A SIMPLE PROOF OF THE ERDOS-GALLAI THEOREM ON GRAPH SEQUENCES

S.A. CHOUDUM

A central theorem in the theory of graphic sequences is due to P. Erdos and T. Gallai. Here, we give a simple proof of this theorem by induction on the sum of the sequence.

THEOREM (Erdos and Gallai [2]):

A sequence $\pi: d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \ldots \ge d_p$ of non-negative integers, whose sum (say s) is even is graphic if and only if

 $(EG): \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{p} \min(d_i,k), \text{ for every } k, 1 \leq k \leq p.$

The known direct proofs are lengthy (see Harary [3]) while short proofs use the theory of flows in networks (see Berge [1]). Here, we give a simple direct proof. Since the necessary part is easy (see Harary [3]) we prove only sufficiency.

Proof. By induction on s. The theorem holds when s = 0 or 2. Suppose that the theorem is true for sequences whose sum is s - 2 and let $\pi: d_1 \ge d_2 \ge \ldots \ge d_p$ be a sequence whose sum s is even and which

Received 2 May, 1985. The author sincerely thanks Dr. T.V.S. Jagannathan for his valuable comments on the proof.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/86 \$A2.00 + 0.00.

satisfies (EG). There is no loss of generality in assuming $d_p \ge 1$. Let $t(\ge 1)$ be the smallest integer such that $d_t > d_{t+1}$; if π is regular then define t to be p-1. Consider the sequence

 $\pi^{\star}: d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_{t-1} > d_t - 1 \geq d_{t+1} \geq \ldots \geq d_{p-1} > d_p - 1$. We verify that π^{\star} satisfies (EG). So, let k be an integer such that $1 \leq k \leq p$. We split the proof into five cases and prove in each case that π^{\star} satisfies (EG); we use repeatedly the inequality: $\min(a, b) - 1 \leq \min(a-1, b)$.

(1)
$$k \ge t$$
.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} - 1 \le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p} \min(d_{j},k) - 1 \quad [by (EG)]$$

$$\le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p-1} \min(d_{j},k) + \min(d_{p}-1,k) .$$

(2)
$$1 \le k \le t - 1$$
 and $d_k \le k - 1$.
Clearly, $\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i = k \ d_k \le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p} \min(d_j, k)$.

(3) $1 \le k \le t - 1$ and $d_k = k$.

We first observe that $d_{k+2} + \ldots + d_p \ge 2$. This is obvious if $k + 2 \le p - 1$. If $k + 2 \ge p$, then t = p - 1 and so π is $(p-2)^{p-1}, d_p$. But then, $s = (p-2)(p-1) + d_p$ is even, and hence $d_p \ge 2$. So,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} = k^{2} - k + d_{k+1} \le k^{2} - k + d_{k+1} + d_{k+2} + \dots + d_{p} - 2$$

$$\le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_{j}, k) + \min(d_{t} - 1, k) + \min(d_{p} - 1, k) + \frac{p}{j=k+1, \neq t}$$
(4) $1 \le k \le t - 1$, $d_{k} \ge k + 1$, and $d_{p} \ge k + 1$.
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} \le k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p} \min(d_{j}, k) \quad [by (EG)]$$

$$= k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_t-1, k) + \min(d_p-1, k) .$$
(since, $\min(d_j, k) = \min(d_j-1, k) = k$).

(5)
$$1 \le k \le t - 1$$
, $d_k \ge k + 1$ and $d_p < k + 1$.

Let
$$r$$
 be the smallest integer such that $d_{t+r+1} \leq k$. If

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \approx k(k-1) + \sum_{i=k+1}^{p} \min(d_i, k)$$
, then we arrive at a contradiction to (EG)

as follows.

We first have,

$$k d_{k} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} = k(k-1) + (t+r-k)k + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^{p} d_{j} = k(t+r-1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^{p} d_{j}.$$

so,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} d_i = (k+1)d_k = (k+1)(t+r-1) + \frac{k+1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j$$

$$> (k+1)k + (t+r-k-1)(k+1) + \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j, \text{ (since } \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=t+r+1}^p d_j > 0)$$

$$= (k+1)k + \sum_{j=k+2}^p \min(d_j, k+1).$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i \leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{p} \min(d_j, k) - 1 \quad [by (EG)]$$

$$\leq k(k-1) + \sum_{j=k+1, \neq t}^{p-1} \min(d_j, k) + \min(d_t-1, k) + \min(d_p-1, k)$$

Thus in each case π^* satisfies (EG) and hence by the induction hypothesis it is graphic. Let G be a realization of π^* on the vertices v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p . If $(v_t, v_p) \notin E(G)$, then $G + (v_t, v_p)$ is a realization of π . So, let $(v_t, v_p) \in E(G)$. Since

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700002872 Published online by Cambridge University Press

$$\begin{split} \deg_G(v_t) &= d_t - 1 \leq p - 2 \text{, there is a } v_m \text{ such that } (v_m, v_t) \notin E(G) \text{.} \\ \text{Since } \deg_G(v_m) &\geq \deg_G(v_p) \text{, there is a } v_n \text{ such that } (v_m, v_n) \in E(G) \\ \text{and } (v_n, v_p) \notin E(G) \text{.} \quad \text{Deleting the edges } (v_t, v_p) \text{, } (v_m, v_n) \text{ and adding the edges } (v_t, v_m) \text{, } (v_n, v_p) \text{ we get a new realization } G^* \text{ of } \pi^* \text{ in which } v_t \text{ and } v_p \text{ are non-adjacent. Then } G^* + (v_t, v_p) \text{ is a realization of } \pi \text{.} \end{split}$$

References

- [1] C. Berge, Graphs and Hypergraphs, (North Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1973).
- [2] P. Erdos and T. Gallai, "Graphs with given degrees of vertices", Mat. Lapok, 11 (1960), 264-274.
- [3] F. Harary, Graph Theory, (Addison Wesley, Reading, 1969).

School of Mathematical Sciences, Madurai Kamaraj University, Madurai - 625 021, India.