

INEQUALITY IN IRON FOLIC ACID CONSUMPTION AND DIETARY DIVERSITY IN PREGNANT WOMEN FOLLOWING EXPOSURE TO MATERNAL NUTRITION INTERVENTIONS IN THREE LOW- AND MIDDLE-INCOME COUNTRIES

Deepali Godha¹; Sandra Remancus²; Tina Sanghvi²

¹Consultant for Alive & Thrive Initiative, FHI Solutions, FHI 360, 406 Ghanshyam Castle, Khajrana Square, Indore, MP 452016, India

²FHI 360, Alive & Thrive Initiative, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW, Washington DC 20009, USA

Corresponding author: Deepali Godha, Address: 406 Ghanshyam Castle, Khajrana Square, Indore, MP-452016, India, Email ID: deepali.godha@gmail.com, Telephone: +91 96854 53955

Short title: Inequality in IFA and DD in pregnant women



This is an Accepted Manuscript for Public Health Nutrition. This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI 10.1017/S1368980024001150

Public Health Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Disclosure statements:

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge the journal reviewers for their insightful comments that have helped in making this study better. We are grateful to the International Food Policy and Research Institute for access to evaluation data. This study would not have been possible without the sustained support of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation who funded the development and implementation of the programs described here and funded the analysis under grant number INV-042392, awarded to the Alive & Thrive initiative, Global Nutrition Unit, FHI 360. Under the grant conditions of the Foundation, a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Generic License has already been assigned to the Author Accepted Manuscript version that might arise from this submission, see <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Financial Support: The analysis was funded by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) (grant number INV-006546 and INV-042392), awarded to Alive & Thrive initiative, Global Nutrition Unit, FHI 360. BMGF had no role in the design, analysis or writing of this article.

Conflict of Interest: None.

Authorship: DG participated in the conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, methodology, interpretation, and writing of the original and final drafts of the paper. SR was involved in conceptualization, funding acquisition, data interpretation, and review and editing. TS conceptualized the study, and participated in the literature search, study design, methodology, validation, access to data, data interpretation, and writing of sections of the original and final drafts of the paper.

Ethical Standards Disclosure: This study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki and all procedures involving research study participants were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at International Food Policy Research Institute and from the IRBs of the study countries. Written/Verbal informed consent was obtained from all subjects/patients. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

Data sharing: De-identified participant data used for generating the results are accessible through <https://www.aliveandthrive.org/en/our-data>, linked to <https://dataverse.harvard.edu/dataverse/harvard>.

Abstract

Objective: Research is available on improved coverage and practices from several large-scale maternal nutrition programs, but not much is known on change in inequalities. This study analyses wealth- and education-inequality using Erreygers and Concentration indices for four indicators: adequate IFA consumption, women's dietary diversity, and counseling on IFA and dietary diversity.

Design: A pretest-post-test, control group design.

Setting: Maternal nutrition intervention programs conducted in Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, and Ethiopia during 2015-2022.

Participants: Recently delivered women (RDW) and pregnant women (PW).

Results: Statistically significant reductions in education inequality were observed for adequate IFA consumption, counselling on IFA and dietary diversity in intervention areas of Bangladesh, and for adequate IFA consumption in intervention areas of Burkina Faso.

A significant decrease in wealth inequality was observed for adequate IFA consumption in the intervention areas of Bangladesh whereas a significant increase was observed in the non-intervention areas for counselling on IFA in Ethiopia and for dietary diversity in Burkina Faso.

Conclusion: The results can be attributed to the extensive delivery system at community level in Bangladesh and being predominantly facility-based in the Burkina Faso and Ethiopia. COVID-19 disruptions (in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia) and indicator choice also had a role in the results.

The main take-aways for nutrition programs are a) assess equality issues through formative studies during designing, b) monitor inequality indicators during implementation, c) diligently address inequality through targeted interventions, setting aside resources and motivating frontline workers to reduce disparities, and d) make equality analysis a routine part of impact evaluations.

Keywords: Wealth inequality; Education inequality; Erreygers index; Maternal nutrition intervention programs; Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia; Adequate IFA consumption, women's dietary diversity; Counseling on IFA and dietary diversity

INTRODUCTION

Several large-scale maternal ⁽¹⁾ and child ⁽²⁾ nutrition programs have been successfully integrated into public health systems and child development initiatives. While these programs were evaluated on nutrition coverage, impact, cost-effectiveness, and implementation issues like integration and scaling-up ⁽²⁻⁴⁾, the extent to which they affected wealth and education inequality is not known. The literature on poverty and health equality shows that despite aggregate improvements in maternal and child health and nutrition coverage and practices, disparities among groups often continue and may even widen ⁽⁴⁾. A review of nutrition interventions, including those for pregnant women, integrated into public health systems in lower- and middle-income countries (LMICs), particularly nutrition education and counselling (25 studies) and micronutrient supplementation (47 studies) lamented the “lack of attention to equity of intervention coverage” ⁽⁵⁾. The Global Nutrition Report 2020 asserts that achieving SDGs and the 2025 global nutrition goals will require both multifaceted and equitable nutrition interventions ⁽⁶⁾. The aforementioned study and report speak of wealth equity, a term that indicates equal utilization across wealth groups standardized by need. Wealth equality, on the other hand, indicates equal utilization across wealth groups without the standardization by need. This study utilized equality (rather than equity) measures to understand and improve the design and implementation of nutrition programs for reducing inequality.

The few studies that have looked at disparities in nutrition programs have focused on the broader issue of nutritional status rather than intervention coverage ⁽⁷⁻¹¹⁾. Most of the previous studies have used the slope index of inequality (SII) and relative index of inequality (RII) to analyse inequality. Of the two regression-based indices, SII measures the absolute change in health level between the most and the least disadvantaged socio-economic group while RII measures the ratio of health outcome between the bottom and top of the socio-economic levels ^(12, 13). It should be noted that these group-based indices describe inequality across the whole population while also accounting for the size of each group and can be compared across groups if their composition remains the same. However, two important drawbacks need to be considered. 1) Researchers warn against using

these indices for policy inferences as they are not appropriate for comparison if socioeconomic composition of population changes across or within these groups⁽¹³⁾. For example, when education is used as a solution to improve inequality, the increase in education levels lead to people moving from the uneducated group to the educated groups, thereby changing the composition of the groups. 2) The aforementioned studies have used linear and logistic regression models to estimate the indices. As Moreno-Betancur et. al⁽¹⁴⁾ have successfully pointed out the error in doing so, the estimation method changes with the type of parameter studied and the study design; and methodological issues such as model fit to the data become important. According to them, ideally time-to-event data should be used for such estimations and in case of cross-sectional data, negative binomial regression should be used. So far, none of the studies using SII and RII have explained the limitations in using them and so the practice continues.

Rank dependent indices such as Erreygers Index (EI) and Concentration Index (CI) do not have these limitations. They capture all the information by ranking individuals (rather than groups) across the whole spectrum of household wealth (or mother's education) in the study population from cross-sectional data and correlate it to levels of health⁽¹⁵⁾. This allows analyzing even nuances due to minor differences in wealth between households (or mother's education). As with SII and RII derived by regression techniques, the calculation of EI and CI allows consideration of ties in ranking between households (or mothers) in cross-sectional data. A point worth noting is that the indices are calculated differently for binary indicators (yes/no: EI) and continuous indicators (those having a range: CI). Some studies have used CI to analyse inequality for binary indicators^(8, 9), but CI is not the right methodological choice for such bounded variables⁽¹⁵⁾. To our knowledge, empirical analysis of inequality in nutrition programs using EI for binary variables and CI for continuous variables has not been done so far.

The aim of the paper is to assess whether disparities in coverage existed after intervention exposure and if the disparities were different among three countries - Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, and Ethiopia. We examine the findings of large-scale maternal nutrition programs from an equality lens using superior econometric

techniques, that have never been used before to our knowledge, to explore maternal nutrition inequality. The intervention package included iron and folic acid supplementation (IFA) and counseling to improve maternal IFA intake and dietary diversity. These were delivered through existing antenatal care (ANC) programs and involved systems strengthening for improving IFA supply chains, facility, and outreach service delivery, building community demand, improving the performance of ANC providers and community health workers through training and supervision, and monitoring. No food or cash subsidies were provided. Training involved counseling skills, adherence, engaging family members and advocating for re-allocation of household budgets, promoting locally available affordable foods, and community mobilization. Messages for pregnant women (PW) and their husbands differed; baby and mothers' health were the focus for PW and current and future economic costs and benefits and feasible strategies were the focus for their husbands. Further details of the programs and interventions can be obtained elsewhere ^(1, 16-18).

METHODS

We conducted a secondary analysis of data from cross-sectional surveys conducted at baseline and endline by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI) in Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, and Ethiopia. A three-stage sampling was used in the study regions and districts and clusters were randomly allocation to intervention and non-intervention areas. The same clusters were sampled at endline. The sample size estimation for the original analyses was done separately in each country as per baseline prevalence of IFA consumption among recently delivered women (RDW) and of dietary diversity among PW, at a power of 0.80 and a significance level of 0.05 and thus, was adequately powered to perform separate analysis in the PW and RDW populations ⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁸⁾. The final sample sizes of recently delivered women (RDW) and PW are shown below (Table 1).

Indicators

We calculated changes in inequality in the four indicators described below.

- Adequate IFA consumption indicates whether RDW had consumed IFA for at least 90 days during their most recent pregnancy.
- The IFA counselling indicator measures the total number of messages received on IFA- this number differed across study countries¹.
- Dietary diversity indicates whether a woman had consumed at least five out of ten defined food groups on the previous day.
- The binary indicator for counselling on dietary diversity indicates whether the PW received information on consuming five varieties of food from a health worker during ANC and/or home visits (also during community meetings in Burkina Faso).

Statistical analysis

We used two equality indices after ranking the population by household wealth index score² and level of mother's education. The wealth score, a country's study-area-specific composite index was obtained using principal component analysis of a household's assets, materials used in construction, and access to type of drinking water, toilet, and electricity. Since study areas were basically chosen among the disadvantaged population within each country, where rich and richest did not make much sense, the households were divided into three wealth categories (instead of using the popular categorization) that merely demarcate the poorest from the slightly better-off.

Though wealth and education are often correlated, the logic behind analysing both wealth and education inequalities was to gain insight into the different country contexts. While study areas were basically chosen among the disadvantaged population within each country, education levels across the study countries were different. For example, prevalence of secondary or higher education in study population of Bangladesh was above 11 percent while it was less than 2 percent in Ethiopia.

The indices are: Erreygers Index that measures inequality of a binary indicator (such as adequate IFA consumption, maternal dietary diversity, and counselling on dietary diversity), and Concentration Index that measures inequality for a continuous indicator (such as counselling on IFA consumption)⁽¹⁹⁾. Both indices range from -1 to +1, where the negative values indicate a pro-poor distribution, positive values indicate a pro-better-off distribution, and a value of 0 indicates neutral distribution. We used “conindex” in Stata 15.1 and standard errors using the cluster sandwich estimator⁽²⁰⁾. The difference in indices across surveys was tested by F-test that assumes equal variance. An explanation of the observed difference in indices has been attempted with prevalence estimates in the Supplemental figures along with text.

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the population characteristics of RDW and PW at baseline for each country. In Bangladesh 3 out of 5 RDW and PW belonged to the better-off wealth tertile while in Ethiopia more than half the RDW belonged to the better-off wealth tertile. The proportion of PW who were adolescents was 27 percent in Bangladesh and 13 percent in Burkina Faso. Around 12 percent of PW had not attended school in Bangladesh whereas this estimate was around 57 percent in Burkina Faso. The parity distribution was balanced in Bangladesh but the majority of RDW in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia had 3 or more children. Most women were housewives in Bangladesh, farmers in Burkina Faso, and belonged to other occupations in Ethiopia. Bangladesh had the lowest utilization levels of formal maternal health services at the time of the surveys. Four or more ANC visits were almost universal in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia but the prevalence in Bangladesh was 66 percent. The prevalence of institutional deliveries was 38 percent in Bangladesh, 92 percent in Burkina Faso, and 77 percent in Ethiopia. Different baseline levels of nutrition outcome indicators can be seen in adequate IFA consumption that was highest in Burkina Faso at 72 percent and lowest in Bangladesh at 56 percent. Similarly mean messages on IFA counselling were also higher in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia as compared to Bangladesh. On the other hand, prevalence of maternal dietary diversity and counselling on dietary diversity was much higher in Bangladesh as compared to the other study

countries. Counselling on dietary diversity was very low in Burkina Faso at 5.63 percent. Higher baseline levels indicate less room for improvement.

Table 3 presents the indices on wealth inequality by nutrition indicator in intervention and non-intervention areas at baseline and endline. In intervention areas of Bangladesh, absolute wealth inequality in adequate IFA consumption became less pro-rich at endline as compared to baseline. This can be seen in the reduction in EI to almost one-third (from 0.149 to 0.052) and the change was statistically significant (further details in Supplemental Figure 1). In Ethiopia, the CI for counselling on IFA increased from baseline to endline in non-intervention areas and the change was significant. In intervention areas of Ethiopia, counselling on IFA became less pro-rich (CI dropped from 0.166 to 0.090) but the change was not significant (further details in Supplemental Figure 2).

Wealth inequality in maternal dietary diversity declined in Bangladesh in intervention areas from 0.283 at baseline to 0.136 at endline, remained almost unchanged in Burkina Faso, and became more pro-better-off at endline in Ethiopia (0.040 to 0.162). The changes were not statistically significant except in non-intervention areas of Burkina Faso, where inequality increased significantly. (Further details in Supplemental Figure 3)

Wealth inequality in receiving counseling on dietary diversity declined to one-fifth in intervention areas of Bangladesh, and it remained pro-poor (-0.101 to -0.019). In intervention areas of Ethiopia inequality reduced to half, though it remained pro-better-off (0.144 to 0.068). Wealth inequality declined in intervention areas of Burkina Faso, from almost no difference across wealth categories at baseline (0.004) to favoring the poorest at endline (-0.057). However, none of the changes were statistically significant. (Further details in Supplemental Figure 4).

Table 4 presents the indices on education inequality by nutrition indicator in intervention and non-intervention areas of study countries at baseline and endline. For adequate IFA consumption, EI when ranked by mother's education was not

significantly different between baseline and endline in non-intervention areas across study countries (further details in Supplemental Figure 5). The change in education inequality in the intervention areas of Bangladesh became less pro-educated (from 0.178 to 0.070) and was significantly different. In intervention areas of Burkina Faso, education inequality for adequate IFA consumption changed from pro-low educated (-0.050) to pro-educated (0.024) and the change was significant. The CI for counselling on IFA declined significantly in the intervention areas of Bangladesh from 0.041 to 0.020 and became less pro-educated (further details in Supplemental Figure 6).

A significant drop in education inequality for dietary diversity was observed from baseline (0.258) to endline (0.052) in the intervention areas of Bangladesh where it became less pro-educated (further details in Supplemental Figure 7). For counseling on dietary diversity there was no significant change in education inequality between baseline and endline in non-intervention and intervention areas in any of the study countries (further details in Supplemental Figure 8).

DISCUSSION

Overall, the study shows that there was no generalized shift towards greater equality in intervention areas even though the overall prevalence of indicators was higher in intervention areas. Yet, although improving equality was not an explicit objective of the programs, some maternal nutrition indicators were trending towards lower inequality after exposure to nutrition interventions. To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore changes in inequality in maternal nutrition service delivery and uptake of practices by PW following intervention programs. The study is unique in assessing inequality using pretest-post-test randomized intervention and control group evaluation data from LMICs. Though no attempt has been made to imply causality, the strong study design allows our conclusion regarding the possible inequality lowering effect of nutrition interventions to be potentially valid and worth pursuing. We examined inequality by PWs' education in addition to wealth; the study therefore also adds to the literature by providing empirical evidence on education inequality.

As summarized in Table 5, statistically significant reductions in education inequality were observed for adequate IFA consumption, counselling on IFA and dietary diversity in intervention areas of Bangladesh, and for adequate IFA consumption in intervention areas of Burkina Faso. While wealth inequality in adequate IFA consumption decreased over time in the intervention areas in all three countries, it increased for counselling on IFA in Bangladesh and Burkina Faso. This indicates the need for more targeted studies on barriers to counselling services among the less advantaged PW. The lack of alignment in results for IFA consumption and IFA counselling in Bangladesh and Burkina Faso suggests that IFA consumption cannot be attributed only to IFA counselling. This is consistent with studies on factors influencing IFA intake (21).

Wealth equality declined significantly at endline in the non-intervention group for counselling on IFA in Ethiopia and dietary diversity in Burkina Faso, but not in the intervention group. This may be considered a protective effect of the interventions as the period between baseline and endline was marked by COVID-19 disruptions in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia. For dietary diversity and counselling on dietary diversity, variable results were observed in inequality by wealth and education. For example, during the program implementation period, inequality declined in Bangladesh while it increased in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia. The timing of COVID coincided with the intervention period in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia but not in Bangladesh ^(16, 17, 22). In addition, the much poorer maternal education in Burkina Faso as compared to Bangladesh might have played a role.

Though the same package of globally recommended interventions was implemented in all three countries, adapting it to existing health systems and local contexts resulted in variations. This, along with external factors such as disruptions due to COVID-19 and content of some indicators, were likely explanatory factors for the differing results across countries. Baseline variations among the countries could have limited the potential to improve the prevalence of nutrition indicators where prevalence levels were already high. Since the nutrition interventions were integrated into ANC services, issues with inequality in ANC at

baseline may reflect the underlying ANC service delivery platforms as noted below.

Firstly, the structures of ANC platforms varied from a community-based NGO program in Bangladesh, to a primary health center-based government program in Burkina Faso, and a primary health center plus health post-based government program in Ethiopia. With regards to functioning, the Bangladesh model used a “performance improvement cycle” to build nutrition service provision more comprehensively while the Burkina Faso model focused primarily on health systems strengthening to improve ANC services with linkages to community health agents. The Ethiopia model focused on capacity building of selected health systems components including supplies, monitoring, and on ANC providers at primary health center hubs and in health posts along with imparting key messages through group sessions and home visits by health extension workers and volunteers ⁽¹⁾.

Secondly, economic constraints and geographic barriers were addressed differently. In Bangladesh, IFA tablets were made free in intervention areas and female community health volunteers (CHVs) delivered IFA and counselling to the PWs’ doorsteps ⁽¹⁾. On the other hand, though IFA tablets and facility visits were made free in both Burkina Faso and Ethiopia and services brought nearer to the community in Ethiopia by permitting health extension workers to deliver ANC services at health post level, PWs were still required to visit health facilities to collect them and receive counseling, thereby benefitting only those women who had time, resources, and family support to make facility visits.

Thirdly, community agents in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia were remunerated through fixed monthly salaries and were not linked to coverage or quality criteria. In contrast, community volunteers in Bangladesh were provided performance-based cash incentives, with clearly structured criteria including reaching as many PWs as possible. This may have motivated community volunteers to make extra efforts in reaching distant and less receptive households.

Fourthly, in Bangladesh, additional special forums were organized for husbands of PW through outreach sessions at convenient sites and at times convenient for them. Husbands played a pivotal role in each country in facilitating PW attendance at ANC sessions and in the uptake of PWs' IFA consumption and dietary diversity. Similar forums were not successful in Burkina Faso because the staff found it difficult to identify the right venues to reach husbands of PW consistently with high coverage. In Ethiopia, no special gatherings for husbands were used instead home visits were made and other family members present in the household were engaged.

As noted above, despite a common package of interventions the strategies used for service delivery differed and may account for more reductions in inequality in Bangladesh as compared to Burkina Faso and Ethiopia ^(7, 23). While tables 3-5 show that inequality in Bangladesh reduced in intervention areas at endline as compared to baseline, Figures 1-8 in Supplemental material show how this came about. The prevalence among the poorest increased to almost the same extent as the better-off. While the first three bars representing prevalence at baseline and non-intervention areas at endline remained almost the same, the increase in prevalence (fourth bar) in intervention areas at endline is drastic. This change is higher in the poorest section as compared to the less poor and better-off. Our results align with an analysis of 18 RMNCH indicators in 36 low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs) that found community-based interventions had a more equitable distribution and those primarily delivered in health facilities did not ⁽⁷⁾. Similarly, past research suggests that ANC attendance in facilities and high-quality ANC services tend to favor the better-off ⁽²⁴⁾. A review of counselling services in south Asian countries found that poor households and those utilizing lower level or public health facilities were less likely to receive counselling than wealthier households or those utilizing higher levels of government facilities or private facilities ⁽²⁵⁾.

COVID-19 disruptions also had a role in the Burkina Faso and Ethiopia results. The epidemic took a heavy toll on the delivery and utilization of ANC due to interrupted services in Ethiopia and on household food security due to high food

prices in Burkina Faso and Ethiopia during program implementation ⁽²⁶⁾. In contrast, maternal nutrition interventions in Bangladesh were implemented and evaluated during 2015-2016, preceding COVID-19 restrictions and were conducted followed a period of economic growth and overall food availability ⁽²⁷⁾. The incorporation of multiple components in the community-based intervention was a successful strategy deployed by Bangladesh, as well ⁽²⁸⁾.

The type of PW behavior also had a bearing on the study results, with IFA consumption responding to interventions better than dietary diversity. Relatively greater effort and costs were likely to have been incurred in improving dietary diversity at the household and individual levels, e.g., for shifting embedded gender norms and perceptions of family members to increase specified foods and meals for PW while IFA was available free of cost and could be obtained through public health services. For service delivery costs, ensuring IFA supplies and improving health providers' IFA distribution and counselling performance, are likely to require less effort as compared to efforts to alter household budgets and food allocation for PW.

Limitations

The study results are subject to the following limitations. 1) Absence of information on survey weights prevented significance testing in changes in inequality indices. Instead, a cluster sandwich estimator was used. 2) Though the simplistic conceptualization of counselling on dietary diversity did not do justice to the full contents of counselling that also covered food quantity and meal frequency and tailoring of messages to individual client needs, it was an indication of efforts made to modify PW diets. In addition, the conceptualization disregards other factors that influence the quality of counselling including (but not limited) to training, mentoring, and counselling materials. In future, better measures of counselling quality need to be developed. 3) In Bangladesh, education inequality used education categories for ranking because of lack of yearly information on mother's education. It has been suggested that multiple ties in fractional ranking of the education variable may be problematic ⁽²⁹⁾ but the Stata command "conindex" takes care of these ties in computing the point estimate while the 'cluster' option takes care of ties between non-independent

observations⁽³⁰⁾. 4) In Ethiopia, there was absence of consolidated information on total number of IFA consumed in baseline datasets of RDW and on education in baseline datasets of both RDW and PW datasets, so only endline was used. 5) Note that some estimates are based on sample sizes as low as 86 (Ethiopia PW baseline data). Though there are no guidelines on sample sizes and index calculations are based on cumulative means and do not require large sample sizes, estimate precision increases with larger samples. 6) It should be noted that dividing household wealth into three equal sized groups or wealth tertiles incorporates the concept of relative poverty only because most of the respondents belonged to deprived sections of society in rural areas where the programs were implemented.

CONCLUSIONS

The results from three different country and program contexts provide useful insights into programmatic strategies needed to improve equality in maternal nutrition interventions which can be helpful in other programs. Although the programs were not explicitly designed to reduce inequality, it appears that certain elements of policies and interventions helped in improving equality^(23, 31, 32). Pro-poor characteristics of the longstanding community based MNCH program in Bangladesh transferred easily to the newly integrated nutrition components; the type of remuneration, competence, experience, and commitment of community workers enabled them to more effectively reach the poorest and motivate behaviour change among PW in Bangladesh. This aligns with past research⁽³³⁾ and reinforces the WHO guidance on maintaining community health worker programs to maximize coverage⁽³⁴⁾ and foster equity⁽³⁵⁾. However, this analysis indicates that more needs to be done to explicitly identify and reduce inequality.

Applying inequality analysis across three countries with different contexts provides further insight into the role of health systems' infrastructure and use of rigorously designed program interventions as compared to routine intervention packages. Interventions have been universally recommended for global implementation⁽³⁶⁾, the study interventions tailored global recommendations to each context; the interventions aimed at improving overall coverage and adoption of nutrition practices but they were not aimed specifically at reducing

inequalities. Large-scale programs usually focus on improving coverage or usage but do not usually have the objective of reducing inequality. Through this study we examine whether this approach needs to be enhanced through explicit introduction of equality strategies. Since inequality is a major hurdle in achieving SDGs, the program insight gained from this article will be helpful to programmers in future.

According to the 2030 collaboration, reducing inequalities within countries at a faster pace could be one of the ways to achieve SDGs by 2030 ⁽³⁷⁾. The main take-aways from this study for nutrition programs to help countries achieve these goals are, a) assess equality issues early while designing interventions through formative studies, b) monitor inequality indicators throughout implementation, c) diligently address inequality through targeted interventions, setting aside resources to address inequality and motivating frontline workers to reach left-out communities and areas, d) motivate managers and providers to build community linkages and engage family members to drive services, coverage and quality closer to where the disadvantaged reside, and e) make equality analysis a routine part of impact evaluations.

Table 1. Sample sizes and survey timing by country

	Bangladesh			Burkina Faso			Ethiopia		
	Survey year	RDW	PW	Survey year	RDW	PW	Survey year	RDW	PW
Baseline	2015	2000	600	2019	1920	960	2019	344	175
Endline	2016	2000	600	2021	1920	960	2021	1889	540
<i>RDW, Recently delivered women; PW, Pregnant women</i>									

Table 2. Population characteristics at baseline, by country, RDW and PW

	Bangladesh				Burkina Faso				Ethiopia			
	RDW		PW		RDW		PW		RDW		PW	
	No.	Col %	No.	Col %	No.	Col %	No.	Col %	No.	Col %	No.	Col %
Household wealth												
Poorest	792	39.60	251	41.83	722	37.60	369	38.44	34	9.88	609	34.29
Less poor	620	31.00	190	31.67	631	32.86	317	33.02	114	33.14	616	34.86
Better-off	588	29.40	159	26.50	567	29.53	274	28.54	196	56.98	546	30.86
Mother's age												
13/19	404	20.20	162	27.00	274	14.27	123	12.81	na	na	na	na
20/24	677	33.85	175	29.17	525	27.35	223	23.23	na	na	na	na
25/29 (25-50 in BF)	530	26.50	155	25.83	1121	58.39	614	63.96	na	na	na	na
30+	389	19.45	108	18.00								
Mother's education												
Never attended school/ Incomplete Grade 1	235	11.76	73	12.17	1277	66.51	643	66.98	na	na	na	na
Koranic/Literacy training	-	-	-	-	114	5.94	61	6.35	na	na	na	na
Completed Grades 1-5	703	35.17	189	31.50	307	15.99	163	16.98	na	na	na	na
Completed Grades 6-9	758	37.92	268	44.67	195	10.16	87	9.06	na	na	na	na
Completed Grades 10-12/ Higher	303	15.16	70	11.67	27	1.41	6	0.63	na	na	na	na
Parity												
0	NA	NA	2	0.33	NA	NA	17	2.09	-	-	-	-
1	772	38.60	182	30.33	388	20.21	175	21.53	58	16.86	234	14.94
2	681	34.05	189	31.50	365	19.01	181	22.26	45	13.08	348	22.08
>=3	547	27.35	227	37.83	1167	60.78	440	54.12	241	70.06	979	62.99
Mother's occupation												
Farmer	na	na	na	na	1092	56.88	539	56.15	na	na	23	14.94

	Bangladesh				Burkina Faso				Ethiopia			
	RDW		PW		RDW		PW		RDW		PW	
Housewife	179 7	89.8 5	53 0	88.3 3	60 6	31.5 6	31 7	33.0 2	na	na	34	22.0 8
Other	203	10.1 5	70	11.6 7	22 2	11.5 6	10 4	10.8 3	na	na	97	62.9 9
>=4 ANC visits												
No	668	33.4 0	N A	NA	7	0.54	N A	NA	0	0	N A	NA
Yes	133 2	66.6 0	N A	NA	128 2	99.4 6	N A	NA	20 2	100	N A	NA
Institutional delivery												
No	123 8	61.9 0	N A	NA	15 0	7.81	N A	NA	79	22.9 7	N A	NA
Yes	762	38.1 0	N A	NA	177 0	92.1 9	N A	NA	26 5	77.0 3	N A	NA
<i>RDW, Recently delivered women; PW, Pregnant women No., Unweighted numbers; Col%, Column % NA, Not Applicable; na, Information not available</i>												

Table 3. Erreygers Index and concentration index (ranked by household wealth), by country and indicator

	Bangladesh		Burkina Faso		Ethiopia	
	Baseline	Endline	Baseline	Endline	Baseline	Endline
Adequate IFA consumption						
Non-intervention	0.164	0.226	0.056	0.046	0.208	0.122
Intervention	0.149	0.052	-0.054	-0.025	0.314	0.214
Counselling on IFA*						
Non-intervention	0.016	0.031	0.027	0.015	0.039	0.117
Intervention	0.014	0.034	0.007	-0.010	0.166	0.090
Adequate dietary diversity						
Non-intervention	0.172	0.217	0.021	0.247	0.044	0.067
Intervention	0.283	0.136	0.144	0.166	0.040	0.162
Counselling on dietary diversity						
Non-intervention	0.065	0.028	-0.002	0.045	0.026	0.142
Intervention	-0.101	-0.019	0.004	-0.057	0.144	0.068
<i>*Concentration Index used</i>				<i>Statistically significant</i>		

Table 4. Erreygers Index and concentration index (ranked by mother's education), by country and indicator

	Bangladesh		Burkina Faso		Ethiopia	
	Baseline	Endline	Baseline	Endline	Baseline	Endline
Adequate IFA consumption						
Non-intervention	0.209	0.187	0.017	0.057	na	0.044
Intervention	0.178	0.070	-0.050	0.024	na	0.162
Counselling on IFA*						
Non-intervention	0.039	0.032	0.018	-0.012	na	0.107
Intervention	0.041	0.020	0.005	-0.005	na	0.063
Adequate dietary diversity						
Non-intervention	0.223	0.179	0.018	0.025	na	0.126
Intervention	0.258	0.052	-0.007	-0.019	na	0.076
Counselling on dietary diversity						
Non-intervention	0.092	-0.051	0.003	0.054	na	0.096
Intervention	0.022	0.003	0.026	0.064	na	0.117
*Concentration Index used				Statistically significant		

Table 5. Summary table showing change in inequality (absolute) in maternal nutrition indicators after program exposure in non-intervention and intervention areas

Indicators	SE variable	Bangladesh		Burkina Faso		Ethiopia	
		Non-Int.	Int.	Non-Int.	Int.	Non-Int.	Int.
Recently Delivered Women							
Adequate IFA consumption	Wealth	Increased	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced
	Education	Reduced	Reduced	Increased	Reduced		
Counselling on IFA*	Wealth	Increased	Increased	Reduced	Increased	Increased	Reduced
	Education	Reduced	Reduced	Reduced	No change		
Pregnant Women							
Dietary diversity	Wealth	Increased	Reduced	Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased
	Education	Reduced	Reduced	Increased	Increased		
Counselling on dietary diversity	Wealth	Reduced	Reduced	Increased	Increased	Increased	Increased
	Education	Reduced	Reduced	Increased	Increased		
	<i>Indicates statistical significance</i>						
<i>SE variable, Socioeconomic variable; Non-Int., Non-Intervention; Int., Intervention</i>							
<i>*Concentration index used</i>							

REFERENCES

1. Sanghvi T, Nguyen PH, Ghosh S, et al. Process of developing models of maternal nutrition interventions integrated into antenatal care services in Bangladesh, Burkina Faso, Ethiopia and India. *Matern Child Nutr.* 2022:e13379.
2. Victora CG, Barros FC, Assunção MC, et al. Scaling up maternal nutrition programs to improve birth outcomes: a review of implementation issues. *Food Nutr Bull.* 2012;33(2 Suppl):S6-26.
3. Black MM, Pérez-Escamilla R, Rao SF. Integrating nutrition and child development interventions: scientific basis, evidence of impact, and implementation considerations. *Adv Nutr.* 2015;6(6):852-9.
4. Gwatkin DR, Rutstein S, Johnson K, et al. Socio-economic differences in health, nutrition, and population within developing countries: an overview. *Niger J Clin Pract.* 2007;10(4):272-82.
5. Menezes R, Lelijveld N, Wrottesley SV, et al. Integrating Women and Girls' Nutrition Services into Health Systems in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: A Systematic Review. *Nutrients.* 2022;14(21).
6. Report GN. Action on equity to end malnutrition - Global Nutrition Report. 2020.
7. Leventhal DGP, Crochemore-Silva I, Vidaletti LP, et al. Delivery channels and socioeconomic inequalities in coverage of reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health interventions: analysis of 36 cross-sectional surveys in low-income and middle-income countries. *Lancet Glob Health.* 2021;9(8):e1101-e9.
8. da Silva ICM, França GV, Barros AJD, et al. Socioeconomic Inequalities Persist Despite Declining Stunting Prevalence in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *J Nutr.* 2018;148(2):254-8.
9. Reyes Matos U, Mesenburg MA, Victora CG. Socioeconomic inequalities in the prevalence of underweight, overweight, and obesity among women aged 20-49 in low- and middle-income countries. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2020;44(3):609-16.
10. Wang M, Gao H, Wang J, et al. Global burden and inequality of iron deficiency: findings from the Global Burden of Disease datasets 1990-2017. *Nutr J.* 2022;21(1):16.
11. Yang F, Liu X, Zha P. Trends in Socioeconomic Inequalities and Prevalence of Anemia Among Children and Nonpregnant Women in Low- and Middle-Income Countries. *JAMA Network Open.* 2018;1(5):e182899-e.

12. Regidor E. Measures of health inequalities: part 2. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2004;58(11):900-3.
13. Renard F, Devleeschauwer B, Speybroeck N, et al. Monitoring health inequalities when the socio-economic composition changes: are the slope and relative indices of inequality appropriate? Results of a simulation study. *BMC Public Health*. 2019;19(1):662.
14. Moreno-Betancur M, Latouche A, Menvielle G, et al. Relative index of inequality and slope index of inequality: a structured regression framework for estimation. *Epidemiology*. 2015;26(4):518-27.
15. Erreygers G, Kessels R. Socioeconomic Status and Health: A New Approach to the Measurement of Bivariate Inequality. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2017;14(7).
16. Nguyen PH, Kim SS, Sanghvi T, et al. Integrating Nutrition Interventions into an Existing Maternal, Neonatal, and Child Health Program Increased Maternal Dietary Diversity, Micronutrient Intake, and Exclusive Breastfeeding Practices in Bangladesh: Results of a Cluster-Randomized Program Evaluation. *J Nutr*. 2017;147(12):2326-37.
17. Kim S., C. S, R. Z, et al. A Feasibility Study of Integrating Maternal Nutrition Interventions into Antenatal Care Services in Ethiopia: A Cluster-Randomized Evaluation IFPRI and Alive & Thrive; 2022.
18. Kim SS, Zagré RR, Ouédraogo CT, et al. Intensified Nutrition Interventions in Antenatal Care Services Increased Consumption of Iron and Folic Acid Supplements and Early Breastfeeding Practices in Burkina Faso: Results of a Cluster-Randomized Program Evaluation. *J Nutr*. 2023;153(10):3058-67.
19. Erreygers G, Van Ourti T. Measuring socioeconomic inequality in health, health care and health financing by means of rank-dependent indices: a recipe for good practice. *J Health Econ*. 2011;30(4):685-94.
20. StataCorp. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 15.1* StataCorp. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC; 2017.
21. Sununtnasuk C, D'Agostino A, Fiedler JL. Iron+folic acid distribution and consumption through antenatal care: identifying barriers across countries. *Public Health Nutr*. 2016;19(4):732-42.

22. Kim S, Ganaba R, Menon P, et al. Strengthening Nutrition Interventions in Antenatal Care Services Improved Consumption of Iron-Folic Acid Supplements and Early Breastfeeding Practices in Burkina Faso. *Current Developments in Nutrition*. 2022;6(Supplement_1):674-.
23. Kavle JA, Landry M. Community-based distribution of iron-folic acid supplementation in low- and middle-income countries: a review of evidence and programme implications. *Public Health Nutr*. 2018;21(2):346-54.
24. Amo-Adjei J, Aduo-Adjei K, Opoku-Nyamaah C, et al. Analysis of socioeconomic differences in the quality of antenatal services in low and middle-income countries (LMICs). *PLoS One*. 2018;13(2):e0192513.
25. Torlesse H, Benedict RK, Craig HC, et al. The quality of maternal nutrition and infant feeding counselling during antenatal care in South Asia. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2021;17(3):e13153.
26. Osendarp S, Akuoku JK, Black RE, et al. The COVID-19 crisis will exacerbate maternal and child undernutrition and child mortality in low- and middle-income countries. *Nature Food*. 2021;2(7):476-84.
27. Ahmed AU, Bakhtiar MM, Ali M, et al. Trends and Inequities in Food, Energy, Protein, Fat, and Carbohydrate Intakes in Rural Bangladesh. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 2022;152(11):2591-603.
28. Browne J, Adams K, Atkinson P, et al. Food and nutrition programs for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Australians: an overview of systematic reviews. *Aust Health Rev*. 2018;42(6):689-97.
29. Chen Z, Roy K. Calculating concentration index with repetitive values of indicators of economic welfare. *J Health Econ*. 2009;28(1):169-75.
30. O'Donnell O, O'Neill S, Van Ourti T, et al. conindex: Estimation of concentration indices. *Stata J*. 2016;16(1):112-38.
31. Paudyal N, Parajuli KR, Garcia Larsen V, et al. A review of the maternal iron and folic acid supplementation programme in Nepal: Achievements and challenges. *Matern Child Nutr*. 2022;18 Suppl 1:e13173.
32. Ahmed S, Chase LE, Wagnild J, et al. Community health workers and health equity in low- and middle-income countries: systematic review and recommendations for policy and practice. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2022;21(1):49.

33. LeBan K, Kok M, Perry HB. Community health workers at the dawn of a new era: 9. CHWs' relationships with the health system and communities. *Health Research Policy and Systems*. 2021;19(3):116.
34. Tulenko K, Møgedal S, Afzal MM, et al. Community health workers for universal health-care coverage: from fragmentation to synergy. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2013;91(11):847-52.
35. Campbell J, Buchan J, Cometto G, et al. Human resources for health and universal health coverage: fostering equity and effective coverage. *Bull World Health Organ*. 2013;91(11):853-63.
36. WHO. WHO recommendations on antenatal care for a positive pregnancy experience. Geneva, Switzerland; 2016.
37. Countdown to 2030: tracking progress towards universal coverage for reproductive, maternal, newborn, and child health. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10129):1538-48.

¹ This is because messages and contact points were tailored to each country context. Messages were received during both ANC and counselling sessions at home visits in Bangladesh; at three different contact points in Burkina Faso: during ANC visits in facilities, home visits and community meetings/GASPA (local term for women's support groups); and at two different contact points in Ethiopia: during ANC visits in health facilities and home visits. The country specific message list is as follows:

Bangladesh: Take 1 tablet daily; IFA prevents anemia; IFA reduces risk of LBW; IFA reduces risk of maternal death; do not drink tea/ coffee with IFA ; and others.

Burkina Faso: Take 1 tablet daily; take 180 IFA tablets from the 4th month of pregnancy until delivery; IFA prevents anemia; IFA reduces risk of LBW; IFA reduces risk of maternal death; do not to take tea/ coffee with IFA ; take IFA tablets with water before sleeping at night; eat more fruits and vegetables daily when taking IFA tablets; take 2 IFA tablets per day/take IFA with or after meals; prevent or manage side-effects (only endline) and others.

Ethiopia: Take 180 IFA tablets daily during pregnancy; take 1 IFA tablet per day from the 4th month of pregnancy; IFA prevents anemia; IFA reduces risk of LBW; IFA reduces risk of maternal death; not to take tea/coffee with IFA ; take IFA tablets with water or lime juice at night; potential side effects; and how to manage those side effects.

² The wealth index was created using principal component analysis. Variable selection and creation of wealth index has been conducted separately for each country, but it remains the same within a country- across non-intervention and intervention areas as well as baseline and endline surveys. Further details are available from the authors.