Oral bioavailability of quercetin from different quercetin glycosides in dogs
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Although the flavonol quercetin is used as a supplement in commercial dog food, data on quercetin bioavailability in dogs are not available. Thus, we investigated quercetin bioavailability (measured as area under the concentration–time curve) in nine adult beagle dogs at an oral dose of 10 mg/kg body weight (b.w.). The major fraction (>80%) of flavonols circulating in blood plasma were conjugated metabolites of quercetin. The absolute bioavailability of quercetin (i.e. the fraction that reaches the systemic circulation) was only about 4%. We also compared the oral bioavailability between the aglycone quercetin and its more often used glucorhamnoside (rutin) and 3-O-glucoside (isoquercitrin) at an equimolar dose of 30 μmol/kg b.w. (corresponding to 10 mg quercetin/kg). Quercetin and isoquercitrin were mainly absorbed in the small intestine with isoquercitrin being one and a half times more bioavailable than quercetin. Maximal plasma concentration after isoquercitrin treatment was 0.89 (SEM 0.07) μmol/l. Although quercetin absorption from rutin was delayed, relative bioavailability was not lower than from the aglycone itself.

The latter observation is in clear contrast to findings in human subjects, pigs or rats and might indicate that rutin is a better source of quercetin in dogs than in other species. However, potential in vivo quercetin effects beyond the gastrointestinal tract are limited by the intensive metabolism as well as by the rather low bioavailability of this flavonol.
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Plant flavonoids including the flavonol quercetin have become increasingly popular as dietary supplements in recent years. These compounds have been suggested to have various beneficial effects like preventing cancer, suppressing inflammation or decreasing fat absorption (1). Quercetin, an effective antioxidant in vitro, is added to certain commercial dog foods and marketed as a compound preventing or improving a multitude of age-related diseases that are associated with increased oxidative stress. In order to evaluate potential effects of such compounds in vivo, a sound knowledge of their bioavailability and metabolism is prerequisite. In rats and pigs, the absolute bioavailability (i.e. the fraction of an ingested compound that reaches the systemic circulation) of unchanged quercetin was only 5% and <1%, respectively (2,3). However, the major fraction of plasma metabolites with an intact flavonol structure consists of methylated, glucuronidated and sulphated derivatives of quercetin (4–6). Taking also these metabolites into consideration, the absolute bioavailability of quercetin was noticeably higher with 59 and 17% for rats and pigs, respectively (2,3). Peak plasma concentrations after oral quercetin doses of 10 or 50 mg/kg body weight (b.w.) were in the low μmol/l range in these studies. This was also true for human subjects that ingested up to 200 mg of the flavonol in a single dose (7).

In plants, flavonols like quercetin occur mainly as O-glycosides and are linked to sugars like glucose, galactose or rhamnose (8). Supplemented commercial dog food also contains such glycosides like quercetin-3-O-glucorhamnoside (rutin). The glycosidic structure has a large impact on quercetin bioavailability, because the hydrolytic release of the sugar-free aglycone quercetin is a prerequisite for its absorption. Certain glycosides like quercetin-3-O-glucoside (isoquercitrin) are substrates for the small intestinal brush border enzyme lactase-phlorizin hydrolase (EC 3.2.1.108) (9,10). Quercetin can be enzymatically released from isoquercitrin and is, thus, absorbed mainly in the small intestine. This results in a relatively high bioavailability of quercetin from isoquercitrin. In contrast, the 3-O-glucorhamnoside of quercetin is not a substrate of lactase-phlorizin hydrolase. Release of quercetin aglycone depends on hydrolysis by bacterial α-rhamnosidases and β-glucosidases in the lower gastrointestinal tract. Thus, absorption of quercetin from rutin is delayed, and quercetin bioavailability is much smaller than from the aglycone itself, at least in human subjects, rats and pigs (11–14).

The dog is a commonly used animal model for pharmacokinetic and metabolic studies (15). Previously, dogs have been used to study flavonoid toxicity (16). Similar to human subjects, aged dogs are prone to diseases like cancer, diabetes
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mellitus or cardiac insufficiency. Manufacturers of pet foods increasingly supplement diets with quercetin and quercetin glycosides and claim preventive or therapeutic effects against those diseases by their supplementation. In spite of its current use in dog food, no information is available about quercetin bioavailability from the aglycone or from glycosides like rutin for this particular species. Thus, we investigated the bioavailability of quercetin in Beagle dogs. We administered oral doses of 30 μmol/kg b.w. of the aglycone, isoquercitrin or rutin, equivalent to 10 mg quercetin/kg b.w. Daily intakes of 5–10 mg quercetin/kg b.w. can be realised by feeding currently available dog foods that are supplemented with quercetin or rutin.

Experimental methods

Chemicals
Quercetin, isoquercitrin, rutin, tamarixetin, isorhamnetin and thamnetin were obtained from Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany; all compounds were of HPLC grade. β-Glucuronidase/sulphatase (crude enzyme extract from Helix pomatia) and other chemicals were from Sigma-Aldrich AG, Deisenhofen, Germany.

Animals and experiments
Nine adult 6-year-old Beagle dogs of mixed sex (three castrated males, six females) with a mean b.w. of 14.2 (SEM 0.7) kg were used. All animal experiments were in compliance with national laws. They were approved by the Animal Welfare Officer of the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Leipzig, and by the relevant legal authorities (Regierungsspräsidium Leipzig; TVV-Nr. 15/06). All flavonols were orally administered at a dose of 30 μmol/kg b.w. after a fasting period of 24 h. Flavonols were mixed into 20 g of a commercial flavonol-free dog food based on chicken meat (‘Premium Spurty’, Penny Markt, Köln, Germany). This test meal contained (per kg diet) 99 g crude protein, 55 g crude fat, 5 g crude fibre, 16 g crude ash, 188 g DM. Complete voluntary ingestion of the test meal was controlled under supervision. Blood samples from forearm and hind leg veins were collected directly before flavonol intake and after ingestion of the test meals over a period of up to 72 h. Each dog received the three flavonols quercetin, isoquercitrin and rutin in a Latin square design (three dogs per group). Between each single test meal was a wash-out period of at least 2 weeks. Due to drop outs during blood sampling, only seven dogs were included in the evaluation. In order to determine the absolute bioavailability of quercetin, eight dogs received the flavonol orally (30 μmol/kg b.w.) as described before and intravenously (1.5 μmol/kg b.w., solved in dimethyl sulphoxide) in a cross-over design. There was a 2-week wash-out period between these treatments.

Processing of blood samples and HPLC analysis
Blood samples (3 ml) were drawn into heparinised containers and immediately centrifuged (1500g, 10 min, 4°C). Plasma was stored at −70°C until analysis. Further processing was performed as described previously[13]. Aliquots of each plasma sample were spiked with 30 μg rhamnetin in 10 μl methanol (internal standard). They were treated with 5 μKat/l β-glucuronidase/72 μKat/l sulphatase (crude enzyme extract from H. pomatia) for cleavage of all ester bonds of glucuronides/sulphates. In order to analyse only the unconjugated flavonols, aliquots of the same samples were incubated with water instead of the enzyme extract. Flavonols were analysed by HPLC as described previously[21]. Calibration curves for quercetin, kaempferol, isorhamnetin and tamarixetin were obtained by the addition of these flavonols from methanol-containing stock solutions to flavonol-free dog plasma, with rhamnetin serving as an internal standard. All the samples were corrected for contamination with quercetin and kaempferol by the crude enzyme extract.

Pharmacokinetic calculations
Maximum plasma concentrations and times to achieve maximum plasma concentrations (Tₘₐₓ) were obtained from the observed data. The terminal elimination rate constant (λz) was calculated by linear regression of the terminal slope of the logarithm of plasma concentration vs. time profile. For calculations of area under the plasma concentration–time curves (AUC), the linear trapezoidal rule was used with extrapolation to infinity (AUC₀→∞). The mean residence time (MRT) was calculated from the ratio of the area under the first moment curve and the AUC. Pharmacokinetic calculations were performed with the software WinNonlin 5.1 (Pharsight Corporation, St Louis, MO, USA). Absolute bioavailability (F) was calculated by the dose-corrected ratio of the AUC values after oral and intravenous (i.v.) administration with the following formula:

\[ F = \frac{\text{AUC}_{\text{oral}}}{\text{AUC}_{\text{i.v.}}} \times \frac{\text{Dose}_{\text{oral}}}{\text{Dose}_{\text{oral}}} \times 100\% . \]

Statistics
Data are presented as mean values with their standard errors (SD in figures). Values from two groups were compared with the Student’s t test, values obtained with the different flavonols were compared using a one-way ANOVA model (repeated measures) followed by the Bonferroni post-test integrated in GraphPad Prism™ version 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Differences were considered significant when \( P<0.05 \).

Results
After oral intake of quercetin (10 mg/kg b.w.), the flavonol was rapidly absorbed, and it appeared within 15 min after ingestion in blood plasma (Fig. 1). The major fraction of plasma flavonols consisted of glucuronidated and/or sulphated conjugates that were only detectable after enzymatic hydrolysis of the blood samples before analysis. Free quercetin also appeared to a certain extent in the circulatory system. Based on the comparison of AUC values with and without enzymatic pretreatment of the samples, free quercetin aglycone represented about 16% of total plasma quercetin including conjugates. Besides quercetin, its methylated metabolite isorhamnetin (3’-O-methyl-quercetin) was also detected.
Plasma concentrations ofisorhamnetin were one order of magnitude lower than those of quercetin (Fig. 1). Based on AUC values, isorhamnetin represented about 10% of all the metabolites with an intact flavonol structure. Tamarixetin (4’-O-methyl-quercetin), another methylated quercetin metabolite that is found in several species after ingestion of quercetin, was rarely detected in amounts usually below its limit of quantification (i.e. 2·5 nmol/l). Kaempferol, a flavonol with one hydroxyl group less than quercetin in its C-ring, represented only about 2% of all detected metabolites in dog plasma (data not shown).

In contrast, plasma concentrations ofisorhamnetin exceeded quercetin concentrations after i.v. administration of quercetin; this was obvious already after 15 min (Fig. 1, inset). Concentrations of the methylated metabolite continued to be higher than the respective quercetin concentrations up to 6 h. Thus, AUC values forisorhamnetin were about 40% higher than those for quercetin after i.v. administration (Table 1).

Taking all plasma metabolites with an intact flavonol structure into consideration, absolute bioavailability of quercetin was about 4% (Table 1).

After ingestion of an equimolar dose of its 3-O-glucoside isoquercitrin, plasma concentrations of quercetin were significantly higher than those after ingestion of the aglycone (Fig. 2). Two plasma peaks were apparent, a first one within the first hour and a second peak 4 h after ingestion of the flavonol that was higher than the first one. A similar situation was observed after administration of quercetin aglycone, although the two peaks were not quite as distinctly visible. Quercetin plasma concentration stayed on the same level after the first peak until it reached a maximum concentration after 4 h (second peak). While the second peak appeared at the same time after both treatments, $T_{\text{max}}$ of the first peak was significantly shorter after administration of isoquercitrin (Table 2). Forty-eight hours after administration of both quercetin and isoquercitrin, plasma flavonol concentrations were at the limit of quantification (i.e. 2·5 nmol/l).

Absorption of quercetin from rutin was considerably delayed. Within the first hour after ingestion, plasma concentrations hardly exceeded 10 nmol/l. Peak concentrations were reached 11 h after ingestion of the glycoside, which was significantly later than after the two other treatments (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The following decrease in plasma concentration was also slower compared with the administration of either isoquercitrin or quercetin. This correlated with a significantly longer MRT for quercetin after ingestion of rutin. While AUC values of quercetin, isorhamnetin and of total plasma flavonols were significantly higher after administration of isoquercitrin, the respective values after quercetin and rutin treatment did not differ (Table 2).

### Discussion

In order to estimate the oral bioavailability of quercetin in dogs, we determined the area under the plasma concentration–time curves of its main metabolites.

### Table 1. Absolute bioavailability of quercetin and area under the plasma concentration–time curve (AUC) values of the main flavonol metabolites after oral and intravenous (i.v.) administration of quercetin (n = 8)

(Means values with their standard errors)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oral dose (mg/kg)</th>
<th>AUCQuercetin (min × µmol/l)</th>
<th>AUCisorhamnetin (min × µmol/l)</th>
<th>AUCKaempferol (min × µmol/l)</th>
<th>AUCTotal (min × µmol/l)</th>
<th>$F$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>113·2</td>
<td>160·2</td>
<td>6·1</td>
<td>27·4</td>
<td>12·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>200·6</td>
<td>279·4</td>
<td>9·8</td>
<td>80·6</td>
<td>11·8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**AUCQuercetin**, **AUCisorhamnetin**, **AUCKaempferol**, **AUCTotal**, sum of AUC values for all three flavonols; $F$, absolute bioavailability.
Plasma pharmacokinetic data after oral administration of flavonoids do not provide direct information about the absorbed amount, though. Analysing the cumulative amount of urinary flavonoid excretion offers an estimate of the minimal absorbed amount. One disadvantage of this method is that other excretory routes are not considered. It has been demonstrated that up to 40% of the absorbed amount of quercetin is eliminated via bile during first-pass metabolism(17).

We administered quercetin intravenously to get a reference AUC value that is, by definition, after i.v. administration 100%. This is the standard method for determining the bioavailability of xenobiotics. It has not been performed for determining quercetin bioavailability in human subjects for obvious reasons. This approach considers blood as the relevant compartment for potential flavonoid effects beyond the alimentary tract. In our opinion, this is the best method due to the lack of reliable markers of this flavonol’s metabolic utilisation in vivo(18).

In our experiments, using a quercetin dose that is reached by feeding commercial quercetin-supplemented dog food, absolute bioavailability of the flavonol was only about 4%, even when all the conjugated metabolites with an intact flavonol structure were included. A single oral dose of 150 mg quercetin in a beagle weighing 15 kg corresponded to plasma peak levels below 1 μmol/l.

Similar to other species, the major part of circulating quercetin (i.e. more than 80% based on AUC values) consisted of glucuronidated and/or sulphated metabolites. Isorhamnetin constituted about 10% of all the metabolites after oral administration. Interestingly, this methylated metabolite constitutes more than 50% of plasma flavonols after i.v. administration. This surprising observation could be explained by a high hepatic methylation rate of quercetin provided that it reaches the liver via arterial blood in its native form as is the case when administered intravenously. In contrast, intensive first-pass glucuronidation and sulphation of ingested quercetin in the intestinal mucosa will probably prevent a subsequent methylation of the flavonol.

To date, only a few studies have investigated absorption and bioavailability of flavonoids in dogs. Recently, a mixture of grapefruit flavanones (naringin, narirutin and naringenin) was orally administered to adult beagle dogs with a mean b.w. of 14 kg(19). The dose of 70 mg that each dog received in that study was approximately half of that we used in our trial (10 mg/kg b.w.). Interestingly, the measured plasma concentrations in that study were also in the nanomolar range. The median maximum plasma concentrations of the most abundant metabolite, naringenin, was only 238 nmol/l. The respective T\textsubscript{max} and MRT values were 80 min and 3.3 h, respectively, for this flavanon. Besides the shorter MRT for naringenin, these parameters are comparable to the ones for the flavonol quercetin in our trial. The oral bioavailability of 5-methoxyflavone was investigated in another study using rats and dogs(20). Because the focus in the latter study was more on the development of the analytical method, only two beagle dogs were used that received a flavone dose of 10 mg/kg. The oral bioavailability of 5-methoxyflavone was 72 and 33% in these two dogs(20).

In many food supplements, naturally occurring glycosides of flavonoids are used. It is known that the type of glycoside has a large impact on bioavailability. The 3-O-glucoside (isoquercitrin) and 4’-O-glucoside of quercetin are already absorbed in the small intestine and demonstrate a relatively high bioavailability(12,13). Bioavailability of isoquercitrin was 50–180% higher than that of quercetin in pigs and rats(13,14). In comparison, bioavailability of isoquercitrin was 134% higher than that of the aglycone in our beagle dogs. Although absorption from isoquercitrin was reasonably fast with a T\textsubscript{max} value of <1 h, plasma levels of quercetin after administration of the 3-O-glucoside had a distinct second peak about 4 h after ingestion which seems to indicate an intensive enterohepatic circulation of the metabolites. This was very similar to our previous observation in pigs(13).

In contrast to quercetin glucosides, the abundant rutin is mainly absorbed in lower parts of the intestinal tract, because bacterial α-hamnosidases and β-glucosidases are necessary to
release the aglycone from this 3-O-glucorhamnoside. This corresponds to $T_{\text{max}}$ values as long as 6 h in human subjects or 3-5 h in pigs\(^{12,13}\). Similarly, quercetin absorption from rutin was also delayed in dogs with a mean $T_{\text{max}}$ of 11 h. However, in the lower intestinal tract, a significant part of the released quercetin is not absorbed because it is further degraded by bacterial enzymes\(^{21,22}\). Thus, bioavailability of quercetin from rutin is generally low. In rats and pigs, relative bioavailability from rutin was only 25% compared with the aglycone\(^{13,14}\).

Surprisingly, in dogs, the relative bioavailability from rutin was not substantially lower than that from quercetin. This can be explained by the observation that plasma concentrations of the flavonol decreased at a slower rate after administration of the glucorhamnoside. MRT was significantly longer after rutin administration compared with the other two treatments. We speculate that the bacterial degradation of the released quercetin in the hindgut of dogs could be less efficient than in the previously investigated species. Using caecal content from pigs in a colon simulation technique, we previously observed that the rate of bacterial quercetin release from rutin was smaller than the degradation rate of the released quercetin\(^{22}\). In comparison with human subjects, dogs have a much shorter transit time in the large intestine, and fermentation efficiency is very low in comparison with human subjects, pigs or rats\(^{23,24}\). We hypothesise that the large intestinal microflora of this carnivore species is less adapted to metabolise plant flavonoids. If bacterial degradation of quercetin in the hindgut was less efficient in dogs than in pigs, a larger part of the released flavonol would be available for absorption in the former species. This could explain the relatively high rutin bioavailability in dogs and should be further investigated. Nevertheless, in dogs, rutin seems to be a much better quercetin source than in other species. Because most dogs are usually fed once a day, the rather long MRT of quercetin after rutin could be important for the maintenance of relevant flavonol concentrations in plasma (and tissues) when administered with the regular meals.

With our analytical method, we could not identify specific molecular structures of the conjugated metabolites. Other groups identified a number of quercetin and isorhamnetin conjugates in plasma, body tissues and urine using liquid chromatography–MS\(^{4–6}\). Quercetin-3-glucuronide and isorhamnetin-3-glucuronide were detected as the main metabolites in plasma of human subjects who had ingested tomato juice containing rutin\(^{5}\). In addition to these two glucuronides, further nine quercetin metabolites were, at least partially, identified in the plasma of human subjects after the ingestion of onions rich in quercetin glycosides\(^{6}\). Among those nine metabolites, quercetin-3'-sulphate, a quercetin diglucuronide and a quercetin glucuronide sulphate were the most prevalent ones. Additional metabolites were detected in urine\(^{5,6}\). In the plasma of rats fed a quercetin-containing diet, several glucuronidated, sulphated and methylated quercetin metabolites were detected, although the exact position of the functional groups was not identified. A methylated quercetin monoglucuronide sulphate was the most abundant plasma metabolite in these rats\(^{4,9}\). To our knowledge, specific structures of quercetin and isorhamnetin conjugates in dog plasma that comprise the majority of quercetin metabolites in this species have not been identified yet. Due to the known species differences with regard to xenobiotic-metabolising enzyme activities, we expect that the metabolic pattern in dogs might be different from that shown previously in human subjects\(^{25,26}\).

Some authors question the accuracy of flavonoid analyses that use a glucuronidase/sulphatase preparation step as performed by us\(^{27}\). Indeed, a study that compared isoflavone contents in several rat body tissues using either enzymatic or acid hydrolysis of isoflavone conjugates before liquid chromatography–MS found significantly higher isoflavone concentrations after acid hydrolysis\(^{28}\). The authors hypothesised that encapsulation of the conjugates in microsomes, binding of isoflavones to specific tissue proteins (like oestrogen receptors) and/or the occurrence of tissue components that inhibit β-glucuronidase or sulphatase activity could explain the significantly lower isoflavone recovery after enzymatic hydrolysis. Interestingly, they found no differences in isoflavone concentrations in blood serum after enzymatic or acid hydrolysis\(^{29}\). We assume that factors responsible for limiting enzymatic isoflavone conjugate hydrolysis in body tissues may have no significance in blood. Thus, we think that the accuracy of the analysed plasma concentrations in our study is acceptable.

A daily quercetin dose of 10 mg/kg can be achieved by feeding commercially available quercetin-supplemented dog food. From rat studies, it can be concluded that chronically ingested quercetin is generally non-toxic, even when administered at much higher dietary concentrations\(^{29}\). Interestingly, a similar dose of 150 mg per animal of another flavonoid, the abundant tea flavanol epigallocatechin gallate, was able to induce intestinal toxicity and hepatotoxicity and even caused fatalities in beagle dogs when administered for several weeks\(^{10}\). This toxic potential of epigallocatechin gallate is probably due to the fact that the plasma fraction of native unconjugated epigallocatechin gallate is much higher because it is less metabolised than quercetin. However, one should be careful in extrapolating results of toxicity studies conducted with rodents to other species like dogs.

In summary, we have shown that absolute bioavailability of quercetin was only 4% in dogs. As in other species like human subjects, rats and pigs, the oral bioavailability of quercetin after the application of the quercetin monoglucoside isoorquercitin was significantly higher compared with the application of the aglycone. When the glycoside rutin was used as a quercetin source, flavonol absorption was delayed. In contrast to other investigated species, relative quercetin bioavailability from rutin was not smaller than from quercetin aglycone itself. However, the intensive metabolism of quercetin as well as the low absolute bioavailability of the flavonol has to be taken into account when potential in vivo effects of the flavonol are evaluated in this species.
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