Book Reviews

end, the reader who desires the smaller, cheaper, and less-authoritative text, would be well-advised to buy this book. The rest of us can only lament the hundreds of important texts that still remain unavailable.

Faye Marie Getz  
Wellcome Institute


On 29 May 1929, The Osler Library was dedicated and accepted by the Principal, Sir Arthur Currie, on behalf of McGill University. It was fitting that fifty years later a group of Oslerolators should assemble at McGill for a celebration at which five bibliographers, librarians, and historians, Charles Roland, Richard Durling, Estelle Brodman, Thomas Tanselle, and Eric Freeman, “examined the ways the history of medicine, librarianship and bibliography still occupy common ground” – and too often, as Eric Freeman suggested, fail to do so.

Naturally much is said about the Osler–Cushing–Fulton–Keynes axis directed towards bio-bibliography. No less tribute is paid to McKerrow, Pollard, and Greg, and those whose equal concern was with the printer and the physical aspects of the book itself. Their successors are engaged in descriptive and analytical bibliography on their journey to the chips and the computers – a little less humanism, a little more mathematics – which must simplify the accessibility of knowledge committed to the written word. But how long will the paper on which the word is written or printed survive?

The meeting was a happy one under the wise and courteous chairmanship of Lloyd Stevenson, who contributes the introduction. But it did end with some gloomy predictions for those whose bibliomania is near-neighbour to their bibliographia. Were these the obsequies for that adored object, the book as we know it? And there are worse anxieties; tapes, no less than paper, are not forever.

For me, who had seen the empty shelves of the Bibliotheca Osleriana three years before the books arrived, this book, the memorial of a memorable occasion, is a delight and I hope that it will give the vicarious pleasure of the celebration to many, many people.

Alfred White Franklin  
Consultant Physician, London


There is little doubt that there are significant differences between the diets of hunter-gatherer peoples, past and present, and more “advanced” agricultural communities. It could be that later Palaeolithic societies were more versatile and experimental in their range and preparation of foods, but fundamentally diets must have been high in fibre with a seasonally fluctuating combination of fruits, carbohydrate plant foods, plus nut or animal proteins and fats. During the past two decades, interest in food has gone beyond “traditional” nutrition studies, and has drawn in a range of workers in the human sciences, including archaeology, sociology, and even psychology. Although the bibliography on this subject is becoming vast, there is in fact remarkably little in book form to recommend to those interested in the whole breadth of studies. This present collection of fourteen separate papers extends again the variety of recent publications, and as there is no great overlap with other current books, it can be seen as complementing the others.

In the introduction, Robson points out that we really know very little about food use beyond advanced societies, and yet if we are fully to understand the possible links between nutrition, human adaptability, and patterns of disease (especially such major categories as cancer, or cardiovascular and neurovascular diseases) then the whole spectrum of human food use must be