

The order completeness of some spaces of vector-valued functions

Donald I. Cartwright

Let E be a Banach lattice. Necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the order completeness of the Banach lattices $C(X, E)$ and $L^1(\mu, E)$ in terms of the compactness of the order intervals in E . The results have interpretations in terms of spaces of compact and nuclear operators.

1.

Let E be a Banach lattice. If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then the space $C(X, E)$ of norm-continuous E -valued functions on X is a Banach lattice, where the norm of $f \in C(X, E)$ is given by $\|f\| = \sup\{\|f(t)\| : t \in X\}$, and where $f \geq 0$ means that $f(t) \geq 0$ in E for each $t \in X$. Certain spaces of compact operators are isomorphic to spaces of the type $C(X, E)$. (See [2], [3] and [7] for these results and for the notation used here.) Specifically, the space of compact operators from a space $L^1(\mu)$ into E , ordered in the natural way, is order and norm isomorphic to $C(X, E)$ for some X . Also, the space of compact operators $E \rightarrow C(X)$ is order and norm isomorphic to $C(X, E')$. Moreover, any space $C(X, E)$ is order and norm isomorphic to the space of weak*-weak continuous compact operators $C(X)' \rightarrow E$ (or $E' \rightarrow C(X)$). The space $C(X, E)$ is also isomorphic to $C(X) \widehat{\otimes} E$.

Similarly, if (Ω, Σ, μ) is any measure space, then the space $L^1(\mu, E)$ of E -valued Bochner integrable functions on Ω is a Banach

Received 26 March 1974. The author wishes to thank Professors J.J. Uhl and H.P. Lotz for very helpful discussions concerning this paper.

lattice for the usual norm and the natural order. Certain spaces of nuclear operators are order isomorphic to spaces of the type $L^1(\mu, E)$. (See [3].) The space $L^1(\mu, E)$ is isomorphic to $L^1(\mu) \hat{\otimes} E$.

In this paper necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the order completeness of the spaces $C(X, E)$ and $L^1(\mu, E)$, in terms of the compactness of the order intervals in E .

2.

A Banach lattice E has the *countable interpolation property* if, given sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in E such that $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq y_{n+1} \leq y_n$ for each n , there exists an element z in E such that $x_n \leq z \leq y_n$ for each n . Seever [6] showed that if X is compact and Hausdorff, then $C(X)$ has the countable interpolation property if and only if X is an F space (that is, the closures of any two disjoint open F_σ sets in X are disjoint).

LEMMA. *Suppose that E is a Banach lattice and that X is an infinite compact Hausdorff space. Suppose that $C(X, E)$ has the countable interpolation property. Then every order interval in E is compact.*

Proof. Let $x \in E$ be positive, and let $\{x_n\}$ be any sequence in $[0, x]$. There is a sequence $\{O_n\}$ of non-empty disjoint open sets in X . For each n , pick $t_n \in O_n$, and then $\varphi_n \in C(X)$ such that $\varphi_n(t_n) = 1$, and $\varphi_n = 0$ outside O_n , and $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ on X . Define $f_n, g_n \in C(X, E)$ by

$$f_n(t) = \sum_1^n \varphi_j(t)x_j, \quad g_n(t) = x - \sum_1^n \varphi_j(t)(x-x_j).$$

It is clear that $f_n \leq f_{n+1} \leq g_{n+1} \leq g_n$ for each n , and so there exists $h \in C(X, E)$ such that $f_n \leq h \leq g_n$ for each n . Now

$f_n(t_n) = g_n(t_n) = x_n$, and so $x_n = h(t_n) \in h(X)$. Since $h(X)$ is compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence, and so $[0, x]$ is compact.

COROLLARY (Rudin, see [1]). *If X and Y are infinite compact Hausdorff spaces, then $X \times Y$ is not an F space. In particular, $X \times Y$ is not stonian.*

Proof. This is immediate from the lemma and from Seever's result, since (see [7, p. 357]) $C(X \times Y) \cong C(X, C(Y))$.

PROPOSITION. *Let X be an infinite compact Hausdorff space, and let E ($\neq \{0\}$) be a Banach lattice. Then $C(X, E)$ is order complete (respectively σ -order complete) if and only if X is stonian (respectively σ -stonian) and every order interval in E is compact.*

Proof. If $C(X, E)$ is σ -order complete, then, by the lemma, the order intervals in E are all compact. Conversely, if the order intervals in E are all compact, then Walsh [δ] has shown that there is a family $\{e_i : i \in I\}$ of atoms of norm one in E such that each $x \in E$ has a unique unconditionally norm convergent expansion $x = \sum_i \alpha_i e_i$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for each i . (An element $x \in E$ is an *atom* if $x \geq 0$ and if $y \in E$ and $0 \leq y \leq x$ imply that $y = \alpha x$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.) It is easy to see from this that $C(X, E)$ is isomorphic to the space of families $\{f_i\}$ of functions f_i in $C(X)$ for which $\sum_i f_i(t) e_i$ converges unconditionally and uniformly with respect to $t \in X$. It is now clear that $C(X, E)$ is $[\sigma-]$ order complete if and only if $C(X)$ is $[\sigma-]$ order complete, that is, if and only if X is $[\sigma-]$ stonian. (See [5].)

The next result deals with the situation dual to that in the above proposition. In the proof, we make use of the fact that every order interval in a Banach lattice E is weakly compact if and only if every majorized increasing sequence in E converges in norm. (See [4].)

PROPOSITION. *Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a measure space, and let E be a Banach lattice. If every order interval in E is weakly compact, then the same is true in $L^1(\mu, E)$, and so $L^1(\mu, E)$ is order complete. Conversely, if $L^1(\mu, E)$ is σ -order complete and if μ is not purely atomic and if $L^1(\mu) \neq \{0\}$, then the order intervals in E are all weakly compact.*

Proof. Suppose that $f_n, f : \Omega \rightarrow E$ are Bochner integrable and that $0 \leq f_1(\omega) \leq f_2(\omega) \leq \dots \leq f(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. If the order intervals in E are weakly compact, then $g(\omega) = \lim f_n(\omega)$ (norm limit) exists for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Then [2, p. 151], since $\|g(\omega) - f_n(\omega)\| \leq \|f(\omega)\|$, the function g is in $L^1(\mu, E)$ and $\|g - f_n\| \rightarrow 0$. It follows that the order intervals in $L^1(\mu, E)$ are weakly compact.

Conversely, if $L^1(\mu, E)$ is σ -order complete, and if μ is not purely atomic, then the order intervals in E are weakly compact. To see this, suppose the contrary, and first note that E contains a sublattice order isomorphic to \mathcal{L}^∞ . (Since E is clearly σ -order complete, we may apply Proposition 2.1 ((k) \Rightarrow (c)) of [4].) Also, because μ is not purely atomic, $L^1(\mu)$ contains a sublattice order isomorphic to $L^1[0, 1]$. It is thus not difficult to see that it is enough to show that $L^1(\mu, E)$ is not σ -order complete, where $E = \mathcal{L}^\infty$ and where $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) = [0, 1]$ with Lebesgue measure. For each $t \in [0, 1)$, let $t = \sum_1^\infty \varepsilon_i(t)/2^i$ be the binary expansion of t which doesn't end in a string of 1's. Define $f_n : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty$ by $f_n(t) = (\varepsilon_1(t), \dots, \varepsilon_n(t), 0, 0, \dots)$. Then $\{f_n\}$ is a majorized increasing sequence of simple functions. If $\{f_n\}$ has a supremum in $L^1(\mu, E)$, it would have to be almost everywhere equal to the function $g : t \rightarrow (\varepsilon_1(t), \varepsilon_2(t), \dots)$. But if $t, t' \in [0, 1)$ are distinct, then $\varepsilon_i(t) \neq \varepsilon_i(t')$ for some i , and so $\|g(t) - g(t')\| = 1$. Therefore [2, p. 147], g is not measurable. (This example was suggested to the author by J.J. Uhl.)

REMARKS. The function $g : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\infty$ defined above is not even weakly measurable. To see this, take any free ultrafilter \underline{F} on \mathbb{N} , and denote by $\varphi(t)$ the limit of the sequence $\{\varepsilon_i(t)\}$ with respect to \underline{F} . The function φ is not Lebesgue measurable. (See [7, p. 247].)

The preceding proposition and its proof are also valid for $L^p(\mu, E)$ if $1 < p < \infty$.

References

- [1] Philip C. Curtis, Jr., "A note concerning certain product spaces", *Arch. Math.* 11 (1960), 50-52.
- [2] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I (Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, London, 1958).
- [3] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires* (Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 16. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1955).
- [4] Heinrich P. Lotz, "Minimal and reflexive Banach lattices", *Math. Ann.* (to appear).
- [5] Anthony L. Peressini, *Ordered topological vector spaces* (Harper & Row, New York, Evanston, and London, 1967).
- [6] G.L. Seever, "Measures on F -spaces", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 133 (1968), 267-280.
- [7] Z. Semadeni, *Banach spaces of continuous functions* (Warsaw, 1971).
- [8] Bertram Walsh, "On characterizing Köthe sequence spaces as vector lattices", *Math. Ann.* 175 (1968), 253-256.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,
Urbana,
Illinois,
USA.