## Lagrange and Wilson theorems for the generalized Stirling numbers

By E. T. Bell.

(Received 1lth February, 1938. Read 4th March, 1938.)

1. If $m, n$ are integers, $m>0, n>1$, the generalized Stirling numbers ${ }^{1} S_{r}^{(m)}(n-1)$ are defined by the identity in $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{a=1}^{n-1}\left(x+a^{m}\right) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} S_{r}^{(m)}(n-1) x^{n-r-1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following notation will be fixed.
$p$ is any prime $>0 ; m$ is any integer $>0$.
$\phi(m)$ is the number of positive integers $\leqq m$ and prime to $m$.
( $a, b$ ) is the greatest common divisor of the non-negative integers $a, b ;(0, b)=b$ if $b>0$.
$p \equiv \mu \bmod m,(m, \mu)=1,0<\mu \leqq m$.
$(\mu-1, m)=g$.
$(a)_{b}$ is the binomial coefficient $a!/ b!(a-b)!, a>0 ;(a)_{0}=1$.
$S_{r}=S_{r}^{(m)}(p-1)$.
Note that as $\mu$ runs through its $\phi(m)$ values, $p$ runs through all positive primes.

We shall consider the interdependence of the five theorems, $L, F, W, L^{\prime}, W^{\prime}$ :
$L$. (Lagrange's.) $\prod_{a=1}^{p-1}(x-a) \equiv x^{p-1}-1 \bmod p$, in which $\equiv$ is the sign of identical congruence (the coefficients of like powers of $x$ on both sides are congruent $\bmod p$ ).
F. (Fermat's). $\quad x^{p-1}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod p$ has the $p-1$ incongruent roots $1, \ldots, p-1$.

[^0]W. (Wilson's). $\quad 1+(p-1)!\equiv 0 \bmod p$.
$L^{\prime} .{ }_{a=1}^{p-1}\left(x-a^{m}\right) \equiv\left(x^{(p-1) / g}-1\right)^{g} \bmod p$.
$W^{\prime} .^{1} \quad S_{r} \equiv 0 \bmod p, 0 \leqq r \leqq p-1, r$ 丰 $0 \bmod (p-1) / g ;$
$$
S_{t(p-1) / g} \equiv(-1)^{t(p-g-1) / g}(g)_{t} \bmod p, 0 \leqq t \leqq g
$$

If only one of these five, say $A$, is used in the deduction of another. say $B$, we shall write $A>B$; if $A>B$ and $B>A$, we write $A=B$. Hence, if $A, B, C$ are any three of the five such that $A>B, B=C$, we can assert $A>C$. Obviously, if $A>B$ and $B>C$, then $A>C$. If none of the five is used in the deduction of $A$, we write $0>A$. In this symbolism we shall prove

$$
0>L ; \quad \text { (3) } L>F ; \quad \text { (4) } L>W ; \quad \text { (5) } L^{\prime}=W^{\prime} ; \quad \text { (6) } L=L^{\prime}
$$

2. As in the usual proofs, (3), (4) are immediate consequences of (2), and (5) is obvious. To recall a proof of (2), we let $n$ in (1) be an odd prime and take $m=1$. In the resulting identity $x$ is replaced by $x+1$, and the new identity is multiplied throughout by $x+1$. Comparison of like powers of $x$ then gives $S_{1}^{(1)}(n-1)=\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)$, $\equiv 0 \bmod n . \quad$ From this the successive equations for $S_{r}^{(1)}(n-1), r>1$, give $W^{\prime}$ in the case $p=n, m=1$, and from this $L$ follows for the same $p, m$. Since $L$ holds for $p=2$, the proof of (2) is complete.

Again, (6) is $L>L^{\prime}$ and $L^{\prime}>L$, the second of which follows on taking $m=1$ in $L^{\prime}$. For then $\phi(m)=1$, and $\mu=1$ is the only value of $\mu$, so that $g=1$, and hence $L^{\prime}>L$. We shall give a proof of $L>L^{\prime}$ in § 3 .

A shorter proof of $L^{\prime}$, which however is essentially less simple than the proof by $0>L, L>L^{\prime}$, in that it tacitly uses several known theorems which require longer proofs, is as follows. In $L^{\prime}$ replace $1^{m}, \ldots .,(p-1)^{m}$, as permissible, by their least positive residues $\bmod p$. Among these residues each of the $(p-1) / g m$-ic residues of $p$, which are the incongruent roots of $x^{(p-1) / g}-1 \equiv 0 \bmod p$, occurs $g$ times. Hence we have $L^{\prime}$.
3. Let $\theta=e^{2 \pi i / m}$, and in the statement of $L$ replace $x$ by $\theta^{8} x$. A short reduction gives

$$
\prod_{a=1}^{p-1}\left(x-a \theta^{s}\right) \equiv x^{p-1}-\theta^{(p-1) s} \bmod p
$$

[^1]In this we take $s=0, \ldots, m-1$ and form the products of corresponding members of the resulting $m$ congruences. Then

$$
\prod_{a=1}^{p-1}\left(x^{m}-a^{m}\right) \equiv \prod_{s=0}^{m}\left(x^{p-1}-\theta^{(p-18)}\right) \bmod p
$$

Referring to the notation in §l, we write $p=k m+\mu,(\mu-1, m)=g$, $\mu-1=g \sigma, \quad m=g n, \quad(n, \sigma)=1$. Hence $p-1=g(k n+\sigma)$, and we have

$$
\prod_{a=1}^{p-1}\left(x^{n}-a^{m}\right) \equiv \prod_{s=0}^{\underline{\eta}}\left(x^{(p-1) / g}-e^{2 \varepsilon \sigma \pi i / n}\right) \bmod p
$$

If $s_{1} \neq s_{2}$ and $s_{1}<n, s_{2}<n$, the congruence $s_{1} \sigma \equiv s_{2} \sigma \bmod n$ is impossible, since $(n, \sigma)=1$. Hence

$$
\prod_{s=0}^{\mu n}\left(x^{(p-1) / g}-e^{28 \sigma \pi i / n}\right)=\left(x^{n(p-1) / g}-1\right)^{g}
$$

and we have

$$
\prod_{a=1}^{p-1}\left(x^{n}-a^{m}\right) \equiv\left(x^{n(p-1) / g}-1\right)^{g} \bmod p
$$

The last, with $x$ replaced by $x^{1 / n}$, is $L^{\prime}$. Hence $L>L^{\prime}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ So designated by C. Tweedie, Proceedings Edinburgh Mathematical Society, 37 (1918-19), p. 24.

[^1]:    1 The case $m=2$ of $W^{\prime}$ was given by Glaisher, Quarterly Journal, 31 (1900), 34. His method differs from that used here to obtain the general result, and would probably be troublesome to extend.

