NEW GENERALISATIONS OF AN H-KKM TYPE THEOREM AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

XIE PING DING

In this note, we establish some new generalisations of an H-KKM type theorem which unify and generalise the corresponding results of Horvath, Bardaro-Ceppitelli, Tarafdar, Shioji, Park and others. As applications of our H-KKM type principle, we obtain some new generalisations of the Ky Fan type geometric properties of $H$-spaces, minimax inequalities and coincidence theorems in Horvath's abstract setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Fan-Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz theorem [14] has been generalised in various directions and has become an important and fundamental tool in treating many sophisticated nonlinear problems. Recently, Horvath [20, 21], Bardaro-Ceppitelli [2, 3], Ding [11, 12], Ding-Tan [13] and Tarafdar [43] generalised the FKKM theorem to $H$-spaces and gave applications in various fields.

Recently, Shioji [36] and Park [34] established some new KKM theorems involving an upper semicontinuous set-valued mapping with compact acyclic values.

In this note, we establish a new generalisation of the H-KKM theorem in Horvath's abstract setting which unifies and generalises the corresponding results mentioned above. As applications, we obtain some new generalisations of the Ky Fan type geometric properties of $H$-spaces, minimax inequalities and coincidence theorems in Horvath's abstract setting.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $X$ and $Y$ be nonempty sets. We shall denote by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ the family of all nonempty finite subsets of $X$ and by $2^Y$ the family of all subsets of $Y$. Let $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued mapping. For $A \subset X$ and $y \in Y$, let

\[ F(A) = \bigcup \{F(x): x \in A\} \quad \text{and} \quad F^{-1}(y) = \{x \in X: y \in F(x)\}. \]
For $B \subset Y$, the upper inverse of $B$ under $F$ is defined by

$$F^+(B) = \{x \in X : \emptyset \neq F(x) \subset B\}.\]

For topological spaces $X$ and $Y$, a subset $B$ of $Y$ is said to be compactly closed (respectively open) in $Y$ if for each compact subset $K$ of $Y$, the set $B \cap K$ is closed (respectively open) in $K$. An extended real-valued function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semi-continuous (in short, l.s.c.) if the set $\{x \in X : f(x) > r\}$ is open in $X$ for each $r \in \mathbb{R}$; $f$ is called upper semi-continuous (in short, u.s.c.) if $-f$ is l.s.c.. A mapping $F : X \to 2^Y$ is said to be u.s.c. if the set $F^+(V)$ is open in $X$ for each open subset $V$ of $Y$.

The following notions were introduced by Bardaro-Ceppitelli [2].

A pair $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is called an 2Z-space if $X$ is a topological space and $\{\Gamma_A\}$ is a family of contractible subsets of $X$ indexed by $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ such that $\bigcap A \subset \bigcap A'$, whenever $A \subset A'$. A subset $D$ of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is said to be

(i) $H$-convex if $\Gamma_A \subset D$ for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$;
(ii) weakly $H$-convex if $\Gamma_A \cap D$ is contractible for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$;
(iii) $H$-compact in $X$ if for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, there exists a compact, weakly $H$-convex subset $D_A$ of $X$ such that $D \cup A \subset D_A$.

Following Tarafdar [43], for a nonempty subset $D$ of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$, we define the $H$-convex hull of $D$, denoted by $H\text{-co}(D)$, as

$$H\text{-co}(D) = \bigcap \{B \subset X : B \text{ is } H\text{-convex and } D \subset B\}.$$ 

It is easy to see that $H\text{-co}(D)$ is the smallest $H$-convex subset containing $D$ and we have

$$H\text{-co}(D) = \bigcup \{H\text{-co}(A) : A \in \mathcal{F}(D)\}$$

by Lemma 1 of Tarafdar [43]. A mapping $F : D \to 2^X$ is said to be $H$-KKM if for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $H\text{-co}(A) \subset F(A)$.

Recall that a nonempty topological space is acyclic if all of its reduced Čech homology groups over the rationals vanish. In particular, any contractible space is acyclic, and hence any convex or star-shaped set in a topological vector space is acyclic. For a topological space $Y$, we shall denote by $\text{ka}(Y)$ the family of all compact acyclic subsets of $Y$.

Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an $H$-space. For each $N \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, $H\text{-co}(N)$ is said to be a polytope in $X$. $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ is said to be an $H$-space with compact polytopes if each polytope in $X$ is compact. If $X$ is a convex subset of a vector space with finite topology, then $X$ becomes a convex space (see, Lassonde [28]). For each $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$, let $\Gamma_A = \text{co}(A)$, then it is easy to see that $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ becomes an $H$-space with compact polytopes.
Let $\Delta_n$ be the standard $n$-dimensional simplex with vertices $e_0, \ldots, e_n$. If $J$ is a nonempty subset of $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, $\Delta_J$ will denote the convex hull of the vertices $\{e_j : j \in J\}$.

The following result is Lemma 1 of Ding-Tan in [13].

**Lemmas 2.1.** Let $X$ be a topological space. For each nonempty subset $J$ of $\{0, \ldots, n\}$, let $\Gamma_J$ be a contractible subset of $X$. If $J \subseteq J'$ implies $\Gamma_J \subseteq \Gamma_{J'}$, then there exists a continuous mapping $f : \Delta_n \to X$ such that $f(\Delta_J) \subseteq \Gamma_J$ for each nonempty subset $J$ of $\{0, \ldots, n\}$.

The following result is Lemma 1 of Shioji in [36].

**Lemmas 2.2.** Let $\Delta_n$ be an $n$-dimensional simplex with the Euclidean topology and $W$ be a compact topological space. Let $\psi : W \to \Delta_n$ be a single-valued continuous mapping and $T : \Delta_n \to k\alpha(W)$ be u.s.c. Then there exists a point $x^* \in \Delta_n$ such that $x^* \in \psi(T(x^*))$.

### 3. Main Results

In this section, we shall show some new generalisations of the H-KKM theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $D$ be a nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $G : D \to 2^Y$ and $T : H-co(D) \to 2^Y$ such that

1. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T |_Z : Z \to k\alpha(Y)$ is u.s.c., where $Z = H-co(A)$,
2. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T(H-co(A)) \subseteq G(A)$,
3. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $G(x) \cap T(z)$ is relatively closed in $T(z)$ for each $x \in A$, where $Z = H-co(A)$.

Then for any $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$,

$$T(H-co(A)) \cap \bigcap_{z \in A} G(z) \neq \emptyset.$$ 

**Proof:** Suppose that the conclusion does not hold. Then there exists $A = \{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ such that

$$T(Z) \cap \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} G(x_i) = \emptyset,$$

where $Z = H-co(A)$ is a compact polytope in $X$. Hence, we have

$$T(Z) \subset T(Z) \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} G(x_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (T(z) \setminus G(x_i)).$$
For each \( x \in D \), let \( F(x) = T(Z) \setminus G(x) \), then we have

\[
T(Z) \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{n} F(x_i),
\]

and each \( F(x) \) is relatively open in \( T(Z) \).

Since \( Z \) is compact and \( T|_Z: Z \to ka(Y) \) is u.s.c., it follows from Proposition 3.1.11 of Aubin-Ekeland [1] that \( T(Z) \) is compact in \( Y \). By (3.1), there exists a continuous partition of unity \( \{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{n} \) subordinate to the open covering \( \{F(x_i)\}_{i=0}^{n} \). Define a mapping \( \psi: T(Z) \to \Delta_n \) by

\[
\psi(y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i(y)e_i \quad \text{for each} \quad y \in T(Z).
\]

Clearly, \( \psi \) is continuous.

On the other hand, for each nonempty subset \( J \) of \( \{0, \ldots, n\} \), let \( \Gamma_J = \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{j \in J}} \), then \( \Gamma_J \subset H-co(A) = Z \) is contractible and \( J \subset J' \) implies \( \Gamma_J \subset \Gamma_{J'} \). It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a continuous mapping \( f: \Delta_n \to Z \) such that

\[
f(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma_J = \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{j \in J}}.
\]

By Theorem 7.3.11 of Klein-Thompson [25], the composition mapping \( T \circ f: \Delta_n \to ka(T(Z)) \) is u.s.c. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists a point \( x^* \in \Delta_n \) such that \( x^* \in \psi(T(f(x^*)))) \). Let \( y_0 \in T(f(x^*)) \) be such that \( x^* = \psi(y_0) \), then, by (3.2), we have

\[
x^* = \psi(y_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda_i(y_0)e_i.
\]

Let \( J(y_0) = \{i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}: \lambda_i(y_0) \neq 0\} \), then

\[
x^* = \sum_{i \in J(y_0)} \lambda_i(y_0)e_i \in \Delta_{J(y_0)}
\]

and for each \( i \in J(y_0) \), \( y_0 \in F(x_i) \). Hence, we have

\[
y_0 \notin \bigcup_{i \in J(y_0)} G(x_i).
\]

By (3.3) and (3.4), we have

\[
f(x^*) \in f(\Delta_{J(y_0)}) \subset \Gamma_{J(y_0)} = \Gamma_{\{x_i\}_{i \in J(y_0)}} \subset H-co(\{x_i\}_{i \in J(y_0)}).
\]

It follows that

\[
y_0 \in T(\text{H-co}(\{x_i\}_{i \in J(y_0)})).
\]

Properties (3.5) and (3.6) contradict the assumption (2). This completes our proof. \( \square \)
Remark 3.1. If $X = Y$ and $T$ is the identity mapping, condition (2) implies $G$ is an H-KKM mapping. If each $G(x)$ is compactly closed, condition (3) holds trivially. Theorem 3.1 generalises Theorem 1 of Shioji [36] to $H$-spaces.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $D$ be a nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $G : D \to 2^Y$ and $T : \text{H-co}(D) \to 2^Y$ such that

1. for each $x \in D$, $G(x)$ is compactly closed,
2. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T(\text{H-co}(A)) \subseteq G(A)$,
3. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T|_z : Z \to ka(Y)$ is u.s.c., where $Z = \text{H-co}(A)$,
4. there exists a nonempty compact subset $K$ of $Y$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T(\text{H-co}(A)) \subseteq K$.

Then $K \cap \bigcap_{x \in D} G(x) \neq \emptyset$.

**Proof:** By Theorem 3.1 and condition (4), the family $\{G(x) \cap K : x \in D\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since $K$ is compact, the conclusion holds.

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.2 improves and generalises Theorem 2 of Shioji [36] to $H$-spaces. By the way, we point out that the condition $T(X) \subseteq K$ in Theorem 2 of Shioji [36] should be replaced by $T(\text{co}(X)) \subseteq K$, otherwise the conclusion does not hold.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let $D$ be nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $G : D \to 2^Y$ and $T : \text{H-co}(D) \to ka(Y)$ be u.s.c. such that

1. for each $x \in D$, $G(x)$ is compactly closed,
2. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T(\text{H-co}(A)) \subseteq G(A)$.

Furthermore suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

3. $\text{H-co}(D)$ is compact, or
4. there exist an $H$-compact subset $L$ of $X$ and a nonempty compact subset $K$ of $Y$ such that for each $N \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ and for each $y \in T(L_N) \setminus K$, there is an $x \in L_N \cap D$ such that $y \notin T(L_N) \cap G(x)$.

Then $\text{cl}(T(\text{H-co}(D))) \cap K \cap \bigcap_{x \in D} G(x) \neq \emptyset$.

**Proof:** First suppose that condition (3) is satisfied. Since $\text{H-co}(D)$ is compact and $T : \text{H-co}(D) \to ka(Y)$ is u.s.c., it follows from Proposition 3.1.11 of Aubin-Ekeland [1] that $T(\text{H-co}(D))$ is compact in $Y$. Let $K = T(\text{H-co}(D))$, then the conclusion holds from Theorem 3.2.

Next suppose that condition (4) is satisfied. It is easy to see that condition (4) is equivalent to the following condition:

4'. there exist an $H$-compact subset $L$ of $X$ and a nonempty compact subset
of $Y$ such that for each $N \in \mathcal{F}(D)$,

$$T(L_N) \cap \bigcap_{z \in L_N \cap D} G(z) \subset K.$$ 

Note that for each $N \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $(L_N, \{T \cap L_N\})$ is a compact $H$-space and $L \cup N \subset L_N$. By applying Theorem 3.2 with $(D, X, Y, K, G, T)$ instead of $(L_N \cap D, L_N, Y, T(L_N), G |_{L_N \cap D}, T |_{L_N})$, we have

$$T(L_N) \cap \bigcap_{z \in L_N \cap D} G(z) \neq \emptyset,$$

and hence, by condition (4)',

$$T(L_N) \cap K \cap \bigcap_{z \in L_N \cap D} G(z) \neq \emptyset.$$

Since $N \subset L_N$, it follows that

$$\text{cl} (T(H-co(D))) \cap K \cap \bigcap_{z \in N} G(z) \neq \emptyset.$$ 

This shows that the family $\{\text{cl} (T(H-co(D))) \cap K \cap G(z): z \in D\}$ has the finite intersection property. Since $\text{cl} (T(H-co(D))) \cap K$ is compact in $Y$, the conclusion holds. 

**Remark 3.3.** We note that condition (a) of Theorem 3 of Shioji [36] should be replaced by the condition that $X$ is compact and convex, otherwise his Theorem 2 cannot be applied. Theorem 3.3 improves and generalises Theorem 3 of Shioji [36] to $H$-spaces. For $X = Y$ and $T = I$, the identity mapping, condition (2) implies that $G$ is $H$-KKM and hence Theorem 3.3 generalises Theorem 1 of Bardaro-Ceppitelli [2] and Corollary 1 of Horvath [20]. If $T = s: X \to Y$ is a single-valued continuous mapping and $X$ is a convex space, Theorem 3.3 also generalises Park [32, Theorems 3 and 4], [33, Theorem 4]; Chang [9, Theorem 2.1]; Lassonde [28, Theorems I and III] and Fan [14, Lemma 1], [16, Theorem 1], [17, Theorem 4].

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.3.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let $D$ be a nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $G: D \to 2^Y$ and $T: H-co(D) \to ka(Y)$ be u.s.c. such that

1. for each $z \in D$, $G(z)$ is compactly closed,
2. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $T(H-co(A)) \subset G(A)$,
3. there exist an $H$-compact subset $L$ of $X$ and a nonempty compact subset $K$ of $Y$ such that for each $N \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $z \in L_N \setminus T^+(K)$ implies

$$\bigcap \{G(z): z \in L_N \cap D\} \subset Y \setminus T(z).$$
Then \( \text{cl}(T(H-co(D))) \cap K \cap \{G(x): x \in D\} \neq \emptyset \).

**Proof:** To prove the conclusion, it suffices to show that condition (3) implies condition (4) of Theorem 3.3. In fact, if condition (4) of Theorem 3.3 does not hold, then for any \( H \)-compact subset \( L \) of \( X \) and for any nonempty compact subset \( K \) of \( Y \), there exist \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) and \( y \in T(L_N) \setminus K \) such that for all \( z \in L_N \cap D \), \( y \in T(L_N) \cap G(z) \) and hence \( y \in T(L_N) \cap \{G(z): z \in L_N \cap D\} \). Since \( y \in T(L_N) \setminus K \), there exists \( z \in L_N \) such that \( y \in T(z) \setminus K \), therefore \( z \in L_N \setminus T^+(K) \) and

\[
\bigcap \{G(z): z \in L_N \cap D\} \subseteq Y \setminus T(z)
\]

since \( y \in T(z) \setminus \{G(z): z \in L_N \cap D\} \). This shows that condition (3) does not hold and completes our proof. \( \Box \)

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 3.4 generalises Theorem 3 of Park [34] and many known KKM type theorems in the literature. For example, see the particular forms of Theorem 3 of Park [34].

### 4. Some Applications

In this section, we shall give some applications of our H-KKM type theorems to the geometric properties of \( H \)-spaces, coincidence theorems and minimax inequalities in \( H \)-spaces.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( D \) be a nonempty subset of an \( H \)-space \( (X, \{\Gamma_A\}) \) with compact polytopes, \( Y \) be a Hausdorff topological space and \( A \subset B \subset C \subset H-co(D) \times Y \) such that \( A \) is nonempty closed in \( H-co(D) \times Y \). Suppose that

1. for each \( z \in D \), \( \{y \in Y: (z, y) \in \Gamma_A\} \) is compactly closed,
2. for each \( y \in Y \), \( \{z \in D: (z, y) \notin \Gamma_B\} \) is empty or \( H \)-convex,
3. there exist a nonempty compact subset \( K \) of \( Y \) such that for \( z \in H-co(D) \), the set \( \{y \in K: (z, y) \in A\} \) is acyclic.

Then there exists a point \( y_0 \in K \) such that \( D \times \{y_0\} \subset C \).

**Proof:** Define the mappings \( H, G: D \to 2^Y \) and \( T: H-co(D) \to 2^Y \) by

\[
H(z) = \{y \in Y: (z, y) \in B\},
\]

\[
G(z) = \{y \in Y: (z, y) \in C\}
\]

and

\[
T(z) = \{y \in K: (z, y) \in A\}.
\]

For each \( z \in D \), \( G(z) \) is compactly closed by (1). Since \( A \) is closed in \( H-co(D) \times Y \), each \( T(z) \) is closed and the graph of \( T \) is closed. From Corollary 3.1.9 of Aubin-Ekeland [1] it follows that \( T \) is u.s.c. and hence \( T: H-co(D) \to ka(Y) \) is u.s.c. by (3). We
claim that for each \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), \( T(H(\co(N))) \subseteq H(N) \). If it is not true, then there exist \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) and \( y \in T(H(\co(N))) \) such that \( y \notin H(N) \) and hence we have
\[
N \subseteq \{ x \in D : (x, y) \notin B \}.
\]
By (2), we have \( H(\co(N)) \subseteq \{ x \in D : (x, y) \notin B \} \subseteq \{ x \in H(\co(D)) : (x, y) \in A \} = H(\co(D)) \setminus \{ z \in H(\co(D)) : (z, y) \in A \} = H(\co(D)) \setminus T^{-1}(y) \). It follows that \( y \notin T(H(\co(N))) \), which is a contradiction. Hence for each \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), \( T(H(\co(N))) \subseteq H(N) \subset G(N) \). By (3), there exists a nonempty compact subset \( K \) of \( Y \) such that \( T(H(\co(D))) \subseteq K \). By applying Theorem 3.2, we have \( K \cap \bigcap \{ G(x) : x \in D \} \neq \emptyset \). This implies that there exists a point \( y_0 \in K \) such that \( D \times \{ y_0 \} \subseteq C \).

\textbf{Remark 4.1.} Theorem 4.1 improves and generalizes Park [34, Theorem 10 and Corollary 10.1], Shioji [36, Corollary 1]; Ha [18, Theorem 3] and Fan [14, Lemma 4] to \( H \)-spaces.

\textbf{Theorem 4.2.} Let \( D \) be a nonempty subset of an \( H \)-space \( (X, \{ \Gamma_A \}) \) with compact polytopes, \( Y \) be a Hausdorff topological space, \( F, S : D \to 2^Y \) and \( T : H(\co(D)) \to ka(Y) \) be u.s.c. such that
\begin{enumerate}
\item for each \( A \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), \( F(x) \cap T(Z) \) is relatively open in \( T(Z) \) for each \( x \in A \) where \( Z = H(\co(A)) \),
\item for each \( A \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) and for each \( y \in T(H(\co(A))) \), \( A \in F(T^{-1}(y)) \) implies \( H(\co(A)) \subseteq S^{-1}(y) \),
\item there exists an \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) such that \( T(H(\co(N))) \subseteq F(N) \).
\end{enumerate}
Then there exists a point \( x_0 \in D \) such that \( T(x_0) \cap S(x_0) \neq \emptyset \).

\textbf{Proof:} Define a mapping \( G : D \to 2^Y \) by \( G(x) = Y \setminus F(x) \) for each \( x \in D \). Then for each \( x \in D \) and for each \( A \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), \( G(x) \cap T(Z) \) is relatively closed in \( T(Z) \) where \( Z = H(\co(A)) \) by (1). By (3), there exists an \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) such that
\[
T(H(\co(N))) \subseteq \bigcup_{z \in N} F(z) = \bigcup_{z \in N} \left( Y \setminus G(z) \right) = Y \setminus \bigcup_{z \in N} G(z)
\]
and hence \( T(H(\co(N))) \cap \bigcap_{z \in N} G(z) = \emptyset \). Therefore the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 does not hold. It follows that condition (2) of Theorem 3.1 must not hold. Hence there exists an \( A \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) such that \( T(H(\co(A))) \nsubseteq G(A) \), that is there exist \( y \in T(H(\co(A))) \) and \( x_0 \in H(\co(A)) \) such that \( y \notin T(x_0) \) and
\[
y \notin G(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \left( Y \setminus F(x) \right) = Y \setminus \bigcap_{x \in A} F(x).
\]
Hence, we have \( y \in \bigcap_{x \in A} F(x) \) and \( A \in F(T^{-1}(y)) \). By (2), we have
\[
x_0 \in H(\co(A)) \subseteq S^{-1}(y)
\]
and hence \( y \in T(x_0) \cap S(x_0) \). This completes the proof.

**THEOREM 4.3.** Let \( D \) be a nonempty subset of an H-space \((X, \{\Gamma_A\})\) with compact polytopes, \( Y \) be a Hausdorff topological space, \( F, S : D \to 2^Y, T : H-co(D) \to ka(Y) \) be u.s.c. and \( K \) be a nonempty compact subset of \( Y \) such that

1. for each \( x \in D \), \( F(x) \) is compact open,
2. for each \( A \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) and for each \( y \in T(H-co(A)) \), \( A \in \mathcal{F}(F^{-1}(y)) \) implies \( H-co(A) \subset S^{-1}(y) \),
3. \( \text{cl}(T(H-co(D))) \cap K \subset F(D) \),
4. there exists an H-compact subset \( L \) of \( X \) such that for each \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), \( x \in L_N \setminus T^+(K) \) implies \( T(x) \subset F(L_N \cap D) \).

Then there exists a point \( x_0 \in D \) such that \( T(x_0) \cap S(x_0) \neq \emptyset \).

**PROOF:** Since \( \text{cl}(T(H-co(D))) \cap K \) is compact and covered by compactly open sets \( \{F(x)\}_{x \in D} \) by (1) and (3), there exists \( N_1 \in \mathcal{F}(D) \) such that

\[
\text{cl}(T(H-co(D))) \cap K \subset F(N_1)
\]

Consider the set \( L_{N_1} \) in (4). We claim that \( T(L_{N_1}) \subset F(L_{N_1} \cap D) \). In fact, if \( z \in L_{N_1} \setminus T^+(K) \), then \( T(z) \subset K \) and

\[
T(z) \subset T(L_{N_1}) \cap K \subset T(H-co(D)) \cap K \subset F(N_1) \subset F(L_{N_1} \cap D)
\]

On the other hand, if \( z \in L_{N_1} \setminus T^+(K) \), then \( T(z) \subset F(L_{N_1} \cap D) \) by (4). Hence, we have \( T(L_{N_1}) \subset F(L_{N_1} \cap D) \).

Since \( L_{N_1} \) is compact and \( T : H-co(D) \to ka(Y) \) is u.s.c., therefore \( T(L_{N_1}) \) is compact and included in \( F(L_{N_1} \cap D) \). By (1), there exists \( N \in \mathcal{F}(L_{N_1} \cap D) \) such that \( T(L_{N_1}) \subset F(N) \). Note that \( (L_{N_1}, \{\Gamma_A \cap L_{N_1}\}) \) is an H-space and \( N \in \mathcal{F}(L_{N_1}) \), so we have

\[
H-co(N) \subset L_{N_1} \quad \text{and} \quad T(H-co(N)) \subset T(L_{N_1}) \subset F(N).
\]

Thus, condition (3) of Theorem 4.2 is satisfied. The conclusion holds from Theorem 4.2.

**REMARK 4.2.** Theorem 4.3 improves and generalises Theorem 1 of Park [34] to H-spaces. As particular forms of Theorem 4.3, we easily obtain the following results: Ding [11, Theorem 2.1]; Chang-Ma [10, Theorem 7 and Corollary 4]; Park [34, Corollary 1.1], [32, Theorem 6], [33, Theorem 7]; Chang [9, Theorems 2.4 and 2.7]; Browder [6, Theorems 1 and 7], [7, Proposition 1], [8, Theorems 2 and 5]; Tarafdar [39, Theorem 1], [40, Corollary 2.1 and Theorem 2.2], [41, Theorem 1.2], [42, Theorem 2]; Tarafdar-Husain [44, Theorem 1.1]; Ben-El-Mechaiekh-Deguire-Granas [4, Théorème 1], [5, I, Théorème 1.2 et 5]; II, Théorèmes 3.1-3.3 et 4.1]; Yanaelis-Prabhakar [45, Theorems 3.2 and 3.3].
THEOREM 4.4. Let $D$ be a nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{T_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space and $T: H-co(D) \to ka(Y)$ be u.s.c. Let $M$ and $N$ be subsets of a set $Z$, $f, g: D \times Y \to Z$ and $K$ be a nonempty compact subset of $Y$. Suppose that

1. for each $x \in D$, the set $\{y \in Y: g(x, y) \in M\}$ is compactly open,
2. for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$ and for each $y \in T(H-co(A))$, $A \in \mathcal{F}\{x \in D: g(x, y) \in M\}$ implies $H-co(A) \subset \{x \in D: f(x, y) \in N\}$,
3. there exists an $H$-compact subset $L$ of $X$ such that for each $A \in \mathcal{F}(D)$, $x \in L_A \setminus T^+(K)$ and $y \in T(x)$, there exists an $x_1 \in L_A$ satisfying $g(x_1, y) \in M$.

Then either

a) there exists an $y^* \in cl(T(H-co(D))) \cap K$ such that $g(x, y^*) \notin M$ for all $x \in D$, or
b) there exist $x^* \in D$ and $y^* \in T(x^*)$ such that $f(x^*, y^*) \in N$.

PROOF: Define the mappings $F, S: D \to 2^Y$ by

$$F(x) = \{y \in Y: g(x, y) \in M\} \quad \text{and} \quad S(x) = \{y \in Y: f(x, y) \in N\}$$

for each $x \in D$. Then conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.3 are satisfied by (1) and (2). Suppose that conclusion (a) does not hold, then $cl(T(H-co(D))) \cap D \subset F(D)$ and condition (3) of Theorem 4.3 is satisfied. It is easy to see that condition (3) implies condition (4) of Theorem 4.3. By Theorem 4.3, there exists a point $x^* \in D$ such that $T(x^*) \cap S(x^*) \neq \emptyset$, that is, there exists $y^* \in T(x^*)$ such that $f(x^*, y^*) \in N$. 

REMARK 4.3. Theorem 4.4 improves and generalises Theorem 2.4 of Ding [12], Theorem 5 of Park [34] and many known results in the literature, see the particular forms of Theorem 5 of Park [34]. From Theorem 4.4, we easily state its analytic alternative which generalises Theorem 6 of Park [34]. We omit the statement.

Let $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ be an $H$-space. Recall that a real-valued function $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $H$-quasiconcave if for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{x \in X: f(x) > t\}$ is $H$-convex.

THEOREM 4.5. Let $D$ be a nonempty subset of an $H$-space $(X, \{\Gamma_A\})$ with compact polytopes, $Y$ be a Hausdorff topological space and $T: H-co(D) \to ka(Y)$ be u.s.c. Suppose that two functions $f, g: D \times Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $g(x, y) \leq f(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in D \times Y$, 
(2) For each \( x \in D \), \( y \rightarrow g(x, y) \) is l.s.c. on each compact subset of \( Y \).

(3) For each \( y \in T(H-co(D)) \), \( x \rightarrow f(x, y) \) is \( H \)-quasiconcave on \( H-co(D) \).

(4) There exists an \( H \)-compact subset \( L \) of \( X \) such that for each \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), each \( N \in \mathcal{F}(D) \), each \( x \in L_N \setminus T^+(K) \) and each \( y \in T(x) \), there exists \( x_1 \in L_N \) satisfying \( g(x_1, y) > t \).

Then

(a) There exists an \( y^* \in \text{cl} \left( T(H-co(D)) \right) \cap K \) such that

\[
\sup_{x \in D} g(x, y^*) \leq \sup_{(x, y) \in \text{Gr}(T)} f(x, y),
\]

and

(b) The following minimax inequality holds:

\[
\min_{y \in K} \sup_{x \in D} g(x, y) \leq \sup_{(x, y) \in \text{Gr}(T)} f(x, y),
\]

where \( \text{Gr}(T) = \{(x, y) \in H-co(D) \times Y : y \in T(x)\} \) is the graph of \( T \).

Proof: It is obvious that conclusion (a) implies conclusion (b). In order to show (a) we may assume that \( t = \sup \{f(x, y) : (x, y) \in \text{Gr}(T)\} \) is finite. In Theorem 4.4, put \( Z = \mathbb{R}, M = N = (t, +\infty] \). Then, by (2), condition (1) of Theorem 4.4 is satisfied. It is easy to check that conditions (1) and (3) imply condition (2) of Theorem 4.4 and condition (4) implies condition (3) of Theorem 4.4. Obviously, conclusion (b) of Theorem 4.4 does not hold. Hence we conclude that the conclusion (a) of Theorem 4.4 holds. This completes our proof.

Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.5 improves and generalises Theorem 9 of Park [34], Theorem 1 of Ha [19], Theorem 1 of Fan [15] and many known minimax inequalities in the literature to \( H \)-spaces, see the particular forms of Theorem 9 of Park [34].
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