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1. In the case of a wave function with spherical symmetry, the wave equation can be separated using spherical polar coordinates, and the equation for the radial component becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} \psi}{d r^{2}}+\left\{\hat{\lambda}-q(r)-\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}\right\} \psi=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a constant parameter, proportional to the energy of the particle under consideration, $q(r)$ is proportional to the potential energy, and $l$ is a positive integer or zero.

In a recent paper (1) I discussed the distribution of the eigenvalues for cases of (1.1) similar to that of the hydrogen atom, for which $q(r)$ is proportional to $-1 / r$. To make this situation analytically precise, we set

$$
V(r)=q(r)+\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2} / r^{2}
$$

and impose the following conditions on $V(r)$ and $q(r)$ :
(i) except at $r=0, q(r)$ is continuously differentiable, while, as $r \rightarrow 0$, $q(r)=O\left(r^{-2+c}\right)$ for some fixed $c>0$;
(ii) $V(r)$ has one and only one zero, and that simple, from which it follows that $V(r)<0, q(r)<0$ for sufficiently large $r$;
(iii) as $r \rightarrow \infty, V(r)$ is three times continuously differentiable, and $V(r) \rightarrow 0$ with

$$
\frac{V^{\prime}(r)}{-V(r)} \asymp \frac{1}{r}, \frac{V^{\prime \prime}(r)}{V^{\prime}(r)}=O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right), \quad \frac{V^{\prime \prime \prime}(r)}{V^{\prime}(r)}=O\left(\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)
$$

where $\asymp$ means that the ratio of the two sides lies between positive constants;
(iv) as $r \rightarrow \infty, r^{d-2}\{-V(r)\}^{-1}$ is a decreasing function for some fixed $d>0$.

If we now impose on solutions of (1.1) the boundary condition that they be $L^{2}(0, \infty)$ (or, if $l=0$, that in addition they vanish at the origin), then we will have defined an eigenvalue problem which will have a discrete spectrum for $\lambda<0$ and a continuous spectrum for $\lambda>0$. (These results are proved in (1)). In § 6 of (1), I obtained a formula for the distribution of the discrete eigenvalues for which we require the following notation.

From (i) and (ii) above, we have that for $\lambda_{n}$ sufficiently small and negative, $\lambda_{n}-V(r)$ has exactly two zeros, one being close to the zero of $V(r)$ while the
other tends to infinity as $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 0$. We therefore define $R_{2}$ to be the smaller zero of $\lambda_{n}-V(r), r_{1}$ to be the zero of $V(r)$, and $q(\lambda)$ to be the inverse function to $V(r)$ for large $r$, with $q\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=q_{n}$; thus $q_{n}$ is the larger zero of $\lambda_{n}-V(r)$. We finally define

$$
\omega=\omega(r)=\tan ^{-1}\left\{[-V(r)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[r^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{1}(r)\right] / \frac{d}{d r}\left[r^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{1}(r)\right]\right\}
$$

where $V_{1}(r)$ is the solution of (1.1) with $\lambda=0$ which vanishes at the origin, the existence and uniqueness (apart from an unimportant constant factor) of this function being guaranteed by Lemma 3(a) of (1); the correct branch of the inverse tangent is determined by insisting that $\omega\left(r_{1}\right)=0$ and that $\omega$ be continuous.

We then have the result that the $(n+1)$ th eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$, as we approach zero through negative values, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left(n+\frac{3}{4}\right) \pi=\int_{R_{2}}^{q_{n}}\left\{\lambda_{n}-V(r)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d r+\frac{1}{4} \int_{r_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{d / d r\left[r^{2} V(r)\right]}{r^{2} V(r)} \sin 2 \omega d r+ \\
&+O\left\{\lambda_{n}^{\frac{1}{4} V^{-\frac{1}{2}}}\left[\left(-\lambda_{n}\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The usual approximation of the physicist to this is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi=\int_{R_{2}}^{q_{n}}\left\{\lambda_{n}-V(r)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} d r \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the size of the error involved is not specified. If, however, it is understood (as seems reasonable) that the error tends to zero, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then, in order for (1.2) and (1.3) to be consistent, the second integral in (1.2) (which is a constant independent of $n$ ) must take the value $\pi$. In fact, it is surprising (but true) that the integral does take the value $\pi$ if $q(r)$ takes the special form $-k r^{-a}(0<a<2), k$ being some positive constant. This is proved in $\S 6$ of (1).

The question then arises whether this is in the nature of a freak result or whether it is true for all $q(r)$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem. It is the purpose of this note to show that the first alternative is the case by demonstrating a function $q(r)$ for which the integral does not take the value $\pi$.
2. By (6.4) of (1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r_{1}}^{\infty} \frac{d / d r\left[r^{2} V(r)\right]}{r^{2} V(r)} \sin 2 \omega d r=\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} 4\left\{\omega(R)-\int_{r_{1}}^{R}[-V(r)]^{\frac{1}{2}} d r\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $q(r)=-k r^{-a}$, we can, as in (1), evaluate the right-hand side of (2.1) and so show that the left-hand side has the required value $\pi$. We now want to show that we can alter $q(r)$ away from $-k r^{-a}$ and by so doing alter the right-hand side (and so the left-hand side) of (2.1).

Consider therefore $q(r)$ changed from $-k r^{-a}$ to a function $q^{*}(r)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{*}(r)=-k r^{-a} \quad \text { for } \quad \frac{1}{2} r_{1} \leqq r<\infty . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

but being otherwise at present undefined, except that it must continue to satisfy the general conditions (i)-(iv) of § 1 . It should be remarked that with this definition $r_{1}$ is unaltered by the change in $q(r)$, so that there is no danger of confusion as to which value of $r_{1}$ is meant in (2.2).

Then the integral on the right-hand side of (2.1) is unaltered by the change in $q(r)$, and so whether the right-hand side or left-hand side is altered depends upon whether $\omega(R)$ is altered.

Now the general solution of the equation

$$
\frac{d^{2} \psi}{d r^{2}}-\left\{q^{*}(r)+\frac{l(l+1)}{r^{2}}\right\} \psi=0
$$

for $\frac{1}{2} r_{1} \leqq r<\infty$ is (as in (1), §6)

$$
A r^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{\beta}(\xi)+B r^{\frac{1}{2}} J_{-\beta}(\xi),
$$

where $A, B$ are arbitrary constants and

$$
\beta=(2 l+1) /(2-a), \quad \xi=2 k^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{1-\frac{1}{2} a} /(2-a) .
$$

We can certainly arrange the uncommitted part of $q^{*}(r)$ so that the solution $V_{1}(r)$ which vanishes at $r=0$ satisfies the condition $B \neq 0$. This will be as far as we need define $q^{*}(r)$.

Then, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have from the well-known asymptotic formula for Bessel functions that for some $A, B$, with $B \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}(r)=A r^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi \xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\cos \left(\xi-\frac{1}{2} \beta \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)\right. & \left.+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]+ \\
& +B r^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{2}{\pi \xi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\cos \left(\xi+\frac{1}{2} \beta \pi-\frac{1}{4} \pi\right)+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with a corresponding formula for $V_{1}^{\prime}(r)$ which is obtained from the above by formal differentiation. To simplify the resulting arithmetic, let us suppose specifically that $l=0, a=\frac{4}{3}, \beta=\frac{3}{2}$. Then, if we substitute the expressions for $V_{1}(r), V_{1}^{\prime}(r)$ in the definition of $\omega(r)$ in $\S 1$, we obtain that

$$
\omega(r)=\tan ^{-1}\left\{-\frac{[-V(r)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[-A \cos \xi-B \sin \xi+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]}{k^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{1}{2} a}\left[-A \sin \xi+B \cos \xi+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]}\right\} .
$$

Let us sufficiently put $A=\cos \eta, B=\sin \eta$, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega(r) & =\tan ^{-1}\left\{-\frac{[-V(r)]^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\cos (\xi-\eta)+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]}{k^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{-\frac{1}{2} a}\left[\sin (\xi-\eta)+O\left(\xi^{-1}\right)\right]}\right\} \\
& =\xi-\eta+\frac{1}{2} \pi \pm m \pi+o(1), \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ is some positive integer or zero.
If $B=0$, which occurs when $q(r)=-k r^{-a}$ for all $r$, we have

$$
\omega(r)=\xi+\frac{1}{2} \pi \pm m^{\prime} \pi+o(1), \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $m^{\prime}$ is also some positive integer or zero. Hence the change in $\omega(R)$ in (2.1), when we change $q(r)$ from $-k r^{-a}$ to $q^{*}(r)$, is, as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\pm\left(m^{\prime}-m\right) \pi+\eta+o(1)
$$

Since $B \neq 0$ for $q^{*}(r), \eta$ is not a multiple of $\pi$, and so there will be a nonzero change in the right-hand side of (2.1), and so also in the left-hand side.

This completes the construction of the necessary example.
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