concluded that the best resolution was achieved when all polymorphic characters were included, rather than deleting them or using the modal states. This study follows the convention of coding all polymorphic characters.

The three species of *Dunkleosteus* were kept separate because two of the species (*Dunkleosteus amblyodoratus* and *Dunkleosteus raveri* Carr and Hlavin, 2010) are very poorly known, and their assignment to the genus remains uncertain (Zhu et al., 2015). The two species of *Eastmanosteus* were also kept separate because they have previously been reported (Carr and Hlavin, 2010; Zhu and Zhu, 2013; Zhu et al., 2015) to not be sister taxa, thus the genus may be paraphyletic.

For completeness, all taxa previously scored in analyses using the character set established by Carr (1991) are included in the character matrix (Supplemental Data) at the lowest taxonomic level available. Only the type species, *T. agassizi*, of *Titanichthys* was added to the analysis because the other species are either too poorly known (i.e., *T. attenuatus*, *T. hussakofo*, *T. kowlowskiti*, *T. rectus*, and *T. termieri*) or the most recent descriptions are composited material of questionable affinity (i.e., *T. clarki*; Dean, 1909). *Bungartius perissus* Dunkle, 1947 and *Tafialichthys lavocati* Lehman, 1956 were added to the phylogenetic matrix because they show some similarities to *Titanichthys*, are known from nearly complete material, and represent an under-represented portion of the eubrachythoracid tree. *Kujdanowaspis podolica* Stensiö, 1942 was designated as the outgroup taxon following Zhu et al. (2015).

Character changes and discrepancies.—Recent phylogenetic analyses of placoderm fish (Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan, 2009; Carr and Hlavin, 2010; Zhu and Zhu, 2013) have differed in their character codings. We reexamined published descriptions and illustrations to resolve the conflicting codings and list the character state changes we made in comparison to the matrix of Zhu and Zhu (2013) in the online Supplemental Data sets.

**Systematic paleontology**

Anatomical abbreviations.—a.Au = depression for autopalatine; ADL = anterior dorsal lateral plate; AL = anterior lateral plate; C = central plate; cd.art = region of articular condyle; cf.PL = contact face for posterior lateral plates; cf.PN = contact face for postnasal plate; cf.SO = contact face for suborbital plate; ch.pro.pr = channel for dorsal aspect of preorbital process of neurocranium; cr.po = postocular crista; cr.pr = carinal process; cr.pso = posterior supraorbital crista; cr.sau = subautopalatine crista; cr.so = subocular crista; cr.seth = supraethmoid crista; csc = central sensory canal groove; d.prp = dermal preorbital process; d.ptp = dermal postorbital process; f.lv = fossa on nuchal plate for levator muscles; f.p. = pineal fossa; IG = infragenal; ioc.ot = otic branch of infraorbital canal groove; ioc.pt = postorbital branch of infraorbital canal groove; ioc.sb = suborbital branch of infraorbital canal groove; kd = glenoid condyle; lam = laminae; lat.f. = lateral fenestra of the head shield; lc = main lateral line groove; M = marginal plate; MD = median dorsal plate; mp = middle pit-line groove; m.sept = median septum; n.th = nuchal thickening; Nu = nuchal plate; oa.AL = overlap area for anterior lateral plate; oa.MD = overlap area for median dorsal plate; occ. = occipital cross commissure; P = pineal plate; pap = pararticular process; PDL = posterior dorsal lateral plate; PL = posterior lateral plate; PM = postmarginal plate; pmc = postmarginal sensory line groove; PNu = paranuchal plate; p.pr = median posterior process of the nuchal plate; p.pro = posterior process of the supergonial; PrO = preorbital plate; pr.sg = subglenoid process; pr.SO = suborbital process; PSG = posterior supergonial; PSO = postsuborbital plate; psoc = postsuborbital sensory canal groove; PtO = postorbital plate; pt.u = paired pits on visceral surface of nuchal plate; qu = quadrate; R = rostral plate; rec.PL = collapsed recess for the posterior lateral plate; SM = submarginal plate; SO = suborbital plate; soc = supraorbital sensory canal groove; sorc = supraoral sensory canal groove; suo.v = supraorbital vault.

Class Placodermi M'Coy, 1848
Order Arthrodira Woodward, 1891
Family Titanichthyidae Dean, 1901
Genus Titanichthys Newberry, 1885

Type species.—*Titanichthys agassizi* Newberry, 1885 from the Cleveland Shale, Ohio, USA.

Diagnosis.—*Titanichthys* is a large (>5 m, adult size) aspi-nothoracid arthrodir with six autapomorphies: (1) head shield large and dorsoventrally compressed; (2) head shield with a length to width ratio of 0.5–0.54 and thin plates (1–10 mm); (3) infergonathals are long and slender relative to the size of the head shield, acuspa, non-denticulated, and have anterior tips that projects ventrally to its longitudinal axis; (4) anterior border of the median dorsal plate deeply concave with two paired median processes extending from the lateral borders posterior to the nuchal gap; (5) supraoral sensory line groove and the suborbital branch of the infraorbital canal groove distinct, and form an open angle (>90°) on the suborbital plate; and (6) paired lateral fenestrae between the central, marginal, postorbital, and paranuchal plates of the head shield.
Remarks.—The diagnosis for the genus *Titanichthys* was emended from that of Denison (1978, p. 100). The third autapomorphy of *Titanichthys*, lack of denticles or other occlusal surface on the inferognathal is undesirable from the perspective that an inferognathal not belonging to the genus might be misattributed if the oral margin has been weathered from exposure after death or from wear and advanced age in life. However, the presence of post-mortem weathering is usually detectable from other portions of the skeleton, if not from the inferognathal itself, and the loss of denticles from wear leads to a distinct shearing surface unlike that seen in *Titanichthys* (Dennis-Bryan, 1987; Carr, 1991). Further, the lack of denticles or occlusal surfaces on the inferognathal of *Titanichthys* is a derived loss within a phylogenetic context (see phylogenetic discussion section).

*Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki*

Figures 1.1, 1.2, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 5.1, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, 7.1, 7.2, 8.1, 8.2, 9.1, 9.2, 10, 11, 12.1, 12.2, 13, 14, 15.1, 15.2, 16, 18.1, 18.2

Diagnosis.—The material referred to *Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki* differs from all other described *Titanichthys* species in at least five features: (1) a pineal plate with a cardioid outline in external view; (2) a paranuchal plate which is expanded medially embaying the posterior margin of the central plate leading to an increased length of the central paranuchal plate contact; (3) proportionally small orbits; (4) the posterior margin of the median dorsal plate rounded; and (5) the insertion of the lateral margin of the median dorsal plate into the posterior dorsal lateral plate.

Descriptions.—The articulated specimen CMNH 50319 is interpreted as a subadult specimen of *Titanichthys* based on size, with affinities to *Ti. clarki*. An isolated median dorsal plate (CMNH 8981) preserves the carinal process lacking in CMNH 50319. Additionally, two sclerotic plates (CMNH 5068) referred to *Titanichthys* are also described. Some of the plates of CMNH 50319 were crushed during preservation, notably the right anterior portion of the head and thoracic shields, but otherwise there is little deformation of the specimens besides some compression artifacts.

CMNH 50319.—Head shield: The head shield is large (maximum width 1116 mm), slightly convex and with 13 plates preserved including paired PrO, PtO, C, M, PM and the median R, P, and Nu plates (Figs. 1, 2). The rostral (R) and postmarginal (PM) plates are described for the first time in the genus. A contact face on the preorbital plate provides evidence for the presence of the postnasal plates. The lack of contact between the rostral plate and the head shield suggests that these plates were loosely attached to the head shield in life and likely were transported away from the body before fossilization. The plates are thin (1–10 mm), with the exception of the nuchal and paranuchal plates where the nuchal and occipital thickenings, respectively, are well developed (31 mm). Connections for the neurocranium are greatly reduced compared to other euarthrodires (e.g., *Eastmanosteus calliaspis* Dennis-Bryan, 1987 [WAM 70.4.264], *Westralichthys uvagedensis* Long, 1987 [WAM 86.9.664], and *D. terrelli* [Lehman, 1956; CMNH 5300]). CMNH 50319 shares with *Gorgonichthys* [*Dinichthys*] *clarki* Claypole, 1892 the presence of lateral fenestrae (lat.f, Fig. 3) formed by the margins of the central, preorbital, postorbital, and paranuchal plates (Dunkle and Bungart, 1940). The orbits are small compared to other arthrodires and enclosed by the suborbital, preorbital, and postorbital plates.

The plates are smooth and lack ornamentation. Most of the plates are preserved in articulation (held together by the partially pyritized matrix) through simple overlapping contacts. The exceptions to this are the rostral plate, which lacks any apparent contacts; the pineal plate’s interdigitation with the central plates; and the paired central plates, which are interdigitated along their midlines. Sensory canal grooves on the head shield plates follow the typical arthrodian pattern, with the notable inclusion of the postsuborbital sensory canal groove that is uncommon in arthrodires from North America.

Rostral: The thin, ovoid rostral plate (160 mm × 79 mm) (Figs. 1.4, 3, 4) of CMNH 50319 is the first evidence of this element from North America; unpublished material from Morocco includes several rostrals that can be referred to *Titanichthys* (Carr, personal communication, 2010). The plate was disarticulated and found resting on the dorsal surface of the pineal plate, and does not appear to have articulated with the rest of the head shield in life. The long axis of the rostral plate is inferred to be transverse from the wide anterior gap between the preorbital plates and the assymetry of the perpendicular axis’ borders. The longitudinally elliptical shape of the rostral plate differs from the T-shape of dunkleosteids, the triangular shape of coccosteomorphs, or the spade shape of apsinothoracoids, and is here considered unique to *Titanichthys* within the pachyosteomorphs, with the possible exceptions of *Ta. lavocati* (Lelièvre, 1991), *Go. clarki* (Dunkle and Bungart, 1940), *Bruntonichthys multidos* Dennis and Miles, 1980, and some selenosteids (e.g., *Braunosteus schmidtii* Stensiö, 1959 and *Eunoeosteus maroensis* Rücklin, 2011).

Pineal: The pineal plate (Figs. 3, 4) of CMNH 50319 is cardioid shaped, most similar to *Ti. termieri*, but differing from the approximately rectangular and ovoid shapes of *Ti. clarki* and *Ti. agassizii*, respectively. The transverse axial length (203 mm) is greater than the longitudinal length (127 mm). The plate has interdigitated contacts with the central plates along its posterior border. The pineal does not contact the preorbital plates due to lateral gaps, contra previous descriptions of *Titanichthys* (e.g., Eastman, 1907; Dean, 1909). The pineal foramen is very small (5 mm). On the ventral surface of the plate the pineal fossa (f.p, Fig. 4.2) is completely bounded by a well-developed, laterally flared ridge. The ridge is the same cardioid shape as the pineal plate.

Nuchal: The nuchal plate (Figs. 3, 5) is the widest single plate of the head shield with a transverse length of approximately 600 mm. The plate is laterally elongated with alafl-like branches extending along the nuchal gap, which form an angle of approximately 150°. The anterior border of the nuchal plate is convex, a possible synapomorphy at the aspinothoracid level (Carr, 1991; but see Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan, 2009 for discussion of variability in this trait), as is the concave emargination of the posterior border creating a large nuchal gap. The anterior edges of the alae are concave as in
Ti. agassizi and Ti. clarki, but unlike Ti. termieri where they are convex. There is a small, partially eroded, median posterior process on the nuchal plate (p.pr, Fig. 5.1).

On the posterior internal surface of the nuchal plate the median septum (m.sept, Fig. 5.1) is long (22 mm), but very thin (25 mm at base), tapering posteriorly. The paired pits (pt.u, Fig. 5.1), separated by the median septum, are deep, narrow, and probably served as attachments for the neurocranium. These pits open posteriorly. The levator fossae (f.lv, Fig. 5.1), which are reduced compared to other arthrodires, are positioned dorsally to the paired pits on the interior surface. These fossae were sites of muscle attachment (Trinajstic et al., 2013) and their small size would seem to indicate a reduction in those muscles on CMNH 50319. The levator fossae and the paired pits on the internal surface of the nuchal of Ti. agassizi (Newberry, 1889, pl. 1) are wider than in CMNH 50319.

The median septum and paired pits are bounded anteriorly by a massive transverse nuchal thickening (n.th, Fig. 5.1) that...
extends the length of the entire plate and is continuous with the occipital thickening on the adjacent paranuchal plates. This nuchal thickening is approximately three times as thick (31 mm) as the thinnest part of the nuchal plate. The nuchal plate is overlapped externally by the central and paranuchal plates.

Preorbital: The preorbital plate (Figs. 3, 6) forms approximately one-third of the dorsal orbital border. The anterior portion of the preorbital plate projects medially toward the pineal and rostral plates, but does not contact either. The posterior medial portion of the preorbital plate slightly embays the central plate. The dermal preorbital process (d.prp, Fig. 6) is well developed, a trait common among *Titanichthys* (Newberry, 1889, pl. 1). The preorbital plates are overlapped by the central plates, and overlap the postorbital plates on the external surface. The supraorbital sensory line groove (soc, Fig. 6.2) crosses the preorbital plate ventrally where it forms a slight hook before tracing the margin of the preorbital dermal process, and becoming indistinct near the margin of the head shield. The ratio of the longitudinal length of central plate to the preorbital plate is approximately 0.55 when the maximum lengths of both plates are used. When the longitudinal length of the contact between the central plates is instead used, the central to preorbital length ratio is 0.92. The ratio of maximum longitudinal length of the preorbital plate to the postorbital plate is approximately 0.84.

The internal surface of the preorbital plate bears a slightly thickened supraorbital vault (suo.v, Fig. 6.1) that extends posteriorly onto the postorbital plate, and is bounded anteriorly by the channel for the dorsal aspect of the preorbital process of the neurocranium (ch.pro.pr, Fig. 6.1) and the dermal preorbital process. The dermal preorbital process is strongly ventrally curved and bears the contact surface for the suborbital plate (cf.SO, Fig. 6.1). Much of the anterolateral margin of the preorbital plate is comprised of a contact surface for the anterior process of the suborbital plate. A second, smaller contact surface on the internal surface of the preorbital plate, bounded posteriorly by the supraethmoid cristae (cr.seth, Fig. 6.1), is probably for the postnasal plate (cf. PN, Fig. 6.1) as seen in *D. raveri* (Carr and Hlavin, 2010); unfortunately, no postnasal plates are known for *Titanichthys*.

Postorbital: The postorbital plate (Figs. 3, 6) forms the posterodorsal margin of the orbit and makes up approximately two thirds of the total orbital margin of the head shield. It has an approximately rectangular shape with a posterolateral process that tapers to form part of the lateral margin of the head shield. The postorbital plate is overlapped by the marginal plate externally, but the contact between the central and the postorbital plate is incomplete due to the presence of a fenestrae.

The strongly ventrally curved dermal postorbital process (d.ptp, Fig. 6) is well-developed in contrast to the weakly developed (*Heinzichthys [Dinichthys] gouldii* Newberry, 1889 [Carr, 1991]) or absent (*Gymnotrachelus hydei* Dunkle and Bungart, 1939 [Carr, 1994], *Stenosteus angustopectus* Carr, 1996) postorbital processes of most aspinothoracid arthrodires. Three sensory canal grooves cross this plate; the central sensory canal groove (csc, Figs. 4.1, 6.2), the postorbital branch of the infraorbital sensory canal groove (ioc.pt, Fig. 6.2) and the otic branch of the infraorbital sensory canal groove (ioc.ot, Fig. 6.2). The central sensory canal groove is oriented anterodorsally from the medial margin of the postorbital plate. The postorbital branch of the infraorbital sensory canal groove is continuous with the central sensory canal groove and is oriented similarly, extending towards the postorbital plate’s ventral margin. The otic branch of the infraorbital sensory canal groove is oriented approximately along the longitudinal axis and continues onto the marginal plate. The otic and postorbital branches of the infraorbital sensory canal groove are distinct and diverge from each other at an angle of approximately 60°.

Figure 2. Reconstruction of *Ti. cf. T. clarki* (CMNH 50319) in lateral view. Scale bar = 10 cm. The anterior lateral plate is a reconstructed composite of fragments from *T. agassizii* (Newberry, 1889) and *T. ternieri* (Lehman, 1956). Lightly shaded regions indicate portions of plates that are overlapped externally. Dark shaded regions indicate empty space or fenestrae. Abbreviations in text.
On the internal surface of the postorbital plate, the surpaorbital vault is continuous with the postorbital dermal process, becoming the posterior supraorbital crista (cr.pso, Fig. 6.1) along the posterolateral area of the plate. The posterior supraorbital crista is smaller than that in *H. gouldii*, and does not continue to the marginal plate. The entire supraorbital vault and its associated cristae are very poorly developed compared to other arthrodires. The posterior supraorbital crista on the left margin is studded by small (5–7 mm) lateral processes that project as posteriorly facing hooks. Their absence on the right side may indicate simple variation in plate structure or be a taphonomic artifact. CMNH 50319 lacks the inframarginal

---

Figure 3. *Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki*, CMNH 50319. (1) External and (2) internal views of the head shield. lat.f = lateral fenestra of the head shield. Scale bar = 10 cm.
crista on the postorbital plates, a character state shared with Gy. hydei; the cristae are seen in other pachyosteomorph arthrodires (e.g., H. gouldii, D. terrelli, and S. angustopectus).

Central: The paired central plates (Figs. 3, 4) are preserved in CMNH 50319 with the lateral margin of the right plate partially crushed. The anterior margins are embayed where these plates contact the pineal plate giving the combined anterior margin of the central plates a U-shape. The central plates share a sinuous medial suture along approximately 55% of their maximum longitudinal length (254 mm), larger than the proportions seen on D. raveri (Carr and Hlavin, 2010) and Gy. hydei (Carr, 1994) (40% and 33%, respectively).

The boundaries with the preorbital and paranuchal plates may have been in contact, but fracturing of the plates in this extremely thin area (<2 mm) makes this assumption uncertain. The central plates lack any contact with the postorbital or marginal plates. A marginal-central-paranuchal or postorbital triple joint is lacking due to the intervening lateral fenestra. Externally, the posterior margins of the central plates overlap the nuchal plate.

There are three sensory canals present on the central plates. The supraorbital and central sensory line grooves continue onto the central plates from the preorbital and postorbital plates, respectively. Both the supraorbital and central sensory line grooves are aligned posteromedially, with the former having a
more acute angle to the longitudinal axis. These sensory lines approach each other in a V-shape close to the middle of the central plates, but do not intersect. There is a third groove (middle pit line groove, mp, Fig. 4.1) posterior to the supraorbital and central sensory line grooves, which forms a laterally oriented arc from a point anterior to the central-nuchal contact margin to the lateral fenestra.

A pre-endolympahtic thickening is absent on the ventral surface. The ossification center of the central plates can be determined from low radiating ridges, but they are not as well defined as those seen in D. terrelli.

Marginal: The marginal plate (Figs. 3, 5.2) is approximately elliptical in shape. The otic branch of the infraorbital line groove continues from the postorbital plate. It connects to the main lateral and postmarginal sensory line grooves (pmc, Fig. 5.2) near the center of the plate. The postmarginal canal groove continues posteroventrally onto the postmarginal plate, while the main lateral line groove (lc, Fig. 5.2) is aligned posterodorsally onto the paranuchal plate. The poorly developed infraorbital cristae are present, but do not continue onto the postmarginal plate. The marginal plate overlaps the central, paranuchal and the postmarginal plates.

Postmarginal: Only the left plate is preserved in CMNH 50319. The plate (Figs. 3, 5.2) is rectangular in shape and forms the posteroventral boundary of the head shield. A long (108 mm), but thin, postero medial projection extends along the posterior margin of the paranuchal for approximately half the length between the postero lateral corner and the pararticular process. The postmarginal plate overlaps the paranuchal plate and is overlapped by the marginal plate externally. The postmarginal canal groove continues on the postmarginal plate from the marginal plate, diminishing in depth and width, and terminating 15 mm from the margin of the head shield.

Paranuchal: The paranuchal plate (Figs. 3, 5.2) of CMNH 50319 is irregularly shaped and expanded medially, similar to the pattern seen in Ti. termieri. It forms the posterior border of the lateral fenestra of the head shield. The paranuchal process extends posteriorly to the nuchal plate for a short distance where

Figure 6. Titanichthys cf. T. clarki, CMNH 50319. Pre- and postorbital plates in (1) internal and (2) external views. Abbreviations in text. Scale = 10 cm.
it forms part of the nuchal gap border. The main lateral canal groove is oriented postero-medially ending just anterior to the well-developed pararticular process (pap, Fig. 5.2). The weakly developed occipital cross-commis-sure (occ, Fig. 5.2) branches medially from the main lateral canal groove a few centimeters anterior to the nuchal gap. Medial to the pararticular process, the lateral articular fossa is well developed on the posterior surface of the paranuchal plate. It is approximately horizontal in orientation, but slopes ventrally proceeding laterally. The nuchal thickening is continuous internally with the occipital thickening along the paranuchal plates posterior margins.

CMNH 50319.—Cheek Plates: The cheek plates are composed of three paired plates, suborbital, postsuborbital, and submarginal. Anteriorly the cheek plate is firmly attached to the head shield by an interlocking contact between the anterior of the suborbital plate and the preorbital process of the preorbital plates (Fig. 2). Posteriorly, the cheek plates appear to have been more loosely attached to the head shield based on depressions on the internal surface of the suborbital and submarginal plates that closely match the postorbital process of the postorbital plate and the lateral margin of the head shield. Only the left set of these plates were preserved. They are noticeably thicker (10–27 mm) than the plates of the head shield, with the exception of the nuchal plate which is thicker.

Suborbital: The suborbital plate (Figs. 3, 7) forms the ventral orbital border and is 292 mm in longitudinal length. The plate has a short, thick, anterior handle that curves dorsally to form the suborbital process (pr.SO, Fig. 7.2) that articulates with the dernal preorbital process. The suborbital process is not fully preserved, but the groove on the anterolateral margin of the preorbital indicates that it contacted the postnasal plate. The deep (198 mm) posterior portion, blade, of the suborbital plate curls strongly at the dorsal border. The plate tapers posteriorly and is overlapped by the postsuborbital.

The suborbital plate of *Ti. termieri* (Lehman, 1956) is similar, but smaller, than that of CMNH 50319, if the suborbital plate of *Ti. termieri* is complete. The distinct suborbital branches of the infaorbital canal groove (ioc.sb, Fig. 7.1) and supraoral sensory canal groove (sorc, Fig. 7.1) is shared with other species of *Titanichthys*, *Gy. hydei*, and *Homosteus* Asmuss, 1856 (Lehman, 1956). The two branches are parallel on the posteroventral margin of the orbit before diverging. The infaorbital branch extends to the blade, but terminates before reaching the suborbital plate’s margin. The supraoral canal groove extends posteroventrally to nearly the margin of the suborbital plate. These two canals together form an open angle of approximately 100°. This angle is the same for *Ti. clarki* and *Ti. termieri*, and in contrast to *Gy. hydei* which has a more acute angle.

The subocular cristae (cr.so, Fig. 7.2) and subautopalatine cristae (cr.sau, Fig. 7.2) are preserved internally, and the former is almost continuous with the postocular cristae (cr.po, Fig. 7.2). A depression for the autopalatine (a.Au, Fig. 7.2) is well developed on the anterior process of the suborbital plate. There is a slight depression near the dorsal edge of the blade that is likely a contact for the postorbital process. There is no evidence for a contact face for the posterior superognathal on the linguiform process of the suborbital that exists in the Dunkleosteidae.

Postsuborbital: The postsuborbital plate (Figs. 3, 8) has an approximately triangular shape. The anterior edge has been broken along the overlap with the suborbital plate. The plate is overlapped posterodorsally by the submarginal plate. There is an anteroventral notch that creates a gap between the postsuborbital and suborbital plates, which has also been noted in at least one specimen of *Gy. (CMNH 8054; Carr, 1994). The anterodorsal section of the postsuborbital plate has many short, sinuous, branching canals on the surface that may be remnants of vasculature. A postsuborbital sensory canal groove (psoc, Fig. 8.1) is present adjacent and parallel to the ventral border. This feature appears to be common among coccosteomorphs, but rare in pachyosteomorphs (e.g., *Eastmanosteus calliaspis*; Dennis-Bryan, 1987).

Internally, the quadrate (qu, Fig. 8.2) is perichondrally ossified with the articular condyle region (cd.art, Fig. 8.2) situated near the ventral border. As in most aspinothoracids, the postsuborbital plate extends posteriorly to a point, but this is greatly exaggerated in CMNH 50319 and several ridges are present on the plate’s margin.

Submarginal: The ovoid submarginal plate (Figs. 3, 8) is intermediate in shape between the stout, blocky plate of *S. angustopticus* and the elongated plate of *H. gouldii*. Its long axis parallels the lateral margin of the head shield to which the submarginal plate is loosely connected. The ossification center of the submarginal plate is marked on the internal surface by a medially placed knob near the posterior edge of the plate. There is a groove (gr, Fig. 8.2) for the hyomandibula on the medial dorsal border of the plate.
CMNH 50319.—Gnathal Plates: The gnathal plates in most arthrodires are composed of a set of three paired elements; inferognathals, anterior superognathals, and posterior superognathals. Only the left inferognathal and an isolated right posterior superognathal of CMNH 50319 are preserved.

Inferognathal: The left inferognathal of CMNH 50319 (Fig. 9) resembles that of the Ti. clarki holotype (AMNH 7315) in having a blade portion that is approximately two thirds the total length (total length approximately 610 mm). There is a small notch (depth 16 mm) on the posteroventral edge that is anterior and posterior plates. The lateral median processes are mostly vertical, 28° medially relative to the long axis. A large ventral groove is present in the anterior half of the inferognathal for the meckelian cartilage and muscle attachments. There are no macroscopic wear marks on the inferognathal to indicate occlusion with the upper gnathal plates.

Superognathals: Superognathals are comprised of anterior and posterior plates. The first tentative Titanichthys superognathal discovered (an anterior) was assigned to Titanichthys by Hussakof (1930). Dunkle and Bungart (1946) later referred it to Gy. and since then no superognathals have been found associated with Titanichthys. A small plate found on the underside of the right central plate in CMNH 50319 is here identified as the first posterior superognathal known from Titanichthys.

Figure 8. Titanichthys cf. T. clarki, CMNH 50319. Postsuborbital and submarginal plates of CMNH 50319 in (1) external and (2) internal view. Abbreviations in text. Scale bar = 5 cm.
approximately 43% the total length of the element. This differs from the shallow concave margin that has previously been described for *Ti. clarki* and *Ti. agassizi*. A small keel is visible internally, although it is eroded posteriorly.

Anterior dorsal lateral: Both of the anterior dorsal lateral plates (Figs. 3, 12) are complete in CMNH 50319, with only the right side exhibiting slight signs of taphonomic alteration. The plate is robust and has a complex shape with three distinct lobes that are reminiscent of the morphology of this plate in *Gy. hydei* (Carr, 1994, fig. 11.4) and *Go. clarki* (Dunkle and Bungart, 1940, fig. 6). The medial-most lobe has the overlap area for the anterior median process of the median dorsal plate (oa.MD, Fig. 12.1) and extends laterally to form part of the nuchal gap margin. The medial-most lobe also projects beneath the median dorsal plate where it then thins at its broadest margin near the median embayment of the median dorsal plate. The middle lobe overlaps the posterior dorsal lateral plate. The lateral lobe of the anterior dorsal lateral plate is approximately rectangular in shape and has an overlap area for the anterior lateral plate. The external surface of the overlap area for the anterior lateral plate (oa.AL, Fig. 12.1) on the anterior dorsal lateral plate has two distinct regions of different thickness separated by a sharp demarcation. The thicker region covers approximately one third of the total contact surface and is anteriorly bounded by a posterovertrally oriented lamina (lam, Fig. 12.1).

The glenoid condyle (kd, Fig. 12) and the subglenoid process (pr.sg, Fig. 12) are well-developed with the latter being particularly robust, a feature noted for *Ti. clarki* (Lehman, 1956). Together, these form the articulation with the head shield. There appears to be a contact face internally for the posterior lateral plate (cf.PL, Fig. 12.2) between the medial and lateral lobes. The main lateral canal groove crosses the mid portion of the plate to its edge and may have continued onto the posterior lateral plate.

Posterior dorsal lateral: The posterior dorsal lateral plate (Figs. 3, 13) has an elongate, triangular shape posteriorly, and bifurcates anteriorly. Unlike any other arthrodires that have a simple overlap with the median dorsal plate, CMNH 50319 has an insertion for the median dorsal plate on the posterior dorsal lateral plate. This insertion covers all but the base of the lateral median process of the median dorsal plate.

The lateral lobe of the anterior bifurcation of the posterior dorsal lateral plate contains an insertion, crushed during fossilization, for the posterior lateral plate (rec.PL, Fig. 13). The presence of an insertion for the posterior lateral plate is shared with *Gy. hydei* (Carr, 1994, fig. 11.3) and the superfamily Dunkleosteoidea (Carr and Hlavin, 2010). This insertion is located partially beneath the anterior dorsal lateral plate, but this may be a taphonomic artifact. The posterior dorsal lateral plate of *Ti. termieri* is incomplete, but also appears to have an insertion for the posterior lateral plate (Lehman, 1956, pl. 20.3).

Anterior lateral: The anterior lateral plate is not preserved in CMNH 50319, but the contact surface on the anterior dorsal lateral plate preserves an obtuse triangular outline for its dorsal aspect.

Posterior lateral: The posterior lateral plate is not preserved in CMNH 50319, but its outline can be implied from the shape of the overlapping region on the posterior dorsal lateral and anterior dorsal lateral plates. It had at least a small process that

---

**Figure 9.** *Titanichthys cf. T. clarki*, CMNH 50319. Left inferognathal of CMNH 50319 in (1) medial and (2) lateral views. Scale bar = 10 cm.

**Figure 10.** *Titanichthys cf. T. clarki*, CMNH 50319. Right posterior superognathal of CMNH 50319 in medial view. p.pro = posterior process of the superognathal. Scale bar = 5 cm.
inserted into the posterior dorsal lateral plate, and, in order to articulate with the internal surface of the anterior dorsal lateral plate, it would have flared medially close to its base.

CMNH 50319.—Neurocranium: The neurocranium is rarely preserved in material from the Cleveland Shale with the exception of nasal capsules, which are partly ossified in some taxa. A single nasal capsule was found in association with CMNH 50319.

Nasal capsule: Only one of the nasal capsules (Fig. 14) is preserved in CMNH 50319. It was highly pyritized and compressed during burial. It is slightly wider than long at its base creating an ovoid outline. The element is well-ossified with a rough surface, a condition considered to be primitive among the arthrodires (Denison, 1978). The nasal capsule would have inserted into the small anterior depressions of the rostral plate.

CMNH 5068.—Sclerotic (scler): No sclerotic rings (Fig. 15) of Titanichthys have ever been formally described, although a sclerotic ring identified as belonging to Titanichthys (CMNH 7075) was used in a study of the ocalar skeleton (Pilgrim and Franz-Odendaal, 2009); however, it was not associated with any definitive Titanichthys material. CMNH 5068, which is slightly larger than CMNH 50319 at 682.6 mm in length, has two complete sclerotic plates associated with a left inferognathal. The inferognathal of CMNH 5068 falls within the range of variation of Ti. clarki and is assigned to this taxon on this basis.

Assuming that CMNH 5068 had four sclerotic plates in each eye arranged as in other arthrodires, the external diameter of the sclerotic ring would have been approximately 35 mm, with an internal diameter of approximately 13 mm. The orbit of Titanichthys cf. T. clarki is small for the length of its head shield (ratio of 0.21 for character 28) compared to other arthrodires, but the reconstructed dimension of the sclerotic ring would still not fill the entire orbit as it does in D. terrelli. The sclerotic plates show no ornamentation as seen in Gy. hydei or S. angustoptectus (Carr, 1994, 1996). Internally, there is a ridge that parallels the outer border, with radiating growth rays originating from just behind this ridge.

CMNH 8981.—Median dorsal (MD). CMNH 8981 (Fig. 16) is a complete median dorsal plate that shows the same median embayment as seen on CMNH 50319. Based on the size of the plate, its gracile nature, and the presence of paired median processes, this plate is provisionally assigned to Ti. cf. T. clarki. With a longitudinal length of 544 mm from the end of the carinal process (cr.pr) to the anterior embayment, the plate is 5% larger than CMNH 50319. The length of the embayment (57 mm) is approximately 14% of the total length of the plate. The posterior margin of the median dorsal plate of CMNH 8981 has a rounded edge with a long carinal process (152 mm). There is a narrow medial ridge on the ventral surface extending the length of the process. The base of the process has paired thin lateral projections and tapers posteriorly to a blunt tip. A shallow keel on the inferior surface is continuous with the carinal process posteriorly, but it does not reach the anterior embayment of the median dorsal plate.

Materials.—CMNH 50319, mostly complete and articulated head shield, left cheek plates and gnnal plates, and dorsal thoracic plates. CMNH 5068, complete sclerotic ring and associated inferognathal. CMNH 8981, complete median dorsal plate.

Remarks.—In CMNH 50319 the pineal plate is cardioid in outline differing from the elliptical, rectangular, and sub-elliptical forms of Ti. agassizi (Newberry, 1889, pl. 1), Ti. clarki (Dean, 1909 pl. 40), and Ti. termieri (Lehman, 1956, fig. 12), respectively. The orbits of CMNH 501319 are slightly smaller (65-88%), in proportion to the skull roof, than those of other well-known species. However, orbit size can change throughout ontogeny (Trinajstic and Hazelton, 2007) and the probable subadult status of this specimen makes this unique character questionable. The unique pattern of insertion of the median dorsal plate into the posterior dorsal lateral plate is admittedly questionable as the posterior dorsal lateral plate is only known from one other species, Ti. termieri.

Although CMNH 50319 has several characters not observed in other species, the generally poor preservation of the genus and the lack of recent anatomical descriptions make it difficult to discount intraspecific, or even individual, variation as the source of unique features. Until a complete review of all species of Titanichthys can be undertaken, CMNH 50319 is provisionally referred to Ti. cf. T. clarki based on the following characteristics: (1) the inferognathal falls within the range of variation observed for Ti. clarki and is not strongly curved in the horizontal plane, (2) the ventral groove of the inferognathal is deep and bounded on both sides by lamina, and (3) the glenoid condyle and subglenoid process of the anterior dorsal lateral plate are robust and well developed. CMNH 5068 is also assigned to Titanichthys cf. T. clarki based on the shape of the inferognathal, while CMNH 8981 is assigned to Titanichthys cf. T. clarki based on the form of the median anterior border of the median dorsal plate that is shared with CMNH 50319.

The difficulty of species assignment for CMNH 50319 is also complicated by its probable subadult status based on size, the lack of knowledge about the inferognathal of Ti. termieri.
for comparative purposes), and that the most recent description and reconstructions of *Ti. clarki* (Dean, 1909) are based on a composite specimen.

**Discussion**

*Phylogenetic discussion.*—A strict consensus (Fig. 17; consensus tree length: 625; consistency index [CI]: 0.23; retention index [RI]: 0.59) of the 2,769 most parsimonious trees (tree lengths: 595) recovers *Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki* as the sister taxon to *Titanichthys agassizi* in a basal monophyletic aspinothoracid clade with *B. perissus* and *Ta. lavocati* as successive outgroups.

As noted by Zhu and Zhu (2013), the tree topology of brachythoracid arthrodires is unstable and this is reflected by the low consistency index and high number of equally parsimonious trees recovered here. Much of this instability is likely due to the large proportion (38%) of the dataset being coded as either unknown (?) or not applicable (-). Additional difficulties in resolving relationships are due to the higher number of polymorphisms coded compared to previous studies (but see Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan, 2009, for an exception). The lack of resolution is also due, in part, to the low ratio of characters to taxa (2.01 characters/taxon) reducing the likelihood that most branches will be strongly supported (Bremer et al., 1999) and increasing the chance that the phylogeny will be misled by homoplasy. Despite all these potential shortcomings, some relationships seem to be robust and are discussed below.

Our analysis found support for most previously recognized large clades. The monophyletic coccosteomorphs (node A, Fig. 17)

---

**Figure 12.** *Titanichthys* cf. *T. clarki*, CMNH 50319. Anterior dorsal lateral plate in (1) external and (2) internal views. Abbreviations in text. Scale bar = 10 cm.
are supported by a spinous posterior border of the median dorsal plate (character 37, state 1), a pectoral dermal fenestra (character 41, state 0), and the presence of the ventral lamina on the posterior lateral plate (character 44, state 1). Coccosteomorphs are recovered as the sister-clade to the non-Dunkleosteoidea pachyosteomorphs, making the pachyosteomorphs paraphyletic in this analysis showing that even some high-level clade relationships remain unclear. Dunkleosteoidea are also found to be paraphyletic, with a polytomy of several taxa at the base of the eubrachythoracid clade. The other branch of the pachyosteomorphs contains the monophyletic Aspinothoracida (node B, Fig. 17), along with several basal taxa that retained spinal plates (i.e., Hadrosteus rapax Gross, 1932, Bulleirichthys fascidens Dennis and Miles, 1980, and Kendrickichthys cavernosus Dennis and Miles, 1980). Aspinothoracida are united by the absence of an embayment of the central plate by the paranuchal plate (character 14, state 0), the absence of a contact between the marginal and central plates (character 23, state 0), and the eponymous synapomorphy of the group, the lack of a spinal plate (character 45, state 0). Aspinothoracida contains a basal clade comprising Titanichthyidae plus B. perissus and Ta. lavocati (node D, Fig. 17), and a more derived group containing H. gouldii, Go. clarki, and the Selenosteiidae. A monophyletic Selenosteiidae (node C, Fig. 17) is supported by a marginal plate that forms part of the orbit’s border (character 25, state 1), a median dorsal plate with a non-spatulate posterior process (character 35, state 1), a broad submarginal plate (character 60, state 0), the presence of an anterior dental field (character 64, state 1), the absence of an enclosed lateral face on the anterior superognathal plate (character 67, state 0), a closed angle between the postorbital and otic branches of the infraorbital sensory groove (character 75, state 2), and the presence of a stem-like prehypophysial region of the parasphenoid plate.
The relationships within the Selenosteidae remain uncertain (character 112, state 1) contra recent phylogenetic analyses that recovered the group as paraphyletic (Carr and Hlavin, 2010; Rücklin, 2011; Zhu and Zhu, 2013; Rücklin et al., 2015, Zhu et al., 2015). The relationships within the Selenosteidae remain uncertain with little consensus among previous analyses (Lelièvre et al., 1987; Rücklin, 2011; Zhu et al., 2015), and this one. However, the taxa Gym. hydei and Ste. angustpectus do appear to be consistently basal within Selenosteidae.

The monophyletic group containing the two Titanichthys taxa, plus B. perissus, and Ta. lavocati are the outgroup to the clade of (Go. clarki + H. gouldii + Selenosteidae [node C]). These four taxa are united by three unambiguous characters including the position of the C/PNu/M or PtO triple point posterior to the PNu/C/Nu triple point (character 31, state 0), the absence of an enclosed lateral face on the anterior superognathal (character 67, state 0), and a pineal plate with an external surface that is short and broad (character 101, state 0). However, the anterior superognathal of both Titanichthys taxa are unknown and, thus, the second synapomorphy remains equivocal. The first and third synapomorphies of this clade are both morphologies associated with the enigmatic family Mylostomatidae (Dean, 1901) with the posterior position of the C/PNu/M or PtO triple point due to the large size and elongation of the paranuchal plates. This clade is most often associated with large crushing surfaces, presumably for a durophagous lifestyle, but see Diplognathus for a possible exception (Dunkle and Bungart, 1943; Carr and Jackson, 2005). Taфизilichthys has been provisionally assigned to Mylostomatidae (Lehman, 1956; Lelièvre, 1991) and, although B. perissus has been placed in its own family (Bungartidae; Denison, 1975), it shares the unusual character of having fused anterior superognathals with the type genus of Mylostomatidae, Mylostoma, suggesting a close affinity between the taxa (Dunkle, 1947). The original description of B. perissus also noted that it shared a number of features with Titanichthys. In particular, both genera have a ventro-mesially directed anterior tip and a relatively long blade portion of the inferognathal and a suborbital plate with a high short blade. The derived position of Titanichthys within a clade comprising likely members of the Mylostomatidae is interesting as it would suggest that a filter-feeder arose from a specialized durophagous lineage. However, the position and relations of Mylostomatidae remain uncertain as the phylogenetic position of its possible members (Mylostoma, Diplognathus, and Paramylostoma) have never been evaluated rigorously. Redescription of museum specimens and new material are needed to confirm whether Mylostomatidae is a true clade and if Titanichthys is nested within it.

The family Titanichthyidae (node E, Fig. 17), here represented by Ti. agassizi and CMNH 50319, is united by four unambiguous characters including the position of the posterior edge of pineal plate posterior to the orbits (character 9, state 1), well-developed embayments of the central plates by the paranuchal plates (character 14, state 2), the position of the PrO/PtO/C triple junction posterior to the orbit (character 33, state 0), and the presence of skull roof fenestrae (character 109, state 1). Characters 9 and 14 are both related to the reduction in orbit size of Titanichthys rather than a posterior shift of other features. CMNH 50319 shows three apomorphies in the phylogenetic analysis including a short contact between the central and nuchal plates (character 21, state 0), a reduction in the size of the orbits so that they are very small relative to skull size (character 28, state 0), and a moderate extension of the skull roof anterior to the junction of the otic and postorbital branches of the infraorbital canal relative to the skull roof length posterior to that junction (character 93, state 1). It should be noted that all three of these apomorphies are ratios that are more likely to be variable between individuals than strict categorical characters (e.g., presence/absence) and possibly affected by ontogeny assuming CMNH 50319 is a subadult.

**New and reinterpreted characters.—**CMNH 50319 provides important new information about the genus, including descriptions of previously unknown plates: rostral, postmarginal, post-suborbital, submarginal, posterior superognathal, and nasal capsule. It also confirms the presence of paired lateral fenestrae on the head shield and the orientation of the inferognathal such that the distinctive groove is on the ventral surface. In addition, CMNH 50319 has three novel characteristic that may be diagnostic for the genus, dependant on confirmation in additional specimens, including: (1) a transversely elliptical rostral plate lacking contacts with the skull roof, (2) the presence of an insertion for the median dorsal plate on the posterior dorsal lateral plate, and (3) a reduced posterior superognathal (approximately 16% the length of inferognathal) lacking denticles or an occlusal surface.

The lack of a well-defined contact between the rostral plate and any plates of the head shield in Titanichthys has led some authors to suggest that it had a soft snout (Dunkle and Bungart, 1943; Carr and Jackson, 2005).
Figure 17. A strict consensus of 2769 trees from a parsimony analysis in PAUP. Major nodes are labeled: A = coccosteids, B = Aspinothoracida, C = Selenosteidae, D = mylostomatids?, and E = Titanichthyidae.
1942; Lehman, 1956; Denison, 1978). However, a lack of contact between the rostral and preorbital plates is known for other species (Rücklin, 2011; Rücklin et al., 2015) and, in general, placoderms have a weak suture between the rostral plate and the head shield (Goujet, 2001). Although the head shield of *Titanichthys* appears to be loosely articulated and thin compared to most arthrodires, parts of the thoracic shield—in particular the posterior dorsal lateral and median dorsal plate—have become more integrated.

The posterior dorsal lateral plate of CMNH 50319 carries two insertions, one for the posterior lateral plate—an arrangement also found in Dunkleosteoidea (Carr and Hlavin, 2010) as well as *Gy. hydei* (Carr, 1994)—and a second for the posterolateral edge of the median dorsal plate, which is unique to the specimen. Posterior dorsal lateral plates have been recorded from *Ti. termieri* specimens (Lehman, 1956), but they are incomplete posteriorly probably due their extreme thinness (only a few millimeters thick) in this region. However, the probable subadult status of CMNH 50319 could also mean that at least the upper portion of the insertion was modified and lost during growth by resorption. Due to the insertion of the median dorsal plate’s lateral margin into the posterior dorsal lateral plate, the usually distinctive presence of two paired lateral processes of the median dorsal plate are obscured.

While the presence of an insertion for the median dorsal plate on the posterior dorsal lateral plate may be interpreted as ontogenetic, the reduced posterior superognathal of CMNH 50319 is probably apomorphic for *Titanichthys*. The relative lengths of the posterior superognathal to the inferognathal plate in advanced arthrodires (e.g., Euarthrodira) ranges from between approximately 30 to 50%. However, the posterior superognathal of CMNH 50319 is only approximately 16% the length of the inferognathal, falling outside the range of other advanced arthrodires. The posterior superognathal also lacks any denticles or cusps that would articulate with the inferognathal. For this to be an ontogenetic feature would require dramatic growth late in life in the gnathal elements, which is unknown in other arthrodires (Snively et al., 2010).

While the inferognathal is the most characteristic element of *Titanichthys*, their orientation has been the subject of debate. The inferognathals were originally reconstructed so that the anterior ends project anteromedially, with the groove facing up, presumably to support the inferred tooth plates (Newberry, 1885). This orientation was disputed by Dunkle and Bungart (1942) who believed that the inferognathals had been placed upside down and interchanged from side to side; in their reconstruction the anterior portion of the inferognathal projects ventromedially. They based this orientation on the presence of a separate structure found in the groove of some *Titanichthys* specimens (CMNH 6163, CMNH 7366) that they identified as an anterior inferognathal, now known as the mentomandibular ossification of the Meckelian cartilage.

Lehman (1956) preferred the original inferognathal orientation of the groove facing dorsally, citing that the position of the inferognathal fragment on the left side of the head shield as being nearly in life position. However, the inferognathal must have been transported to have come to rest on the dorsal surface of the head shield and, thus, is not guaranteed to be near its original location. In contrast, the inferognathal of CMNH 50319 was found closely associated with the left suborbital, postsuborbital, and submarginal plates and, thus, had not been transported. The inferognathal of CMNH 50319 has the groove facing ventrally supporting the Dunkle and Bungart (1942) orientation. A consequence of interpreting the inferognathal of *Titanichthys* with the groove face ventrally is that the most anterior portion of the inferognathal projects ventrally below the rest of the inferognathal.

Within the pachyosteomorphs, only *B. perissus* (Dunkle, 1947) shares this ventrally projecting tip of the inferognathal. The presence of a ventral groove is considered plesiomorphic at
the brachythoracid level (Lelièvre, 1995); however, it seems probable that in *Titanichthys* the groove was expanded to hold the meckelian cartilage as well as muscle attachments inferred from patterns on the internal surface of the groove that appear to represent muscle scars.

**Subadult status of CMNH 50319.**—We consider CMNH 50319 to represent a subadult specimen of *Titanichthys* based on the overall size of the preserved elements and the large diameter of the lateral fenestrae compared to the published figures of larger individuals (Newberry, 1885; Dean, 1909); we infer from this that the fenestrae diminished in size as the animal matured. Additionally, the inferognathal is significantly shorter than other *Titanichthys* specimens available for examination, possibly due to its age at time of death. The few studies that have discussed ontogeny in arthrodires have either focused on single elements, (i.e., inferognathals; Hlavin, 1976; Carr, 1991) or the entire headshield of taxa distantly related to *Titanichthys* (i.e. Gogococoosteids; Trinajstic, 1995, 2009; Trinajstic and Hazelton, 2007). Despite ontogenetic uncertainty, most of the potential ontogenetic changes observed in these studies are unlikely to have impacted the characters states of CMNH 50319 used in the phylogenetic analysis. This is because the ontogenetic changes observed for *D. terrelli* (Hlavin, 1976) and coccosteids (Trinajstic, 1995; Trinajstic and Hazelton, 2007; Trinajstic and Dennis-Bryan, 2009) are either not treated as characters in this analysis, or the characters are categorical and not ambiguous in CMNH 50319.

In addition to the inferred diminishing size of the lateral fenestrae with age, the median dorsal plate of CMNH 50319 exhibits an unusual anterior border morphology that we believe is related to ontogeny. The median dorsal plate of both CMNH 50319 and CMNH 8981 exhibit a deep median embayment at the anterior border, in addition to the normal anterior embayment of aspinothoracids. In CMNH 50319, this embayment is more pronounced than that in CMNH 8981, which is the larger of the two specimens. AMNH 7315 (Dean, 1909) lacks this median embayment entirely, but has the largest overall anterior embayment and is also the largest specimen. This same pattern of loss of the median embayment with increased size is also seen in *Dunkleosteus* (Dean, 1909, fig. 61), although in that genus the median dorsal begins with an ovoid shape.

Taking size as a proxy for age, the three median dorsal plates, CMNH 50319, CMNH 8981, and AMNH 7315 can be interpreted as a putative growth series from smallest to largest (Fig. 18). During growth, either the lateral margin of the median embayment seen in CMNH 50319 is remodeled or the anterior margin of the median dorsal plate undergoes negative allometry with respect to the rest of the plate. In either case, the median embayment becomes less distinct with growth until it is indistinguishable from the anterior embayment of the nuchal. Of note, Eastman (1898, fig. 5) figures a median dorsal plate identified as belonging to a juvenile *Ti. clarki*, which lacks an anterior median embayment, but the assignment to *Titanichthys* is questionable. The development of the median embayment may potentially be used to give a relative age to *Titanichthys* specimens.

**Titanichthys as a filter feeder.**—The genus has traditionally been interpreted as a planktivore (Denison, 1978; Anderson, 2008) based on the absence of denticles, and the lack of shearing or crushing features on the inferognathals. Although this hypothesis remains untested, *Titanichthys* does show several characteristics that are convergent with giant suspension-feeding organisms. These include: (1) a long and slender lower jaw relative to body length, (2) reduced orbit size, (3) lack of teeth, and, (4) large body size (e.g., whale shark; Friedman, 2011). It is possible that *Titanichthys* was the first massive vertebrate pelagic planktivore, with a lifestyle similar to that of the modern basking, whale, or megamouth sharks.
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