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This paper study nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein algebras and characterise them in terms of their minimal free resolutions. Explicit bounds on their graded Betti numbers and their multiplicities are obtained.

## 1. Introduction

The concept of an extremal Cohen-Macaulay or Gorenstein algebra appeared in the work of Sally [6] and Schenzel [7]. These algebras have the smallest possible reduction number and their minimal resolutions are pure. Thus using Herzog and Kühl [2] and Huneke and Miller [4] formulae, Betti numbers and multiplicity of these algebras are obtained.

Let $R=k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard polynomial ring over a field $k$. Let $I$ be a graded ideal in $R$ of height $g$ and initial degree $p$. Let ( $h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}$ ) be the $h$-vector of $R / I$.
(1) Suppose $R / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Then $s \geqslant p-1$. If $s=p-1$, the algebra $R / I$ is called an extremal Cohen-Macaulay algebra.
(2) Suppose $R / I$ is Gorenstein. Then $s \geqslant 2(p-1)$. If $s=2(p-1)$, the algebra $R / I$ is called an extremal Gorenstein algebra.
The extremal Cohen-Macaulay (or extremal Gorenstein) algebra $R / I$ is interesting because it has linear (respectively, almost linear) minimal resolution. Algebras with linear resolutions have been widely studied. It was shown by Eagon and Reiner [1] that a Stanley-Reisner ring of a simplicial complex has linear minimal resolution if and only if the Alexander dual of the simplicial complex is Cohen-Macaulay. This result has been extended by Herzog and Hibi [3].

We introduce a notion of nearly extremal algebra and showed that many properties of extremal algebras extend analogously to them. For a graded Cohen-Macaulay ideal $I$ in $R$ of height $g$ and initial degree $p$, we say that the algebra $R / I$ is nearly extremal
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Cohen-Macaulay if $s=p$. Similarly, for a Gorenstein ideal $I$, we say that the algebra $R / I$ is nearly extremal Gorenstein if $s=2 p-1$. In other words, nearly extremal algebras have penultimate reduction numbers.

## 2. Nearly Extremal Cohen-Macaulay Algebra

For a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay algebra, we have the following characterisation theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. Let $R=k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard polynomial ring over a field $k$ and $I$ be a Cohen-Macaulay graded ideal in $R$ of height $g$ and initial degree $p$. Set $\delta=\binom{g+p-1}{p}-\nu\left(I_{p}\right)$, where $\nu\left(I_{p}\right)$ is the minimal number of generators of $I$ in degree $p$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra.
(2) $\delta>0$ and $\nu\left(I_{p+1}\right)=\binom{g+p}{p+1}$.
(3) The minimal resolution of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{g} \rightarrow F_{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / I \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{i}=R[-(p+i-1)]^{b_{i}^{\prime}} \oplus R[-(p+i)]^{b_{i}^{\prime \prime}}$ and, $\beta_{i, p+i-1}=b_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\beta_{i, p+i}=b_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ are graded Betti numbers with $b_{g}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$.
(4) Hilbert series of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{i+g-1}{g-1} t^{i}+\delta t^{p}}{(1-t)^{d}}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{dim}(R / I)$ and $\delta>0$.
Proof: It can be assumed that $k$ is an infinite field. Thus there is a regular system of parameters $\mathbf{y}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}$ of $R / I$ such that each $y_{i}$ is of degree 1 in $R$. Then $\bar{R}=R / \mathbf{y} R$ is a polynomial ring in $g$ variables and $\bar{R} / \bar{I}=R /(\mathbf{y}, I)$ is Artinian. The $h$-vector of $R / I$ satisfies $h_{i}=H(\bar{R} / \bar{I}, i)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{R}_{i} / \bar{I}_{i}\right)$. Clearly, $h_{i}=\binom{g+i-1}{i}$ for $0 \leqslant i<p$, and $h_{p}=\binom{g+p-1}{p}-\nu\left(I_{p}\right)$.

Now $R / I$ is nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra if and only if $h_{p}=\delta>0$ and $h_{j}=0$ for $j \geqslant p+1$. In particular,

$$
\nu\left(I_{p+1}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\bar{R}_{p+1}\right)=\binom{g+p}{p+1}
$$

This proves that (1) and (2) are equivalent. On substituting the value of $h$-vector in the Hilbert series $\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\left(h_{0}+h_{1} t+\cdots+h_{s} t^{s}\right) /(1-t)^{d}$, we see that (1) and (4) are
equivalent. Since $R / I$ is Cohen-Macaulay, the projective dimension $p d(R / I)=h t(I)=g$. Thus R/I has a minimal resolution of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{g} \rightarrow F_{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / I \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{i}=\bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_{i}} R\left[-d_{i j}\right]$ with $d_{i 1} \leqslant d_{i 2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant d_{i b_{i}}$ for all $i$. By the minimality of resolution, we have $d_{11}<d_{21}<\cdots<d_{g 1}$ and $p+g-1 \leqslant d_{g j}$ for all $j$. On tensoring the minimal resolution of $R / I$ by $R /(\mathbf{y})$, we get a minimal resolution of the Artinian ring $\bar{R} / \bar{I}=R /(\mathbf{y}, I)$. In this case, the socle of $\bar{R} / \bar{I}$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{b_{g}} k\left[-\left(d_{g j}-g\right)\right]$. If $R / I$ is nearly extremal, then the socle of $\bar{R} / \bar{I}$ can live in degrees $\leqslant p$. Thus $d_{g j}-g \leqslant p$. Combining the two inequalities, we get $p+(g-1) \leqslant d_{g j} \leqslant p+g$. As $R / I$ is CohenMacaulay, we have $p \leqslant d_{1 b_{1}}<d_{2 b_{2}}<\cdots<d_{g b_{g}} \leqslant p+g$ (see [9, Proposition 4.2.3]). Therefore, either $d_{i j}=p+i-1$ or $d_{i j}=p+i$. Since $R / I$ is nearly extremal CohenMacaulay but not an extremal algebra, $d_{g b_{g}}=p+g$. This proves that (1) implies (3).

Finally, we shall show that (3) implies (1). If the minimal resolution of $R / I$ is given as in (3), then its Hilbert series $\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)$ is of the form

$$
\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{g}(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}{ }^{p+i-1}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime} t^{p+i}\right)}{(1-t)^{n}}
$$

Since $d=\operatorname{dim}(R / I)=n-g$, the Hilbert series of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p} h_{i} t^{i}}{(1-t)^{d}}
$$

Thus the $h$-vector of $R / I$ has length exactly $p$.

## Remarks.

1. If $\delta=0$, then $R / I$ becomes an extremal CM-algebra. In this case, $R / I$ has a $p$-linear resolution and $\nu\left(I_{p}\right)=\binom{p+g-1}{p}$.
2. The Betti numbers $\beta_{i, p+i-1}=b_{i}^{\prime}($ for $i=1, \ldots, g)$ are called the initial Betti numbers of $R / I$.

In the next result we obtain some specific bounds on the Betti numbers of a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra.

Thenrem 2.2. With notation as in 2.1, let $R / I$ be a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra. The initial Betti numbers $\beta_{i, p+i-1}$ (for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant g$ ) of $R / I$ satisfy

$$
\beta_{i, p+i-1}=\binom{p+g-1}{g-i}\binom{p+i-2}{i-1}-\binom{g}{i-1} \delta+\beta_{i-1, p+i-1}
$$

Further,

$$
0 \leqslant \beta_{i, p+i-1} \leqslant\binom{ p+g-1}{g-i}\binom{p+i-2}{i-1}
$$

and

$$
0 \leqslant \beta_{i-1, p+i-1} \leqslant\binom{ g}{i-1} \delta .
$$

Proof: Since $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra, its minimal resolution is given as in Theorem 2.1. Thus its Hilbert series $\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)$ is given by

$$
\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{g}(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime} t^{p+i-1}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime} t^{p+i}\right)}{(1-t)^{n}}
$$

On comparing this Hilbert series with the Hilbert series in Theorem 2.1, we see that the polynomial $f(t)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{g}(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime} t^{p+i-1}+b_{i}^{\prime \prime} t^{p+i}\right)$ has exactly $g$-zeros at $t=1$ and $b_{g}^{\prime \prime}=\delta$. Thus on differentiating $f(t)$ successively $g-1$ times and putting $t=1$, we obtain a system of $g$ equations in $\lambda_{i}=(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i} & =-1-(-1)^{g} \delta \\
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i}(p+i-1) \ldots(p+i-j) & =-(-1)^{g}(p+g) \ldots(p+g-j) \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, g-1$. By applying elementary row operations, we see that this system of linear equations in $\lambda_{i}$ is equivalent to the system of linear equations

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i}=-1-(-)^{g} \delta, \quad \& \quad \sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i}(p+i-1)^{j}=-(-1)^{g}(p+g)^{j} \delta
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, g-1$. On solving this system of linear equations by Cramer's rule, we obtain

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
p & p+1 & \cdots & p+g-1 \\
p^{2} & (p+1)^{2} & \cdots & (p+g-1)^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p^{g-1} & (p+1)^{g-1} & \cdots & (p+g-1)^{g-1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and

$$
D_{i}=\left|\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \cdots & 1 & -1-(-1)^{g} \delta & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
p & \cdots & p+i-2 & -(-1)^{g}(p+g) \delta & p+i & \cdots & p+g-1 \\
p^{2} & \cdots & (p+i-2)^{2} & -(-1)^{g}(p+g)^{2} \delta & (p+i)^{2} & \cdots & (p+g-1)^{2} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p^{g-1} & \cdots & (p+i-2)^{g-1} & -(-1)^{g}(p+g)^{g-1} \delta & (p+i)^{g-1} & \cdots & (p+g-1)^{g-1}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Thus $D=(g-1)!(g-2)!\ldots 1!$ and

$$
D_{i}=\frac{(-1)^{i}}{(i-1)!}\left(\prod_{j=p, j \neq p+i-1}^{p+i} j \prod_{r=1, r \neq g-i}^{g-1} r!-\delta \prod_{m=1, m \neq g-i+1}^{g} m!\right) .
$$

But $\lambda_{i}=D_{i} / D$. Therefore,

$$
b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}=(-1)^{i} \frac{D_{i}}{D}=\binom{p+g-1}{g-i}\binom{p+i-2}{i-1}-\binom{g}{i-1} \delta \ldots(*)
$$

Since

$$
b_{i}^{\prime}=\beta_{i, p+i-1}(R / I) \leqslant \beta_{i, p+i-1}\left(R / i n_{>}(I)\right)
$$

(see [5]), where $i n_{>}(I)$ is the intial ideal of $I$ with respect to any monomial order $>$. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume that $I$ is a monomial ideal. Further, on going modulo a regular sequence $\mathbf{y}=y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-g}$, we can assume that $R$ is a polynomial ring in $g$-variables and $R / I$ is an Artinian ring. We are given that

$$
\nu\left(I_{p}\right)=\binom{p+g-1}{p}-\delta<\binom{p+g-1}{p}
$$

Let $J$ be a monomial ideal such that $I \subseteq J$ and $\nu\left(J_{p}\right)=\binom{p+g-1}{p}$. Then $R / J$ is an extremal Cohen-Macaulay algebra and it has linear resolution with Betti numbers

$$
\beta_{i, p+i-1}(R / J)=\binom{p+g-1}{g-i}\binom{p+i-2}{i-1}
$$

Since $\nu\left(I_{p}\right)<\nu\left(J_{p}\right)$ and all the minimal generators of the $i$-th syzygy of $R / I$ in degree $p+i-1$ also appears in the minimal generators of the $i$-th syzygy of $R / J$, we clearly have

$$
b_{i}^{\prime}=\beta_{i, p+i-1}(R / I) \leqslant \beta_{i, p+i-1}(R / J)=\binom{p+g-1}{g-i}\binom{p+i-2}{i-1} .
$$

Using this inequality in ( ${ }^{*}$ ), we obtain $b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant\binom{ g}{i-1} \delta$.
Remarks.

1. If $\delta=0$, then $R / I$ is an extremal Cohen-Macaulay algebra and in this case, we have $b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}=0$ for all $i$, as desired.
2. The multiplicity $e(R / I)$ of $R / I$ can easily be calculated from the Hilbert series given in Theorem 2.1 and it is equal to $e(R / I)=\binom{p+g-1}{g}+\delta$.
We shall now deduce a result on Stanley-Reisner rings, which can be thought of as an extension of a result of Eagon and Reiner [1]. Let $\Delta$ be a simplicial complex. Let $I_{\Delta}$ be the Stanley-Reisner ideal and $k[\Delta]=R / I_{\Delta}$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of $\Delta$. If $\Delta^{*}$ is the Alexander dual of $\Delta$, then Eagon-Reiner proved that $\Delta^{*}$ is CM if and only if $k[\Delta]$ has a linear resolution. Since $\left(\Delta^{*}\right)^{*}=\Delta$, we can interchange $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{*}$. Now we prove the following result on nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-Stanley Reisner rings.

Proposition 2.3. Let $\Delta$ be a CM-simplicial complex on the vextex set $V=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Suppose that the Stanley-Reisner ideal $I_{\Delta}$ is of initial degree $p$ and height $g$. Then $k[\Delta]$ is a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra if and only if $\operatorname{dim}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=1$.

Proof: The Alexander dual $\Delta^{*}$ is defined by $\Delta^{*}=\{F \subseteq V: V-F \notin \Delta\}$. Since $I_{\Delta}$ has initial degree $p$, it follows that $\operatorname{dim}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=n-p$. Now assume that $k[\Delta]$ is a nearly extremal Cohen-Macaulay-algebra. Then $s=p$. Since $\Delta$ is CM, it follows from Eagon and Reiner [ 1 , Theorem 3, 4], that $k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]$ has a linear resolution and its Betti numbers satisfy the identity

$$
\sum_{i \geqslant 1} \beta_{i}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right) t^{i-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{p} h_{i}(\Delta)(t+1)^{i}
$$

Clearly, $\beta_{p+1}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=h_{p}(\Delta)$ and all the higher Betti numbers are zero. . Thus the projective dimension $p d\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=p+1$. By Auslander-Buchsbaum formula,

$$
p d\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)+\operatorname{depth}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=n
$$

Thus the $\operatorname{depth}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=n-p-1$. This shows that

$$
\operatorname{dim}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)-\operatorname{depth}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=1
$$

Conversely, if the condition holds, then going backward, we see that $p d\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right)=p+1$. Now the above identity becomes

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} \beta_{i}\left(k\left[\Delta^{*}\right]\right) t^{i-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{s} h_{i}(\Delta)(t+1)^{i}
$$

from which we conclude that $s=p$.

## 3. Nearly Extremal Gorenstein Algebra

For a nearly extremal Gorenstein algebra, we have the following characterisation theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $R=k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard polynomial ring over a field $k$ and $I$ be a Gorenstein graded ideal in $R$ of height $g$ and initial degree $p$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra.
(2) The minimal resolution of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow F_{g} \rightarrow F_{g-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow F_{2} \rightarrow F_{1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / I \rightarrow 0
$$

where $F_{i}=R[-(p+i-1)]^{b_{i}^{\prime}} \oplus R[-(p+i)]^{b_{i}^{\prime \prime}} ;$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant g-1$ and $F_{g}=R[-(2 p+g-1)]$.
(3)

Hilbert series of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{i+g-1}{g-1}\left(t^{i}+t^{2 p-1-i}\right)}{(1-t)^{d}}
$$

where $d=\operatorname{dim}(R / I)$.
Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we may assume that $k$ is an infinite field, $\mathbf{y}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}$ is a regular system of parameters of $R / I$ such that each $y_{i}$ is of degree 1 in $R$ and $\bar{R}=R / \mathbf{y} R$ is a polynomial ring in $g$-variables such that $\bar{R} / \bar{I}=R /(\mathbf{y}, I)$ is Artinian. Thus going modulo $y$, we may assume that $R$ is a standard polynomial ring in $g$-variables and $R / I$ is Artinian. Since $R / I$ is Gorenstein, the minimal resolution of $R / I$ is of the form

$$
0 \rightarrow R\left[-d_{g}\right] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{g-1}} R\left[-d_{(g-1) i}\right] \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{1}} R\left[-d_{1 i}\right] \rightarrow R \rightarrow R / I \rightarrow 0
$$

with $d_{k 1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant d_{k b_{k}}$ and $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{g-k}} R\left[-\left(d_{g}-d_{(g-k) i}\right)\right] \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{k}} R\left[-d_{k i}\right]$; for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g-1$ (see [9, Theorem 4.3.11]). Also the socle of $R / I$ lives in degree $d_{g}-g$. Let $R / I$ be a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra. Since $R / I$ is also Artinian, its socle lies in the last non-zero graded component. Thus $d_{g}-g=s=2 p-1$ or $d_{g}=2 p+g-1$. From the duality isomorphisms $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{g-k}} R\left[-\left(d_{g}-d_{(g-k) i}\right)\right] \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{b_{k}} R\left[-d_{k i}\right]$; for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g-1$ and the minimal resolution of $R / I$, we obtain $d_{11}=p, d_{k 1} \geqslant p+k-1$ and $d_{g}-d_{(g-k) b_{(g-k)}}=d_{k 1}$; for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g-1$. Therefore, $d_{(g-k) b_{(g-k)}}=d_{g}-d_{k 1} \leqslant 2 p+g-1-(p+k-1)=p+g-k$; for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant g-1$. Thus the minimal resolution of $R / I$ has the required form. This proves that (1) implies (2).

Now assume that the minimal resolution of $R / I$ is as in (2). Then the socle of $R / I$ lives in degree $d_{g}-g=2 p-1$ as $d_{g}=2 p+g-1$. Since $R / I$ is Artinian Gorenstein algebra, its socle lies in the last non-zero graded component. Thus we have $s=2 p-1$, which shows that (2) implies (1).

Now we shall show that (1) implies (3). As $R$ is a polynomial ring in $g$-variables and $I$ is a Gorenstein ideal of initial degree $p$ with $R / I$ Artinian, we have $h_{i}=H(R / I, i)$ $=\binom{g+i-1}{g-1}$ and $h_{i}=h_{2 p-1-i}$; for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$. Thus the Hilbert series of $R / I$ has the required form given in (3). Finally, (3) implies (1) is clear.

Theorem 3.2. Let $R=k\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a standard polynomial ring over a field $k$ and $I$ be a Gorenstein graded ideal in $R$ of height $g$ and initial degree $p$. Suppose that the $h$-vector $h=\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}\right)$ of $R / I$ satisfies $s \geqslant 2 p-1$.Then the multiplicity of $R / I$ satisfies $e(R / I) \geqslant 2\binom{p+g-1}{g}$. Further, $e(R / I)=2\binom{p+g-1}{g}$ if and only if $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra.

Proof: As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we may assume that $R$ is a polynomial ring in $g$-variables and $R / I$ is Artinian. Further, $h_{i}=H(R / I, i)=\binom{g+i-1}{g-1}$; for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$, and $R / I$ is Gorenstein implies that $h_{i}=h_{s-i}$; for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant[s / 2]$. Thus the multiplicity of $R / I$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(R / I) & =\sum_{i=0}^{s} h_{i}=2 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_{i}+h_{p}+\ldots+h_{s-p} \\
& \geqslant 2 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_{i}=2 \sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\binom{g+i-1}{g-1} \\
& =2\binom{g+p-1}{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equality holds in the above inequality if and only if $s=2 p-1$.
Remark. For $R / I$, as in Theorem 3.2, the multiplicity $e(R / I)$ satisfies

$$
e(R / I) \geqslant\binom{ g+p-1}{g}+\binom{g+p-2}{g}
$$

with equality if and only if $R / I$ is an extremal Gorenstein algebra, that is, $s=2 p$ -2 (see [9]). Thus we conclude from Theorem 3.2 that multiplicity of nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra is the second lowest. We further remark that nothing can be said about the minimum value of the multiplicity if $s>2 p-1$.

Now we proceed to derive some numerical identity satisfied by the graded Betti numbers of a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra.

Theorem 3.3. With notation as in 3.1, let $R / I$ be a nearly extremal Gorensteinalgebra. Then the graded Betti numbers $b_{i}^{\prime}$ and $b_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ of $R / I$ satisfy the identity

$$
b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}=\binom{p+i-2}{i-1}\binom{p+g-1}{g-i}-\binom{p+g-1}{i-1}\binom{p+g-i-1}{g-i}
$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant g$, where $b_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0$. In particular, the minimal number of generators of $I$ in degree $p$ is given by

$$
\nu\left(I_{p}\right)=b_{1}^{\prime}=\binom{p+g-1}{g-1}-\binom{p+g-2}{g-1}
$$

Proof: Since $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra, its minimal resolution is given as in Theorem 3.1. Thus its Hilbert series $\mathbf{F}(R / I, t)$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{F}(R / I, t)=\frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right) t^{p+i-1}+(-1)^{g-1}\left(b_{g-1}^{\prime \prime} t^{p+g-1}-t^{2 p+g-1}\right)}{(1-t)^{n}}
$$

On comparing this Hilbert series with the Hilbert series in Theorem 3.1, we see that $f(t)=1+\sum_{i=1}^{g-1}(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right) t^{p+i-1}+(-1)^{g-1} b_{g-1}^{\prime \prime} t^{p+g-1}+(-1)^{g} t^{2 p+g-1}$ is a polynomial having exactly $g$-zeros at $t=1$. Thus on differentiating $f(t)$ successively $g-1$ times and putting $t=1$, we obtain a system of $g$ equations in $\lambda_{i}=(-1)^{i}\left(b_{i}^{\prime}-b_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i}=-1-(-1)^{g} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \lambda_{i}(p+i-1) \ldots(p+i-j)=-(-1)^{g}(2 p+g-1) \ldots(2 p+g-j)
\end{gathered}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, g-1$, where $b_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $b_{g}^{\prime}=0$. Now, on proceeding as in Theorem 2.2, we obtain the required identity. Further,

$$
\nu\left(I_{p}\right)=b_{1}^{\prime}=(-1) \lambda_{1}=\binom{p+g-1}{g-1}-\binom{p+g-2}{g-1}
$$

Remarks.

1. Let $R / I$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of a Gorenstein simplicial polytope. Then by Stanley [8], the $h$-vector $h=\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \ldots, h_{s}\right)$ of $R / I$ satisfies the
 we see that $\nu\left(I_{p}\right) \leqslant\binom{ p+g-1}{g-1}-\binom{p+g-2}{g-1}$ and equality holds if and only if $R / I$ is a nearly extremal Gorenstein-algebra.
2. Since the $h$-vector of a Gorenstein algebra need not satisfy the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$, there may exist a Gorentein ideal $I$ with initial degree $p$ and height $g$ such that $s>2 p-1$ and

$$
\binom{p+g-1}{g-1}-\binom{p+g-2}{g-1} \leqslant \nu\left(I_{p}\right) \leqslant\binom{ p+g-1}{g-1}-\binom{p+g-3}{g-1}
$$
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