Understanding heterogeneity among elderly consumers: an evaluation of segmentation approaches in the functional food market
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Abstract
It is beneficial for both the public health community and the food industry to meet nutritional needs of elderly consumers through product formats that they want. The heterogeneity of the elderly market poses a challenge, however, and calls for market segmentation. Although many researchers have proposed ways to segment the elderly consumer population, the elderly food market has received surprisingly little attention in this respect. Therefore, the present paper reviewed eight potential segmentation bases on their appropriateness in the context of functional foods aimed at the elderly: cognitive age, life course, time perspective, demographics, general food beliefs, food choice motives, product attributes and benefits sought, and past purchase. Each of the segmentation bases had strengths as well as weaknesses regarding seven evaluation criteria. Given that both product design and communication are useful tools to increase the appeal of functional foods, we argue that elderly consumers in this market may best be segmented using a preference-based segmentation base that is predictive of behaviour (for example, attributes and benefits sought), combined with a characteristics-based segmentation base that describes consumer characteristics (for example, demographics). In the end, the effectiveness of (combinations of) segmentation bases for elderly consumers in the functional food market remains an empirical matter. We hope that the present review stimulates further empirical research that substantiates the ideas presented in this paper.
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Introduction
The elderly population is becoming an increasingly interesting consumer group from a marketing perspective. The proportion of elderly aged 65 years and older in the population is expected to rise to 20 % for the USA(1) and to 29 % for Europe(2) in the next 40 years. In addition, elderly consumers are getting wealthier(3). Therefore, marketers are starting to focus their efforts on the unique needs of the elderly, in particular in the tourism domain(4,5). For many other domains, including the food domain, the elderly market is still under development(6,7).

The food market holds great potential for targeting the elderly population. The nutritional needs of elderly change with advancing age, as do their preferences regarding how to meet these needs. Specifically, appetite declines with age whereas the recommended daily intake of many nutrients increases(8). This paradox can result in nutritional deficiencies, which decrease the quality of life of elderly(9) and increase healthcare costs(10). Moreover, elderly show an interest in healthy eating(11) and are willing to spend money on products that meet their needs(12,13). Marketing efforts that focus on the nutritional needs of elderly may thus be beneficial for both public health and the food industry.

However, from a marketing perspective, meeting these needs is challenging. First, elderly who face a reduced appetite will probably not be able to meet their nutritional needs through an increased quantity of consumption. A more promising approach may therefore be the commercialisation of nutrient-enriched food(14), which is a type of functional food(15) that is relatively nutrient-dense given its volume. Commercialising these foods may pose a second challenge. Functional foods that are specifically aimed at elderly consumers may not be readily accepted by all subgroups of the elderly population, due to age-related stigmatisation(16,17). In contrast, when functional foods are advertised as healthy alternatives to conventional products, elderly are overall willing to try them(18–20).

The general concept of functional food may thus appeal to elderly. Nonetheless, specific functional food products
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may not, which is a third challenge to meeting the nutritional needs of the elderly. Although the elderly population can be classified using an age bracket (defined here as age 55 years and older), it is strongly heterogeneous in its composition\(^{(10)}\). During the decade from age 50 to 60 years, consumers go through many life changes and therefore become less alike\(^{(22)}\). Consequently, elderly may have similar nutritional needs (i.e. nutrient requirements), but their food-related wants (i.e. product preferences) tend to differ strongly.

Heterogeneous populations like the elderly call for a strategic marketing approach based on segmentation, targeting and positioning. Segmentation entails the identification of smaller, more homogeneous subgroups (segments) within a broader, more heterogeneous population\(^{(22)}\). Once identified, segments can be evaluated on their potential for a specific company, and one or more segments can be selected for targeting. For these target segments, differentiated marketing programmes can be developed based on product design and positioning. Many researchers have recognised the heterogeneity among elderly and have proposed ways to segment this population\(^{(4,17,21)}\). Nonetheless, segmentation within the elderly food market has received surprisingly little attention, especially when compared with the travel market. To our knowledge, only two published articles have focused on segmenting elderly consumers in the food market\(^{(23,24)}\) and none has focused on the functional food market.

A successful approach will promote the commercialisation of functional foods for the elderly. However, successful segmentation is not simply a matter of freely exploring consumer differences, because segments are not groups of consumers that occur naturally in the market\(^{(22)}\). Instead, segments are groups created by marketers to help them develop market strategies and meaningful groups result only from a strong theoretical basis. Therefore, one of the most critical steps in market segmentation is the selection of one or multiple variables (bases) that will be used to group consumers into segments\(^{(22)}\). Although, statistically, segments can be found even when a logical basis for clusters is not apparent\(^{(25)}\), an inappropriate choice of base variables may result in segments that are hard to identify or do not provide informative input for marketing efforts. In contrast, a (combination of) well-selected base(s) may provide marketers with more valid segments that differ from each other in a meaningful way. Therefore, the aim of the present paper is to review a range of potential segmentation bases, and critically evaluate their appropriateness in the context of functional foods aimed at the elderly.

### Segmentation bases

Food choice depends on various interrelated higher-level and lower-level determinants, and each of these sources of variability might potentially serve as a segmentation base\(^{(21,26)}\). To provide some structure in discussing these various bases, we organise them into three hierarchical levels that (amongst others) are regularly used in consumer research: the person, domain and product level\(^{(27–29)}\).

- **Person level**: individual characteristics, such as demographics, life stage, cognitive age, etc.
- **Domain level**: general food beliefs, personal values, nutrition knowledge, etc.
- **Product level**: specific product attributes, purchase motives, etc.
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with similar needs and wants and provides input for product development and strategy\(^{(30–32)}\). In markets like the functional food market, both product development and communication for (re)positioning of existing products are useful; thus, either of the two main segmentation approaches may be suitable for segmenting elderly in the functional food market. We will use this theoretical organisation as a starting point for evaluating the eight selected segmentation bases on a set of evaluation criteria.

**Evaluation criteria**

The marketing literature has put forward six criteria for the evaluation of market segmentation approaches: identifiability, substantiality, accessibility, stability, responsiveness and actionability\(^{(22,33,34)}\). These criteria are operationalised as follows:

1. Identifiability: segments can be distinguished from each other on the basis of information that is obtained objectively and easily (for example, a validated and short scale).
2. Substantiality: segments are large enough to be targeted profitably in terms of invested time, effort and cost as well as resulting sales.
3. Accessibility: targeted segments can be reached with marketing efforts through multimedia or in store in a way that is not too costly. Media profiles (based on demographics) provide information on how marketers can access segments.
4. Stability: segments are stable for at least the time that marketing efforts take place in terms of size, behaviour or consumer membership.
5. Responsiveness: consumers within segments respond similarly to marketing efforts targeted at them and uniquely different from consumers in other segments.
6. Actionability: segments are meaningful in that they provide instructions for the development of marketing efforts within the scope of a company’s capabilities.

The relative importance of these six criteria depends, to some degree, on the marketing objectives of the segmentation. As mentioned before, product development and communication are important tools to increase the appeal of functional foods. Given that their success depends largely on the actionability of a segmentation base, we split the criteria of actionability into actionable for communication. This leaves us with seven evaluation criteria. Ideally, a segmentation base is strong regarding all criteria. However, most bases face some weaknesses as well as strengths\(^{(22,35)}\), and it may be most useful to segment consumer populations on a combination of variables that complement each other\(^{(22)}\). We will evaluate the strengths and weaknesses of the individual eight bases first (summarised in Table 1) and elaborate on complementary combinations of variables in the Discussion section.

**Person-level bases**

Person-level segmentation bases are high-level bases that indicate, for example, how people perceive their future (for example, ‘full of opportunities’) and themselves (for example, ‘younger than my chronological age’), but also their place in society (for example, ‘unemployed’ or ‘married’). Researchers who advocate the use of person-level bases for market segmenting generally argue that these provide a broad perspective on consumer behaviour and that segments are generalisable to various domains\(^{(21,36)}\). Others argue that these segments may be too broad and therefore not able to explain and predict specific behaviour\(^{(24,27)}\). We will evaluate four person-level bases: cognitive age, life course, time perspective and demographics.

**Cognitive age**

In the past, age was frequently used as a segmentation base\(^{(37,38)}\). However, since the 1990s, marketers have started to acknowledge that people do not ‘act their age’ and that people within the same age group are rather dissimilar\(^{(39)}\). Chronological age is an empty variable, in the sense that it does not cause behaviour\(^{(39)}\), but merely gives a suggestion of one’s degree of ageing. Age, in turn,
is not perfectly in line with ageing\cite{36}. Ageing occurs at different rates in different people and elderly often feel much younger than their chronological age\cite{40}. As a result, chronological age has made way for cognitive age; the age one feels like, looks like, acts like and the age one’s interests fit in\cite{38,43,41}.

Cognitive age results from biological, psychological and social ageing, which affect how people perceive themselves\cite{40}. After retirement, for example, people may start feeling old because they want to maintain consistency between their behaviour and their identity\cite{40}. Nonetheless, the majority of elderly do not feel old\cite{42}, which may be partly explained by the perceived undesirability of old age that exists in many societies\cite{16,42}. Also, the turning point from feeling young to feeling old may require the experience of a catalytic event, rather than ageing in general\cite{43}, and the timing of this event probably differs considerably among individuals because people respond differently to life events\cite{2,40,44}. However, as long as one lives in a stable environment, cognitive age may remain constant or change slowly\cite{40}. In line with this, the test–retest reliability of the cognitive age scale is strong; 0·88\cite{38,41,43}.

Cognitive age mediates the effect of various demographics on behaviour\cite{40} and it may therefore provide a rich perspective of consumers, resulting in uniquely responsive segments. Cognitively old elderly, for example, are more price sensitive than cognitively young elderly\cite{45}. Given the overall higher prices of functional food\cite{46}, cognitively old elderly may thus be less interested in purchasing these types of food than cognitively young elderly. However, to our knowledge, there is no literature available on the relationship between cognitive age and (functional) food choice.

Cognitive age is a better predictor of behaviour than chronological age\cite{43}. Nevertheless, as a person-level variable, cognitive age is limited in predicting food choice. In addition, segments based on cognitive age are not as easily identified as segments based on chronological age. Whereas chronological age can be determined objectively and directly, using a single question, cognitive age is inferred from responses on a multi-item questionnaire, albeit a small and reliable one (split-half reliability: 0·85)\cite{41}. Moreover, there is no consensus on how to categorise subjects into cognitive age groups. One could, for example, use a cut-off score to categorise people into cognitively young v. old, or one could distinguish between people who feel younger or older than their actual age. Although this lack of consensus reduces identifiability of segments based on cognitive age, it does provide marketers with some influence on the composition and substantiability of segments; there is no fixed number of segments (in contrast with, for example, sex).

Irrespective of the operationalisation of its groups, cognitive age provides limited instructions for marketing efforts. Questions may be raised such as: do elderly who feel like 60 want different functional foods from those who feel like 90? Actionability for product design is thus limited and, therefore, researchers usually recommend combining cognitive age with other variables\cite{36,43}. Segments do provide some instructions for communication efforts and are moderately actionable for communication. For example, research on age-related stigmatisation has shown that cognitively old elderly accept age-targeted products and services such as senior discounts, whilst cognitively young elderly do not\cite{16,47}. Furthermore, media profiles based on chronological age may provide some information on how marketers can access segments based on cognitive age, since they are correlated (r > 0·50)\cite{40,42}. Media profiles based on other demographics may not be applicable because they are only weakly related to cognitive age\cite{40,43}.

**Life course**

Whereas cognitive age perspectives assume that life events affect one’s self-perception, life course perspectives argue that elderly who experienced similar life events will have similar needs, wants and/or behaviours\cite{46,21}. Life events like retirement, remarriage, becoming a grandparent, relocation, health problems and widowhood\cite{40} have all been studied in the context of food consumption, but their effects tend to vary from one person and situation to another\cite{44,49}. Retirement, for example, may provide people with more time to prepare and enjoy meals, but may also increase the tendency to snack out of boredom or inactivity\cite{44}. This variability can be explained by the idea that life events have various direct and indirect effects\cite{49} that interact with each other in a dynamic way\cite{44}.

Because life events cannot be viewed in isolation\cite{49}, most researchers use combinations of life events. One example is the gerontographic life-stage model, which is specifically aimed at segmenting the elderly population. The model proposes four substantial segments: healthy indulgers (15 %), healthy hermits (38 %), ailing outgoers (54 %) and frail recluses (15 %)\cite{21}, which consist of elderly who are at different but not necessarily sequential stages in their lives\cite{37}. In part because segments are based on a wide collection of measures, the life-stage model predicts behaviour better than chronological age, cognitive age\cite{21,50} or demographics\cite{51} alone and segments are differentially responsive. Ailing outgoers, for example, are interested in learning new things, whilst frail recluses want to feel secure\cite{50}. However, the extent to which different marketing strategies appeal to the segments varies across products\cite{21} and segments are, therefore, limited in actionability regarding both product design and communication. In addition, it has been suggested that only two segments are viable for the food market: healthy indulgers and ailing outgoers\cite{52}.

In contrast, stability of segments based on life course perspectives is probably good, because life events are
closely linked with demographics (for example, retirement with income and occupation), which are generally stable. In line with this, the gerontographic life stages are relatively stable, with segments varying in size by no more than 2% across studies\(^{(21)}\). Moreover, some of the demographic information inherent in life events can be linked to media profiles (for example, socio-economic status), which provides marketers with information on the accessibility of segments. Although single life events may be easily identifiable using objective and direct demographic questions, questionnaires that assess a collection of life events can become quite lengthy. In addition, there is considerable variance among studies in the choice of life events, and concrete information on the variables used to measure the gerontographic life stages is lacking\(^{(53)}\), because the model is proprietary\(^{(17)}\).

**Time perspective**

Socio-emotional selectivity theory is another approach that looks at the effect of life events on behaviour\(^{(54)}\). Rather than focusing on self-perception (like cognitive age) or adaptation (life course perspectives), it assumes that differences in behaviour are based on differences in time perspective\(^{(55)}\). The theory classifies time perspective into limited time and open-ended time, which can be measured using a reliable, ten-item questionnaire (internal consistency: 0.92)\(^{(56)}\). People who perceive time as limited (regularly found in ill or old people) have a tendency to pursue short-term, emotional and present-oriented goals whereas people who perceive time as open-ended (more often found in young people) have a tendency to pursue more long-term, rational and future-oriented goals\(^{(55,57)}\).

On a consumer level, hedonic products (for example, tasty food) are most appealing when time is limited, whereas utilitarian products (for example, healthy food) are most appealing when time is open-ended\(^{(58)}\). Functional foods usually provide benefits in the long run and may therefore, on the one hand, appeal most to consumers with an open-ended time perspective. On the other hand, the convenience or ‘quick fix’ aspect of functional foods\(^{(59)}\) may appeal to those who perceive time as limited. In line with the latter, elderly living in nursing homes (i.e. who probably perceive time as limited) are more interested in functional foods than those still living independently\(^{(60)}\). However, to our knowledge, no published studies have looked at the effect of time perspective on functional food acceptance.

Although time perspective is correlated with age, such that older adults more often perceive time as limited, it is not bound to age\(^{(55,58)}\). In fact, larger differences in time perspective exist among elderly than among young adults\(^{(61)}\) and the correlation between age and time perspective is not always significant within the elderly population\(^{(62,63)}\). In fact, time perspective can even be changed temporarily using time constraints or statements\(^{(58,64)}\). Segments based on time perspective are therefore more or less stable depending on the context of food choice. In line with this, test–retest reliability of the future time perspective scale is moderate (0.50 to 0.72)\(^{(65)}\). In addition, as elderly more often perceive time as limited, a segment of elderly who perceive time as open-ended may not be substantial enough to be profitable. In addition, time perspective is not strongly related to demographics other than chronological age\(^{(54)}\), and this association is weak within the elderly population\(^{(62,63)}\).

As a result, information on accessibility of segments based on time perspective will be limited.

Time perspective is, however, strongly related to one’s motivations and goals\(^{(55)}\) and may therefore provide responsive segments. Emotionally appealing advertisements are, for example, more attractive to consumers who perceive time as limited than to consumers who perceive time as open-ended\(^{(66)}\). In addition, time perspective is inferred from responses on a validated, multi-item questionnaire and is therefore moderately easy identifiable. Moreover, segments based on time perspective are actionable for both communication and design, because they provide information about the products and messages that are appealing to consumers (for example, hedonic v. utilitarian). A recent conceptualisation of time perspective may provide even more actionability. Cate & John\(^{(54)}\) found that time perspective could best be operationalised as two independent dimensions: perceived limitations and opportunities. In this conceptualisation, a person who sees time as limited does not necessarily see time as less full of opportunity and may be interested in, for example, products that promote health and are convenient to use at the same time.

**Demographics**

In contrast with time perspective, demographics are widely used as segmentation bases. They provide segments that are considerably stable (for example, sex), substantial (for example, education), easily identified using objective measures (for example, age)\(^{(27)}\) and intuitively easy to understand\(^{(67)}\). In addition, demographics are often readily available\(^{(22)}\) and have been used as a basis for media profiles that provide marketers with information on the accessibility of consumers.

Functional food acceptance has been related to various demographics, such as sex, education, income and age\(^{(68,69)}\). Specifically, women and older adults are overall found to be more accepting of functional foods than men and younger adults\(^{(18,70)}\), and consumers with lower education have more concerns about functional foods than those with higher education\(^{(71)}\), which may also be explained by their lower income. These findings suggest that highly educated, wealthy, elderly women may be most interested in functional foods.
Nevertheless, differences in demographics generally do not account for much variation in actual food choice\(^{(72,73)}\). For example, the core foods eaten by elderly vary little with income\(^{(74,75)}\) and the perceived health benefits of functional foods outweigh the effects of age and sex on functional food acceptance\(^{(69)}\). Furthermore, segments based on demographics are limited in actionability regarding both product design and communication\(^{(55)}\) as they provide little instructions for marketing efforts. For example, how can marketers position functional food in a segment of well-educated, elderly women? In addition, segments are probably not uniquely responsive to marketing efforts because consumers within these segments are often considerably heterogeneous\(^{(26)}\). Indeed, relationships found between demographics and food choice differ between functional foods\(^{(76)}\), across countries\(^{(77)}\), over time\(^{(78)}\) and across studies in general.

**Summary**

Person-level segmentation bases are generally stable, responsive and substantial. They are, however, limited in their actionability because they are not strongly related to actual food choice. Therefore, these bases provide limited instructions for marketing efforts in the functional food market. Furthermore, demographics and single life events are unique in the sense that they are measured directly with objective, one-item measures (for example, birth date, sex). All other segmentation bases that we discuss are inferred from multi-item questionnaires.

**Food-level bases**

Food-level segmentation bases are medial-level bases that indicate, for example, how people think about food and food contexts (for example, ‘processed food is unhealthy’), but also how they trade off various reasons for choosing food (for example, health vs. sensory appeal). Researchers who advocate the use of domain-level bases for market segmentation generally argue that these bases are neither too general (like person-level bases), nor too specific (like product-level bases)\(^{(27,79)}\). The same argument may also underline why domain-level bases are of limited use; for some purposes they are neither specific nor general enough. We will evaluate two food-level bases: general food beliefs and food choice motives.

**General food beliefs**

The experiences with food that consumers have over their lifetime affect their personal beliefs about food, such as what types of food they should or should not eat, the role of food in their lives and how they categorise or evaluate food products\(^{(72,73,80,81)}\). These beliefs are general, in that they are not about specific food products (for example, oranges) but about broader food categories (for example, fruit) or about food in general (for example, the effects of food on health). General food beliefs differ strongly among consumers and, as a consequence, the same type of food (for example, functional food) may be perceived as healthy and convenient by some, but as unhealthy and quick-fix solutions by others\(^{(80)}\).

Elderly tend to believe that their diet is already healthy\(^{(82,85)}\) and that improving their diet is too late\(^{(44,80)}\). Single beliefs are probably not actionable, however, because they do not directly translate into specific behaviours. For example, consumers may overall be sceptical about functional foods, but nevertheless consume specific functional foods that meet their needs or wants\(^{(60)}\). A combination of multiple beliefs thus provides a broader perspective of consumer thought processes and food choice. In one of the few segmentation studies of the elderly food market, Morgan\(^{(23)}\) segmented elderly using a questionnaire with food-related statements (for example, ‘eating at restaurants is too expensive’) and found three responsive segments: nutrition concerned, fast and healthy, and traditional couponers. Segments like these are actionable for both product design and communication, because they provide concrete information about the thought processes of consumers. For nutrition-concerned elderly, for example, marketers can emphasise the health aspects of foods and for fast and healthy elderly the convenience aspects.

One well-known questionnaire based on food beliefs is the Food-Related Lifestyle Instrument. It measures sixty-nine food beliefs from the process of food shopping to food consumption and links them to five food lifestyle elements\(^{(84)}\). However, most researchers construct their own questionnaire, with beliefs that are of central interest for specific research questions. Similar to measuring life events, there is no consensus on which food beliefs should be measured. Nonetheless, the choice of beliefs is a critical step\(^{(25)}\) that strongly affects actionability. A segmentation based on beliefs about food in general will provide less information on how a functional food should be designed (actionability for design) but more information on how to approach consumers (actionability for communication) than a segmentation based on beliefs about the more specific category of functional foods.

Substantiality of segments is probably not a problem when using food beliefs, because marketers are not bound to a specific number or type of segments and can thus combine segments that are too small. Furthermore, general food beliefs are based on a lifetime of experiences and can remain consistent over long periods of time\(^{(85)}\). In contrast, the more specific beliefs about functional foods may not yet be strongly established in consumers’ minds. In earlier studies on functional foods, beliefs used to fluctuate between as well as within experiments\(^{(86,87)}\) and although functional foods are more common nowadays, consumers are still ambivalent about them\(^{(60,88)}\). Segments based on food beliefs may thus be more or less stable.
depending on the beliefs that are measured. The availability of media profiles and, therefore, accessibility of the segments also depends on the choice of beliefs.

**Food choice motives**

Whereas food beliefs consist of what consumers think is true, food choice motives consist of what consumers think is important and which factors they consider in making food choices.

The widely used Food Choice Questionnaire (FCQ) assesses the importance of each of nine food choice motives: health, mood, convenience, sensory appeal, natural content, price, weight control, familiarity and ethical concern. A later adjustment of this scale divides the ethical motive into three motives: religion, political value and ecological welfare. Segments based on food choice motives are actionable for both product design and communication, because the motives can be directly translated into product characteristics and messages. Segments that are motivated by weight control, for example, may be interested in protein-enriched products that ‘increase feelings of satiety’ whereas segments that are motivated by natural content may prefer products that ‘contain natural sources of protein’.

Usually consumers hold multiple motives that may be more or less important depending on the context of food choice. When hospitalised, for example, people often temporarily care less about the sensory appeal of food and focus more on their health. In the case of conflicting motives, a trade-off takes place, wherein one can, for example, focus on a single motive (for example, always choose the healthiest option). Alternatively, one can routine ways to trade off motives for recurring situations (for example, only choose tasty foods during the weekend).

In addition, consumers may choose to interpret food choice motives in such a way that they are consistent with their behaviour. When consuming ice cream, for example, consumers may operationalise their health motives in terms of promoting wellbeing (i.e. enjoyment) even though the product may not feel as satisfying to their physical health. Furthermore, what consumers think is important does not always align with what they actually do. Food choice motives are thus probably not strongly predictive of actual food choice.

Trade-off tendencies of food choice motives are relatively stable within situations and, usually, food choice questionnaires measure the importance of food choice motives in the context of ‘a typical day’. Food choice motives will, therefore, probably result in stable segments. In line with this, test–retest reliability of the motives ranges between 0.71 and 0.83. A later adjustment of this scale divides the ethical motive into three motives: religion, political value and ecological welfare. A later adjustment of this scale divides the ethical motive into three motives: religion, political value and ecological welfare. Therefore, food choice segments are often substantial enough (≥ 21 %). In contrast, segments may not be linked to media profiles, because not all food choice motives are reliably related to specific demographics. The price motive, for example, has been related to age in some studies but not in others.

**Summary**

Food-level segmentation bases are generally responsive and actionable because they are closely related to actual food choice. They are moderately identifiable (because they are inferred from multi-item questionnaires) and provide little information on accessibility (because they are not related to demographics). In addition, their ability to predict acceptance of specific functional foods is limited because they operate on a general food level. For example, consumers who appreciate functional foods in general may be broken down and segments that are too small may be combined with other segments.

**Product-level bases**

Product-level segmentation bases are low-level bases that indicate, for example, what kind of benefits people seek in their food consumption (for example, ‘comfort’) and what kind of product attributes they prefer (for example, ‘crispy texture’), but also what products consumers actually purchase. Researchers who advocate the use of product-level bases for market segmentation argue that these are
strongly predictive of actual food choice. Others argue that segments based on product-level bases may be too specific and therefore not generalisable to other products. We will evaluate two product-level bases: product attributes and benefits sought, and past purchase.

**Product attributes and benefits sought**

Food choice motives (for example, ‘health’) are fulfilled by product benefits (for example, ‘control of blood pressure’) which arise from product attributes (for example, ‘added omega-3 fatty acids’). These three concepts are often used interchangeably because they are closely related to each other. However, food choice motives reflect more general consumer tendencies, whereas the attributes and benefits that consumer seek probably differ across foods. Therefore, we evaluate product attributes and benefits together as product-level segmentation bases.

According to Haley, the fact that consumers seek different benefits is the main reason why market segments exist. In various marketing domains, elderly consumers have been segmented on the product attributes and benefits they seek and this has also been done for the general consumer population in the functional food market. Examples of resulting segments are: ‘receptive to functional foods’ (47 %) and ‘receptive to general foods for wellbeing’ (53 %), or ‘pill lovers’ (23 %), ‘yoghurt lovers’ (16 %) and ‘pill loathers’ (16 %). Moreover, one of the few researchers who discussed the segmentation of elderly consumers in the food market recommended using benefit segmentation. Nonetheless, this recommendation was not evaluated in practice.

The three attributes of functional food that are most frequently discussed in the literature are: functional ingredients (for example, Ca), health claims (for example, ‘increases bone mineral density’) and the carrier product in which functional ingredients are placed (for example, orange juice). These attributes each affect functional food acceptance individually. In addition, ingredients and carriers interact with each other and, as a result, some carrier–ingredient combinations are more appealing to consumers than others. Functional food acceptance is also affected by the way in which health claims are presented. For example, elderly prefer health claims that focus on disease prevention (for example, ‘reduces risk of cancer’) over health claims that focus on health promotion (for example, ‘increases energy level’). However, carrier type is found to affect acceptance most strongly.

Besides food-specific attributes (for example, functional ingredients), functional foods may differ on a range of general product attributes, such as product price, package, brand and additional ingredients. These attributes also contribute to the benefits that a product provides. Although individual benefits are appealing to many segments, it is the total configuration of benefits sought that differ between segments and result in unique responsiveness. Overall, elderly prefer healthy carriers to unhealthy ones, but considerable differences exist in their specific preferences. For example, elderly are found to overall like protein-enriched bread and dislike protein-enriched candy, but differ in their acceptance of protein-enriched meat, microwave meals and canned soup.

Segments based on product attributes and benefits sought are actionable because they can be directly translated into marketing efforts (for example, by highlighting certain benefits) as well as product design (for example, by using certain carrier–ingredient combinations). In contrast, identifiability of these segments is limited. Researchers generally advise against directly measuring attributes and benefits sought because consumers often do not exactly know why they do or do not want to purchase certain products. Instead, researchers usually let participants evaluate product formats, from which the attributes and benefits sought are later derived using a statistical method (for example, conjoint analysis).

Generally, segments based on attributes and benefits sought are substantial enough (≥ 16 %) but moderately stable. Sought attributes and benefits are linked to the food choice motives that are most important at the moment of food choice. These motives, in turn, can vary across situations and over time. However, when attributes and benefits sought are measured for a specific context, segments may be stable. In line with this, test–retest reliabilities of attributes and benefits sought have been found to vary strongly, from the weak 0·20 to the strong 0·93. In addition, products and attributes sought cannot always be directly related to specific demographics and may, therefore, provide little information on the accessibility of segments.

**Past purchase**

Aside from which attributes and benefits consumers seek, it can be useful to look at what products consumers actually purchase. Research suggests that past behaviour is strongly predictive of future behaviour and when behaviour is frequently performed, it may turn into a stable habit. This applies to food choice as well. Past purchase may be especially predictive of future purchase in elderly because they live relatively stable lives and may thus have well-established patterns of consumption. Indeed, even olfactory losses in the elderly are not strongly related to changes in food choice. Segments based on product purchase are thus probably stable.

Nonetheless, the relationship between past and future behaviour is not especially meaningful. Past behaviour and future behaviour are merely correlated with each other because they are based on the same underlying beliefs and motivations. Behaviour itself does not provide much information on why consumers do or do not purchase certain products and actionability for communication is thus limited. How can one, for example, promote functional
food purchase among consumers who do not yet purchase functional food? In contrast, actionability for design may be moderate. For example, consumers who already purchase certain types of functional food may be interested in similar types of functional food and consumers may also be approached with functional alternatives of the conventional foods that they purchase.

Information on past behaviour may, however, only be useful in a market that is relatively static and the functional food market, in contrast, is one driven by innovation. Product formats or marketing efforts from the past may therefore be a weak starting point in the commercialisation of functional foods. Furthermore, few segmentation studies use purchase behaviour in isolation, because it tends to result in limited, substantial and heterogeneous segments (for example, buyers and non-buyers of a product) that are probably not uniquely responsive to marketing efforts. Therefore, behaviour is sometimes measured in combination with other variables, such as purchase frequency or motivations to establish more diverse segments. Yet more often, past behaviour is used to describe segments rather than as a basis for market segmentation.

Segments can be identified using a self-report measure of past purchase, but self-report measures often provide unreliable results. In addition, consumers may be unaware of functional food purchases because consumers are often unsure whether or not products are ‘functional’. A better source of information is the customer card because it objectively records what customers purchase. Moreover, it records purchases across various occasions (for example, week and weekend days), which provides a better indication of behavioural tendencies than a single purchase. Furthermore, customer cards are usually linked with customer profiles that contain various demographics as well as store patronage information on which media profiles have been based. This information can thus be used to determine the accessibility of segments. However, irrespective of the measure used, past purchase can only be measured when the product of interest is already for sale in stores. This variable may thus be of limited use for newly developed functional foods.

Summary

Product-level segmentation bases are unique in the sense that they are able to predict acceptance of specific functional food formats, to some extent. Similar to food-level segmentation bases, segments of product-level bases are relatively strong regarding stability, substantiality and actionability for design. In contrast, segments are not always responsive and actionable for communication. Whereas segments based on product attributes and benefits sought are both actionable and responsive, this is not the case for past purchase. In addition, although past purchase may be the strongest predictor of functional food purchase among the segmentation bases in the present paper, it can exclusively be used for products that are already in stores.

Discussion

Segmentation of elderly consumers has become increasingly interesting from a marketing perspective. Segmentation of elderly in the functional food market has received limited attention, however, which is surprising given its potential for both the marketing industry and health community. Successful market segmentation calls for a strong theoretical basis and the present review aimed to provide some insight into segmentation bases for elderly in the functional food market. One approach to segmenting elderly consumers is to use characteristics-based segmentation bases that focus on describing consumers (for example, demographics). Another approach would be to use preference-based segmentation bases (for example, food choice motives), which focus on gaining insight into the wants and needs of consumers. In this paper, we argued that elderly have specific food-related needs and wants, and this might justify preference-based segmentation approaches in the functional food market.

The present review evaluated a range of potential segmentation bases on their appropriateness in the context of functional foods for the elderly consumer population. Using seven evaluation criteria based on the marketing literature, we found that all segmentation bases had strengths as well as weaknesses (see Table 1). It may thus not be appropriate to use a single segmentation base to segment the elderly consumer population in the functional food market. Although from a descriptive point of view one might combine all segmentation bases and look for useful information within this bulk of data, from an ‘understanding’ and marketing point of view it may be most useful to use few bases that complement each other in terms of their strengths and provide meaningful segments.

Given that the functional food market calls for segmentation bases that are meaningful in terms of both product design and communication, elderly consumers in this market may best be segmented using a preference-based variable from the food or product level that is predictive of behaviour (for example, attributes and benefits sought), combined with one or more characteristics-based person-level variables that describe consumer characteristics (for example, demographics). In the end, the effectiveness of combinations of segmentation bases remains an empirical matter. We hope that the present review stimulates further empirical research that substantiates the ideas presented in this paper.

Guidelines and conclusion

This paper underlines that there is no single correct way of segmenting elderly consumers in the functional food.
market. In fact, this is the case for most consumer groups and markets. Nevertheless, a segmentation base will provide the most meaningful segments when it is matched to the marketing objectives of a study. In terms of marketing methods, studies aimed at product development will benefit most from segmentation bases on a concrete, product level, that are strongly related to product acceptance and purchase. In contrast, studies aimed at product positioning and communication call for segmentation bases that provide a broader, more general picture on how to approach consumers. These bases can be found on the more abstract person level. In addition, several practical considerations can guide the selection of segmentation bases. For example, studies that specifically aim to target all consumers in a population may want to use a segmentation base that results in a few, substantial segments (for example, domain- and product-level bases). In contrast, studies that aim to provide international segments or segments that need to be reproducible after a period of time may want to use a segmentation base that provides relatively stable segments across cultures or time, respectively (for example, person-level bases).

Limitations and future research

The number of bases that can be used to segment consumer populations is virtually limitless. The present paper reviewed a selection of eight segmentation bases that are frequently used in either studies on elderly consumers or food choice. However, various other segmentation bases may be useful in segmenting the elderly population within the functional food market and may be an interesting target for future research. In addition, segmentation of the elderly market is relatively understudied in the marketing literature. Therefore, there is little empirical research on diversity in elderly food choices to build on, for now. Similarly, the amount of literature on functional foods was limited and did not include all segmentation bases that were evaluated in the present review. Much of the reasoning in this paper thus remains at a conceptual level. Future empirical research on market segmentation of the elderly consumer population and functional food acceptance will enable these fields to develop and move beyond the conceptual level put forward in this paper.
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